[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent:
To: AI Call For Views
Subject: AI feedback

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Completed

Categories: Green Category

Hi folks,
I would make two points, the first being that I think is a mistake to be sucked into a narcissistic proposal to the effect that an electronic machine can independently invent something when, as a matter of fact, the machine had been invented to invent and therefore, to that extent, the inventor is not the machine but the creator of the machine (Thaler for example).

The second point I would make is that AI can be a useful tool for sorting out tedious tasks, such as classifying inventions, but tools are there to be used by the owners of the tools not by the tools themselves, by which I mean that by all means simplify tedious tasks but also recognise the binary decision making process of programmed tools so that they can be overridden. Some inventions are capable of being fairly described and thus classified in more than one way, so putting them into a particular pigeonhole is not helpful to those researching the prior art databases.
Kind regards,
Richard.

Richard R Halstead & Co. Ltd. - Intellectual Property Law

[redacted]

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this email may be confidential and legally privileged. It is intended **only** for use of the individual named. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that the disclosure, copying, distribution, or taking of any action in regards to the contents of this email – except its direct delivery to the intended recipient – is strictly prohibited. If you have received this email in error, please notify the sender immediately and delete from your system, if applicable.