

# MEETING MINUTES

## HS2 Chiltern AONB Review Group

<b>Meeting Date / Time:</b>	20 <sup>th</sup> September 2018
<b>Meeting Location:</b>	Chiltern District Council Offices
<b>Meeting Type:</b>	Review Group Meeting #16
<b>Organisations in Attendance:</b>	Aylesbury Vale District Council (AVDC), Buckinghamshire County Council (BCC), Chilterns Conservation Board (CCB), Chiltern District Council (CDC), Department for Transport (DfT), Natural England (NE), HS2 Ltd,

<b>Attendees:</b>	<b>Title, Organisation</b>
Nik Smith	Chiltern District Council (CDC)
Ifath Nawaz	Chiltern District Council (CDC)
Helen Hall	Programme Manager, Review Group (RGPM)
Jonathon Bellars	Aylesbury Vale District Council (AVDC)
Lucy Murfett	Chilterns Conservation Board (CCB)
Tom Hinds	Chair, Department for Transport (DfT)
Andy Walker	Interface Manager, C <sub>3</sub> (HS2)
Liz Bingham	Natural England (NE)
Imogen Parker	Natural England (NE)
Jackie Copcutt	Buckinghamshire County Council (BCC)
Dave Vamplew	OHL Project Manager (HS2)

<b>Item</b>	<b>Title</b>	<b>Action/ Owner</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Introductions</b>	
<b>B.</b>	<p><b>Review of Minutes and Actions</b></p> <p>1. Minutes from meetings #15 not approved, CDC asked for more clarity on concerns raised at previous meeting over the overbridges not being in character with the landscape and for this to be noted. RGPM advised as the meeting was very discursive the minutes were not verbatim and this would be noted on the #15 minutes.</p> <p>#15 to be recirculated and approved at next RG meeting</p> <p>Action Tracker</p> <p>Discussion on updates of Sch 17 being brought to the RG meetings, there have not been any recently and it is understood that MWCC are preparing packages which should be available soon, NE were advised recently.</p> <p>CCB raised concerns that as they are not part of the Sch 17 process they wanted to ensure their input was possible. NE advised they would be seeking CCB's views where appropriate.</p>	<b>RGPM</b>



Item	Title	Action/ Owner
	<p>The RG asked HS2 to clarify the planning process for the OCS and opportunities for the AONB RG to provide comment.</p> <p>AVDC asked what factors determine joining locations to help reduce jointing impacts, HS2 advised these were:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>i) Joints on the bridge deck</li> <li>ii) Piers exceeding max span wind speed</li> <li>iii) Switches and crossings, it was indicated it wasn't envisaged there to be any on the viaducts</li> </ul> <p>It was confirmed that the RIBA Design entries were not being on a HS2 workstream to develop further.</p> <p>There is a prototype built by Moxon that the RG may be able to view, the RGPM to seek further details from EK.</p> <p>The RG members asked for there to be specific reference to the DDP in tender documentation, HS2 confirmed they would look at this.</p> <p>HS2 confirmed they would come back to the AONB RG with further updates when designs had progressed.</p> <p>AVDC questioned if HS2 had landscape design guidance on the OCS, HS2 confirmed they did and that a landscape architect was a specification on the contract.</p>	<p><b>RGPM</b></p> <p><b>HS2</b></p> <p><b>HS2</b></p>
<b>E</b>	<p><b>AOB</b></p> <p>RGPM raised the question about a workshop to discuss Small Dene viaduct and asked RG members if Richard Hannay from LUC could attend on behalf of the AONB RG. There was consensus this was a good idea and RGPM would seek appropriate dates and invitees from the RG to be confirmed.</p> <p>NE asked HS2 to let Tim Butcher know that AVDC are not comfortable with opening up their S17 meetings to other statutory consultees</p>	<p>RGPM</p> <p>HS2</p>

**Next meeting:** 15<sup>th</sup> November 2018