

### **Call for Evidence Response – John Salmon**

I would like to formally submit evidence to the Independent Human Rights Act Review.

This evidence relates very much to matters within Theme Two (c) of the Questionnaire and section ii, c, of the Terms of Reference.

In particular, it relates to the question of how subordinate legislation has been applied in practice in the UK Courts where it is contrary to the Articles of the Human Rights Act.

This evidence concerns real problems in the UK Courts which have had to be referred to the European Court of Human Rights, included in Applications received under case number 28964/09, SALMON v. UK. These include;

1. A General Civil Restraint Order being made which forbade the making of any Human Rights Application to any UK Court.
2. A Judge making an Order that was specifically forbidden by statute.
3. The Defence to a real property possession claim being adjourned at the same time as Judgement in favour of the Claim was entered.
4. The Judge, after a day's hearing had ended, was caught on the official recording of the hearing (which was still running) advising the opposition Barrister what authority to quote to "win" his case.
5. A District Judge in a County Court signing a Land Registry Property Transfer Form against a person's wishes without the necessary High Court order having been made.
6. Subsequent Proceedings in the Court of Appeal being based on a transcript of the 2 hour long judgement which was not true but had, on a word count, about a third of its content altered to cover up errors of fact and law made by the Judge.
7. A Human Rights Appeal being refused in chambers on the grounds that the UK has an excellent record on Human Rights, the Lord Justice involved at the same time being unable to come up with any substantive reason relating to the case itself.
8. The then President of the Court of Appeal when faced with the question as to whether the alteration of the transcript constituted a breach of the Fundamental Right to a fair hearing, saying; "I do not want to hear about Human Rights".

And many other instances of unfairness, injustice and illegality besides the brazen breaches of various HRA Articles.

In each of these instances Rules of Court and Statutory Instruments were applied in a way contrary to the provisions of the Human Rights Act.

When last checked the ECtHR had not received any response from the UK to the multiple applications, I made over the last 12 years.

The failings of the UK Judiciary to implement the Human Rights Act needs to be properly and maybe publicly addressed?