

# **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)**

Case No: 4105150/2020

5

# Held via telephone conference on 27 November 2020

### **Employment Judge J Young**

10 Mr Ryan Wilson Claimant

No appearance and No representation

15

Raffaelles Italian Kitchen and Bar

Respondent Represented by: Ms L Colaluca

20

35

#### JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL

The Judgment of the Employment Tribunal is that the claim is dismissed.

# **REASONS**

- In this case the claimant presented a claim to the Employment Tribunal complaining that he was due pay for holidays accrued to date of termination of his employment but unpaid. He stated he had been paid £429.40 but was due a further amount which he estimated at around £600. In its ET3 response the respondent explained there had been an error (and gave reasons for it) and advised that a further payment of £429.40 would be paid to satisfy the claim.
  - 2. A preliminary hearing was fixed for 27 November 2020 to consider future procedure in the claim.
  - On 23 October 2020 the Tribunal wrote to the claimant referring to the hearing and asked if the payment had been made and if so whether the claim could be withdrawn and dismissed. A response was requested by 30 October 2020. No response was made.
  - 4. At the hearing no appearance was made by the claimant. The Tribunal Clerk telephoned the contact number for the claimant but obtained no reply. Ms

4105150/2020 Page 2

Colaluca represented the respondent. She advised that she was the owner of the business and that there had been confusion with her accountant on the amount of holiday pay due to the claimant but the further payment of £429.40 had been paid to the claimant's bank account to settle matters. He had e mailed her to ask what the payment represented and she e mailed back to advise it was a further 2 weeks holiday pay due. No further exchange took place.

5. In terms of rule 47 of the Tribunal Rules of Procedure if a party fails to attend a hearing a claim may be dismissed after considering any available information about the reasons for absence. In this case given the information that the claim had been settled and no response had been made to the letter of 23 October 2020 I considered that the reason for absence was that matters had been resolved and so dismiss the claim.

15 Employment Judge: Jim Young

Date of Judgment: 29 November 2020 Entered in register: 01 December 2020

and copied to parties

5

10