

www.gov.uk/englandcoastpath

NATURAL
ENGLAND


England Coast Path Stretch: Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey


Overview of Natural England's statutory reports to the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs.





Map A1: Key map - Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey





Extent of proposals 

Extent of proposals in each report 

A road 

B road 

Minor road 

Railway & station 

0 1 Mile 2 Kms

Based upon Ordnance Survey material on behalf of HMSO.
© Crown copyright and database right 2020.
All rights reserved. Natural England.
OS licence number 100022021

- Report number and title**
- 1** Felixstowe Ferry to Sandy Lane, Waldringfield (Maps 1a to 1f)
 - 2** Sandy Lane, Waldringfield to Kyson Point (Maps 2a to 2b)
 - 3** Kyson Point to Wilford Bridge (Maps 3a to 3c)
 - 4** Wilford Bridge to Ferry Cliff (Maps 4a to 4b)
 - 5** Ferry Cliff to Ramsholt (Maps 5a to 5e)
 - 6** Ramsholt to Bawdsey Quay (Maps 6a to 6c)

Report number and title

FFB1: Felixstowe Ferry to Sandy Lane, Waldringfield (Maps FFB 1a to FFB 1f)

FFB2: Sandy Lane Waldringfield to Kyson Point (Maps FFB 2a to FFB 2c)

FFB3: Kyson Point to Wilford Bridge (Maps FFB 3a to FFB 3c)

FFB4: Wilford Bridge to Ferry Cliff (Maps FFB 4a to FFB 4b)

FFB5: Ferry Cliff to Ramsholt (Maps FFB 5a to FFB 5e)

FFB6: Ramsholt to Bawdsey Quay (Maps FFB 6a to FFB 6c)

Using the Key Map

Map A (opposite) shows the whole of the Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch divided into shorter numbered lengths of coast.

Each number on Map A corresponds to the report which relates to that length of coast.

To find our proposals for a particular place, find the place on Map A and note the number of the report which includes it.

If you are interested in an area which crosses the boundary between two reports, please read the relevant parts of both reports.

Printing

If printing, please note that the maps which accompany reports 1 to 6 should ideally be printed on A3 paper. If you don't have the facility to print at A3 size, we suggest you print the text of the report you are interested in on A4 paper and view the associated map on your computer screen, using the zoom tool to view it at a suitable size.

Contents

Map A1 Key Map Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey.....	2
Report number and title.....	3
Using the Key Map	3
Printing	3
Contents	4
Please read first!.....	5
Introduction.....	6
1. Improving coastal access	6
2. The determination process	7
3. Understanding the proposals and accompanying maps	8
Our Proposals:.....	8
Part 3 Proposals Tables explained.....	8
Part 4 Proposals Maps explained	13
4. Preparation of the report	15
Stage 1 - Prepare.....	15
Stage 2 - Develop	16
Key issues along this stretch of coast.....	17
5. Discretion to include part or all of an estuary or estuaries.....	17
Proposed route of the trail in relation to the Deben Estuary	21
Map A2 Seaward Limit of the Transitional Waters	23
6. Other considerations on this stretch	24
Maps B - D.....	30-33
7. Future changes	34
8. Restrictions and exclusions	36
Maps E1 – E7: Maps showing overall effect of directions to restrict or exclude access on the Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch	Error! Bookmark not defined. -44
Annex A: Bibliography	45
Information about Natural England’s coastal access programme:	45
Information about the statutory framework for coastal access:	45
Other published information used in the preparation of the report:	45
Other published information used in the preparation of the report:	46
Annex B: Glossary of terms	48
Annex C: Excepted land categories	53
Annex D: National restrictions.....	54
Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000.....	55

Please read first!

This Overview document sets out the context for Natural England's proposals to improve public access to and along the stretch of coast between Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey. It explains key common principles and background underlying the detailed proposals that we make in our compendium of linked but legally separate statutory reports, each covering a single length of coast within the stretch. Each of these reports should be read in conjunction with this Overview.

Taken together, these reports explain how we propose to implement the England Coast Path ("the trail") on this stretch of coast, and detail the likely consequences in terms of the wider 'Coastal Margin' that will be created if our proposals are approved by the Secretary of State. Our reports also set out:

- any proposals we think are necessary for restricting or excluding coastal access rights to address particular issues, in line with the powers in the legislation; and
- any proposed powers for the trail to be capable of being relocated on particular sections (through "roll-back"), if this proves necessary in the future because of coastal change.

So although this Overview has multiple reports associated with it, each report relating to a particular part of the stretch makes free-standing proposals, and seeks approval for them by the Secretary of State in their own right under section 52 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949.

We have carefully considered any potential environmental impacts of improving public access to this stretch of coast, and made any necessary adjustments to our proposals prior to publication in order to address these. Considerations in relation to environmental matters are explained in Section 6 of this Overview and relevant reports for each length of coast. Links are provided to relevant separately published documentation where appropriate.

The reports are published on our web pages as a series of separate documents, alongside this Overview and more general information about how the Coastal Access programme works.

Each report is accompanied by detailed **Proposals Maps** for the relevant length of coast. The maps are numbered according to the part of the report to which they relate. For example, maps FFB 1a to FFB 1f illustrate the proposals in report FFB1, which deals with the length from Felixstowe Ferry to Sandy Lane, Waldringfield.

Introduction

1. Improving coastal access

Natural England has a statutory duty under the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 to improve access to the English coast. The duty is in two parts: one relating to securing a long-distance walking route (“the trail”) around the whole coast: we call this the England Coast Path; the other relating to a margin of coastal land associated with the route which, in appropriate places, people will also be able to enjoy on foot. Associated with this duty is a discretion given to Natural England to extend the trail up any river estuary on either or both sides beyond the seaward limit of the estuarial waters¹, as far as the first bridge or tunnel with pedestrian access, or as far as any point between the two.

To secure these objectives, we must submit statutory reports to the Secretary of State for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs recommending where the route should be and identifying the associated coastal margin. The reports must follow the approach set out in our methodology (the Coastal Access Scheme), which – as the legislation requires – has been approved by the Secretary of State for this purpose.

This Overview and the related compendium of reports relate to the coast of Suffolk between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey. Taken together, our report proposals would make the following key improvements to the existing arrangements for access to this part of the coast:

- New sections of coastal path would be created in strategic places to link existing coastal paths into a continuous route along this stretch of coast for the first time;
- For the first time, there would be secure statutory rights of public access to most areas of beach, cliff and other coastal land on this stretch of coast;
- The coastal path would be able to ‘roll-back’ as the cliffs erode or slip, or when other forms of coastal change occur, solving long-standing difficulties with maintaining a continuous route on this stretch of coast.

This is a significant opportunity to improve public access to this stretch of coast in these ways, with benefits for residents, businesses and visitors. More people will have easier and more extensive access to the coastal environment for open-air recreation, which is widely acknowledged to have significant benefits for human health and well-being.

Once approved and established, this part of the England Coast Path will be managed as part of the family of National Trails.

¹ section 301 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009

2. The determination process

Each of the reports for this stretch is submitted in accordance with our statutory duty under section 296 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 ('the 2009 Act') to improve access to the English coast.

Publication of the reports has been advertised locally and online in accordance with the requirements of the coastal access legislation.

Following publication:

- Any person may make representations to Natural England about any of the reports; and
- Any owner or occupier of affected land may make an objection to Natural England.

In order to be treated as valid, all objections and representations must be received by Natural England no later than the end of the advertised eight week period following publication. The specific closing date appears in the statutory notice for Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey, which can be viewed here <http://www.gov.uk/government/collections/england-coast-path-improving-public-access-to-the-coast> together with more information about how to make representations or objections.

The Planning Inspectorate will consider any objections and any related representations before passing recommendations to the Secretary of State, who in turn will consider both representations and objections and then make a decision as to whether to approve our proposals. Chapter 3 of our Coastal Access Scheme explains these processes in more detail (see Annex A: Bibliography).

The Secretary of State may confirm the proposals in each report in full, confirm some with modifications, or reject some or all of them. If the conclusion is that some modification to our proposed approach is required, further consideration may need to be given as to whether any further environmental assessment is necessary. We may need to prepare an amended report for consideration by the Secretary of State, relating to the part(s) of the coast affected by any rejected proposals. The same procedures for representation and objection would apply to the amended report.

Once proposals for the stretch have been confirmed, there will be a preparation period before the new access rights come into force. This period is to enable any necessary physical establishment of the trail to be carried out and to put in place any necessary local management arrangements (including any approved local access restrictions or exclusions).

Once the preparation period is complete, the rights will be brought into force by order on a date decided by the Secretary of State. Normally one single commencement date is used for the whole stretch. We will publicise the commencement of the rights to ensure they are known about and understood locally.

Parts 2 to 4 of each report explain more about the further steps that will be taken to establish the route, provisions for its future maintenance and the procedures which we will follow to make any subsequent changes that prove necessary once proposals for this part of the coast have been approved.

3. Understanding the proposals and accompanying maps

Before looking at the proposals and accompanying maps, it will help you if you read the following notes and then look carefully at the key to the maps.

Our Proposals:

The proposals are divided into 6 reports, each relating to a particular length of coast on this stretch. Each report is accompanied by detailed maps of the relevant length of coast. The maps are numbered according to the report to which they relate. For example, maps FFB 1a to FFB 1f illustrate the proposals described in report FFB1.

Each **report** comprises four parts:

- **Part 1: Introduction** – This sets the context for our proposals for that length of coast.
- **Part 2: Proposals Narrative** - This summarises our alignment proposals in general, including any proposed use of our discretions to align the route along an estuary, or recommended changes to the default landward coastal margin. It also summarises the main access management measures that will need to be introduced and the overall accessibility (ease of use for all) of this length of coast, for all users. Additionally, it may identify any future changes of which we are aware that are likely to impact on this part of the coast, and explain how our proposals deal with this change. In each report the Part 2 Proposals Narrative, in conjunction with the Part 3 Proposals Tables and the Part 4 Proposals Maps, sets out our formal proposals to the Secretary of State in relation to the length of coast in question, for which we are seeking approval under section 52 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949.
- **Part 3: Proposals Tables** – These line-by-line listings set out in more detail our formal proposals to the Secretary of State for the length of coast in question, and should be read in conjunction with the Proposals Narrative and the relevant Proposals Maps.
- **Part 4: Proposals Maps** – These show in map form the proposals set out in the Proposals Narrative and Proposals Tables.

Part 3 Proposals Tables explained

These notes explain how the various tables found in each report work:

- In the first table or set of tables, we set out detailed information for each section of coast under the following column headings:
 - Map(s) – This column indicates which of the report maps to view alongside the details in the other columns in the same row.
 - Route section number(s) – This is the unique identification number for the route section concerned. In some cases, two or more adjacent route sections will be amalgamated into a single row in the table, if all other displayed details happen to be identical.
 - Current status of route section(s) – This describes the current status of the route we have proposed and whether it has any existing access rights. Public highways, including public rights of way such as footpaths, are excepted from new coastal access rights because the existing public rights to use such highways will remain in force, and the trail is able to make use of these. Other sections of the proposed trail that do not currently have any access rights or where access is currently permitted by the landowner will become subject to new coastal access rights if our proposals are approved. These new rights, and any national or local restrictions on them, will not

affect any existing access arrangements for cyclists, horse-riders or other types of recreational user that may currently exist at the local level - for example by formal agreement with, informal permission from or traditional toleration by the owner of the land, or through any type of pre-existing legal right that remains in force.

