## IN THE WATFORD EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL Case no: 3334669/2018 **BETWEEN:** Ms R Kaur **CLAIMANT** -and-**Sun Mark Limited & Others RESPONDENT(S)** SCOTT SCHEDULE KEY to Defendants: R1 Sun Mark Ltd – SM Raminder Singh Ranger – Rami R2 R3 Kapil Sharma – Kapil Ravinder Singh Gidar – Ravinder R4

The Claimant waived her right to anonymity in this matter on 10 March 2021 in proceedings before the Employment Appeal Tribunal

SARR Management - SARR

Harmeet Singh Ahuja – Sunny

Nayaz Qazi – Nayaz Renu Ranger – Renu

Sea Air and Land Forwarding Ltd – SAALF

R5

R6

R7 R8

R9

## PAGE NUMBER BELOW REFER TO PAGE NUMBERS IN BUNDLE FOR FINAL HEARING

## THIS DOCUMENT IS TO BE READ IN THE LIGHT OF THE AGREED LIST OF ISSUES DATED 25 JULY 2019 [p.66]

No.	Date	Para no.	Respondent facing allegation	Alleged Perpetrator	Summary of Allegation	Cause of action	Respondents' Response
Det1	Early July 2018	26-29	R6, R1, R3, R2.	Kapil	Kapil saying 'I love you' (meaning that he wanted to have sex with her); when she ignored him, he took hold of her hand tightly and said, why don't you listen to me, look at me, I love you"; Kapil stating that he could sack any employee and asked the Claimant whether she valued her job and visa; Kapil acting in an intimidating manner regarding the call to the police. The incident occurred in the SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first floor. No one else was present.	S26 (2) and (3)	Denied [Para 34 of KS Witness Statement]

Det2	July	30-31	R6, R1, R3,	Kapil	Every day Kapil said to the Claimant,	S26 (2)	Denied
	2018		R2.		'Raman, have you decided?' (whether	and	[Para 35 of KS Witness Statement]
					or not to have sex with him) and	(3)	
					threatening her by reading out an		
					imaginary list and say "Remember		
					what I told you. You will lose your		
					job, your visa, your income and your		
					parents, if you don't go with me to the		
					hotel (to have sex with him) you will		
					be (out of work and) on the road		
					begging for money. The incidents		
					occurred in the SM/SAALF/SARR		
					office on the first floor. No one else		
					was present.		

				1			
Det3	Late	32-	R6, R1, R3,	Sunny	Sunny, at all relevant times, failing to	S13 S27	Denied
	July	33,	R2.		take the Claimant's complaints of	S26 (1)	[Para 25-30 of HA Witness Statement]
	2018	56-			harassment seriously, and failing to		10 September 2018
	and to	57,			investigate the actions of Kapil or		
	date of	73,			take any, or any appropriate action		[Para 33-42 of HA Witness Statement]
	ET1	77-			against him, which meant that the		30 September 2018 – 1 October 2018
		84,			harassment did not end. The incidents		
		100-			occurred in Sunny's office in the		Before the 10 Sept the Claimant made no complaint to HA,
		102			SM/SAALF/SARR office building on		therefore there was no failure to investigate. Matters were
					the first floor. No one else was		first raised by the Claimant to HA on 10 Sept, to which he
					present.		responded appropriately (see paras 27-30 of his witness
							statement)
							The next time HA received a complaint from the Claimant
							was on 30 September, to which HA responded in an
							appropriate way, see paras 33- 42 of his witness statement.
Det4	August	34	R6, R1, R3,	Kapil	Kapil approached the Claimant and	S26 (2)	Denied
	2018		R2.		said that he loved her voice, her laugh		[Para 36 of KS Witness Statement]
					and could not concentrate on his work		
					because of her presence until he could		
					feel her. Until he could feel her, he		
					could not be satisfied. The incident		
					occurred in the SM/SAALF/SARR		
					office on the first floor. No one else		
ı					was present.		

Det5	7th August 2018	35	R6, R1, R3, R2.	Kapil	Kapil asking the Claimant to go to a hotel room with him and saying 'you are not a virgin. Stop this drama. It will not make a difference to you. If you are that worried, I will not put it inside'. The incident occurred in the SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first floor. No one else was present.	S26 (2) and s26(3)	Denied [Para 37 of KS Witness Statement]
Det6	7th August 2018	37 – 41	R6, R1, R3, R2.	Kapil	Kapil seeking to manipulate the Claimant by saying, "If you will not listen to me, I will die" and after that he telephoned me and repeated the same and said that he would smoke, which made his asthma worse.  Kapil then asking a colleague, Rahul Makawana to tell the Claimant that he was weeping and unwell so that he would travel alone in a car with her. The incidents occurred in the SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first-floor office. No one else was present, unless otherwise stated.	S26 (2) and (3)	This has been referred to as the hospital visit incident or the alleged incident of 7 August in the Respondent's witness statements.  [Para 48-58 of KS Witness Statement]  [Para 12-13 of RM Witness Statement]  [Para 8 of RK Witness Statement]  [Para 23.2-24 of NQ Witness Statement]  The Claimant was not pressurised by management/staff to take KS to hospital and KS did not order the Claimant to take him to the hospital as alleged or at all. KS and his colleagues had all agreed, following a call to the 111 helpline, that they would monitor how he was feeling and that he did not need to go to the hospital, however, the Claimant was insistent on taking him to hospital as she said

							she also had a hospital appointment. When the Claimant and KS were on the way to the hospital the Claimant told him that she did not actually have a hospital appointment but that she wanted to take him to the hospital. KS Respondent questioned why she had done this, and the Claimant informed him that she had lied about her hospital appointment because she wanted to take him to the hospital and he had been refusing. KS insisted they returned to the office and the Claimant turned the car around and headed back towards the office. Whilst driving, the Claimant told KS about her family problems such as her brother's drug problem. The Claimant pulled the car over just before reaching the office to finish the conversation and they were stationary for 20-30 mins on a public side road. After this, the Claimant and KS returned to the office together. The Claimant was acting normally and returned to the office happily with KS. It is denied that any assault or harassment took place as alleged or at all.
Det7	7th Augu st 2018	42 - 46	R6, R1, R3, R2.	Kapil	Kapil refusing to get out of the car when asked and saying to the Claimant "your top is very nice" and "your boobs are very nice". Kapil sexually assaulting the Claimant by	S26 (2) and (3)	Denied. See above re. Det6 [Para 35, 39, 48-58, 74.7 of KS Witness Statement]

	Late afterno on				grabbing her left breast outside of her top and said, "this ball is very nice".  When the Claimant started to cry, Kapil laughing and clapping and saying to the Claimant "for a few drops of semen you are making a drama" and threatening her again saying I will make you handicapped by breaking your arms and legs and you will be a beggar"		
Det8	Late August 2018/ early Septem ber 2018	49-50	R6, R1, R3, R2.	Kapil	Kapil telling the Claimant when two other female colleagues complained to Sunny, Sunny did nothing and the women were sacked. The Claimant took this as a threat. On that date, Kapil saying "you are a bitch. You know that bitches don't know how many dogs have "fucked" (he said the F-word in English) her". The incident occurred in the SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first-floor office. No one else was present.	S26 (2) and (3)	[Para 40 of KS Witness Statement] [Para 61 of KS Witness Statement] [Para 49 of HA Witness Statement] [Para 22 of RenuR Witness Statement]

Det9	Late August 2018/ early Septem ber 2018  Around 17th Septem ber and	58 - 59	R6, R1, R3, R2. R6, R1, R3, R2.	Kapil	Kapil said to her that he could not concentrate when she was at the office because she was in front of him. He said that if she was not there, he could be satisfied but when she was there, he could not control himself when she was in front of him. The incident occurred in the office SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first floor. No one else was present.  Kapil asking the Claimant 'have you decided? Think carefully?" and repeating threats to the Claimant's income and visa if she didn't go to a	S26 (2) and (3) S26 (2) and (3)	Denied [Para 41 of KS Witness Statement]  Denied [Para 42 of KS Witness Statement]
Det11	thereaft er in Septem ber 28th Septem ber 2018	61 – 64	R6, R1, R3, R2.	Kapil	hotel with him. The incident occurred in the SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first-floor office. No one else was present.  Kapil coming into the Claimant's office and saying "you are not a queen, you are a slut" and calling her a "prostitute". He also expressed his annoyance that the Claimant was	S26 (2) and (3)	Denied This is referred to as the Incident on 28 September in the Respondents' witness statements.  [Para 62-74.8 of KS Witness Statement]  [Para 58-68 of GN Witness Statement]

