



Department
for Environment
Food & Rural Affairs



Llywodraeth Cymru
Welsh Government



Cyfoeth
Naturiol
Cymru
Natural
Resources
Wales



Environment
Agency

delivering benefits through evidence



Real-time flood impacts mapping: Appendix 3: Long-term options

SC120023/A3

We are the Environment Agency. We protect and improve the environment.

Acting to reduce the impacts of a changing climate on people and wildlife is at the heart of everything we do.

We reduce the risks to people, properties and businesses from flooding and coastal erosion.

We protect and improve the quality of water, making sure there is enough for people, businesses, agriculture and the environment. Our work helps to ensure people can enjoy the water environment through angling and navigation.

We look after land quality, promote sustainable land management and help protect and enhance wildlife habitats. And we work closely with businesses to help them comply with environmental regulations.

We can't do this alone. We work with government, local councils, businesses, civil society groups and communities to make our environment a better place for people and wildlife.

Published by:

Environment Agency, Horizon House, Deanery Road,
Bristol, BS1 5AH

<http://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/environment-agency>

ISBN: 978-1-84911-442-4

© Environment Agency – November 2019

All rights reserved. This document may be reproduced with prior permission of the Environment Agency.

Email: ferm.evidence@environment-agency.gov.uk

Further copies of this report are available from our publications catalogue:
<http://www.gov.uk/government/publications>

or our National Customer Contact Centre:
T: 03708 506506

Email: enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

Author(s):

Tom Cox, Rosemary Hampson, Robert Hooper, Neil Hunter, I-Hsien Porter, Beatriz Revilla-Romero, Rebecca Stroud and Richard Wylde

Dissemination Status:

Publicly available

Keywords:

Flood, impacts, inundation, mapping, real-time, forecasting

Research Contractor:

JBA Consulting
South Barn, Broughton Hall
Skipton, North Yorkshire BD23 3AE
Tel: 01756 799919

Environment Agency's Project Manager:

Mark Whitling

Theme Manager:

Sue Manson, Theme Manager, Modelling and Risk

Project Number:

SC120023/A3

Evidence at the Environment Agency

Scientific research and analysis underpins everything the Environment Agency does. It helps us to understand and manage the environment effectively. Our own experts work with leading scientific organisations, universities and other parts of the Defra group to bring the best knowledge to bear on the environmental problems that we face now and in the future. Our scientific work is published as summaries and reports, freely available to all.

This report is the result of research commissioned and funded by the Joint Flood and Coastal Erosion Risk Management Research and Development Programme. The Joint Programme is jointly overseen by Defra, the Environment Agency, Natural Resources Wales and the Welsh Government on behalf of all Risk Management Authorities in England and Wales:

<http://evidence.environment-agency.gov.uk/FCERM/en/Default/FCRM.aspx>.

You can find out more about our current science programmes at:

<https://www.gov.uk/government/organisations/environment-agency/about/research>.

If you have any comments or questions about this report or the Environment Agency's other scientific work, please contact research@environment-agency.gov.uk.

Professor Doug Wilson
Director, Research, Analysis and Evaluation

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Flood forecasting	2
2.1	Forecasting models	2
2.2	Data assimilation	2
3	Flood detection and monitoring	3
3.1	Hydrometric networks	3
3.2	Remote sensing	3
3.3	Social media data mining	4

1 Introduction

This appendix describes future possible approaches to providing real-time flood impacts information.

In the longer term, alternative methods to flood impact mapping in real time may become viable. They include different means of generating forecast inundation maps prior to an event, as well as flood detection and monitoring during or after an event.

Although these options were considered, they were not pursued further in this project due to current challenges in implementing them on a large scale, limitations in data or because they do not directly address current user needs. However, for completeness, this appendix provides an overview of different approaches that are not addressed elsewhere in the final project report and which may be considered in future research.

2 Flood forecasting

2.1 Forecasting models

Artificial neural networks are models composed of interconnected neurons, which simulate complex nonlinear relationships between inputs and outputs (Bishop 1995). They are suitable tools for run-off estimation or forecasting (see, for example, Hung et al. 2009, Jeevaragagam and Simonovic 2012), but their lack of physical basis means that they usually require long historical records. Their accuracy is therefore heavily dependent on data quality and quantity.

Many case studies have applied artificial neural networks in hydrology to reproduce flood flows, with a range of results; for a review, see Maier and Dandy (2000). However, their implementation in real-time forecasting on a large scale is not yet widespread. This is partly due to unresolved issues over uncertainties, their ability to extrapolate beyond the observed range and the interpretation of their 'black box' structure (Han et al. 2007, Prieto et al. 2016).

2.2 Data assimilation

Assimilation of observed data in real time enables flood forecasts to be adjusted to correct for model errors. Error correction is routinely used in the National Flood Forecasting System. In recent years, however, there has been progressive testing of different approaches to assimilating data. These include:

- state variables such as streamflow (Rafieeiniasab et al. 2014, Randrianasolo et al. 2014, Sun et al. 2015)
- remotely sensed soil moisture (for a review, see Kornelsen and Coulibaly 2013, Ni-Meister 2008)
- snow products (Franz et al., 2014; Slater and Clark, 2006; Thirel et al., 2013)

However, there is still much research left to do on evaluating the impact of assimilating hydraulic information such as remotely sensed surface water extent data. See, for example, García-Pintado et al. (2013) and Mason et al. (2012) for examples on the Lower Severn and Avon rivers in the UK.