- Roll-back proposed? – This indicates whether we propose that, in the event of significant coastal erosion or other geomorphological processes or significant encroachment by the sea, a section of trail which is affected by such factors should be capable of being repositioned in the future in accordance with this formal proposal, without needing further confirmation of the change at that time by the Secretary of State. Roll-back may be used to adjust the trail either in direct response to such changes or in order to link with other parts of the route that need to roll-back in response to such changes. The column also indicates whether the ‘roll-back’ requirement is likely to give rise to a normal or more complex change on this section. (In the case of more complex outcomes, further details are provided in the ‘Roll-back implementation’ table). Section 4.10 of the Coastal Access Scheme explains in more detail how roll-back works.
- Landward margin contains default coastal land type? – Certain coastal land types are automatically included in the coastal margin where they fall landward of the trail if they touch it at some point. These coastal land types are: foreshore, cliff, bank, barrier, dune, beach, flat or section 15 land. This column identifies where one of the coastal land types is present in the landward coastal margin.
- Proposal to specify landward boundary of margin – This sets out any proposals for the default landward boundary of the coastal margin on this section to be altered or clarified - see iv below in the Notes on Maps.
- Reason for any proposed use of landward boundary discretion – This provides an explanation for any such proposal to alter or clarify the default margin on this section. This may be either because we are proposing a clear boundary around land that in our view would be margin by default, because it matches the description of ‘coastal land’ explained at paragraph 4.8.8 of the Scheme; or because we propose using our discretion to add land to or remove it from the default margin, as described at paragraphs 4.8.11 of the Scheme.
- Explanatory notes – This contains any additional information which may help further explain the proposal for this route section or group of sections.
- Where there is an alternative route or optional alternative route we set out the details of those routes in a separate table. The table includes columns that describe the landward and seaward boundaries of the alternative route strip. Alternative routes/optional alternative routes have a default width of two metres either side of the approved line. We propose specific landward and/or seaward boundaries to the route strip where doing so would add further clarity to the extent of access rights along the route, by working with the grain of what is already there. It should be noted that where the alternative route/optional alternative route follows an existing path corridor, the trail may adopt a variable width as dictated by existing physical features.
- Each report also includes a table that sets out any other options that were considered during our initial planning (in relation to the route and the coastal margin), and explains why they did not form part of our proposals.
- The final table or set of tables for each report provides further details of any situation where local circumstances mean that implementation of roll-back is likely to be more complex. We identify the key issue and our expected resolution.

Annotated examples of these various tables are given below, to illustrate how they are used.

In each report the Part 3 Proposals Tables, in conjunction with the Part 2 Proposals Narrative and the Part 4 Proposals Maps, set out our formal proposals to the Secretary of State in relation to the length of coast in question, for which we are seeking approval under section 52 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949.

Examples of tables found in each report, with explanation of their contents:

Example table 1: Section details

1	2	3	4	5a	5b	5c	6
Map(s)	Route section number(s)	Current status of route section(s)	Roll-back proposed? (See Part 7 of Overview)	Landward margin contains coastal land type?	Proposal to specify landward boundary of margin (See maps)	Reason for landward boundary proposal	Explanatory notes
ABC 1a	ABC-1-S003	Public footpath	No	No			
ABC 1b	ABC-1-S011	Public footway (shared use)	No	No	Pavement Edge	Clarity and cohesion	
ABC 1c	ABC-1-S018	Other existing walked route	Yes	Beach			
ABC 1d	ABC-1-S045	Other existing walked route	No	Bank	Edge of path	Clarity and cohesion	Top break of slope provides the greatest clarity for walkers

The route section number(s), as shown on the Proposals Map(s).

This column shows whether we are proposing that this route section could be repositioned in future in response to erosion etc. without further approval by Secretary of State. See notes to table. More complex situations are explained in Example Table 4 below.

If column 5b proposes any change or clarification to the landward extent of the margin, this column says why.

This column may offer further explanation of a more complex situation involving the margin.

The relevant Proposal Map(s) for the route section(s).

This column specifies the current access status of the proposed trail section.

We indicate here whether the landward coastal margin for this route section includes a default coastal land type.

This column shows any proposal we are making to align the landward boundary of the coastal margin for this route section with the physical feature shown. No text here means that for this route section the landward edge of the margin would be that of the trail itself - or if any default coastal land type is shown in column 5a, would be its landward boundary instead.

Example table 2: Alternative routes and optional alternative route details

1	2	3	4	5a	5b	6
Map(s)	Route section number(s)	Current status of route section(s)	Roll-back proposed? (See Part 7 of Overview)	Proposal to specify seaward boundary of alternative route strip	Proposal to specify landward boundary of alternative route strip	Explanatory notes
ABC 2a	ABC-2-A001	Public Footpath	No			
ABC 2b	ABC-2-OA001	Public Footway (shared use)	No	Pavement edge	Pavement edge	
ABC 2b	ABC-2-OA002	Other existing walked route	Yes	Fence	Various	The landward boundary corresponds with various features including a wall, fence and bramble hedge.

The route section number(s), as shown on the Proposals Map(s).

This column shows whether we are proposing that this route section could be repositioned in future in response to erosion etc. without further approval by Secretary of State. See notes to table. More complex situations are explained in Example Table 4 below.

This column may offer further explanation of a more complex situation, e.g. involving the boundaries of the route strip.

The relevant Proposal Map(s) for the route section(s).

This column specifies the current access status of the proposed trail section.

These columns show any proposal we are making to align either or both boundaries of the alternative route strip with a physical feature on the ground. No text in either column means that the edge of this section of the alternative route strip would be that of the trail itself.

Example table 3: Other options considered

Map(s)	Section number(s)	Option(s) considered	Reasons for not proposing this option
ABC 3b	ABC-3-S011 to ABC-3-S019	We considered aligning the trail along the route of the existing public footpaths through the boat yard and along the flood bank.	<p>We opted for the proposed route because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it offers a safer and more convenient route with a newly created tarmac surface which is accessible to all. it avoids passing through the working area of the boat yard. the surface of the existing footpath along the flood bank is uneven and often waterlogged. <p>Under our proposals, the public footpaths would remain available for people to use but would not form part of the designated trail.</p>
ABC 3c	ABC-3-S017 to ABC-3-S020	We considered aligning the trail along the route of the existing public footpath on the cliff edge around the western edge of Cranham Hill.	<p>We opted for the proposed route because:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> it avoids increased footfall on the fragile limestone grassland flora which is designated as a SAC and SSSI feature. it is comparable, in terms of the safety and convenience of walkers. <p>Under our proposals, the public footpath would remain available for people to use but would not form part of the designated trail.</p>

The relevant Proposal Map(s) for the route section(s).

The route section number(s), as shown on the Proposals Map(s).

This column describes other options we considered for the route or margin for the identified route section(s).

This column summarises the reason(s) that the other options we considered were not preferred.

Example table 4: Roll-back implementation – more complex situations

Map(s)	Route section number(s)	Feature(s) or site(s) potentially affected	Our likely approach to roll-back
ABC 4f	ABC-4-S040 to ABC-4-S045	Super Camp Holiday Village	<p>If it is no longer possible to find a viable route seaward of the specified campsite, we will choose a new route after detailed discussions with all relevant interests, either</p> <p>(a) to pass through the site, or (b) if this is not practicable, to pass somewhere on the landward side of it.</p> <p>In reaching this judgement we will have full regard to the need to seek a fair balance between the interests of potentially affected owners and occupiers and those of the public.</p>

The relevant Proposal Map(s) for the route section(s).

The route section number(s), as shown on the accompanying map(s).

This column identifies any areas that could cause us to consider a more complex solution to roll back than would normally be required.

This column summarises our expected approach to roll back in these circumstances.

Part 4 Proposals Maps explained

The notes that follow will help explain the maps provided for each report.

The proposed route of the trail:

- i The thickness of the line used to depict the proposed route on the maps is intended to make it easy to find on the map. Different shading on the line differentiates between sections of the route that would use existing rights of way, sections that appear to follow other existing walked lines on the ground, and sections that do neither. The thickness of the line on the map is not an indication of the width of the actual trail on the ground. The proposed route simply follows the centre of the line shown. The legislation makes the default width of the trail four metres, but its actual width varies in practice according to the detail included section by section in our proposals.
- ii In places there are differences between the line of public rights of way recorded on the local Definitive Map that is maintained by the local highway authority, and paths currently used and managed on the ground as public rights of way. Some of these differences may be attributed to adaptation of the path over time to cope with coastal erosion and other processes, whilst others appear to arise from anomalies in the way the rights were originally recorded on the definitive map. The maps in this report show the public rights of way as recorded on the definitive map, and depict them as accurately as possible at the scale used. See part 4.7 of the Scheme for further information.

The coastal margin:

- iii The proposed route of the trail shown on the maps is important in understanding the extent of the coastal margin that would apply to either side of it. Under the legislation:
 - the coastal margin is a single, continuous corridor of land which includes the trail itself;
 - the margin includes all land seaward of the trail land - although not all of that land would be subject to a new right of access (see point vi below);
 - the landward extent of this margin is by default the trail itself, or the inland edge of any land adjoining the trail on its landward side that is foreshore, cliff, dune or beach, or a bank, barrier or flat, or section 15 land (see Annex B: Glossary of terms).

- iv We have the discretion to propose that the landward boundary of the coastal margin should coincide with a recognisable physical feature on the ground – even if the effect of doing so is to add land into the margin, or to remove land from it. We may use this discretion:
- to propose that instead of the default trail width of four metres set by the legislation, particular physical features such as walls, fences or pavement edges should be used where appropriate to define the landward extent of the trail land on that section of the route: such features cannot be depicted on the maps at the scale used, but they are described in the Proposals Tables;
 - to clarify or adjust the boundaries of a landward area included by default as margin, in order to create a better ‘fit’ with the circumstances on the ground; or
 - to propose in some places that additional areas of land should be added to the coastal margin landward of the trail: land which is affected by such proposals is indicated on the maps with a purple wash and described in parts 2&3 of each report.

Further explanation of these powers can be found at part 4.8 of the Coastal Access Scheme. Our proposals take full account of any views expressed by the owner or occupier of affected land about whether the powers should be used in any of these ways.

- v Land which forms part of the coastal margin would be subject to access rights, other than:
- any **excepted** land, such as land covered by buildings or their gardens or curtilage: Annex C summarises in full the categories of excepted land under the legislation; or
 - any land where coastal access rights would be **excluded** under our statutory powers: we indicate in the report where we already know of circumstances that make this necessary, and make any proposals accordingly.
- vi **Spreading room** is the term used in the reports to describe any land, other than trail land, which would form part of the coastal margin and would have public rights of access. It does not therefore include any excepted land within the margin, or any existing access land on the landward side of the trail that is omitted from the margin.

Annex B (Glossary of terms) includes a full definition of these terms which you may find helpful in understanding the report.

Voluntary access dedication

- vii Land that was previously dedicated as access land under section 16 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (CROW) will become subject to the coastal access regime if it forms part of the coastal margin in any of the ways described above. There is also provision in the legislation for a land owner or long leaseholder to dedicate other land voluntarily as coastal margin if it lies adjacent to it or within it. Dedicating land as coastal margin means the excepted land provisions do not apply there and may also be used to relax or remove specific **national restrictions** that would otherwise apply. Parts 4.8.20 to 4.8.23 of the Coastal Access Scheme explain these provisions in more detail.

In each report the Part 4 Proposals Maps, in conjunction with the Part 2 Proposals Narrative and the Part 3 Proposals Tables, set out our formal proposals to the Secretary of State in relation to the length of coast in question, for which we are seeking approval under section 52 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949.

4. Preparation of the report

To secure the twin objectives under the legislation we have followed the approach set out in our Coastal Access Scheme, as approved by the Secretary of State on 9 July 2013. Chapter 3 of this detailed document sets out the stages of implementation we must follow.

In line with this, before making the proposals in this compendium of reports for the stretch, we conducted extensive preliminary work in two main stages:

- Stage 1: Prepare – defining the extent of the coastal stretch with access authorities and identifying the key issues and opportunities, including sensitive features, in conjunction with key organisations; and
- Stage 2: Develop – checking the alignment on the ground, sharing our initial thoughts with land owners and offering to ‘walk the course’ with them, planning for the protection of key features, talking further with key interests and reality checking our proposals.

Stage 1 - Prepare

This stage involved us working closely with access authorities to develop an understanding of the stretch, agree its exact extent and carry out initial familiarisation visits. We held discussions with representatives of national and local organisations with a strategic interest in this stretch of coast. This included discussions with those we are required by legislation to consult at this stage:

- the local access forum;
- County and District Council officers, including ecology, geology, historic environment, planning, transport and countryside ranger staff;
- local officers from the Environment Agency, in relation to flood defence and coastal erosion management on this stretch of coast;
- local officers of Historic England, in relation to historic features on this stretch of coast.

We also held discussions with representatives of specific interest groups:

- The Ramblers Association;
- The Open Spaces Society;
- The Deben Estuary Partnership;
- The River Deben Association;
- Suffolk Coast Forum;
- Suffolk Coasts and Heaths AONB;
- Suffolk Coastal District Council;
- Woodbridge Town Council;
- Parish Councils;
- Suffolk Fire and Rescue Service.
- Sailing Clubs;

- HM Coastguard
- The National Farmers Union and;
- The Country Land and Business Association.

We publicised on our website the start of work on the stretch and provided an opportunity for all other interested parties to submit to us their views on local issues and opportunities.

We also engaged with internal specialists and relevant organisations locally - including Suffolk Wildlife Trust, the Royal Society for the Protection of Birds and English Heritage - to consider any potential for impacts on key sensitive features.

In addition to these discussions we took into account a wide variety of information, plans and strategies that we considered relevant to the alignment process. Those that are published on the internet are listed in Annex A: Bibliography.

We then took all reasonable steps to identify owners, occupiers and those with a legal interest in the land which could be affected.

Stage 2 - Develop

This stage involved us contacting, and discussing our initial thoughts with, relevant owners, occupiers and other legal interests.

We spoke at various local events such as the River Deben Association open meeting to invite people to share their ideas or concerns with us.

We shared our initial thinking with Parish Councils and invited their views and inputs to the development of the proposal alignment.

We wrote to local stakeholders and landowners to share initial thinking about restrictions on saltmarsh and mudflats to invite them to share their local knowledge and views of the emerging proposals.

We worked closely with the Suffolk Coast and Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty unit to hear their views and share our thinking with them at various stages during the development of the proposals.

We wrote to landowners and occupiers and other stakeholders asking for their views and invited them to join us when we visited their land to 'walk the course' so that we could discuss options for alignment based on mapped summaries of our emerging proposals.

In most cases this was done through separate site meetings with the individuals and businesses concerned. In the interests of efficiency we also held a small number of larger meetings to discuss our proposals with groups of people with a common legal interest.

We also took reasonable steps to identify and contact any owners, occupiers or other legal interests who could foreseeably be affected in the future as a result of any roll-back that may prove necessary. See part 7 – Future Changes – below.

We then held further detailed discussions with internal and external specialists to refine our thinking on protecting key sensitive features, carrying out any necessary assessments.

After further discussions with key local and national organisations we refined our proposals and checked them on the ground before moving onto Stage 3 – Propose, which is the substance of these reports.

Key issues along this stretch of coast

5. Discretion to include part or all of an estuary or estuaries

The Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch includes the estuarial waters of the River Deben.

a) Introduction

This part of the Overview:

- introduces the core statutory duties and considerations for the national programme as a whole in relation to exercising the discretion to include part, or all, of an estuary within our proposals;
- describes the overall nature of the estuary system(s) found in this part of England, identifying the geographical limits of our discretion to align the trail around the Deben Estuary included within this stretch of coast;
- goes on to explain in more detail how each of the specific estuary considerations set out at section 301 of the 2009 Act affects our view of the options for the Deben Estuary;
- sets out the options for estuary trail alignment which we have identified as a result of this analysis, and;
- describes and explains our chosen proposal.

b) Estuary discretion

Under the 2009 Act there is no requirement for the trail to extend up any estuary further than the seaward limit of estuarial waters that is explained below under “Geographical limits of our discretion”.

But Natural England has a discretion to propose that the trail should extend from the seaward limit as far as the first bridge over which, or tunnel through which, there is a public right of way, or a public right of access, by virtue of which the public are able to cross the river on foot, or as far as any specified point in between. In exercising its discretion, Natural England must have regard to the core national duties and considerations described in Part B and Chapter 10 of the Coastal Access Scheme.

c) Core national duties and considerations relevant to estuaries

Section 296 of the 2009 Act places a legal duty (the Coastal Access Duty) on Natural England and the Secretary of State to secure a walking route around the whole of the open coast of England, together with an associated margin of land for the public to enjoy either in conjunction with their use of the route, or otherwise.

Section 297 goes on to require both, in discharging this duty, to have regard among other things to the desirability of ensuring that so far as reasonably practicable, interruptions to this route are kept to a minimum. This is a key consideration for the whole of the national delivery programme for coastal access. The whole concept of the England Coast Path relies on delivering continuity of the route so far as reasonably practicable for the whole country. Although proposing a route around each estuary is a discretion rather than a legal duty, if the presence of an estuary would interrupt this **continuity of access** along the open coast then this will constitute a strong prima facie reason for the trail to serve the estuary too, at least to the extent necessary to enable users to continue their onward journey around the coast.

The Scheme notes at paragraph 10.1.4 that because the seaward limit of estuarial waters is an arbitrary point from an access perspective, we are likely to use our discretion at most estuaries to extend the trail upstream to a more convenient point than this seaward limit. Paragraph 10.1.5 then adds “We will always give careful consideration to our option to extend the trail as far as the first bridge or tunnel with pedestrian public access” – both for reasons of continuity, and with a view to any additional recreational benefits that might result within the estuary itself from doing so.

Chapter 10 of the Scheme explains the **statutory estuary criteria** that section 301(4) of the 2009 Act requires to be taken into account in deciding whether or not to include an estuary in our proposals. These are discussed in more detail in subsection e) below in relation to the Deben Estuary.

The other key considerations, including achieving a fair balance with the interests of owners and occupiers, are discussed in part 6 of this Overview.

d) Overall nature of estuary systems in this part of England

The Suffolk coast is a mix of shingle beaches, soft cliffs, extensive saltmarsh, wildlife rich intertidal mudflat, heathland and farmland. The coastline is defined and shaped by five sizeable estuary systems – the Stour, the Orwell, the Deben, the Alde & Ore and the Blythe.

The shape and size of the local estuaries vary considerably, but they are mostly relatively shallow, with extensive intertidal areas dominated by mud, and often fragmented or fringed by areas of saltmarsh or reed bed. Taken together, the ecology and landscape is unique in Britain, as described in the National Character Profile 82. Suffolk Coasts and Heaths.

e) The Deben Estuary

The Deben Estuary is 20km in length and is relatively narrow and is bound by shallow terrain, broad sloping, often wooded shores and soft, easily eroded geology. The gentle landscape which defines the character of the area and natural process have led to both erosion and deposition of sand and shingle over time. The Deben Estuary has over 40% of the remaining saltmarsh in Suffolk and marshes flank the estuary throughout. It flows into the North Sea, between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey.

Geographical limits of our discretion

The seaward limit of the transitional waters of the Deben Estuary is the estuary’s mouth between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey (see Map A2 below). The first crossing point upstream is Wilford Bridge at Melton.

The statutory estuary criteria

We have considered below each of the section 301 criteria under the headings given in Chapter 10 of the Scheme.

i) Ferry services

There is a seasonal ferry service across the mouth of the Deben Estuary between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey Quay. The ferry runs an on demand service between Easter Friday and the end of October, it

operates on weekends only in April and October and daily May to September. There is no ferry service between November and March.

Local funding from various sources was found to trial a dial-a-ride ferry service through the winter and early spring of 2017 /18. This required would-be passengers to book their place in the boat on the day before they wanted to cross the estuary. The trial cost £7,000 but uptake was limited. Whilst locally it was felt to be useful, dial-a-ride services like this, interrupt the continuity of access for visitors unfamiliar with the area and conditions of service. Such visitors are unlikely to have the prior knowledge required to forward plan their crossing. In this instance no funding has been found to sustain the service in future years, so at the time of writing this report there is no ferry service between November and March.

ii) Character of the Estuary

Estuary width

The width of the Deben Estuary is variable though generally rather narrow. At its widest it is more than 1 kilometre wide. Near Wilford Bridge it is only a few metres wide. The mouth of the estuary is only approximately 200m wide. At the wider points, the estuary has a more 'coastal feel'.

Topography of the shoreline

The estuary valley sides are broad, gently sloping, often wooded and the estuary has a generally open aspect. The shoreline of the Deben Estuary is punctuated by creeks with Martlesham Creek being the largest. Low tide reveals extensive mudflats. Sand and shingle features are relatively rare, with the most extensive of these to be found at Waldringfield, at Kyson Point, some small areas near The Tips and The Hams and also at Bawdsey.

Nature of affected land

The estuary is a mix of rural landscapes and low density rural settlements. At the head of the estuary lies the historic town of Woodbridge, the largest settlement on the estuary (population 8,800, 2018). Country estates and large farms combine to create a rural landscape and apart from at Waldringfield, there are few places where private dwellings sit close to the shore. There are many boatyards along the estuary and sailing is a popular pastime.

Much of the land is agricultural on the sandy slopes with high quality crops, cereals and livestock. Broadleaf woodlands extend to the shore, particularly on the eastern side of the estuary. The western shore is more populated, including the settlements of Felixstowe Ferry, Hemley, Waldringfield, Woodbridge and Melton. On the eastern shore the small settlements of Ramsholt and Bawdsey are the only inhabited places.

