



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Ms R Anghel

Respondent: Middlesex University

JUDGMENT

The claimant's application dated **13 December 2020** for reconsideration of the judgment sent to the parties on **1 December 2020** is refused.

The respondent's application dated **18 December 2020** for what it says would be a correction of paragraph 211.1 of the reasons is refused.

REASONS

There is no reasonable prospect of the original decision being varied or revoked, because

- (i) no application for recusal was made during the hearing, and there were then, and are now, no grounds for the judge to recuse himself.
- (ii) other than alleging bias, and asserting that a different panel might have made different decisions, no grounds for reconsideration are stated by the Claimant.
- (iii) The tribunal made its findings of fact, and those are stated in the written reasons, and on the basis of those findings of fact, there is no reasonable prospect of any of the decisions on the individual allegations being changed.

No correction as per Rule 69 is needed because the word "falsified" was not a clerical mistake or an accidental slip; it was deliberately chosen. Words such as "undermined" or "contradicted" might have been used instead, but there is no reason to amend.

Employment Judge **Quill**

Date: 21 December 2020

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

.....5/1/21.....

.....
FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE