October 2020



# **Construction noise and vibration Monthly Report – September 2020**

**London Borough of Brent** 

© HS<sub>2</sub> Ltd. qov.uk/hs<sub>2</sub>

| Non  | ı- I echni | cal Summary  | 1      |  |  |  |  |
|--|------------|--|--------|--|--|--|--|
| Abb  | reviatio   | ns and Descriptions  | 2      |  |  |  |  |
| 1  | Intro      | 3  |        |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.2        | Measurement Locations  | 4      |  |  |  |  |
| 2  | Sumi       | mary of Results  | 4      |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.1        | Summary of Measured Noise Levels                                     | 4      |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.2        | Exceedances of the LOAEL and SOAEL                                   | 6      |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.3        | Exceedances of Trigger Level   | 7      |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.4        | Complaints   | 7      |  |  |  |  |
| Арр  | endix A    | Site Locations   | 8      |  |  |  |  |
| Арр  | endix B    | Monitoring Locations   | 10     |  |  |  |  |
| Арр  | endix C    | Data   | 12     |  |  |  |  |
|  | of table   |  | 2      |  |  |  |  |
|  |            | e of Abbreviations<br>nitoring Location                              | 2      |  |  |  |  |
|  |            | mary of Measured dB L <sub>Aeg</sub> Data over the Monitoring Period | 5      |  |  |  |  |
| Table 4: Summary of Exceedances of LOAEL and SOAEL |            |  |        |  |  |  |  |
|  |            | imary of Exceedances of Trigger Levels                               | 6<br>7 |  |  |  |  |
| Table 6: Summary of Complaints                     |            |  |        |  |  |  |  |

### **Non-Technical Summary**

This Noise and Vibration Monitoring Report fulfils HS2 Limited's commitment detailed in the Environmental Minimum Requirements (EMRs), Annex 1, Code of Construction Practice, to present the results of noise monitoring carried out within the London Borough of Brent (LBB) area during the month of September 2020.

Noise monitoring was undertaken in the vicinity of Canterbury Road Vent Shaft (ref: CRVS), where excavation works, removal of concrete foundations, drainage works, installation of signage and barriers were underway.

There were no exceedances of the HS2 threshold levels for significant noise impacts during the reporting period at any monitoring position.

There were no exceedances of trigger levels as defined in Section 61 consents during the reporting period at any monitoring position.

No complaints were received during the monitoring period

# **Abbreviations and Descriptions**

The abbreviations, descriptions and project terminology used within this report can be found in Table 1.

Table 1: Table of Abbreviations

| Acronym/Term   | Definition   |
|--|--|
| L <sub>Aeq,T</sub>   | See equivalent continuous sound pressure level   |
| Ambient sound  | A description of the all-encompassing sound at a given location and time which will include sound from many sources near and far. Ambient sound can be quantified in terms of the equivalent continuous sound pressure level, L <sub>pAeq,T</sub>  |
| Decibel(s), or dB  | Between the quietest audible sound and the loudest tolerable sound there is a million to one ratio in sound pressure (measured in Pascal (Pa)). Because of this wide range, a level scale called the decibel (dB) scale, based on a logarithmic ratio, is used in sound measurement. Audibility of sound covers a range of approximately 0-140dB.  |
| Decibel(s) A-<br>weighted, or<br>dB(A)                                     | The human ear system does not respond uniformly to sound across the detectable frequency range and consequently instrumentation used to measure sound is weighted to represent the performance of the ear. This is known as the 'A weighting' and is written as 'dB(A)'.   |
| Equivalent<br>continuous sound<br>pressure level, or<br>L <sub>Aeq,T</sub> | An index used internationally for the assessment of environmental sound impacts. It is defined as the notional unchanging level that would, over a given period of time (T), deliver the same sound energy as the actual time-varying sound over the same period. Hence fluctuating sound levels can be described in terms of an equivalent single figure value, typically expressed as a decibel level.   |
| Exclusion of data  | Measurement of noise levels can be affected by weather conditions such as prolonged periods of rain, winds speeds higher than 5m/s and snow/ice ground cover. Noise levels measured during these periods are considered not representative of normal noise conditions at the site and, for the purposes of this report, are excluded from the assessment of exceedances and calculation of typical noise levels and are also greyed out in charts. Identifiable incongruous noise and vibration events not attributable to HS2 construction noise are also excluded. |
| Façade   | A facade noise level is the noise level 1m in front of a large reflecting surface. The effect of reflection, is to produce a slightly higher (typically +2.5 to +3 dB) sound level than it would be if the reflecting surface was not there.   |
| Free-field   | A free-field noise level is the noise level measured at a location where no reflective surfaces, other than the ground, lies within 3.5 metres of the microphone position.   |
| LOAEL  | Lowest Observed Adverse Effect Level - the level above which adverse effects on health and quality of life can be detected.  |
| Peak particle<br>velocity, or PPV  | Instantaneous maximum velocity reached by a vibrating element as it oscillates about its rest position. The PPV is a simple indicator of perceptibility and risk of damage to structures due to vibration. It is usually measured in mm/s.   |
| SOAEL  | Significant Observed Adverse Effect Level - the level above which significant adverse effects on health and quality of life occur.   |
| Sound pressure level   | The parameter by which sound levels are measured in air. It is measured in decibels. The threshold of hearing has been set at 0dB, while the threshold of pain is approximately 120dB. Normal speech is approximately 60dB at a distance of 1 metre and a change of 3dB in a time varying sound signal is commonly regarded as being just detectable. A change of 10dB is subjectively twice, or half, as loud.  |
| Vibration dose<br>value, or VDV  | An index used to evaluate human exposure to vibration in buildings. While the PPV provides information regarding the magnitude of single vibration events, the VDV provides a measure of the total vibration experienced over a specified period of time (typically 16h daytime and 8h night-time). It takes into account the magnitude, the number and the duration of vibration events and can be used to quantify exposure to continuous, impulsive, occasional and intermittent vibration. The vibration dose value is measured in m/s <sup>1.75</sup> .         |