3 Flood detection and monitoring

3.1 Hydrometric networks

In the UK, several initiatives aim to enhance the capabilities of telemetered river gauge networks by integrating existing telemetry into mapping products or by using data from other sources:

The **GridStix project** supports flood prediction using depth sensors equipped with wireless networking. These depth sensors can be used to improve predictions of flood inundation and water level elevation with a view to improving flood warning capabilities at key locations. They can compute 'onsite' automated warnings and can make local forecasts using parsimonious time series models.

Flood Network (<http://flood.network>), which was launched in 2014, maps water levels in river networks from multiple data sources. The main aim is to encourage members of the public to install low-cost water level sensors which feed information to the Flood Network website via wireless feeds. Geographical coverage currently appears to be limited, but Flood Network has the potential to make use of feeds from Environment Agency telemetry.

3.2 Remote sensing

The scientific community has been exploring other potential solutions to deriving river level and flood extent information. A large part of this effort focuses on remotely sensed data (Bates et al. 2014).

Recent and future satellite missions have been specifically designed for measuring water levels or river widths from satellite imagery (Schumann et al. 2012, Westerhoff et al. 2013, Pavelsky et al. 2014), which can be used to derive river flows. Improvements in optical and radar imagery have assisted flood extent mapping. Optical flood extent maps are easier to process and have a shorter revisit time, but they are often affected by cloud cover and typically have a lower resolution. To overcome some of these issues, the European Commission's Copernicus rapid mapping service¹ uses radar imagery. Most maps use the European Space Agency's Sentinel-1 SAR mission outputs at a resolution of 10m. During December 2015, for example, Copernicus rapid mapping was activated for Cumbria, Yorkshire and Ireland to capture and process flood extent images.

Other high resolution satellites can be used to derive flood extents and are commercially available (for example, RADARSAT, COSMO-SkyMed, TerraSAR-X and TanDEM-X). In addition, the Landsat-8 products can be used but with a revisit time every 16 days; although not appropriate for monitoring of shorter flood events, they can be used in this context to map the pre-flood or post-flood extent of water bodies for comparison. In the future, the Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) mission, with a launch planned for late 2020, will provide high resolution surface water height and inundation extent data but at long revisit times.

One of the challenges of remote sensing is to task the satellites at appropriate times to capture the maximum extent of flooding. This can otherwise lead to underestimation of flood extents (and subsequently flood impacts). An alternative approach to overcome this limitation is the use of unmanned aerial vehicles and aerial survey aircraft. These can often

¹ <http://emergency.copernicus.eu/mapping/ems/ems-rapid-mapping-products>

be deployed rapidly and therefore may be able to better capture the progression of flooding, including the maximum flood extent.

Unmanned aerial vehicles and aircraft have the added benefit of being able to produce high resolution imagery and topography, typically with accuracy of a few centimetres. However, they can be affected by poor weather conditions and need to fly during daylight hours. Drones are also only able to capture relatively small areas (up to a maximum of 1km from the pilot) and have restrictions on where and how high they can operate (up to about 120m altitude).

Aerial survey can cover larger areas, but is typically more expensive to commission, although the Environment Agency remote sensing team already has 2 aircraft which can be deployed for emergency response work.

Integration of remotely sensed observations in near real time at an appropriate spatial and temporal scale has the potential to significantly increase operational awareness for flood forecasting and warning, and will allow estimates of flood impact mapping to be validated with observed data. Methods should be developed so to exploit the benefits of data from a range of sources simultaneously.

3.3 Social media data mining

Social media mining is the process of representing, analysing and extracting actionable patterns or trends from raw social media data. In recent years, it has increasingly been used for identifying and analysing areas affected by a range of emergencies, including flood events. Two initiatives are described below.

FloodTags (www.floodtags.com) is a social enterprise that uses data mining scripts to map social media posts about flood incidents. The technology has been successfully used in conjunction with the flood forecasting platform Delft-FEWS in Indonesia. Several other initiatives have also made use of the Twitter Firehose application programming interface to filter for various keywords associated with a specific geographic location (for example, www.petajakarta.org/banjir/en/index.html). One practical issue is the choice of hashtag or keyword as, in the English language, the word 'flood' can be used in various contexts. This method also cannot really provide a flood extent, but may provide some indication of the level of disruption caused in a particular area.

Flood Crowd (www.floodcrowd.co.uk) provides an alternative to capturing social media information by establishing networks where people can contribute information for a specific purpose (that is, flooding). There are successful examples of this such as the Met Office Weather Observations website (<https://www.metoffice.gov.uk>). However, the episodic nature of flooding means that contributions are more likely in locations that flood on a regular basis.

**Would you like to find out more about us
or about your environment?**

Then call us on

03708 506 506 (Monday to Friday, 8am to 6pm)

email

enquiries@environment-agency.gov.uk

or visit our website

www.gov.uk/environment-agency

incident hotline 0800 807060 (24 hours)

floodline 0345 988 1188 / 0845 988 1188 (24 hours)

Find out about call charges (www.gov.uk/call-charges)



Environment first: Are you viewing this on screen? Please consider the environment and only print if absolutely necessary. If you are reading a paper copy, please don't forget to reuse and recycle if possible.