



United Kingdom
Debt Management
Office

Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt

Government Annuities Investment Fund

Report and Accounts for the year ended 31 December 2020

HC 1252



United Kingdom
Debt Management
Office

Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt

Government Annuities Investment Fund

Report and Accounts for the year ended 31 December 2020

Presented to Parliament pursuant to Section 67(4) of the Government
Annuities Act 1929

Ordered by the House of Commons to be printed on 18 March 2021

HC 1252



© Crown copyright 2021

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.gov.uk/official-documents.

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at UK Debt Management Office, The Minster Building, 21 Mincing Lane, London, EC3R 7AG

ISBN 978-1-5286-2423-7

CCS0221021602 03/21

Printed on paper containing 75% recycled fibre content minimum

Printed in the UK by the APS Group on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office

Contents

Foreword	4
Statement of Secretary and Comptroller General's responsibilities	5
Governance statement	6
Independent auditor's report to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt	15
Receipts and payments	18
Statement of balances	18
Statement of activity	18

Foreword

The Government Annuities Act 1929 (the Act) consolidated a number of government annuity and insurance funds into the Government Annuities Investment Fund (GAIF), to be operated by the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt (CRND). The Act authorised CRND to grant term annuities, immediate life annuities, deferred annuities, and insurances.

In practice, the grant of deferred annuities was discontinued in 1912 and the grant of term annuities in 1918. The grant of insurances was discontinued in 1929 and the grant of immediate life annuities in 1962. New insurance contracts since 1929 are variations of contracts existing in 1929.

The investments of the GAIF were exhausted in 1936. National Savings and Investments (NS&I) pays out insurance claims and applies yearly to CRND for reimbursement of these amounts by the GAIF, which also pays any management expenses. All payments made by the GAIF are met by receipts from the Consolidated Fund. The only transaction during the year was a payment of £180 in relation to audit expenses (2019: £180), which included £30 of irrecoverable VAT.

As at 31 December 2020, 166 life insurance contracts with an aggregate value payable on the deaths of the insured of £3,614 remain open in the records of NS&I, who administer the contracts for CRND.

Audit arrangements

The GAIF is audited by the Comptroller and Auditor General under agreement with HM Treasury.

Date of authorisation for issue

The date of authorisation for issue is the date on which the Secretary and Comptroller General authorises the accounts for issue. This is interpreted as the date of the Independent Auditor's Report to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt.

Jo Whelan

Secretary and Comptroller General to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt
24 February 2021

Statement of Secretary and Comptroller General's responsibilities

Section 67 (4) of the Government Annuities Act 1929 requires the Commissioners to prepare an account of all monies paid into the GAIF and of the disposal thereof, and of all contracts for the grant of deferred annuities and insurances charged on the fund made in any calendar year.

The GAIF account is prepared on a cash basis and must properly present the receipts and payments for the year and balances held on 31 December.

The Commissioners have appointed the Secretary and Comptroller General to discharge their statutory responsibilities, a role that is analogous to acting as an Accounting Officer. Therefore the Secretary and Comptroller General has responsibility for preparing the annual accounts and for transmitting these to the Comptroller and Auditor General.

In preparing the accounts, the Secretary and Comptroller General is required to comply with the Government Annuities Act 1929 and has elected to be consistent with certain requirements of the Government Financial Reporting Manual (FRM), and in particular to:

- apply suitable accounting policies on a consistent basis;
- confirm that the annual report and accounts as a whole are fair, balanced and understandable, and take personal responsibility for the annual report and accounts and the judgements required for determining they are fair, balanced and understandable; and
- make judgements and estimates on a reasonable basis.

As the role of the Secretary and Comptroller General is analogous to acting as an Accounting Officer, it is considered that the responsibilities of an Accounting Officer, as set out in Managing Public Money published by HM Treasury, apply to the Secretary and Comptroller General. These include responsibility for the propriety and regularity of the public finances for which the Secretary and Comptroller General is answerable, for keeping proper records, and for safeguarding the GAIF's assets.

