



Presented to Parliament pursuant to section 12 of the Justice and Security Act 2013

December 2020



#### © Crown copyright 2020

This publication is licensed under the terms of the Open Government Licence v3.0 except where otherwise stated. To view this licence, visit nationalarchives.gov.uk/doc/open-government-licence/version/3

Where we have identified any third party copyright information you will need to obtain permission from the copyright holders concerned.

This publication is available at www.gov.uk/official-documents

Any enquiries regarding this publication should be sent to us at HumanRights@Justice.gov.uk

ISBN 978-1-5286-2208-0

CCS1020426928 12/20

Printed on paper containing 75% recycled fibre content minimum

Printed in the UK by the APS Group on behalf of the Controller of Her Majesty's Stationery Office

#### **Contents**

Report on use of closed material procedure (from 25 June 2018 to 24 June 2019)	3
Applications	4
Declarations	5
Revocations	6
Final judgments	7
Additional information	8

Section 12(1) of the Justice and Security Act 2013 ("the Act") requires the Secretary of State to prepare (and lay before Parliament) a report on the use of the closed material procedure (CMP) under section 6 of that Act. Under section 12(4) of the Act, the report must be prepared and laid before Parliament as soon as reasonably practicable after the end of the twelve month period to which the report relates.

The report is submitted in the form of the tables below. The first set of tables ("Applications", "Declarations", "Revocations", "Final Judgments") lists numbers, as prescribed by section 12(2) of the Act. The second set of tables ("Additional Information") provides the names of the cases referred to in the first set; this additional information is provided at the discretion of the Secretary of State under section 12(3) of the Act.

This is the sixth annual report on this subject and covers the period from 25 June 2018 to 24 June 2019.

#### **Applications**

Applications for a declaration that a CMP application may be made in produring the reporting period	eedings	
Made by the Secretary of State	3	
Made by persons other than the Secretary of State	1	
Applications for a revocation of a declaration made by a court that a CMP application may be made in proceedings during the reporting period		
Made by the Secretary of State	0	
Made by persons other than the Secretary of State	0	

#### **Declarations**

<u>Declarations</u> that a CMP application may be made in proceedings during the reporting period	he
in response to applications made by the Secretary of State during the reporting period	2
in response to applications made by the Secretary of State during previous reporting periods	4
in response to applications made by persons other than the Secretary of State during the reporting period	0
in response to applications made by persons other than the Secretary of State during previous reporting periods	1
of the court's own motion	0

#### **Revocations**

Revocations of declarations for CMP during the reporting period		
in response to applications made by the Secretary of State during the reporting period	0	
in response to applications made by the Secretary of State during previous reporting periods	0	
in response to applications made by persons other than the Secretary of State during the reporting period	0	
in response to applications made by persons other than the Secretary of State during previous reporting periods	0	
of the court's own motion	0	

### **Final judgments**

Final judgments which are closed judgments – made regarding the outcome of the application for a CMP under section 6 Justice and Security Act 2013 proceedings during the reporting period.	2
<u>Final judgments which are NOT closed judgments –</u> made regarding the outcome of the application for a CMP under section 6 Justice and Security Act 2013 proceedings during the reporting period.	2
Final judgments which are closed judgments – made to determine substantive proceedings.	3
Final judgments which are NOT closed judgments – made to determine substantive proceedings.	3

#### **Additional information**

<u>APPLICATIONS</u>	Application made by the Secretary of State?	Claimant(s)	Defendant(s)
Applications for a declaration that a CMP application may be made in proceedings during the reporting period	Yes	NN	Secretary of State for the Home Department
	Yes	Liberty	Secretary of State for the Home Department, Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs
	Yes <sup>1</sup>	IG	Special Immigration Appeals Commission (Judicial Review)
	No	Momen Motasin	Crown Prosecution Service, Commissioner of the Police for the Metropolis

DECLARATIONS Declarations that a CMP application may be made in proceedings during the reporting period	Claimant(s)	Defendant(s)	
	NN	Secretary of State for the Home Department	
	IG	Special Immigration Appeals Commission	
	Abdule	Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and others	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This was a Judicial review of a decision by SIAC. The application for a CMP was made by the Secretary of State for the Home Department on behalf of SIAC.

Kamoka and others <sup>2</sup>	Security Service and others
Abushima and others <sup>3</sup>	Security Service and others
Saleh Mohamed <sup>4</sup>	Security Service and others
Colin Keys	Chief Constable of the Police Service of Northern Ireland

FINAL JUDGMENTS	Claimant(s)	Defendant(s)	
Final judgments which are closed judgments – made regarding the	Abdule	Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and others	
outcome of the application for a CMP under section 6 Justice and Security Act 2013 proceedings during the reporting period.	AS	Secretary of State for the Home Department	
Final judgments which are NOT closed judgments – made regarding the	Abdule	Secretary of State for Foreign and Commonwealth Affairs and others <sup>5</sup>	
outcome of the application for a CMP under section 6 Justice and Security Act 2013 proceedings during the reporting period.	AS	Secretary of State for the Home Department	
	B <sup>6</sup>	Secretary of State for the Home Department	
Final judgments which are closed judgments –	ND <sup>7</sup>	Secretary of State for the Home Department	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> This case was settled so there was no final judgment to determine substantive proceedings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> This case was settled so there was no final judgment to determine substantive proceedings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This case was settled so there was no final judgment to determine substantive proceedings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This claim was withdrawn so there was no final judgment to determine substantive proceedings.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> This case was heard together with ND v SSHD (but not consolidated), so there is a joint judgment.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> This case was heard together with B v SSHD (but not consolidated), so there is a joint judgment.

made to determine substantive proceedings.	Campaign against the Arms Trade	Secretary of State for International Trade <sup>8</sup>
	В	Secretary of State for the Home Department
Final judgments which are NOT closed judgments –	ND	Secretary of State for the Home Department
made to determine substantive proceedings.	Campaign against the Arms Trade	Secretary of State for International Trade <sup>9</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Judgment in the Court of Appeal

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Judgment in the Court of Appeal