### 1 Introduction

- 1.1.1 HS2 is required to undertake noise (and vibration) monitoring as necessary to comply with the requirements of the High Speed Rail (London-West Midlands) Environmental Minimum Requirements, including specifically Annex 1: Code of Construction Practice, in addition to any monitoring requirements arising from conditions imposed through consents under Section 61 of the Control of Pollution Act, 1974 or through Undertakings & Assurances given to third parties. Such monitoring may be undertaken for the following purposes:
  - monitoring the impact of construction works;
  - to investigate complaints, incidents and exceedance of trigger levels; or
  - monitoring the effectiveness of noise and vibration control measures.
- 1.1.2 Monitoring data and interpretive reports are to be provided to each relevant local authority on a monthly basis and shall include a summary of the construction activities occurring, the data recorded over the monitoring period, any complaints received, any periods in exceedance of agreed trigger levels, the results of any investigations and any actions taken or mitigation measures implemented. This report provides noise data, and interpretation thereof, for monitoring carried out by HS2 within the London Borough of Brent (LBB) area for the period 1st to 30th September 2020.
- 1.1.3 There was one active construction site in the local authority area, where monitoring was undertaken, during this period:
  - Canterbury Road Vent Shaft, CRVS worksite (see plan 1 in Appendix A):
    - Work activities include excavation of trial holes, removal of concrete foundations and ground slabs, excavation of areas to formation levels, inspection and rodding of existing drainage, removal of existing, redundant fencing and barriers and installation of signage and new barriers within the site.
- 1.1.4 The applicable standards, guidance, and monitoring methodology is outlined in the construction noise and vibration monitoring methodology report which can be found at the following location <a href="https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/monitoring-the-environmental-effects-of-hs2">https://www.gov.uk/government/collections/monitoring-the-environmental-effects-of-hs2</a>. Noise and vibration monitoring reports for previous months can also be found at this location.

#### 1.2 Measurement Locations

- 1.2.1 Three noise monitoring installations were active in September in the LBB area. Table 2 summarises the positions of the noise monitoring installations within the LBB area in September 2020.
- 1.2.2 A map showing the positions of the noise monitoring installations is presented in Appendix B.
- 1.2.3 Three new noise monitors were installed at Canterbury Road Vent Shaft, worksite CRVS, in September 2020.
- 1.2.4 In September the noise monitor at measurement location N053\_Temp, worksite CRVS, was located to a temporary position within the site compound. The monitor will be relocated to its permanent position once current hoarding works are complete.