Disclosure to auditors

The GAIF is audited by agreement with the Comptroller and Auditor General. The Comptroller and Auditor General audits these accounts and provides opinions to CRND on whether the receipts and payments provide a true and fair view.

As the Secretary and Comptroller General I have taken all the steps that I ought to have taken to make myself aware of any relevant audit information and to establish that the GAIF's auditors are aware of that information. So far as I am aware, there is no relevant audit information of which the auditors are unaware.

Governance statement

Scope of responsibility

As Secretary and Comptroller General to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt (CRND), I am responsible for ensuring the operation of a sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of CRND's targets, policies and objectives in managing client investment portfolios whilst safeguarding the public funds for which I am accountable, in accordance with the responsibilities assigned to me.

CRND is a separate business entity managed within the control framework of the Debt Management Office (DMO). While I am responsible for CRND's system of internal control, the Accounting Officer of the DMO is responsible for the wider control framework within which CRND is managed. In discharging my own control responsibilities, I take assurance on the continued sound maintenance of the wider control framework from the governance statement for the DMO, although I understand that only reasonable and not absolute assurance can be given that risks have been controlled.

It is also my responsibility to ensure that all CRND fund management activities are conducted with due regard to value for money and operated in line with client instructions. I have put arrangements in place to ensure that there is a proper evaluation of the balance of cost and risk in our operations.

CRND is committed to the highest standards of corporate governance and is guided by the Corporate Governance Code for central government departments (the Code) and the following principles laid down in that Code:

- Parliamentary accountability;
- The role of the Board;
- Board composition;
- Board effectiveness; and
- Risk management.

CRND does not conduct any part of its business with or through arm's length bodies (ALBs) and therefore has not applied principle six which covers departmental governance arrangements with ALBs.

Managing Board

The Secretary and Comptroller General was supported during 2020 by the DMO Managing Board (the Board) which, in addition to the Secretary and Comptroller General, is comprised of:

- Sir Robert Stheeman
DMO Chief Executive and Accounting Officer
- Jim Juffs
Chief Operating Officer
- Jessica Pulay
Co-Head of Policy and Markets
- Tom Josephs
Non-executive HM Treasury representative

- Paul Fisher

Non-executive director - During a 26 year career at the Bank of England, Paul Fisher served as a member of the Monetary Policy Committee from 2009 to 2014, the interim Financial Policy Committee from 2011 to 2013 and the PRA Board from 2015 to 2016. He has a number of current roles including Chair of the London Bullion Market Association.

- Paul Richards

Non-executive director - During a 29 year career at Bank of America Merrill Lynch, Paul was MD of business in fixed income trading, Debt Capital Markets and Corporate Banking across Europe, the Americas and Asia Pacific. Following his retirement from banking, he spent 18 months as a senior consultant to the FCA. He is currently Chairman of Insignis, a FinTech company he launched in 2015.

Non-executive directors are appointed by the DMO Accounting Officer following a formal process and have fixed terms defined in their contracts of service. All non-executive Board members receive an induction on joining and have access to additional information and training where it is considered necessary for the effective discharge of their duties.

One of the roles of the Board is to advise the Secretary and Comptroller General on any key decisions affecting CRND.

An executive sub-committee of the Board generally meets weekly and supports the Secretary and Comptroller General on operational decisions.

The Board last undertook a self-evaluation of its performance led by a non-executive director in December 2017 and concluded that it has operated effectively in delivering the objectives set out in its Terms of Reference, and that the information used by the Board was accurate and relevant. Between formal reviews the Board considers its effectiveness on an ongoing basis. The Terms of Reference underwent a review by the Board in 2020.

2020 Managing Board activities

Board meetings were held throughout 2020 and covered regular agenda items, including risk management, staffing and progress against the operational business plan.

