



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Miss E Plumley

Respondent: Lenslife Technologies Limited

Heard at: Remotely by CVP at London Central **On:** Friday 8 January 2021

Before: EJ Hildebrand

Representation

Claimant: In Person

Respondent: Miss JL Marcos, Director

JUDGMENT

1. This was a remote final hearing in this case of a claim for wages due where the Respondent had not entered a Notice of Appearance. All parties confirmed they could hear and be heard and see and be seen in the CVP process.
2. When this claim was called on for hearing the parties notified that compromise had been achieved in principle and time was sought for a settlement to be concluded with the assistance of ACAS.
3. After a period the parties reported that the settlement had been concluded and ACAS had confirmed a legally binding agreement.
4. The Claimant informed the tribunal that by the settlement she withdrew her claim. She further indicated she would accept that the Claim would be dismissed.
5. The Claim having been withdrawn, Rule 52 provides that the Tribunal shall issue a judgment dismissing it, unless the circumstances identified apply.
6. Since the circumstances identified in Rule 52 do not apply the Claim is dismissed.

Employment Judge Hildebrand

Date 8 January 2021

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

11/1/21..

.....
FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Note

Written reasons will not be provided unless a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case. However in the present case pursuant to Rule 67 as amended this Judgment will not be published.