Towards the mouth of the estuary, the landscape opens out with extensive areas of flat arable land lying adjacent to the estuary south of Ramsholt and on the other side, north of Felixstowe Ferry.

Features of interest

The entire stretch lies within the Suffolk Coasts and Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB) which stretches from the Stour Estuary in the south to Benacre in the north.

The National Trust property at Sutton Hoo near Woodbridge is the site of two 6th- and early 7th-century cemeteries. One cemetery contains an undisturbed ship-burial. Sutton Hoo is a very popular visitor attraction.

The attractive historic town of Woodbridge is also a popular destination for visitors, hosting many facilities including riverside walks, boating and a museum.

Waldringfield is popular with walkers, sailors and day trippers alike and the pub is a major focal point. On the opposite shore there are fewer attractions for walkers, although the pub at Ramsholt is popular.

Geological interests include Ferry Cliff Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI) near Sutton Hoo and Ramsholt Cliff SSSI. Ferry Cliff SSSI has rock exposed which dates to the Palaeocene 60 million years ago. It has the oldest British fossils of rodents. Ramsholt Cliff SSSI holds well preserved fossils of several unusual species.

The Ferry service provides a seasonal link between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey Quay and gives impressive views of the estuary. There are cafés at both quays and a pub and golf course at Felixstowe Ferry.

The Deben Estuary is promoted widely and is popular for leisure and tourism. As many as 150 different species of birds can be seen on or close to the River Deben during the year, making the area a very popular one for bird watchers. The estuary is highly important for wildlife and is designated at national, European and international levels as important for species including types of waders and wildfowl. The summer and winter bird populations are varied due to the differing seasons bringing a diverse quantity of species to the area.

There are few beaches on the estuary, although some areas such as near to Bawdsey Quay are very popular.

iii) Recreational Benefit

The Deben estuary is a key component of the complex of estuaries which largely defines the fundamental character of the Essex and Suffolk coastline.

At present approximately 80% of the estuary is served by shoreline public rights of way (PRoW). There is however currently no public access directly linking Waldringfield and Martlesham Creek, a small gap in provision at Sutton and a large gap in access of approximately 4.5 km between Ramsholt and Bawdsey.

The Suffolk Coast Path passes through Bawdsey and Felixstowe Ferry. Both the Fynn Valley Walk and the Sandlings Walk pass through Woodbridge along the estuary edge.

Some PRoWs have been adversely affected by coastal erosion, leading to them being undermined and currently located, in a legal sense, on the foreshore or marsh, such as at Martlesham Creek. At both Hemley and between Waldringfield and Martlesham, historic breaches to the sea wall have severed the PRoWs located upon them.

There are also places where existing lengths of PRoW are located a considerable distance inland, with limited or non-existent views of the estuary, such as approximately 2.5 km at Sutton.

In places it is possible to use intersecting public rights of way (PRoWs) to create circular walks. These are popular and such walks can be found at Felixstowe Ferry, Falkenham, Hemley, Waldringfield and the southern shore of Martlesham Creek.

iv) Excepted land

There are no large areas of excepted land on the Deben. There are some urban areas and boatyards scattered along the estuary edge, parts of which might be excepted land. However, this excepted land wouldn't prevent a predominantly waterside alignment

v) Options for the Deben Estuary

- Option 1 – Extend the trail around the estuary from Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey Quay, crossing the estuary at Wilford Bridge/the A1152. This would involve the use of existing PRoW where appropriate, linked by new sections of path.
- Option 2 – Do not extend the trail up the estuary further than the seasonal ferry. Walkers would have the ferry service linking Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey Quay. This would allow continuous access along the open coast for walkers during operational periods but with only a weekend service in April and October and no ferry service between November and March. When the service is unavailable walkers would have an interrupted journey along the trail and would have to navigate themselves to the next part of the England Coast Path.

Option 3 – As option 2, but establish an alternative route following existing PRoW and some new sections of trail (where there are no existing PRoW) for when the ferry service is not available. This would allow continuous access along the open coast for walkers in the spring/summer utilising the ferry service, and a continuous walked route alternative during the autumn /winter.

Proposed route of the trail in relation to the Deben Estuary

Our proposal is to align the trail around the estuary, crossing at Wilford Bridge by means of the existing pedestrian access – Option 1. This is because Option 1 fulfils the core objective of the legislation to create a continuous uninterrupted route for the public around the coast in a simple and cost effective way. The public will be able to enjoy throughout the year with confidence.

In our view, the estuaries of much of the Suffolk coast, including the Deben, define the coast's character. As such they are key elements of a national trail which enables walkers to experience and enjoy the full range of English coastal land types.


Option 1 can be achieved at reasonable cost and would deliver significant user benefits, which include:

- new statutory access links such as at Sutton, between Ramsholt and Bawdsey and between Waldringfield and Martlesham Creek where the historic breach to the seawall created a gap in the PRoW
- more convenient, safe routes with estuary views
- future-proofing of access against future coastal change by the use of roll-back
- formalisation of rights in some areas of the seaward margin
- better connectivity between visitor attractions, increasing accessibility on foot
- improved signage and waymarking reducing the risk of walkers straying from the route
- providing a continuous walking route around this section of the coast.

Option 2 would result in interruptions to walkers' onward journey for 5 months of the year, and on weekdays for 7 months of the year, leaving them to determine their own route around the estuary on existing PRoWs. Overall these routes are not as convenient or coastal as the route we are proposing for The England Coast Path, and many would not be available in perpetuity as coastal change would undermine them.

Option 3 has been ruled out because no spreading room would be created and there would be a disproportionate cost involved in establishing the trail, taking into account that it would only be intended for use for 5 months of each year.

Where new sections of trail would be created to link existing public rights of way, the paths provided to 'fill the gaps' in an alternative route would not legally be available at the times, (i.e. the hours or months) when the ferry service was running. As such links could form up to 8.2kms of an alternative route around the Deben this would be confusing for the public, difficult to manage in practice, a potential source of conflict with land managers, and poorer value for the same investment than securing these rights all year round under option 1. This option would also offer very limited improvements to existing access around the estuary



6. Other considerations on this stretch

a) Recreational Issues

Map B gives an overview of existing public access around the Deben Estuary showing PRowWs, access land and promoted routes along the stretch. The Suffolk Coast Path, Fynn Valley Walk and Sandlings Walk are also located around the estuary (not shown on map).

The Fynn Valley Walk is 9 miles long, and follows the river Fynn inland between Witnesham and Woodbridge railway station. The Sandlings Walk is 58 miles long, stretching from Ipswich to Southwold and covering a variety of landscapes including forest, heath and coast. The Suffolk Coast Path enables good linear coastal access and for the most part, it maintains close proximity to the sea. This route follows the open coast and is connected by the Bawdsey ferry. By Aligning the England Coast Path along the Deben Estuary, the Fynn Valley Walk, the Sandlings Walk and the Suffolk Coast Path will be connected.

Approximately 80% of the shoreline of the estuary is served by existing PRowWs. There are three main gaps between existing shoreline footpaths. These are;

- between Sandy Lane, Waldringfield and Hill Farm, Martlesham, where the public footpath along the sea wall has been severed by a breach (see report FFB2);
- between Wilford Bridge, Melton and Little Haugh, Sutton;
- between Ramsholt and Bawdsey.

We address these issues in the relevant reports.

There is an area of Open Access land which is landward of the proposed trail at Felixstowe Ferry. The public also enjoy access to the sandy shores of the estuary at various locations such as Bawdsey and Kyson Point. The National Trust property at Sutton Hoo is open all year round and includes a number of promoted permissive walks around the estate.

As well as considering the extent of existing access provision on the stretch we have looked at standards of accessibility for disabled people and those with impaired or constrained mobility, such as those with pushchairs, and the potential for improvements to be made. Our primary responsibility under the Equality Act 2010 is to have regard to the aim of advancing equality of opportunity, and our general approach to accessibility is outlined in the Coastal Access Scheme (paragraphs 4.3.8 to 4.3.11). Our proposals do what is reasonably practicable to ensure that as wide a range of people as possible are able to enjoy the same level of access provision. We have achieved this by:

- Making accessibility a high priority when we were considering route alignment options against the criteria set out in the Coastal Access Scheme.
- Only proposing new structures where they are strictly necessary.
- Ensuring that all replacement and new structures are universally accessible.

The improvements we propose are those we think reasonable in the context of the terrain and budgetary realities:

- The needs of those with impaired mobility have been considered throughout our planning and decision-making processes.
- We have sought to ensure that adjustments to accommodate these needs are ambitious but reasonable, in cost and landscape terms.

- The expenditure we have recommended is proportionate to the benefits it will bring to trail users, so it focuses especially on areas that are generally more accessible, and where there is good transport connectivity.
- We have striven to identify the least restrictive option in each case.

To help us identify opportunities for accessibility improvements on the Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch we sought the views of Suffolk County Council, the Local Access Forum, and those who attended our public drop-in sessions. We also scrutinised the county Rights of Way Improvement Plan and undertook our own on-site assessments. We have concluded there are three key accessibility factors relevant to this stretch of the ECP:

- Overall, there are very few locations where structures are necessary.
- As they are few in number, the existing structures that are still needed, e.g. for stock control reasons or to prevent vehicular access, should be replaced or adapted as necessary to optimise accessibility, at trail establishment stage.

Erosion of the shoreline is experienced in parts of the estuary and flood defences have been in place for many decades. Maintenance of these is now largely the responsibility of landowners. There is potential for managed change to take place on the estuary through managed realignment of flood defences, and also un-managed change where flood defences aren't maintained. We have worked closely with the Environment Agency and landowners to identify these areas, and have proposed that the route can rollback in response to coastal erosion or significant encroachment by the sea along most of the estuary.

The Suffolk Rights Of Way Improvement Plan (ROWIP) is Suffolk's Green Access Strategy and it highlights the Council's commitment to improve provision for walkers and complete the England Coast Path in the county. It includes the objective to promote coastal access and bring economic benefits to the region and the aspiration to ensure that the England Coast Path includes access along the county's estuaries, providing a continuous path for people to follow.

The England Coast Path project has provided an opportunity to the review access patterns, and improve them for the benefit of the public, landowners and wildlife.

The key areas of improvement to access made by this proposal are;

- i. as discussed above the England Coast Path will be responsive to coastal change and will provide a route able to adapt to future changes (where, for example, a PRoW may become unusable)
- ii. between Sandy Lane, Waldringfield and Hill Farm, Martlesham walkers will have a new, direct route available to them, avoiding the breach on the sea wall
- iii. at Sutton, a direct link between Wilford Bridge and Little Haugh will be available to walkers for the first time
- iv. between Ramsholt and Bawdsey, approximately 4.5km of new access will directly link the two places for walkers for the first time
- v. In various places new infrastructure such as footbridges or steps will significantly improve the quality of the route. See reports FFB1 to FFB6 for details.

Local user groups asked for improved way-marking and trail standards along a part of this stretch, at Hill Farm, Martlesham. This issue is addressed as part of our overall approach to the implementation of the proposals described later in the Overview.

Some of the aspirations of local users which emerged from these discussions have not been addressed fully in our proposals, for reasons set out elsewhere in the report. These include:

- establishment of a ramp adjacent to existing steps at Felixstowe Ferry, where the trail reaches Ferry Road to create better access for people with reduced mobility– see report FFB1;
- establishment of the trail along the top of the sea wall between Ramsholt and Bawdsey. See report FFB6.

b) Protection of the environment

The Deben Estuary has an open estuarine landscape. It's bound by shallow terrain, broad sloping, often wooded shores that are easily eroded. The outer estuary is flanked by low-lying grazing marshes and much of the surrounding area is agricultural in nature.

The estuary forms part of the Suffolk Coast and Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB). There are a number of protected sites along this stretch of coast including a Special Protection Area (SPA) for birds, a Ramsar wetland site, and a Site of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI); their locations are shown on Map C. There are also nine County Wildlife Sites located on or close to the estuary. In our appraisals we have considered whether changes in recreation as a result of our proposals might have an impact on any of the features associated with these designated sites, or other protected species that occur along this section of coast.

The main features of interest for this stretch are summarised in table i) of the Habitats Regulations Assessment for these proposals.

There are also geological Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSIs) at Ferry Cliff and at Ramsholt Cliff. With chalk below, there are deposits of London clay throughout the area which are overlain by crag in places and Ramsholt Cliff is a good example of red crag exposure.

As a result of the proposals described in this Overview and associated Reports, the quality of coastal paths will be improved and there will be greater certainty and clarity about the rights people have within the coastal margin. Use of the coast for outdoor recreation can, if not managed, add to environmental pressures such as disturbance of wildlife by people or dogs, or destruction and fragmentation of habitats by the creation of new paths. The process we go through when developing our proposals for each length of coast involves finding ways to avoid or reduce such impacts, and to ensure compliance with environmental legislation.

The nearby parts of Suffolk and Essex have experienced high levels of housing growth over recent years. This trend is set to continue, with most of the local planning authorities identifying substantial increases in housebuilding in their (draft) local plans. For example, housing allocations at Martlesham, Woodbridge, Ipswich and the Area Action Plan for nearby Felixstowe Peninsula.

The pressure for housing growth has resulted in local planning authorities in Suffolk signing up to the development of a Recreational Disturbance and Mitigation Strategy (RAMS). This strategy will be of key importance because it will provide, for the first time, a strategic way of managing impacts on sensitive sites. As a result, developers, home buyers and conservation bodies should be able to be confident that financial contributions (arising from tariffs on the sales of individual homes) will be used to protect and enhance vulnerable sites in the most effective way.

The RAMS initiative has been finalised at the time of writing and housing proposals are already being subject to RAMS. Natural England has been closely involved in the development of this which should be overwhelmingly positive in its effect.

The significance of the RAMS for the England Coast Path is that it should not only ensure that any significant effects of increases in housing provision are avoided or mitigated, but also means that there should be no residual, non-significant effects to act in combination with similar effects from our proposals. We have worked closely with the team delivering this strategy to ensure consistency of approach to managing recreation.

In developing our detailed proposals for coastal access we have taken account of environmental protection objectives and these are, where relevant, discussed in more detail in the relevant report for each length of coast and the following documents that we have published separately at

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/england-coast-path-felixstowe-ferry-to-bawdsey>

- A single Habitats Regulations Assessment relating to any potential impact on the conservation objectives of affected sites from the proposals we make in the various reports (FFB1 to FFB6) for the stretch. This assessment considers any potential impacts in relation both to the individual length of coast covered by each report, and to the stretch as a whole.
- Our Nature Conservation Assessment for the stretch, in which we document our conclusions in relation to any other potential impacts on nature conservation.

Another important local initiative is the *Deben Estuary Plan (2015)*, produced by the Deben Estuary Partnership with the Environment Agency and Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB Unit.

The *Deben Estuary Plan* primarily addresses flood risk management across the estuary but also contains several objectives and associated actions for access and recreation which are directly relevant to the Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch of the England Coast Path.

The plan recognises the valuable role that the estuary plays in providing opportunities for recreation and enjoyment benefitting the health and wellbeing of residents and visitors. It broadly supports opportunities for recreation where these can be balanced with the conservation of the distinctive landscape and natural environment. Having taken full account of environmental protection objectives in the development of our proposals we believe they are fully compatible with the objectives in the Deben Estuary Plan.

c) Interests of owners and occupiers

In discharging our coastal access duty we must aim to strike a fair balance between the interests of the public in having rights of access over coastal land, and the interests of owners and occupiers of land over which any coastal access rights would apply. This was a key driver in the design of our proposals, which were discussed in detail with the owners and occupiers of the affected land during 'walking the course' and other processes.

Development of proposals for the Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch of the England Coast Path uncovered a few concerns for owners and occupiers, some of which are inter-related:

- Impact upon sensitive wildlife within the coastal margin.

This has been raised as an issue by some landowners, councils and wildlife/conservation organisations for various reasons:

- i) Near to Sutton Hoo, there is no scope to propose the trail close to the shoreline and we have proposed that it is located inland either along or on verges adjacent to a track (known locally

as the 'Lower Track'). This has the effect of creating relatively large areas of coastal margin seaward of the trail, upon which is one of the few remaining areas on the Deben Estuary where the narrow mouthed whorl snail (*Vertigo angustior*) is to be found. As a consequence, we propose a nature conservation direction under section 26(3)(a) of CROW to exclude coastal access rights from this area. See report FFB4.

- ii) Several individuals, (as well as the Suffolk Wildlife Trust and the Deben Estuary Partnership) expressed concern about the potential disturbance of sensitive bird species on the entire Deben Estuary in particular where there is currently no public access. See reports FFB1 to FFB6 and section b. Protecting the Environment, above. As a consequence, we have proposed a number of measures to protect these species from disturbance in various places on the estuary, including fencing, a trail alignment on the folding rather than upon the seawall, screening, and section 26(3)(a) nature conservation directions under CROW at key locations to exclude access.

- Impact of access rights on land adjacent to the coastal margin.

In general the existing public access provisions are already managed effectively alongside adjacent land uses, but there are places where we have been able, through discussion with relevant interests, to identify small adjustments to the existing coastal route which better integrate recreational benefits with the interests of owners and occupiers. These are described in the relevant reports FFB1 to FFB6.

d) Coastal processes

The principal source of information regarding coastal processes on this stretch of coast is the Essex and South Suffolk Shoreline Management Plan (see Annex A: Bibliography), a non-statutory policy document for the management of flood risk and coastal erosion. In the widest sense they aim to reduce risk to the social, economic, natural and historic environment. With reference to this document, and with advice from the Environment Agency and officers from Suffolk County Council, we have identified the parts of the coast within this stretch which are particularly susceptible to coastal erosion or other geomorphological processes, such as landslips.

The Essex and South Suffolk Shoreline Management Plan for the Suffolk coast includes the lower Deben (DEB 17.3) and Felixstowe Ferry frontage (DEB 17.4). The Plan notes that there is a general drift of sediment from north to south (open coast) but highlights the most obvious and important feature of coastal processes at this point as being the shingle banks system – The Knolls – at the mouth of the Deben.

In general where the coast is defended with some certainty, we have not made any specific proposals to enable the trail to adapt to coastal change - for example, where the trail would be:

- on sea defences or on the landward side of sea defences which would protect it; or
- landward of the roads and railway which would be protected under the policies set out in the Shoreline Management Plan.


However, in some parts of the Deben Estuary we have identified a requirement for roll-back, to ensure that we can maintain continuity of the trail should a nearby section of the trail be affected by coastal change (see Part 4.10 of the approved Scheme). On these lengths of coast we propose to recommend that the trail is able to roll back so that it can be repositioned without further reference to the Secretary of State, once he has approved the initial route. There is more detail about these roll-back arrangements in part 7 of the Overview and in the relevant reports.

The developed areas of Felixstowe Ferry, Waldringfield, Woodbridge and Melton (described in reports FFB1 to FFB4) are either not subject to significant erosion rates or are well defended against coastal erosion, and are unlikely to be affected significantly by coastal processes in the foreseeable future. We

have not made any specific proposals to enable the trail to adapt to coastal change on these lengths of coast.

On some lengths of low lying coast we propose to recommend that the trail can be repositioned either in consultation with affected landowners (complex roll-back), or via the submission of a variation report seeking approval from the Secretary of State in order to make any other changes to the route of the trail. There is more detail about these roll-back arrangements in part 7 of the Overview and in the relevant reports, FFB1 to FFB6.

Between Ramsholt and Bawdsey no roll-back is proposed. This is because any breach could lead to a significant area of land, as well as a large number of excepted types of land being affected requiring extensive realignment of the trail. Under these circumstances we felt it would be more appropriate for a variation report to be submitted to the Secretary of State.




Map B: Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey

Existing public access on the Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch

Existing designations:

- Public bridleway
- Public footpath
- + + Byway open to all traffic
- Restricted byway
- ● ● ● Cycle route
- ▶ Extent of proposals
- Access land






Map C1: Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey

Key International Statutory Environmental Designations

Existing designations:

- Deben Estuary Ramsar
- Deben Estuary SPA
- Sandlings SPA
- Extent of proposals
- Proposed route





Riverside House Meadow, Hasketon

WOODBRIDGE

Ferry Cliff, Sutton

Sandlings Forest

Sutton and Hollesley Heaths

Sinks Valley, Kesgrave

Crag Pit, Sutton

Ipswich Heaths

Waldringfield Pit

Rockhall Wood Pit, Sutton

Newbourn Springs

Ramshot Cliff

Bawdsey Cliff

Map C2: Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey


Key National Statutory Environmental Designations

Existing designations:

- Deben Estuary SSSI
- Other SSSIs [individually named]

Extent of proposals Proposed route

Based upon Ordnance Survey material on behalf of HMSO.
 © Crown copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved.
 Natural England OS licence number 100022021








0 3 Kms
 0 2 Miles
 Based upon Ordnance Survey material on behalf of HMSO.
 © Crown copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved.
 Natural England OS licence number 100022021

Map D: Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey

Key Landscape and Historical Designations

Existing designations:

-  Suffolk Coast and Heaths Area of Outstanding Natural Beauty (AONB)
-  Suffolk Heritage Coast
-  Scheduled Monument
-  Extent of proposals
-  Proposed route

7. Future changes

Below we explain the procedures for future changes to the coastal access provisions, once proposals have been approved by the Secretary of State. Where the need for future changes was foreseeable at the time of preparing the proposals we have indicated this in the relevant reports.

Roll-back

Reports FFB 1 to FFB 6 include proposals for specified parts of the route to 'roll-back' either:

- in direct response to coastal erosion or other geomorphological processes, or significant encroachment by the sea; or
- in order to link with other parts of the route that need to roll-back as a direct result of coastal erosion or other geomorphological processes, or significant encroachment by the sea.

Where sections of the approved route need to change for these reasons in order to remain viable, the new route will be determined by Natural England without any requirement for further reference to the Secretary of State. Coastal erosion can happen at any time and so, in some cases, this provision may need to be invoked between approval of the report and commencement of new access rights.

In particular, so far as we consider it necessary in order to maintain the viability of the route as a whole, we may determine that any part of the route is to be repositioned landward of any physical boundary feature, area of excepted land or area from which we consider it necessary to exclude access e.g. a protected site designated for its conservation value.

In determining the new route, we will take into account:

- the local factors present at that time, including any views expressed by people with a relevant interest in affected land;
- the terms of the Coastal Access duty (see Annex B: Glossary of terms), including the requirement to aim to strike a fair balance between the interests of the public and the interests of any person with a relevant interest in the land; and
- the criteria set out in part B of the Coastal Access Scheme.

Any changes to the route in accordance with these proposals will come into force on a date decided by us. On this date, coastal access rights will come into force as necessary along any new alignment. The date of change will follow any necessary physical establishment work, including any installation of signs to enable the public to identify the modified route on the ground. We will take reasonable steps to ensure that anyone with a relevant interest in land directly affected by the change is made aware this date.

In places where the trail rolls back in this way in response to coastal change, the landward extent of the coastal margin may also move inland automatically:

- with the trail itself, or
- because a landward area of section 15 land (see Annex B: Glossary of terms) or foreshore, cliff, dune, or beach, or a bank, barrier or flat, newly touches the trail when it rolls back, with the result that it automatically becomes part of the margin under the terms of the legislation.

Ordinarily, where roll-back has been proposed and becomes necessary, we would expect the trail to be adjusted to follow the current feature (for example, the cliff edge or top of foreshore). Where we foresee that local circumstances will require more detailed consideration, we have provided further information within the tables in Part 3 of the relevant report. This and the above information is intended as a guide only, based on information available to us at the time of writing, and on expert advice provided by the access authority,

Environment Agency and others. We have taken and will continue to take all reasonable steps to discuss implications and options with all parties likely to be affected by such changes, both during the initial planning work that preceded the writing of the reports for each length, and during any future work to plan and implement a 'rolled back' route.

Other changes

We will normally be required to submit a variation report seeking approval from the Secretary of State in order to make any other changes to the route of the trail (or to propose non-automatic changes to the landward boundary of the coastal margin) - for example if the land was subject to new build development. Such changes would be subject to the same procedures for consultation, representations and objections as our initial reports. Potential developments of which we are already aware that could potentially affect the route on the stretch are summarised below.

- At the time of preparing this report, we are not aware of any proposed changes to the land adjacent to the England Coast Path on the Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey stretch of England Coast Path. Should we be made aware of any such proposed changes, Natural England will work with the appropriate partners to review the trail alignment and, if necessary, will prepare a variation report to the Secretary of State.

However, even without a variation report:

- i We would be able to impose new or modify existing local restrictions or exclusions on coastal access rights as necessary, and people with a legal interest in the land would be able to apply to us for such directions under certain circumstances – see chapter 6 of the Coastal Access Scheme.
- ii Further work could be carried out where necessary either to establish or maintain the route, or to provide any means of access to the coastal margin, using powers and procedures set out in Schedule 20 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009 and chapter 3 of Part 1 the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000.
- iii. If at any time the use of affected land should change, the normal rules in relation to excepted land would apply, so for example land newly covered by buildings and their curtilage, and land in the course of development, would automatically become excepted from the coastal access rights – see Annex C: Excepted Land Categories.

8. Restrictions and exclusions

In certain circumstances we can restrict or exclude access to the trail and margin. The legal term for an exclusion or restriction is a direction.

Below, we summarise the directions to exclude or restrict coastal access rights proposed by these reports.

Refer to Part 6.7 and Figure 19 of the approved Coastal Access Scheme for more information.

Report reference	Location/extent (see relevant map for more information)	Type of direction	Purpose of direction	Grounds and relevant section of CROW	Duration
All	Saltmarsh & flats between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey (including all parts of the estuary inland as far as Wilford Bridge). Part of the coastal margin seaward of the trail – see maps FFB E1 to FFB E6.	Exclusion	Unsuitable for public access	Saltmarsh and flat section 25A	All year
Report FFB2	Howes Farm, Martlesham. The trail and part of the coastal margin seaward of the trail section FFB-2-S010 – see map FFB E7.	Dogs on leads	Sensitive wildlife	Nature conservation section 26(3)(a)	All year
Report FFB2	Hill Farm, Martlesham. Grazing marshes seaward of the trail sections FFB-2-S011 to FFB-2-S022 – see map FFB E2.	Exclusion	Sensitive wildlife	Nature conservation section 26(3)(a)	All year
Report FFB4	Part of the coastal margin between Wilford Bridge and Little Haugh, seaward of the trail	Exclusion	Sensitive wildlife	Nature conservation 26(3)(a)	All year

Report reference	Location/extent (see relevant map for more information)	Type of direction	Purpose of direction	Grounds and relevant section of CROW	Duration
	sections FFB-4-S001 and FFB-4-S009- see map FFB E4.				
Report FFB6	Part of the coastal margin seaward of the trail between Ramsholt and Bawdsey seaward of the trail sections FFB-6-S003 to FFB-6-S009– see map FFB E6.	Exclusion	Sensitive wildlife	Nature conservation 26(3)(a)	All year

These directions will not prevent or affect:


- any existing local use of the land by right: such use is not covered by coastal access rights;
- any other use people already make of the land locally by formal agreement with the landowner, or by informal permission or traditional toleration; or
- use of any registered rights of common or any rights at common law or by Royal Charter etc

Any such use is not prohibited or limited by these arrangements.

Where land already has open access rights for the public under Part 1 of the CROW Act (the right to roam over mountain, moor, heath, down and registered common), those pre-existing rights are replaced by coastal access rights wherever the land in question falls within the coastal margin. Where that happens, our report may in some circumstances propose to exclude the replacement rights, even where they were not excluded previously, based on an updated analysis of relevant powers and requirements.

Map FFB E1:

Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB1



Map FFB E1: Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB1


Map FFB E2:

Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB2

Areas to be covered by proposed access exclusions/restrictions on part of the coast between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey.

Restrictions on coastal access rights do not affect public rights of way, or other permitted types of use that may currently exist at a local level.

- Proposed route in this Report
- - - Proposed route shown in another Report
- - - Proposed route with restriction: Dogs on leads all year round [see map FFB E7]
- Saltmarsh and flat unsuitable for public access all year round
- S26 (3)(a) nature conservation, no public access all year round
- Directions shown in another FFB report



Map FFB E2: Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB2

Based upon Ordnance Survey material on behalf of HMSO.
 © Crown copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved.
 Natural England OS licence number 10002021

Map FFB E3:

Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB3

Areas to be covered by proposed access exclusions/restrictions on part of the coast between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey.

Restrictions on coastal access rights do not affect public rights of way, or other permitted types of use that may currently exist at a local level.

- Proposed route in this Report
- Proposed route shown in another Report
- Saltmarsh and flat unsuitable for public access all year round
- Directions shown in another FFB report



Map FFB E3: Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB3

Based upon Ordnance Survey material on behalf of HMSO.
 © Crown copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved.
 Natural England OS licence number 10002021




Map FFB E4:

Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB4

Areas to be covered by proposed access exclusions/restrictions on part of the coast between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey.

Restrictions on coastal access rights do not affect public rights of way, or other permitted types of use that may currently exist at a local level.

- Proposed route in this Report
- - - Proposed route shown in another Report
- Saltmarsh and flat unsuitable for public access all year round
- Access excluded to prevent disturbance to sensitive wildlife all year round
- Directions shown in another FFB report



Map FFB E4: Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB4

0 0.25 0.5 Km

Based upon Ordnance Survey material on behalf of HMSO.
 © Crown copyright and database right 2020. All rights reserved.
 Natural England OS licence number 10002021








Map FFB E5:

Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB5

Areas to be covered by proposed access exclusions/restrictions on part of the coast between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey.

Restrictions on coastal access rights do not affect public rights of way, or other permitted types of use that may currently exist at a local level.

-  Proposed route in this Report
-  Proposed route shown in another Report
-  Saltmarsh and flat unsuitable for public access all year round
-  Directions shown in another FFB report




Map FFB E5: Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB5



Map FFB E6:

Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB6




Map FFB E6: Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB6




Map FFB E7: Directions to exclude/restrict access - as proposed for area covered by Report FFB2

Areas to be covered by proposed access exclusions/restrictions on part of the coast between Felixstowe Ferry and Bawdsey.

Restrictions on coastal access rights do not affect public rights of way, or other permitted types of use that may currently exist at a local level.

-  Proposed route
-  Proposed route (and margin) with restriction: Dogs on leads all year round to protect sensitive wildlife
-  Saltmarsh and flat unsuitable for public access all year round



Annex A: Bibliography

Information about Natural England's coastal access programme:

Natural England

www.gov.uk/government/collections/england-coast-path-improving-public-access-to-the-coast

Information about the statutory framework for coastal access:

Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs

<https://www.gov.uk/guidance/england-coast-path-comment-on-a-proposed-new-stretch>

Coastal Access Scheme

NE446 - Coastal Access: Natural England's Approved Scheme

Natural England

<http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/5327964912746496>

Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009

www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2009/23/part/9/crossheading/the-coastal-access-duty

Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000 [CROW]

www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2000/37/contents

The Access to the Countryside (Coastal Margin) (England) Order 2010

www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/558/contents/made

National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949

www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/Geo6/12-13-14/97

The Coastal Access Reports (Consideration and Modification Procedure) (England) Regulations 2010

www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2010/1976/contents/made

Other published information used in the preparation of the report:

The Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended)

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2017/1012/contents/made>

The Conservation of Habitats and Species and Planning (Various Amendments) (England and Wales) Regulations 2018

<http://www.legislation.gov.uk/uksi/2018/1307/contents/made>

Habitats Directive

http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm

[European Commission](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm)

http://ec.europa.eu/environment/nature/legislation/habitatsdirective/index_en.htm

Other published information used in the preparation of the report:

A register of coastal climbing sites in England

British Mountaineering Council

www.thebmc.co.uk/Download.aspx?id=692

Suffolk Ramblers

<https://www.suffolkramblers.org.uk/>

Suffolk Wildlife Trust

<https://www.suffolkwildlifetrust.org/>

Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB

<http://www.suffolkcoastandheaths.org.uk>

Suffolk Coast and Heaths AONB position statement on the ECP

<http://www.suffolkcoastandheaths.org/assets/Publications/Position-Statements/SCH-AONB-Position-Statement-England-Coast-Path-Dec-2018.pdf>

Accessible natural greenspace provision in Suffolk

Natural England (2010)

<http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/4895140374118400>

Suffolk County Council Rights of Way

<http://www.suffolkpublicrightsofway.org.uk/>

Suffolk Coastal District Council Local Plan

<https://www.eastsuffolk.gov.uk/planning/planning-policy-and-local-plans/suffolk-coastal-local-plan/>

Essex and South Suffolk Shoreline Management Plan - SMP2

Environment Agency (2010)

<http://eacg.org.uk/docs/smp8/essex&southsuffolk%20smp%20final%202.4.pdf>

GeoSuffolk

<http://geosuffolk.co.uk/>

Felixstowe Ferry to Bawdsey Foot and Bicycle Ferry

<http://www.bawdseyferry.co.uk/index.html>

Deben Estuary Partnership

<http://www.suffolkcoastandheaths.org/projects-and-partnerships/estuaries/deben-estuary-partnership>

River Deben Association

<http://www.riverdeben.org/>

MENE Headline Report 2017-2018

Natural England

<https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/monitor-of-engagement-with-the-natural-environment-headline-reports-and-technical-reports-2016-2017-to-2017-2018>

MENE report - Monitor of Engagement with the Natural Environment 2009-19: Datasets and guidance on use (DATA001)

Natural England

<http://publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/2248731>

The New deal; Management of National Trails in England from April 2013 (NE426)

<http://Publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6238141>

Suffolk Green Access Strategy (Rights of Way Improvement Plan)

Suffolk County Council

<https://www.suffolk.gov.uk/council-and-democracy/consultations-petitions-and-elections/consultations/rights-of-way-improvement-plan-suffolk-green-access-strategy-consultation/>

Visit Suffolk

<https://www.visitsuffolk.com/>

The New deal; Management of National Trails in England from April 2013 (NE426)

<http://Publications.naturalengland.org.uk/publication/6238141>

Annex B: Glossary of terms

1949 Act means the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949. The 1949 Act includes provisions and procedures for the creation of long-distance routes (now more commonly known as National Trails). These provisions were amended and added to by the 2009 Act for the purpose of identifying the coastal trail. See Bibliography for publication details.

2009 Act means the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009. Part 9 of the 2009 Act includes provisions to improve public access to the coast. There are supplementary provisions relating to:

- consideration of coastal access reports, objections and representations by the Secretary of State – in Schedule 1A of the 1949 Act (inserted by Schedule 19 of the 2009 Act);
- the establishment and maintenance of the English coastal route – in Schedule 20 of the 2009 Act.

Section 1.2 of the Coastal Access Scheme includes a brief overview of the main provisions, which are explained in more detail in subsequent chapters. See Bibliography for publication details.

alignment is the term we use to describe the choices we make about the proposed route of the trail and the landward boundary of the coastal margin.

alternative route means a route proposed to the Secretary of State under section 55C of the 1949 Act, to operate as a diversion from the ordinary route at certain times. The associated term optional alternative route denotes a route which the public has the *option* to use at times when the ordinary route is unsuitable for use because of flooding, tidal action, coastal erosion or other geomorphological processes. Neither type of alternative route creates any seaward margin. Paragraphs 4.10.16 to 4.10.18 of the Scheme explain more about the potential use of optional alternative routes.

building has the same meaning given in Schedule 1 to CROW, as amended for the coast by the Order. The term includes any structure or erection and any part of a building. For this purpose “structure” includes any tent, caravan or other temporary or moveable structure. It does not include any fence or wall, anything which is a means of access (as defined by CROW section 34 – for example steps or bridges), or any slipway, hard or quay.

coastal access duty means Natural England’s duty under section 296 of the 2009 Act to secure improvements to public access to the English coast. It is explained in more detail in 1.2 of the Coastal Access Scheme.

coastal access rights is the term we use to describe the rights of public access to the coast provided under section 2(1) of CROW as a result of the provisions of the 2009 Act and the Order. Coastal access rights are normally rights of access on foot for open-air recreation. These rights are by default subject to national restrictions and may additionally be subject to directions which restrict or exclude them locally. Section 2.4 of the Coastal Access Scheme explains more about the nature and management of coastal access rights.

coastal margin or margin means a margin of land at the coast falling within one or more of the descriptions given at article 3 of the Order. Its main component is land subject to the coastal access rights, but it also contains other land, including some land that is not accessible to the public. A land owner may also voluntarily include land in the coastal access margin by making a dedication. Section 2.3 of the Scheme explains these other categories of land and how they fit in.

coastal processes is a term used in the reports to mean coastal erosion, encroachment by the sea or other physical change due to geomorphological processes such as landslip. Where any part of the trail could be significantly affected by coastal processes, either directly or because of the need to maintain

continuity with a part that is directly affected, we have included recommendations for it to roll-back in accordance with a description in the relevant report.

CROW means the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000. Coastal access rights take effect by virtue of CROW section 2(1). Certain provisions in CROW are amended or added to by the 2009 Act and the Order for the purposes of the coast. Chapter 2 of the Coastal Access Scheme provides an overview of how the amended CROW provisions apply to the coast. See Bibliography for publication details.

dedicate/dedication means any voluntary dedication of land by the owner or long leaseholder under section 16 of CROW so that it will be subject to access rights under that Act. A dedication may also make provision for specific national restrictions that would otherwise apply over the affected land to be removed or relaxed.

Land within the coastal margin that was previously dedicated as access land under CROW becomes subject to the coastal access regime, including the national restrictions and the reduced level of liability operating on other parts of the margin with coastal access rights. On certain land, a dedication may be used to 'opt in' to the coastal access regime land where it would not otherwise apply. Chapter 2 of the Coastal Access Scheme explains these scenarios in more detail.

definitive map means the legal record of public rights of way. It shows public footpaths, bridleways, restricted byways, and byways open to all traffic.

direction means a direction under chapter II of CROW Part 1 to impose local restrictions or exclusions on the use of the coastal access rights.

Estuarial waters are defined in section 309 of the 2009 Act as any waters within the limits of transitional waters within the meaning of Council Directive 2000/60/EC (the European Community Water Framework Directive). Transitional waters are defined in Schedule 1 to the Directive as "bodies of surface water in the vicinity of river mouths which are partially saline in character as a result of their proximity to coastal waters but which are substantially influenced by freshwater flows". The Environment Agency has mapped their seaward limit on each English estuary. Section 10.1 of the Scheme explains the relevance of estuarial waters to our proposals for coastal access at estuaries.

excepted land – see Annex C.

exclude/exclusion refer to local exclusion of the coastal access rights by direction (as opposed to the national restrictions that apply on all coastal access land by default). In this way the use of the rights may where necessary either be excluded completely, or restricted in specified ways by means of a local restriction. Section 6.6 of the Coastal Access Scheme explains the provisions in detail and our approach to their use.

foreshore is not defined in the 2009 Act or the Order. In the reports and this Overview it is taken to mean the land between mean low water and mean high water.

gate is used in several ways in the reports:

- 'Field gate' means a wide farm gate, for vehicle access.
- 'Kissing gate' means a pedestrian access gate, sometimes suitable for wheelchairs.
- 'Wicket gate' means a narrow field gate, sometimes suitable for wheelchairs, but unsuitable for larger vehicles.

guide fencing is a term the reports may use to describe simple temporary fencing which can be put up and taken down with minimal cost or effort – see figure 18 in chapter 6 of the Coastal Access Scheme.

Habitats Regulations Assessment means an assessment made in accordance with regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (as amended).

the legislation is the term the reports use to describe four pieces of legislation which include provisions relevant to the implementation of our proposals: the 2009 Act, the 1949 Act, CROW and the Order. There are separate entries in the glossary which describe each of these in more detail.

a **length** is the term used to describe the part of the stretch covered by each individual report.

local access forum means a local access forum established under section 94 of CROW. Natural England is required to consult the relevant local access forum in the preparation of the reports, and to invite representations from it on its proposals – see chapter 3 of the Coastal Access Scheme for details.

margin (see coastal margin)

national restrictions – see Annex D.

National Trail means a long-distance route approved by the Secretary of State under section 52 of the 1949 Act.

objection means an objection by a person with a relevant interest in affected land (i.e. its owner or occupier) to Natural England about a proposal in a report. An objection must be made on certain specified grounds, in accordance with the provisions in Schedule 1A of the 1949 Act (as inserted by Schedule 19 of the 2009 Act). Stage 3 of the implementation process described in chapter 3 of the Coastal Access Scheme provides an overview of the procedures for considering objections.

ordinary route means the line normally followed by the trail.

the **Order** means the Access to the Countryside (Coastal Margin) (England) Order 2010 (S.I. 2010/558), made under section 3A of CROW. It sets out descriptions of land which are coastal margin and amends Part I of CROW in certain key respects for the purposes of coastal access. See Bibliography for publication details.

public right of way (PRoW) means a public footpath, bridleway, restricted byway or byway open to all traffic. These public rights of way are recorded on the definitive map.

relevant interest means a relevant interest in land, as defined by section 297(4) of the 2009 Act. This is a person who:

- holds an estate in fee simple absolute in possession in the land;
- holds a term of years absolute in the land, or
- is in lawful occupation of the land.

A relevant interest must therefore own or occupy the land in question, rather than simply having some other kind of legal interest over it.

representation means a representation made by any person to Natural England regarding proposals in any of its reports. A representation may be made on any grounds, in accordance with the provisions in Schedule 1A of the 1949 Act (as inserted by Schedule 19 of the 2009 Act). Stage 3 of the implementation process described in chapter 3 of the Coastal Access Scheme provides an overview of the procedures for considering representations.

restrict/restriction – see “exclude/exclusion”.

Roll-back is the term the Scheme uses to describe arrangements made under the provisions of section 55B of the 1949 Act, whereby we may propose to the Secretary of State in a coastal access report that the route of a specified part of the trail which is subject to significant erosion or other coastal processes, or which links to such a section of trail, should be capable of being repositioned later in accordance with the proposals in our relevant report, without further confirmation by the Secretary of State. Section 4.10 of the Scheme explains in more detail how this works, and part 6(d) of this Overview explains this in the context of the stretch.

route section is the term used in the reports to describe short sections of the proposed route for the trail. Each route section is assigned a nationally unique serial number which we use to refer to it in the proposals and on the accompanying maps.

section 15 land means land with public access rights under:

- section 193 of the Law of Property Act 1925;
- a local or private Act;
- a management scheme made under Part I of the Commons Act 1899; or
- an access agreement or access order made under Part V of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949;

or land subject to, or potentially subject to, public access under section 19 of the Ancient Monuments and Archaeological Areas Act 1979.

Where Section 15 land forms part of the coastal margin (which it may do in any of the ways explained in section 4.8 of the Coastal Access Scheme), these rights apply instead of the coastal access rights. Figure 6 in Section 2.4 of the Coastal Access Scheme shows the relationship of Section 15 land to the coastal access regime in more detail.

spreading room is the term we use to describe any land, other than the trail itself, which forms part of the coastal margin and which has public rights of access.

In addition to land with coastal access rights it therefore includes areas of section 15 land. Spreading room may be either seaward or landward of the trail, according to the extent of the margin. Section 4.8 of the Scheme explains in more detail the ways in which land may become spreading room. Spreading room may be subject to directions that restrict or exclude the coastal access rights locally from time to time. However, the Scheme does not use the term to describe land which is subject to a direction which excludes access for the long-term. Land is not described as spreading room in the Scheme if it falls into one of the descriptions of excepted land, although it may become spreading room if it loses its excepted status as a result of a change of use, or if the owner dedicates it as coastal margin. Where highways such as roads or public rights of way cross spreading room, they remain subject to the existing highway rights rather than becoming subject to coastal access rights.

statutory duty means the work an organisation must do by order of an Act of Parliament.

stretch is the whole area covered by our compendium of statutory reports making coastal access proposals to the Secretary of State, each covering one length within the stretch.

temporary route means a diversionary route which operates while access to the trail is excluded by direction. Unlike an alternative route, a temporary route may be specified later by or under the direction without requiring confirmation by the Secretary of State, though land owner consent is needed in some circumstances. Figure 17 in chapter 6 of the Coastal Access Scheme explains the provisions for temporary routes in more detail.

the trail is the term we use to describe the strip of land people walk along when following the route identified for the purposes of the Coastal Access Duty. See part 1.3 of the Coastal Access Scheme. Following approval by the Secretary of State of our proposals, the trail along that stretch becomes part of the National Trail known as the England Coast Path. By default, it is the land within 2 metres on either side of the approved route line, but often it is wider or narrower than this. The trail forms part of the coastal margin.

variation report means a report to the Secretary of State under section 55(1) of the 1949 Act. Natural England may prepare a variation report recommending changes to coastal access proposals that have previously been approved. Figure 10 in chapter 3 of the Coastal Access Scheme explains in more detail the circumstances when a variation report is necessary.

A variation report may also be prepared by Natural England (in the context of our recommendations for the **trail** which we have a duty to secure under section 296 of the 2009 Act) to recommend that the route of another National Trail at the coast is modified.

Annex C: Excepted land categories

The effect of Schedule 1 to the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 is that some categories of land are completely excluded from the coastal access rights, even if they fall within the coastal margin:

- land covered by buildings or the curtilage of such land;
- land used as a park or garden;
- land used for the getting of minerals by surface working including quarrying (except, under certain circumstances, the removal of sand or shingle from an area of foreshore or beach);
- land used for the purposes of a railway (including a light railway) or tramway;
- land covered by pens in use for the temporary detention of livestock;
- land used for the purposes of a racecourse or aerodrome;
- land which is being developed and which will become excepted land under certain other excepted land provisions;
- land covered by works used for the purposes of a statutory undertaking (other than flood defence works or sea defence works) or the curtilage of such land;
- land covered by works used for an electronic communications code network or the curtilage of any such land;
- land the use of which is regulated by byelaws under section 14 of the Military Lands Act 1892 or section 2 of the Military Lands Act 1900;
- land which is, or forms part of, a school playing field or is otherwise occupied by the school and used for the purposes of the school; and
- land which is, or forms part of, a highway (within the meaning of the Highways Act 1980) – see below.

Some other land categories are excepted by default, but we may propose that the trail should cross them on an access strip – in which case the strip itself is not excepted from the coastal access rights. Where land in any of these categories would form part of the coastal margin in proposals, it would therefore be fully excepted from coastal access rights. That includes:

- land on which the soil is being, or has at any time within the previous 12 months been, disturbed by any ploughing or drilling undertaken for the purposes of planting or sowing crops or trees;
- land used for the purposes of a golf course;
- land which is, or forms part of, a regulated caravan or camping site; and
- land which is, or forms part of, a burial ground.

Highways are also excepted from the coastal access rights. This does not prevent the trail from following a public footpath or other highway, and people can continue exercising their rights to use highways that fall within the wider spreading room. Such highways form part of the coastal margin even though the access rights along them are afforded by other legislation.

Land owners may choose, under the legislation, to dedicate excepted land as a permanent part of the coastal margin. These provisions are explained in more detail in Chapter 2 of our Coastal Access Scheme.

Annex D: National restrictions

The coastal access rights which would be newly introduced under our proposals include most types of open-air recreation on foot or by wheelchair including walking, climbing and picnicking.

The scope of these coastal access rights is normally limited by a set of rules that we call in the Coastal Access Scheme the “national restrictions”.

They list some specific activities not included within the coastal access rights – for example camping, horse riding and cycling. The national restrictions on the coastal access rights are set out on the pages that follow.

The national restrictions do not prevent such recreational uses taking place under other rights, or with the landowner’s permission, or by traditional tolerance – for example on an area of foreshore where horse riding is customary. In particular, these national restrictions have no effect on people’s use of public rights of way or Section 15 land (see the entry for ‘section 15 land’ in the Glossary).

The land owner (or in some circumstances a long leaseholder or farm tenant) also has the option to include such recreational uses within the coastal access rights on a particular area of land, or on his holdings generally. He can do this:

- permanently (i.e. on behalf of himself and future owners of the land), by dedicating such rights under section 16 of the Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000 (CROW); or
- until further notice, by agreeing that we should give a direction under CROW Schedule 2 paragraph 7 to this effect.

We can provide more information about these options on request.

Countryside and Rights of Way Act 2000

SCHEDULE 2 RESTRICTIONS TO BE OBSERVED BY PERSONS EXERCISING RIGHT OF ACCESS (Section 2)

General restrictions

- 1 (1) Subject to sub-paragraph (2), section 2(1) does not entitle a person to be on any land if, in or on that land, he –
- (a) drives or rides any vehicle other than an invalid carriage as defined by section 20(2) of the Chronically Sick and Disabled Persons Act 1970,
 - (b) uses a vessel or sailboard on any non-tidal water,
 - (c) has with him any animal other than a dog,
 - (d) commits any criminal offence,
 - (e) lights or tends a fire or does any act which is likely to cause a fire,
 - (f) intentionally or recklessly takes, kills, injures or disturbs any animal, bird or fish,
 - (g) intentionally or recklessly takes, damages or destroys any eggs or nests,
 - (h) feeds any livestock,
 - (i) bathes in any non-tidal water,
 - (j) engages in any operations of or connected with hunting, shooting, fishing, trapping, snaring, taking or destroying of animals, birds or fish or has with him any engine, instrument or apparatus used for hunting, shooting, fishing, trapping, snaring, taking or destroying animals, birds or fish,
 - (k) uses or has with him any metal detector,
 - (l) intentionally removes, damages or destroys any plant, shrub, tree or root or any part of a plant, shrub, tree or root,
 - (m) obstructs the flow of any drain or watercourse, or opens, shuts or otherwise interferes with any sluice-gate or other apparatus,
 - (n) without reasonable excuse, interferes with any fence, barrier or other device designed to prevent accidents to people or to enclose livestock,
 - (o) neglects to shut any gate or to fasten it where any means of doing so is provided, except where it is reasonable to assume that a gate is intended to be left open,
 - (p) affixes or writes any advertisement, bill, placard or notice,
 - (q) in relation to any lawful activity which persons are engaging in or are about to engage in on that or adjoining land, does anything which is intended by him to have the effect –
 - (i) of intimidating those persons so as to deter them or any of them from engaging in that activity,
 - (ii) of obstructing that activity, or
 - (iii) of disrupting that activity,
 - (r) without reasonable excuse, does anything which (whether or not intended by him to have the effect mentioned in paragraph (q)) disturbs, annoys or obstructs any persons engaged in a lawful activity on the land,
 - (s) engages in any organised games, or in camping, hang-gliding or para-gliding, or
 - (t) engages in any activity which is organised or undertaken (whether by him or another) for any commercial purpose.
- (2) Nothing in sub-paragraph (1)(f) or (j) affects a person's entitlement by virtue of section 2(1) to be on any land which is coastal margin if the person's conduct (to the extent that it falls within sub-paragraph (1)(f) or (j)) is limited to permitted fishing-related conduct.

- (3) In sub-paragraph (2) the reference to permitted fishing-related conduct is a reference to the person –
- (a) having a fishing rod or line, or
 - (b) engaging in any activities which –
 - (i) are connected with, or ancillary to, fishing with a rod and line, or with a line only, in the exercise of a right to fish, and
 - (ii) take place on land other than land used for grazing or other agricultural purposes.
- 2 (1) In paragraph 1(k), “metal detector” means any device designed or adapted for detecting or locating any metal or mineral in the ground.
- (2) For the purposes of paragraph 1(q) and (r), activity on any occasion on the part of a person or persons on land is “lawful” if he or they may engage in the activity on the land on that occasion without committing an offence or trespassing on the land.
- 3 Regulations may amend paragraphs 1 and 2.
- 4 (1) During the period beginning with 1st March and ending with 31st July in each year, section 2(1) does not entitle a person to be on any land if he takes, or allows to enter or remain, any dog which is not on a short lead.
- (2) Sub-paragraph (1) does not apply in relation to land which is coastal margin.
- 5 Whatever the time of year, section 2(1) does not entitle a person to be on any land if he takes, or allows to enter or remain, any dog which is not on a short lead and which is in the vicinity of livestock.
- 6 In paragraphs 4 and 5, “short lead” means a lead of fixed length and of not more than two metres.
- 6A (1) Whatever the time of year, section 2(1) does not entitle a person to be on any land which is coastal margin at any time if –
- (a) that person has taken onto the land, or allowed to enter or remain on the land, any dog, and
 - (b) at that time, the dog is not under the effective control of that person or another person.
- (2) For this purpose a dog is under the effective control of a person if the following conditions are met.
- (3) The first condition is that –
- (a) the dog is on a lead, or
 - (b) the dog is within sight of the person and the person remains aware of the dog's actions and has reason to be confident that the dog will return to the person reliably and promptly on the person's command.
- (4) The second condition is that the dog remains –
- (a) on access land, or
 - (b) on other land to which that person has a right of access.
- (5) For the purposes of sub-paragraph (4), a dog which is in tidal waters is to be regarded as remaining on access land.
- 6B (1) Section 2(1) does not entitle a person to be on any land which is coastal margin if, on that land, the person obstructs any person passing, or attempting to pass, on foot along any part of the English coastal route, any official alternative route or any relevant temporary route.
- (2) In this paragraph –
- “the English coastal route” means the route secured pursuant to the coastal access duty (within the meaning of section 296 of the Marine and Coastal Access Act 2009);
- “official alternative route” has the meaning given by section 55J of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1949;

“relevant temporary route” means a route for the time being having effect by virtue of a direction under section 55I of that Act to the extent that the line of the route passes over coastal margin.

Front cover photo: Felixstowe Ferry/ Claire Cadman

Enquiries about the proposals should be addressed to:

Coastal Access Delivery Team – East

Natural England

Eastbrook

Shaftesbury Road

Cambridge

CB2 8DR

Telephone: 0208 026 5875

Email: eastcoastalaccess@naturalengland.org.uk

Natural England is here to conserve and enhance the natural environment, for its intrinsic value, the wellbeing and enjoyment of people and the economic prosperity it brings.

© Natural England 2020

ISBN: 978-1-78367-347-6

Catalogue Code: NE758

<https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/england-coast-path-improving-public-access-to-the-coast>

Natural England publications are available as accessible pdfs from:

www.naturalengland.org.uk/publications

Should an alternative format of this publication be required, please contact our enquiries line for more information: 0300 060 3900 or email enquiries@naturalengland.org.uk