Board and Audit Committee attendance is outlined in the table below:

Managing Board		Audit Committee		
	Possible	Actual	Possible	Actual
Sir Robert Stheeman	8	8		
Jo Whelan	8	8		
Jim Juffs	8	8		
Jessica Pulay	8	8		
Tom Josephs	8	8		
Paul Fisher	8	8	6	6
Paul Richards	8	8	6	6
Rodney Norman	N/A	N/A	6	6

Audit Committee

The Secretary and Comptroller General was supported during 2020 by the Audit Committee on matters relating to risk, internal control and governance. The members of the Audit Committee during 2020 were:

- Paul Fisher (Chairman from 1 January 2020)
- Paul Richards

- Rodney Norman

Audit Committee member - Rodney Norman was Finance Director of NS&I until 2018. Prior to that he was the Treasury Accountant at HM Treasury. This was preceded by a career in the City where he qualified as a Chartered Accountant with PWC and was Finance Director of the Banking Division of Close Brothers. He is currently a non-executive director of the Pension Protection Fund, a non-executive member of the Audit and Risk Committee of the Office of Rail and Road and is a senior advisor to the Bank of England.

Audit Committee meetings are typically attended by the DMO Accounting Officer, the Secretary and Comptroller General, the Co-Head of Policy & Markets, the Chief Operating Officer, the Head of Internal Audit, the Head of Risk, the Head of Finance and the National Audit Office.

One of the Audit Committee's objectives is to give advice to the Secretary and Comptroller General on:

- The overall processes for risk, control and governance and the governance statement;
- Management assurances and appropriate actions to follow from internal and external audit findings, risk analysis and reporting undertaken;
- The financial control framework and supporting compliance culture;
- Accounting policies and material judgements, the accounts and the annual report and management's letter of representation to the external auditors;
- Whistle-blowing arrangements for confidentially, raising and investigating concerns over possible improprieties in the conduct of the DMO's business;
- Processes to protect against money laundering, fraud and corruption; and
- The planned activity and results of both internal and external audit.

During the period under review the Audit Committee paid particular attention to the following areas:

- Post implementation governance review of the new trading system;
- Impact of COVID-19, working from home and hybrid working arrangements;
- COVID-19 risk assessment and risk mitigation plan;
- DMO accommodation and data centres review;
- UK leaving the European Union;
- Cyber security;
- Static data processes and controls and counterparty static data in the core trading system;
- User access rights in the core trading system;
- IT asset management and desktop support;
- Policies, departmental procedures and committee Terms of References;
- Processes and controls to mitigate internal and external fraud;
- Human Resources (HR) processes and controls;
- Anti-money laundering arrangements;
- Risk management framework; and
- International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) 16.

The Audit Committee covers a regular programme of agenda items, together with other current topics, and met six times during the year.

The Secretary and Comptroller General has also been informed by the following operational committees throughout the period under review:

Fund Management Review Committee

The Fund Management Review Committee monitors CRND activity relating to the performance of the government funds under management, including any reporting on compliance activities undertaken in relation to the funds.

The Fund Management Review Committee met four times in 2020.

Business Delivery Committee

The Business Delivery Committee reviews the status of the delivery of DMO's business and work plan as a collective cross-functional body, resolving emerging issues in a timely way, and agreeing priorities to ensure the plan stays on track. The most significant initiatives monitored by the Business Delivery Committee during the year were the transition to remote working due to COVID-19, the accommodation relocation project and both data centre relocations.

The Business Delivery Committee met regularly (typically weekly) throughout 2020.

Risk Committees

The Secretary and Comptroller General is informed by three risk committees covering credit and market risk, operational risk and risk control. More detail on the roles, responsibilities and activities of these committees can be found in the sections below.

Risk management and internal control

The Secretary and Comptroller General is responsible for maintaining a sound system of internal control that supports the achievement of CRND's targets, policies and objectives in managing client investment portfolios whilst safeguarding the public funds for which she is accountable, in accordance with the responsibilities assigned to her.

CRND is managed within the wider DMO system of internal control which is based upon what the DMO Accounting Officer, with the support of the Board, considers to be appropriate, taking account of the DMO's activities, the materiality of risks inherent in those activities and the relative costs and benefits of implementing specific controls to mitigate those risks. The DMO's position differs to that of a commercial organisation in that it must always be in a position to transact the underlying business required to meet its remit. As a result, the risks associated with this activity cannot be avoided and the system of internal control can only provide reasonable assurance against failure to achieve aims and objectives.

