Case Number: 1402386/2020 (V)



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant Respondent

Mr P Cooper Mr R Heathman T/A The Pickwick Inn

Heard at: Southampton On: 9 November 2020

Before: Employment Judge Rayner

Appearances

For the Claimant: In person

For the Respondent: Miss K Zakrzewska, Litigation Consultant (from 10.50)

JUDGMENT ON REMEDY

1. This has been a remote hearing by CVP consented to by the parties.

2. Judgment having been given for the claimant on 24 July 2020 by EJ Roper, the respondent will pay the claimant the sum of £11,998.44 calculated as follows:

a.	Compensation for injury to feeling for discrimination on related to sexual orientation of :	£10,000.00	
b.	unlawful deduction from wages	£	878.75
C.	Unpaid holiday pay	£	602.91
d.	Compensation for failure to provide a written		
	statement of terms and conditions of employment	£	516.78

Employment Judge Rayner

Southampton
Dated 9 November 2020

Sent to the parties on 25th November 2020 By Mr J McCormick For the Tribunal office

Case Number: 1402386/2020 (V)

<u>Notes</u>

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Note: online publication of judgments and reasons

The ET is required to maintain a register of all judgments and written reasons. The register must be accessible to the public. It has recently been moved online. All judgments and reasons since February 2017 are now available at: https://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions.

The ET has no power to refuse to place a judgment or reasons on the online register, or to remove a judgment or reasons from the register once they have been placed there. If you consider that these documents should be anonymised in any way prior to publication, you will need to apply to the ET for an order to that effect under Rule 50 of the ET's Rules of Procedure. Such an application would need to be copied to all other parties for comment and it would be carefully scrutinised by a judge (where appropriate, with panel members) before deciding whether (and to what extent) anonymity should be granted to a party or a witness