Table 2: Monitoring Location

| Worksite<br>Reference | Measurement<br>Reference | Address  |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|
| CRVS N053_Temp        |                          | St Mary's Catholic Primary School, Canterbury Road, London NW6 5ST |
|                       | N054                     | Canterbury House , Canterbury Road, London NW6 5ST                 |
|                       | N055                     | Carlton House, Canterbury Terrace, London NW6 5DY                  |

# **2** Summary of Results

### 2.1 Summary of Measured Noise Levels

2.1.1 Table 3 presents a summary of the measured noise levels at the monitoring location over the reporting period. The  $L_{Aeq,T}$  is presented for each of the relevant time periods averaged over the calendar month, along with the highest single period  $L_{Aeq,T}$  that was found to occur within the month.

Table 3: Summary of Measured dB  $L_{\mbox{\scriptsize Aeq}}$  Data over the Monitoring Period

| Worksite<br>Reference | Measurement<br>Reference | Site Address   | Free-field or<br>Façade<br>measurement | Weekday Average L <sub>Aeq,T</sub><br>(highest day L <sub>Aeq,T</sub> ) |                |                | Saturday Average L <sub>Aeq,T</sub><br>(highest day L <sub>Aeq,T</sub> ) |                |                |                | Sunday /<br>Public Holiday<br>Average L <sub>Aeq,T</sub><br>(highest day<br>L <sub>Aeq,T</sub> ) |                |                |                |                |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|---|----------------|----------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|--|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
|                       |                          |  |  | 0700 -<br>0800  | 0800 -<br>1800 | 1800 -<br>1900 | 1900 -<br>2200   | 2200 -<br>0700 | 0700 -<br>0800 | 0800 -<br>1300 | 1300 -<br>1400   | 1400 -<br>2200 | 2200 -<br>0700 | 0700 -<br>2200 | 2200 -<br>0700 |
| CRVS                  | N053_Temp                | St Mary's Catholic Primary<br>School, Canterbury Road,<br>London | Free-field                             | 62.1<br>(64.1)  | 73.6<br>(85.7) | 60.7 (62.2)    | 60.6<br>(62.1)   | 59.5<br>(61.0) | 61.2<br>(62.1) | 60.5<br>(61.4) | 59.4<br>(59.4)   | 60.4 (62.2)    | 59.3<br>(60.7) | 59.8<br>(61.4) | 59.6<br>(61.4) |
|                       | N054                     | Canterbury House, Canterbury<br>Road, London                     | Free-field                             | 58.8<br>(59.5)  | 64.3<br>(66.8) | 58.0<br>(61.2) | 57.7<br>(60.8)   | 54.0<br>(58.4) | 58.2<br>(60.5) | 58.2<br>(59.9) | 57.6<br>(57.8)   | 57.6<br>(62.0) | 51.3<br>(58.2) | 55.7<br>(58.8) | 52.7<br>(56.6) |
|                       | N055                     | Carlton House, Canterbury<br>Terrace, London                     | Free-field                             | 60.6<br>(61.6)  | 65.5<br>(71.8) | 61.0<br>(62.6) | 60.4<br>(62.5)   | 55.4<br>(59.7) | 60.5<br>(62.7) | 60.2<br>(61.6) | 60.7<br>(61.5)   | 59.7<br>(62.7) | 50.9<br>(58.7) | 57.4<br>(60.9) | 54.4<br>(60.2) |

2.1.2 Appendix C presents graphs of the noise monitoring data over the month for each of the measurement locations. Noise data presented consists of the hourly L<sub>Aeq</sub> values and, where relevant, the L<sub>Aeq,T</sub> values (where the time period T has been taken to be the averaging period as specified in Table 1 of HS2 Information Paper E23). The full data set for the monitoring equipment can be found at the following location:

https://data.gov.uk/dataset/24542ae7-dd44-444f-b259-871c4cc43b5e/environmental-monitoring-data.

#### 2.2 Exceedances of the SOAEL

- 2.2.1 The significant observed adverse effect level (SOAEL) is defined in the 'Planning Practice Guidance Noise' as the level above which "noise causes a material change in behaviour and/or attitude, e.g. avoiding certain activities during periods of intrusion; where there is no alternative ventilation, having to keep windows closed most of the time because of the noise. Potential for sleep disturbance resulting in difficulty in getting to sleep, premature awakening and difficulty in getting back to sleep. Quality of life diminished due to change in acoustic character of the area."
- 2.2.2 HS2 Phase One Information Paper E23: Control of Construction Noise and Vibration sets out the LOAELs and SOAELs for construction noise.
- 2.2.3 Where construction noise levels exceed the SOAEL, relevant periods will be identified, and summary statistics provided in order to evaluate ongoing qualification for noise insulation and temporary rehousing.
- 2.2.4 Table 4 presents a summary of recorded exceedances of the SOAEL at each measurement location over the reporting period, including the number of exceedances during each time period.

Table 4: Summary of Exceedances of LOAEL and SOAEL

| Worksite<br>Reference |           |   | Day<br>(Weekday,<br>Saturday,<br>Sunday,<br>Night) | Time period | Number of exceedances of SOAEL |
|-----------------------|-----------|---|--|-------------|--------------------------------|
| CRVS                  | N053_Temp | St Mary's Catholic<br>Primary School,<br>Canterbury Road,<br>London | Weekday  | 0800 – 1800 | 4*                             |
|                       | N054      | Canterbury House,<br>Canterbury Road,<br>London                     | All days   | All periods | No exceedance                  |

| Worksite<br>Reference | Measurement<br>Reference                             | Site Address | Day<br>(Weekday,<br>Saturday,<br>Sunday,<br>Night) | Time period | Number of exceedances of SOAEL |
|-----------------------|--|--------------|--|-------------|--------------------------------|
|                       | N055 Carlton House,<br>Canterbury<br>Terrace, London |              | All days   | All periods | No exceedance                  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> 4 no. of exceedance of the SOAEL were due to activities being undertaken in close proximity to the monitor and not representative of nearby receptors.

2.2.5 Four exceedances of the SOAEL were recorded at N053\_Temp during periods of works. However, works were being undertaken in close proximity to the microphone, which in September was temporary located within the site compound, and taking into account distance attenuation to the receptors (approx. 50 m), noise levels measured during these periods are not considered representative of noise at nearby receptors.

#### 2.3 Exceedances of Trigger Level

2.3.1 Table 5 provides a summary of exceedances of the Section 61 trigger noise levels determined to be due to HS2 related construction noise measured during the reporting period, along with the findings of any investigation.

Table 5: Summary of Exceedances of Trigger Levels

| Complaint<br>Reference<br>Number (if<br>applicable) | Worksite<br>Reference | Date and<br>Time<br>Period | Identified<br>Source | Results of Investigation (including noise monitoring results) | Actions Taken |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|
| -   | -                     | -                          | -                    | -   | -             |

#### 2.4 Complaints

2.4.1 Table 6 provides a summary of complaint information related to noise received during the reporting period, along with the findings of any investigation.

Table 6: Summary of Complaints


| Complaint<br>Reference<br>Number |   | Description of Complaint | Results of Investigation | Actions Taken |
|----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| -                                | - | -                        | -                        | -             |

# **Appendix A Site Locations**

**OFFICIAL** 

# HS2

### Worksite identification plan - 1




**OFFICIAL** 

# **Appendix B Monitoring Locations**



### Noise monitoring plan - 1



**OFFICIAL** 


### **Appendix C Data**


The following graphs show the hourly measured ambient noise level  $L_{Aeq,1h}$  and, where relevant, the averaged noise level  $L_{Aeq,T}$  values, where the time period T is as specified in Table 1 of HS2 Information Paper E23. Periods with adversely weather affected noise levels are greyed out and have been excluded from the calculation of the  $L_{Aeq,T}$  values in Table 3 of the main report.


#### Worksite: CRVS - Monitoring Ref: N053\_Temp



Note: Missing data before 11:00 on Friday 11<sup>th</sup> September was due to lack of site power (site power was not available at this location before this date). Missing data between 01:00 and 24:00 on Saturday 12<sup>th</sup> September was due to loss of power at the monitoring station.









#### **Worksite: CT – Monitoring Ref: N054**



Note: Missing data before 11:00 on Friday 11<sup>th</sup> September was due to lack of site power (site power was not available at this location before this date).










#### **Worksite: CT – Monitoring Ref: N055**









Note: Missing data from 13:00 on Tuesday 22<sup>nd</sup> September to 10:00 on 23<sup>rd</sup> Wednesday was due to loss of power at the monitoring station.