					speaking with other staff.		[Para 12-13 of RK Witness Statement] – the witness made
					The incident occurred in the		reference to the term "hoor pari" which she understands to
					SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first-		mean "you are not a heavenly fair"
					floor office. No one else was present.		[Para 14-16 of RM Witness Statement] – the witness made
							reference to the term "Kyu Kya tum koi hoor pari ho"
							which he believes to be the very common and well-known
							Hindi/Punjabi phrase "why are you some sort of beautiful
							goddess." See these witness statements for the context of
							the remark.
							Regarding investigation outcome relating to this Incident,
							see para. 23 of NQ's Witness Statement
							See further the CCTV footage for 28 September 2018.
Det12	28th	65 - 71	R6, R1, R3,	Kapil	Kapil blocking the Claimant from	S26 (2)	Denied. See above. In relation to Det 11.
	Septem		R2.		leaving the office and saying "Let's	and	
	ber				go tomorrow to the hotel. We will	(3)	
	2018				discuss everything there."	(3)	
	2010				discuss everything there.		
					Kapil pressurising the Claimant to		
					go with him to a hotel.		
					When the Claimant refused, Kapil		
					saying: "I just want to feel you.		
					What can't you understand?" He		
					then went through		
					C		

his set list of threats if she did not
have sex with him.
When the Claimant pointed out that
there were cameras, Kapil saying
"The cameras are here, dirty
woman. The cameras are not on the
stairs. Come there and I will show
you." (which the Claimant took as a
threat to sexually assault her).
Kapil placed his hand directly over
his penis through his trousers and
said: "This. I will put it in you." (this
was a threat of rape).
Kapil threatening "I will make you
handicapped, throw you out of the
company and you will be on the
road" when the Claimant continued
to refuse to leave with him.
The incident occurred in the
SM/SAALF/SARR office on the first-
floor office. No one else was present.

Det13	1st	77-81	R6, R7, R2.	Sunny	Sunny telling the Claimant "yes, you	S26 S13	Denied
					will lose your visa if you take this	S27	[Para 38-42 of HA Witness Statement]
	October				matter forward legally" in response		
	2018				to her protected act. Sunny saying he		
					will think about what should		
					happen.		
					The incident occurred in Sunny's		
					office in the SM/SAALF/SARR office		
					on the first-floor office. No one else		
					was present.		
Det14	1st	82	R6, R7.	Sunny	Sunny and/or Rami failing to suspend	S26 S13	Denied
			R6, R2.	Rami	Kapil and moving the Claimant to a	S27	[Para 35-42 of HA Witness Statement]
	October				different part of the office, without		[Para 7-11 of RamiR Witness Statement]
	2018				taking steps to provide a safe working		[Para 6-11 of RenuR Witness Statement]
					environment for her, leaving her		The Claimant was offered to be moved to the other building
					fearful of harassment.		close to other female colleagues ad RamiR's office. The
							other building had a separate entrance, therefore ensuring
							the Claimant and KS would not come into contact. The
							Claimant agreed this was a suitable solution. KS was
							informed by HA that an investigation would be taking
							place to which he agreed to fully cooperate and not have
							any interaction with the Claimant. HA and RenuR felt it
							was not necessary to suspend KS and were satisfied that the

Det15	5th Octobe r 2018	85-87	R6, R7, R2. R6, R4, R5, R2 R6, R2. R6, R4, R5, R2	Sunny/ Ravinder/ Rami / Directors	Blocking the Claimant's access to her work email and Sunny telling the Claimant this was because he and another Director, Ravinder Singh Gidar, had decided that she was to no longer work for the hotel business. He said that she would therefore work for another business (which was not	S26 S13 S27	Claimant and KS were working at opposite ends of the building, preventing any interaction between them pending the outcome of the investigation. It is believed that a safe working environment was provided to the Claimant.  Denied  [Para 8 of RG Witness Statement]  [Para 51-52 of HA Witness Statement]  [Para 12 of RamiR Witness Statement]
					specified) and she needed to report to Rami directly		
Det16	5th Octobe r 2018	88-90	R6, R2.	Rami	During a recorded telephone conversation, Rami saying "I will kill you", "I will finish you", "You are a prostitute", "You are a very dirty thing", "I will take you to court and bring a witness against you", "Don't call Gauri", "You are a very rude person" and "You will see"	S26 S27 S13	Denied [Para 13-20 of RamiR Witness Statement]  The conversation was mostly in Punjabi. See the Independent translator's translation of the recording (Produced by Aplomb, a translation company which was jointly instructed by the parties' lawyers in accordance with the ET order of 24/4/20 [80]), This translation does not accord with the key aspects of the Claimant's recollection

