

FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY)

Case Reference : CHI/00HA/LDC/2020/0108

Property : St Peter's Place, Lower Bristol Road, Bath

BA2 3EP

Applicant : St Peter's Place Management Company

Limited

Representative : BNS Management Services

Respondent : The lessees

Representative :

Type of Application : To dispense with the requirement to

consult lessees about major works section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985

Tribunal Member : D Banfield FRICS

Regional Surveyor

Date of Decision : Made on the papers without a hearing (rule

6A of the Tribunal Procedure Rules 2013 as

amended by The Tribunal Procedure (Coronavirus) Amendment Rules 2020 SI 2020 No 406 L11 on 3 February 2021

DECISION

The Tribunal grants dispensation from the consultation requirements of S.20 Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 in respect of the replacement of each lighting unit in the internal communal area together with the main circuit board.

In granting dispensation, the Tribunal makes no determination as to whether any service charge costs are reasonable or payable.

The Applicant is required to send copies of this determination to the Lessees of the flats concerned.

Background

- 1. The Applicant seeks dispensation under Section 20ZA of the Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 from the consultation requirements imposed on the landlord by Section 20 of the 1985 Act.
- 2. The Applicant explains that each lighting unit in the internal communal area requires replacement together with the main circuit board where major signs of thermal damage were found. This has been advised as a potential fire hazard requiring replacement as a matter of urgency.
- 3. Notice of Intention is to be issued and two quotes will be obtained.
- 4. The Tribunal made Directions on 22 December 2021 indicating that the Tribunal was satisfied that the matter is urgent, it is not practicable for there to be a hearing and it is in the interests of justice to make a decision disposing of the proceedings without a hearing (Rule 6A of the Tribunal Procedure Rules 2013 as amended by The Tribunal Procedure (Coronavirus) Amendment Rules 2020 SI 2020 No 406 L11).
- 5. The Tribunal required the Applicant to send to the Respondents its Directions together with a copy of the Application and a form to indicate whether they agreed with or objected to the application and if they objected to send their reasons to the Applicant.
- 6. It was indicated that if the application was agreed to or no response was received the lessees would be removed as Respondents.
- 7. Two lessees responded indicating that they agreed with the application and in the absence of objections, the Lessees have been removed as Respondents in accordance with paragraph 6 above.
- 8. The only issue for the Tribunal is whether it is reasonable to dispense with any statutory consultation requirements. This decision does not concern the issue of whether any service charge costs will be reasonable or payable.

The Law

9. The relevant section of the Act reads as follows:

S.20 ZA Consultation requirements:

Where an application is made to a Leasehold Valuation Tribunal for a determination to dispense with all or any of the consultation requirements in relation to any qualifying works or qualifying longterm agreement, the Tribunal may make the determination if satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with the requirements.

- 10. The matter was examined in some detail by the Supreme Court in the case of Daejan Investments Ltd v Benson. In summary the Supreme Court noted the following
 - i. The main question for the Tribunal when considering how to exercise its jurisdiction in accordance with section 20ZA is the real prejudice to the tenants flowing from the landlord's breach of the consultation requirements.
 - ii. The financial consequence to the landlord of not granting a dispensation is not a relevant factor. The nature of the landlord is not a relevant factor.
 - iii. Dispensation should not be refused solely because the landlord seriously breached, or departed from, the consultation requirements.
 - iv. The Tribunal has power to grant a dispensation as it thinks fit, provided that any terms are appropriate.
 - v. The Tribunal has power to impose a condition that the landlord pays the tenants' reasonable costs (including surveyor and/or legal fees) incurred in connection with the landlord's application under section 20ZA (1).
 - vi. The legal burden of proof in relation to dispensation applications is on the landlord. The factual burden of identifying some "relevant" prejudice that they would or might have suffered is on the tenants.
 - vii. The court considered that "relevant" prejudice should be given a narrow definition; it means whether non-compliance with the consultation requirements has led the landlord to incur costs in an unreasonable amount or to incur them in the provision of services, or in the carrying out of works, which fell below a reasonable standard, in other words whether the non-compliance has in that sense caused prejudice to the tenant.
 - viii. The more serious and/or deliberate the landlord's failure, the more readily a Tribunal would be likely to accept that the tenants had suffered prejudice.
 - ix. Once the tenants had shown a credible case for prejudice, the Tribunal should look to the landlord to rebut it.

Evidence

11. The reasons for the application are set out I paragraph 2 above to which there has been no challenge from the Lessees.

12. In the absence of any objections from the lessees no further submissions have been required from the Applicant.

Determination

- Dispensation from the consultation requirements of S.20 of the Act may be given where the Tribunal is satisfied that it is reasonable to dispense with those requirements.
- 14. Clearly it is unreasonable to delay works to the areas concerned given the potential risks to the property and its occupants. No objections to granting dispensation have been received from the lessees and no evidence of relevant prejudice as considered in the Daejan case referred to above has been identified.
- In view of the above the Tribunal grants dispensation from the consultation requirements of S.20 Landlord and Tenant Act 1985 in respect of the replacement of each lighting unit in the internal communal area together with the main circuit board.
- 16. In granting dispensation, the Tribunal makes no determination as to whether any service charge costs are reasonable or payable.
- 17. The Applicant is required to send copies of this determination to the Lessees of the flats concerned.

D Banfield FRICS 3 February 2021

RIGHTS OF APPEAL

- 1. A person wishing to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) must seek permission to do so by making written application to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case. The application must be sent by email to rpsouthern@justice.gov.uk
- 2. The application must arrive at the Tribunal within 28 days after the Tribunal sends to the person making the application written reasons for the decision.
- 3. If the person wishing to appeal does not comply with the 28-day time limit, the person shall include with the application for permission to

appeal a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28-day time limit; the Tribunal will then decide whether to extend time or not to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed.

4. The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates, state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking