

## **MEETING MINUTES**

## HS2 Chiltern AONB Review Group

Meeting Date / Time:	20 <sup>th</sup> September 2018
Meeting Location:	Chiltern District Council Offices
Meeting Type:	Review Group Meeting #16
Organisations in Attendance:Aylesbury Vale District Council (AVDC), Buckinghamshire Count (BCC), Chilterns Conservation Board (CCB), Chiltern District Cou (CDC), Department for Transport (DfT), Natural England (NE), H	

Attendees:	Title, Organisation	
Nik Smith	Chiltern District Council (CDC)	
lfath Nawaz	Chiltern District Council (CDC)	
Helen Hall	Programme Manager, Review Group (RGPM)	
Jonathon Bellars	Aylesbury Vale District Council (AVDC)	
Lucy Murfett	Chilterns Conservation Board (CCB)	
Tom Hinds	Chair, Department for Transport (DfT)	
Andy Walker	Interface Manager, C3 (HS2)	
Liz Bingham	Natural England (NE)	
Imogen Parker	Natural England (NE)	
Jackie Copcutt	Buckinghamshire County Council (BCC)	
Dave Vamplew	OHL Project Manager (HS <sub>2</sub> )	

ltem	Title	Action/ Owner
Α.	Introductions	
В.	Review of Minutes and Actions	
	1. Minutes from meetings #15 not approved, CDC asked for more clarity on concerns raised at previous meeting over the overbridges not being in character with the landscape and for this to be noted. RGPM advised as the meeting was very discursive the minutes were not verbatim and this would be noted on the #15 minutes.	
	#15 to be recirculated and approved at next RG meeting	RGPM
	Action Tracker Discussion on updates of Sch 17 being brought to the RG meetings, there have not been any recently and it is understood that MWCC are preparing packages which should be available soon, NE were advised recently.	
	CCB raised concerns that as they are not part of the Sch 17 process they wanted to ensure their input was possible. NE advised they would be seeking CCB's views where appropriate.	



ltem	Title	Action/
		Owner
	CDC advised that pre-app sch 17 designs are being brought to the AONB RG and comments are made, and that views of the AONB RG would be material considerations.	RGPM
	AVDC advised that they are using the DDP to inform and guide designs being brought forward.	
	CDC expressed the view that the input the AONB RG provided as a group to the design process was helpful.	
	It was agreed that updates on progression of Sch 17 applications would form an agenda item for the AONB RG to review as a group.	RGPM
С.	Additional Projects	
	CDC confirmed the funding agreement was complete but they were awaiting the final copy from HS <sub>2</sub> and it would be circulated when ready.	
	RGPM advised that in accordance with the assurance No HoL/0566 on reviewing the spend of the £3m fund a review was necessary in November. HS2 advised they would take this point and review what was necessary from HS2.	HS2
	Data – the RGPM outlined the difficulties being experienced in obtaining the GIS data from HS <sub>2</sub> for the Additional projects feasibility work and that this was now causing a delay to any progression on the mapping work.	
	HS2 advised they would be seeking to try to get approval to release all public data to the RG as soon as possible without the need for confidentiality agreements that would prevent the maps from being used outside of the AONB RG members.	HS2
	The RGPM provided an update on the progress of the feasibility work and the delays caused from data problems. It was advised that a stakeholder meeting was planned for the middle of October but this was subject to the progress on mapping.	
D.	<b>Overhead Catenary System Presentation:</b> HS2 provided an overview presentation on the technical requirements of the OCS for the high speed rail system. The technical requirements for the overhead wires were explained and how this determined the spacing of the support pillars. The details of the EU tender for the SNCF V360 system were explained to the RG.	
	RGPM agreed to circulate the presentation to RG members	RGPM
	HS2 advised that the DDP was being specified in the tenders and that a request for colour guidance through powder coating was being looked at.	
	AVDC requested that where overlaps need to happen that these are located away from visible views to reduce impacts on clutter.	HS2
	NE asked fi HS2 could work with EK to produce more accurate visuals for the viaduct designs with the overhead systems in place.	HS2



ltem	Title	Action/ Owner
	The RG asked HS2 to clarify the planning process for the OCS and opportunities for the AONB RG to provide comment.	
	<ul> <li>AVDC asked what factors determine joining locations to help reduce jointing impacts, HS2 advised these were: <ul> <li>i) Joints on the bridge deck</li> <li>ii) Piers exceeding max span wind speed</li> <li>iii) Switches and crossings, it was indicated it wasn't envisaged there to be any on the viaducts</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	
	It was confirmed that the RIBA Design entries were not being on a HS2 workstream to develop further.	
	There is a prototype built by Moxon that the RG may be able to view, the RGPM to seek further details from EK.	RGPM
	The RG members asked for there to be specific reference to the DDP in tender documentation, HS2 confirmed they would look at this.	HS2
	HS2 confirmed they would come back to the AONB RG with further updates when designs had progressed.	HS2
	AVDC questioned if HS2 had landscape design guidance on the OCS, HS2 confirmed they did and that a landscape architect was a specification on the contract.	
E	AOB RGPM raised the question about a workshop to discuss Small Dene viaduct and asked RG members if Richard Hannay from LUC could attend on behalf of the AONB RG. There was consensus this was a good idea and RGPM would seek appropriate dates and invitees from the RG to be confirmed.	RGPM
	NE asked HS2 to let Tim Butcher know that AVDC are not comfortable with opening up their S17 meetings to other statutory consultees	HS2

Next meeting: 15<sup>th</sup> November 2018