							of the words used. See Aplomb translation and certificate of translation [946]
Det17	10th	94-98	R6, R8, R5,	Nayaz	During a hostile meeting, Nayaz	S26 S13	Denied
	Octobe		R1, R2		treating the Claimant like she was a	S27	[Para 8-17 of NQ Witness Statement]
	r 2018				suspect, not a victim, asking the		[Para 3-10 of MP Witness Statement]
					Claimant what proof she had and		See notes of 10 October 2018 meeting [624 and 629]
					pressuring her to sign a statement		MP attended the meeting with NQ and took handwritten
					written in pencil.		notes using a pen (not pencil) which the Claimant was
					In response to the recording of Rami		offered to sign. The Claimant declined.
					(Det 16) Nayaz dismissing the		The Claimant was advised that she could bring a
					harassment and saying		companion to the meeting, but she chose not to. NQ was
					Rami was angry and didn't mean the		supportive, ensuring that the Claimant felt comfortable
					words, demonstrating his bias.		throughout the meeting. NQ followed the ACAS guidance
					The incident occurred in the Bridge		(see paras 4 and 11 of NQ's witness statement.) and held
					Hotel, Greenford. No one else was		the meeting at a location away from the office. NQ acted
					present, except the attendees.		appropriately and professionally carried out his role of
							investigator, gathering necessary information and asking
							many questions to help him understand the full extent of
							what the Claimant telling him.

10 C	Around 10th Octobe 2018	99	R6, R8, R5, R1, R2	Nayaz	Nayaz limiting the Claimant's grievance to the conduct of Kapil and excluding the failure of Sunny to intervene and investigate the sexual harassment and Rami's harassment of her.	S26 S13 S27	Denied [Para 8-17 of NQ Witness Statement] [Para 8-10 of RenuR Witness Statement]
O	2th Octobe · 2018	100 -102	R6, R9, R2 R6, R7, R2. R6, R2. R6, R4, R5, R2	Renu Sunny Rami Ravi	Failing to investigate her grievances prior to 1 October 2018  Ms Renu Ranger writing that the lawyer would need an explanation for the Claimant's 'unauthorised absence', a false allegation of misconduct.	S26 S13 S27	Referring to Paragraph 100 of the Particulars of Claim, the allegations were treated as a grievance after 1 October 2018 due to the fact that the Claimant had not previously raised any formal grievance or serious allegations against KS and had expressly stated to HA on 10 September that she did not wish to take any formal action. HA therefore acted in accordance with the Claimant's wishes and good practice. As for the allegation against RenuR it appears to relate to her letter to the Claimant dated 8 October 2018, which she explains in her witness statement and arise from the fact that she was not aware of a sick note submitted by the Claimant on 4 October.  [Para 33-38 of HA Witness Statement]  [Para 7 of RG Witness Statement]  [Para 13 of RenuR Witness Statement]  [Para 13 of RenuR Witness Statement]

Det20	30 <sup>th</sup>	105-	R6, R8, R5,	Nayaz	Nayaz accusing the Claimant of not	S26 S13	Denied
	Octo	1103-	R1, R2		providing him with timely replies,	S27	[Para 25-30 of NQ Witness Statement]
		110	,		giving no allowance to the fact that		On 30 October 2018 NQ contacted the Claimant to inform
	ber				she was on sick leave, and harassing		her that the investigation had been completed and invited
	2018				her to return to work so that he could		the Claimant to a meeting to discuss the report and take her
	, 7 <sup>th</sup>						
	Novem				inform her of the outcome.		views. In the same communication he also asked the
	ber						Claimant for an update on her health because she was still
	2018						absent from work due to ill health. No response was
	and to						received by the Claimant. On 1 November 2018 NQ
	date						followed up his communication of 30 October but did not
							put any pressure on the Claimant to attend a meeting as he
							was conscious that she was on sick leave. On 2 November
							2018 the Claimant assured NQ that she would respond
							following an appointment with her GP on 6 November
							2018, however no response was received. NQ responded to
							the Claimant by email on 7 November. There was no
							pressure being put on the Claimant to return to work. The
							final investigation report was sent to the Claimant on 25
							September 2019 following many attempts to meet with the
							Claimant to discuss the findings. The Claimant was invited
							to make contact if she wished to discuss the report. The
							Claimant did not respond.