The Risk and Control Framework

The Board has designed and put in place a formal risk management framework covering all the activities conducted and overseen by the DMO. This Framework helps ensure that the DMO Accounting Officer is appropriately informed and advised of any identified risks and also allows the management of risks to be monitored. The risk management framework covers both regular operations and new business initiatives, and evolves as the range and nature of the DMO's activities change. The Framework is supported by a clear 'three lines of defence' model:

First line of defence:

Day-to-day management of risk is the responsibility of management staff within business areas. The DMO considers effective risk management to be central to its operations and fosters a risk aware culture in which all members of staff, including Board members, are encouraged to understand and own the risks that are inherent in those operations. In particular, the DMO seeks to promote an environment in

which staff feel comfortable to identify new risks and changes in previously identified risks, as well as weaknesses so that these may be assessed and appropriate mitigating actions put in place.

Mitigating actions typically include segregation of duties, staff training, clear lines of management delegation and robust business continuity arrangements.

Second line of defence:

Oversight of risk is provided by the Board and risk committees, whose role is to provide regular and systematic scrutiny of risk issues which lie within their remit and to support the DMO Accounting Officer in exercising his overall responsibility for risk management.

The DMO considers that the principal risks it faces arise in three broad areas: credit risk, market risk and operational risk. It has established committees to meet regularly to review the changing risk pattern for each of these areas and to set up appropriate responses. The work of these committees is described in more detail below.

Credit and Market Risk Committee

The Credit and Market Risk Committee (CMRC) meets on a regular basis, with more frequent meetings held when required, for example during times of market stress. The CMRC monitors and reviews the management of market, credit, and liquidity risk. The CMRC met 7 times during 2020.

Operational Risk Committee

The Operational Risk Committee (ORC) meets regularly to monitor operational risks and to review significant risk issues. The ORC is responsible for reviewing risk incidents identified through the DMO's risk incident reporting process, and considering whether planned mitigating action is appropriate. The ORC also reviews and tracks the progress of actions identified by Internal Audit. The ORC's scope includes issues relating to information risk, IT security, business continuity, anti-fraud and key supplier risks.

The ORC has advised the DMO Accounting Officer and the Board, during the year, on significant operational risk concerns, significant risk issues and trends as well as actions to mitigate such risks. The ORC has focused this year on IT & cyber security, hybrid working arrangements, business continuity and key supplier risks. The ORC met seven times during 2020.

Controls Group

The Controls Group meets periodically to review issues affecting the DMO's system of internal control and to analyse material changes to the control environment. The Controls Group recommends actions to management to implement changes where appropriate. The Controls Group consists of representatives from Finance, Risk, Compliance and Internal Audit.

The Controls Group has advised the DMO Accounting Officer, the Board and senior management on any significant risk concerns stemming from the introduction of new business activities as well as risks relating to other change management activities. The Controls Group has also advised the DMO Accounting Officer on suitable mitigating action where appropriate.

During the year the Controls Group review work has covered the risk assessment and risk mitigation plan for more staff returning to the office as the impact of COVID-19 subsides. In addition, the Controls Group reviewed control improvements identified through reverting to home working. Other topics reviewed included a review of access controls for the core trading system.

Risk Management Unit

The risk committees are supported by the DMO's Risk Management Unit (RMU) which ensures key risk issues arising from these committees are communicated to the DMO Accounting Officer and senior

management on a regular basis, with additional ad hoc reporting if an emerging issue requires it. The RMU also supports the formal risk reporting processes with defined outputs, including regular detailed risk reports which are reviewed by the Board and senior management.

As well as supporting the risk committee structure, the RMU provides control advice on risks. As part of the second line of defence the RMU is separate from, and independent of, the DMO's trading operations. The RMU conducts risk analysis and provides market, credit and operational risk capability for the DMO.

The identification, monitoring and mitigation of operational risk is facilitated by the RMU via quarterly consultations with heads of business units and functional teams. Significant risk issues are assessed for materiality and probability of occurrence. New risks, and risks to which exposure is increasing, are highlighted and actions are taken to ensure effective management of all risks. The DMO has Senior Risk Owners (SROs) who undertake a cross-functional moderation process to promote better prioritisation of operational risks across the organisation. The RMU maintains a central exception log to record all risk incidents raised, in order to identify control weaknesses and assign actions to improve controls.

Third line of defence:

The DMO's Internal Audit function provides the DMO Accounting Officer with independent and objective assurance on the overall effectiveness of the Agency's system of internal control. It does this through a risk based work programme which is presented to the Audit Committee at the start of each year and approved by the Audit Committee at the start of each quarter. All audits make a series of findings relating to control weaknesses. Progress against agreed management actions is monitored on a regular basis to ensure issues highlighted by internal and external audit, and other identified actions to improve the control environment, are managed and progressed within agreed deadlines. The function is independent of the DMO's trading activities and operations and has a direct reporting line to the DMO Accounting Officer. The work of Internal Audit includes assessing the effectiveness of both control design and control performance. With its independence and overall remit, Internal Audit provides a third line of defence against the risks that might prevent the DMO delivering its objectives.

Risk policies and procedures

The DMO's risk policies reflect the high standards and robust requirements which determine the way in which risks are managed and controlled. The DMO Accounting Officer, with the support of the Board, ensures that policies are regularly reviewed to reflect any changes in the DMO's operations and/or best practice. In 2020, this included policies relating to misconduct escalation, procurement, information security, forensic readiness, and remote working.

Staff are required to signify that they have read and accepted the DMO's rules on personal dealing and the DMO's policy on the use of information systems and technology, and that they are aware of, and will continue to keep up to date with, the DMO's policies on whistleblowing, anti-fraud and anti-money laundering. The DMO ensures that this exercise is undertaken on an annual basis allowing staff to maintain a good level of awareness of the DMO's policies in these areas. All members of staff have job descriptions which include reference to the specific key risks they are expected to manage.

Managers in each business function are responsible for ensuring that the operations within their area are compliant with plans, policies, procedures and legislation.

During 2020 no concerns were raised by staff under the DMO's whistleblowing policy relating to CRND.

Key Developments

UK leaving the EU

Prior to the end of the United Kingdom's transition period within the European Union on 31 December 2020, the DMO worked with HM Treasury and other stakeholders to identify, assess and raise

awareness of any potential impacts on CRND activities. This work included consideration of the impact of any delays to transition, and determining mitigating actions where possible.

COVID-19

The DMO has been actively reviewing and strengthening its contingency arrangements in order to minimise the impact of the COVID-19 outbreak on its core activities. A robust assurance framework has been implemented to ensure the maintenance of control standards for critical operations in an environment where the majority of staff have been working remotely. The assurance framework was enhanced for a longer-term view.

The hybrid working group formulated the risk assessment and risk mitigation plans in ensuring the office premises remained COVID secure and compliant with guidelines

Risk profile

The Secretary and Comptroller General and the DMO Board believe that the principal risks and uncertainties facing CRND are outlined in the table below together with the key actions taken to manage and mitigate them:

Principal risks and uncertainties	Mitigation and management
<p>IT systems and infrastructure</p> <p>CRND relies on a number of IT and communications systems to conduct its operations effectively and efficiently.</p>	<p>During the year the DMO has progressed initiatives to further strengthen the resilience and security of its IT network. The Public Service Network (PSN) accreditation was reconfirmed as a result of an IT health check.</p> <p>The DMO has put in place structured business continuity arrangements to ensure it is able to continue market operations in the event of an internal or external incident that threatens business operations.</p> <p>Arrangements to support CRND activities were in place throughout the year with the majority of staff working from home.</p>
<p>IT and data security</p> <p>Through its activities the DMO gathers, disseminates and maintains sensitive information including market sensitive information and personal data about staff and market participants. The DMO seeks to ensure the highest standards of data protection and information management.</p> <p>The DMO could be the subject of an external attack on its IT systems and infrastructure.</p>	<p>The DMO, including CRND, continues to work to maintain the required level of protective security covering physical, personnel and information security and is particularly aware of the growing threat posed by cyber security risk. IT and data security risks continued to be a specific area of focus in 2020 and the DMO's IT team have been enhancing the security environment and appropriateness of transaction systems and processes. The focus was in identifying and mitigating any changes to IT and data security risks as a result of increased remote working.</p> <p>Risks to data and information held by the DMO are owned and managed by designated Information Asset Owners. The DMO has a Senior Information Risk Owner (SIRO) who is responsible for the information risk policy and the assessment of information risks. The SIRO is a member of the Board and provides advice to Board members on the management of information risk.</p> <p>The DMO has put in place several layers to defend against external attack and its infrastructure undergoes an annual penetration test. This year the focus was on identifying and mitigating any changes to IT and data security risks as a result of increased remote working.</p>

Reliance on third parties

A number of the operational systems and services on which CRND relies are provided or supported by third party suppliers.

To mitigate the risk of failure of a key third party supplier the DMO undertakes regular corporate risk assessments of each key supplier in order to assess a range of factors including its financial strength and operational capacity and reliance on sub-contractors. The DMO has dedicated contract owners who meet regularly with key suppliers and monitor performance against the agreed Service Level Agreements, where appropriate. The procurement manager and the vendor management group have been working to embed consistent standards of supplier management across account managers by improving visibility of key contracts and sharing best practice. The supplier of the new trading system, the new office location landlord and both the data centre location suppliers have become key long-term partners.

During the year, more focus has been given to understanding any potential supply chain challenges from COVID-19 and UK European Union exit scenarios.

Transaction processing

CRND relies on its operational processes to successfully execute a significant number of high value transactions on a daily basis. Reliance on the accurate execution of processes exposes CRND to operational risk arising from process breakdown and human error.

A key component of CRND's control framework is the segregation of duties to ensure independent checking and reconciliation, and to avoid concentration of key activities or related controls in individuals or small groups of staff. In particular, segregation of duties takes place between front and back office activities.

All teams, including CRND, have documented procedures for their main activities and there are clearly defined authorisation levels for committing the DMO externally.

The RMU conducts regular control and compliance testing of CRND activities, providing the executive sub-committee of the Board with assurance on the effectiveness of operational controls and compliance with relevant Financial Conduct Authority and Prudential Regulation Authority rules in the dealing and settlement areas.

The DMO also maintains a strong audit and control environment which includes a well embedded incident reporting procedure which extends to cover CRND. This promotes early identification and resolution of risk incidents and provides visibility to the DMO Accounting Officer and Board.

The focus has been on enhanced compliance monitoring on transaction processing, in light of adjustments to processes to facilitate remote working.

People risk

The DMO, including CRND, relies on maintaining a sufficiently skilled workforce at all levels of the organisation in order to operate effectively and efficiently, and to deliver its strategic objectives.

DMO recruitment policies help ensure that individuals with the appropriate level of skill and experience are appointed at all levels within the organisation. This helps mitigate the level of human error resulting in process failures.

The DMO is exposed to an increased risk of operational failure if it is unable to compete for, and retain, sufficiently skilled staff over time. Competition for skilled staff is generally against employers from the private financial services sector who have historically offered higher remuneration packages that are not subject to public sector remuneration policies.

The DMO's Training and Development policy is designed to help ensure that staff have the right skills to meet its objectives.

The DMO follows the Civil Service Commission's recruitment principles and selection process to ensure vacancies are filled on merit on the basis of fair and open competition.

The DMO has a formal performance appraisal process and all staff are given clear and achievable objectives. Where appropriate, staff are encouraged to engage in activities which promote development and the DMO provides regular training opportunities and support for professional studies to enhance the skills base of its employees. The DMO also provides cross-

training for different roles to help improve staffing flexibility and reduce turnover pressure.

Salaries are benchmarked annually to equivalent private sector pay levels in order to keep management aware of any significant disparities that are developing. During the year, particular consideration has been given to the issues faced by staff working increased hours due to increased market operations and additional I.T support needed as part of the transition to remote working. The DMO has a policy to recognise those staff who have performed well in their roles through the payment of one-off non-consolidated performance related awards. Any awards are assessed annually by the DMO PRT (Performance Review Team). They are determined by individual performance and criteria associated with the DMO's performance management process, which are also aligned to the policy for public sector pay.

A Staff Council has met regularly throughout the year and enabled an open exchange of ideas and views between management and staff representatives. This has been an effective conduit for wider communication and consultation with all staff.

On an annual basis all DMO staff are given the opportunity to take part in the Civil Service People survey. Any issues raised via this route, with suggested mitigating action if required, are considered by the Accounting Officer and the Board.

The DMO was reaccredited as an Investor in People in 2017.

Review of effectiveness

I have reviewed the effectiveness of the system of internal control and confirm that an ongoing process designed to identify, evaluate and prioritise risks to the achievement of CRND's aims and objectives has been in place throughout 2020. This review included an assessment of any material risk and control issues identified and reported during the relevant period.

My review has been informed by the advice of the risk committees, the work of the internal auditors and the executive managers within the DMO, who have been delegated responsibility for the development and maintenance of the internal control framework. Comments made by the external auditors in their management letter and other relevant reports have also informed this review.

In my role as Secretary and Comptroller General I have been advised on the implications of the result of my review, of the effectiveness of the system of internal control by the Board and the Audit Committee.

In 2020, no ministerial directions were given and no material conflicts of interest have been noted by the Board or Audit Committee members in the Register of Interests.

In my opinion, CRND's system of internal control was effective throughout the financial year and remains so on the date I sign this statement.

Jo Whelan

Secretary and Comptroller General to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt
24 February 2021

Independent auditor's report to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt

Opinion on financial statements

I have audited the financial statements of the Government Annuities Investment Fund for the year ended 31 December 2020 under the Government Annuities Act 1929. The financial statements comprise: Receipts and Payments, the Statement of Balances, the Statement of Activity and the related notes. These financial statements have been prepared under the accounting policies set out within them.

In my opinion:

- the financial statements properly present the receipts and payments of the Government Annuities Investment Fund for the year ended 31 December 2020; and
- the financial statements have been properly prepared in accordance with the Government Annuities Act 1929.

Opinion on regularity

In my opinion, in all material respects the receipts and payments recorded in the financial statements have been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions recorded in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them.

Basis of opinions

I conducted my audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (ISAs) (UK) and Practice Note 10 'Audit of Financial Statements of Public Sector Entities in the United Kingdom'. My responsibilities under those standards are further described in the Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements section of my certificate. Those standards require me and my staff to comply with the Financial Reporting Council's Revised Ethical Standard 2016. I am independent of the Government Annuities Investment Fund in accordance with the ethical requirements that are relevant to my audit and the financial statements in the UK. My staff and I have fulfilled our other ethical responsibilities in accordance with these requirements. I believe that the audit evidence I have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion.

Conclusions relating to going concern

I am required to conclude on the appropriateness of management's use of the going concern basis of accounting and, based on the audit evidence obtained, whether a material uncertainty exists related to events or conditions that may cast significant doubt on the Government Annuities Investment Fund's ability to continue as a going concern for a period of at least twelve months from the date of approval of the financial statements. If I conclude that a material uncertainty exists, I am required to draw attention in my auditor's report to the related disclosures in the financial statements or, if such disclosures are inadequate, to modify my opinion. My conclusions are based on the audit evidence obtained up to the date of my auditor's report. However, future events or conditions may cause the entity to cease to continue as a going concern. I have nothing to report in these respects.

Respective responsibilities of the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt and the Secretary and Comptroller General to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt for the financial statements

As explained more fully in the Statement of the Secretary and Comptroller General's Responsibilities, the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt have a statutory duty to present a Receipts and Payments Account, a Statement of Balances and a Statement of Activity in accordance with the

Government Annuities Act 1929. They have appointed the Secretary and Comptroller General to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt to discharge these responsibilities.

Auditor's responsibilities for the audit of the financial statements

My responsibility is to audit the financial statements in accordance with the Government Annuities Act 1929.

An audit involves obtaining evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. Reasonable assurance is a high level of assurance, but is not a guarantee that an audit conducted in accordance with ISAs (UK) will always detect a material misstatement when it exists. Misstatements can arise from fraud or error and are considered material if, individually or in the aggregate, they could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of these financial statements.

As part of an audit in accordance with ISAs (UK), I exercise professional judgment and maintain professional scepticism throughout the audit. I also:

- identify and assess the risks of material misstatement of the financial statements, whether due to fraud or error, design and perform audit procedures responsive to those risks, and obtain audit evidence that is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for my opinion. The risk of not detecting a material misstatement resulting from fraud is higher than for one resulting from error, as fraud may involve collusion, forgery, intentional omissions, misrepresentations, or the override of internal control;
- obtain an understanding of internal control relevant to the audit in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the CRND's internal control;
- evaluate the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of accounting estimates and related disclosures made by management; and
- evaluate the overall presentation, structure and content of the financial statements, including the disclosures, and whether the consolidated financial statements represent the underlying transactions and events in a manner that achieves fair presentation.

I communicate with those charged with governance regarding, among other matters, the planned scope and timing of the audit and significant audit findings, including any significant deficiencies in internal control that I identify during my audit.

I am required to obtain evidence sufficient to give reasonable assurance that the receipts and payments recorded in the financial statements have been applied to the purposes intended by Parliament and the financial transactions recorded in the financial statements conform to the authorities which govern them.

Other Information

The Secretary and Comptroller General is responsible for the other information. The other information comprises information included in the Foreword and Governance Statement, but does not include, the financial statements and my auditor's report thereon. My opinion on the financial statements does not cover the other information and I do not express any form of assurance conclusion thereon. In connection with my audit of the financial statements, my responsibility is to read the other information and, in doing so, consider whether the other information is materially inconsistent with the financial statements or my knowledge obtained in the audit or otherwise appears to be materially misstated. If, based on the work I have performed, I conclude that there is a material misstatement of this other information, I am required to report that fact. I have nothing to report in this regard.

Opinion on other matters

In my opinion:

- the information given in the Foreword and Governance Statement for the financial year for which the financial statements are prepared is consistent with the financial statements and have been prepared in accordance with the applicable legal requirements.

Matters on which I report by exception

I have nothing to report in respect of the following matters which I report to you if, in my opinion:

- adequate accounting records have not been kept or returns adequate for my audit have not been received from branches not visited by my staff; or
- the financial statements are not in agreement with the accounting records and returns; or
- I have not received all of the information and explanations I require for my audit; or
- the Governance Statement does not reflect compliance with HM Treasury's guidance.

Report

I have no observations to make on these financial statements.

James Edmands
For and on behalf of the Comptroller and Auditor General

25 February 2021

National Audit Office
157-197 Buckingham Palace Road
Victoria
London
SW1W 9SP

Government Annuities Investment Fund

Receipts and payments

For the year ended 31 December 2020

	2020 £	2019 £
Cash receipts		
From the Consolidated Fund	180	180
Cash payments		
Expenses	180	180
	0	0

Statement of balances

There were no assets or liabilities at 31 December 2020 or 31 December 2019.

Statement of activity

For the year ended 31 December 2020

	Number	Amount £
Payments made on contracts		
Deferred annuities	0	0
Insurances	0	0
	0	0
New contracts entered into		
Deferred annuities	0	0
Insurances	0	0
	0	0
Current contracts at the end of the year		
Deferred annuities	0	0
Insurances	166	3,614
	166	3,614

The following information is given in accordance with the Act.

The premiums charged for annuities and insurances were calculated on the below basis:

Interest 2½% per annum, except for deferred annuities granted by the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt under the Government Annuities Act 1929, in which case the rate depended upon the price of the public funds on the date the annuity was purchased.

Mortality

Deferred annuities Table as set out in Parliamentary Paper No. 8 of 1884.

Insurances Mortality of healthy males insured with life insurance companies as shown in the table published by the Institute of Actuaries in 1872.

Jo Whelan

Secretary and Comptroller General to the Commissioners for the Reduction of the National Debt
24 February 2021

CCS0221021602

978-1-5286-2423-7