

Ministry of Defence  
DIO Accommodation  
Customer Satisfaction Tracker



**Ministry  
of Defence**

Q2 Report

Opinion Research Services  
October 2020

# Ministry of Defence DIO Accommodation Customer Satisfaction Tracker



## Ministry of Defence

Q2 Report  
by Opinion Research Services

Opinion Research Services

The Strand • Swansea • SA1 1AF  
01792 535300 | [www.ors.org.uk](http://www.ors.org.uk) | [info@ors.org.uk](mailto:info@ors.org.uk)

As with all our studies, findings from this survey are subject to Opinion Research Services Standard Terms and Conditions of Contract

Any press release or publication of the findings of this survey requires the advance approval of ORS. Such approval will only be refused on the grounds of inaccuracy or misrepresentation

This study was conducted in accordance with ISO 20252:2012

This version of the report will be deemed to have been accepted by the client if ORS has not been informed of any amendments within a reasonable period of time (1 month).

© Copyright October 2020

# Contents

<b>1. Project Overview .....</b>	<b>5</b>
The Survey .....	5
Survey Methodology and Response.....	5
Interpretation of the Data.....	6
Acknowledgements .....	6
<b>2. Executive Summary .....</b>	<b>7</b>
Summary of Main Findings.....	7
Some Main Conclusions and Recommendations .....	8
Areas of High Performance .....	8
Areas for Consideration.....	8
Satisfaction Comparison.....	9
Dissatisfaction Comparison .....	10
<b>3. Main Findings .....</b>	<b>11</b>
Service Provided by Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) Service Delivery (SD) Accommodation. ....	11
Rules That Govern Entitlement to Service Family Accommodation (SFA).....	13
Overall Quality of the Home.....	15
SFA Estate as a Place to Live.....	17
The Upkeep of Communal Areas and Grounds Maintenance.....	19
Daily Occupancy Charges and Value for Money .....	21
Arrangements for Allocating SFA .....	23
The Way the Move-In Was Dealt With.....	25
The Way the Contractor Deals with Repairs and Maintenance Issues .....	27
The Way the Move-Out Was Dealt With.....	29
The DIO SD Accommodation Listening to Views and Acting Upon Them .....	31
<b>Table of Figures.....</b>	<b>33</b>
Tables .....	33
Figures .....	33

# The ORS Project Team

## Project design, management, and reporting

Anna Shakeshaft

Alastair Layne

Dave Hammond

## Fieldwork management

Robyn Griffiths

## Data analysis

Richard Harris

Sheng Yang

Peter Maggs

# 1. Project Overview

## The Survey

- 1.1 Opinion Research Services (ORS) was commissioned by the Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) to undertake a monthly satisfaction survey with customers living in Service Family Accommodation (SFA).

## Survey Methodology and Response

- 1.2 Structured telephone interviews using a 'CATI' (Computer Assisted Telephone Interviewing) system were undertaken with a randomly selected sample of customers living in SFA each month.
- 1.3 The quarter 2 survey was carried out by telephone between Thursday 2<sup>nd</sup> July and Wednesday 30<sup>th</sup> September 2020 and 1,494 responses were achieved overall.
- 1.4 The tables that appear without commentary below and on the following page show the unweighted profiles of the responses to the survey (please note that the figures may not always sum to 100% due to rounding).

**Table 1: Area – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)**

Area	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
Central	487	33
South East	352	24
South West	573	38
Scotland and Northern Ireland	82	5
Total	1,494	100

**Table 2: Age – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)**

Age	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
16 - 24	77	5
25 - 34	732	49
35 - 44	550	37
Over 44	135	9
Total	1,494	100

**Table 3: Rank – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)**

Rank	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
Officers	331	22
Other Ranks	1,163	78
Total	1,494	100

**Table 4: Month – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding)**

Month	Unweighted Count	Unweighted Valid %
July 2020	500	33
August 2020	494	33
September 2020	500	33
Total	1,494	100

## Interpretation of the Data

- <sup>1.5</sup> Where percentages do not sum to 100, this may be due to computer rounding, the exclusion of “don’t know” categories, or multiple answers. Throughout the volume an asterisk (\*) denotes any value less than half a per cent.
- <sup>1.6</sup> In some cases, figures of 2% or below have been excluded from graphs.
- <sup>1.7</sup> Graphics are used extensively in this report to make it as user friendly as possible. The pie charts and other graphics show the proportions (percentages) of customers making relevant responses. Where possible, the colours of the charts have been standardised with a ‘traffic light’ system in which:
- Green shades represent positive responses.
  - Yellow/Beige and purple shades represent neither positive nor negative responses.
  - Red shades represent negative responses.
  - The darker shades used in the charts are to highlight responses at the extremes. For example, ‘very satisfied’ or ‘very dissatisfied.’
- <sup>1.8</sup> When considering changes in responses between different groups within the population, differences have been analysed using appropriate statistical means to check for statistical significance (i.e. not happened ‘by chance’). Differences that are not said to be ‘significant’ or ‘statistically significant’ are indicative only. Statistical significance is at a 95% level of confidence.

## Acknowledgements

- <sup>1.9</sup> ORS would like to thank Liam Wilson of the DIO for his help and assistance in developing the project. We would also like to thank the 1,494 customers who took part in the survey, without whose valuable input the research would not have been possible.

## 2. Executive Summary

### Summary of Main Findings

- 2.1 The following paragraphs selectively highlight some key findings, but readers are referred to the detailed graphics for the full story. The suite of ORS reports also includes full cross tabulations.
- 2.2 Over two thirds (68%) of customers are satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors, whilst around one in six (16%) are dissatisfied. Taking everything into account, satisfaction in Q2 20/21 is a percentage point higher than the rolling 12-month average of 67%, but it is lower than the previous quarter (Q1 in 20/21) by a percentage point.
- 2.3 Over four fifths (82%) of customers are satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA, whilst 10% are dissatisfied. Satisfaction in this area is a percentage point lower than the rolling 12-month average of 83%.
- 2.4 Seven in ten (70%) of customers are satisfied with the overall quality of their home, whilst just under a fifth (19%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the overall quality of the home in Q2 20/21 has shown a significant increase of four percentage points from the Q1 20/21 value (66%) and now has a value that is four percentage points higher than the rolling average for the 12-month period (66%).
- 2.5 Over four fifths (85%) of customers are satisfied with their SFA estate as a place to live, whilst 8% are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with SFA estate as a place to live in Q2 20/21 has increased by a percentage point from the Q1 20/21 value (84%) and it is now two percentage points higher than the rolling average for the 12-month period (83%).
- 2.6 Three quarters (75%) of customers are satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas (including grounds maintenance), over one in eight (15%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the upkeep of communal areas in Q2 20/21 is lower by 2 percentage points than the Q1 20/21 value (77%) and significantly higher by 4 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (71%).
- 2.7 Over four fifths (81%) of customers are satisfied that their daily occupancy charges provide value for money, whilst 11% are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction that daily occupancy charges provide value for money is higher than the previous quarter, having increased by a percentage point and is now also a percentage point higher than the rolling 12-month average (80%).
- 2.8 Over two thirds (68%) of customers are satisfied with the arrangements for allocating SFA, whilst over a fifth (21%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the arrangements for allocating SFA has decreased significantly by 3 percentage points when compared with the previous quarter, Q1 20/21 (71%) and it is also 3 percentage points lower than the rolling 12-month average (71%).
- 2.9 Over three quarters (77%) of customers are satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with, whilst around one in six (17%) are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction with the way their Move In was dealt with has decreased by 2 percentage points from the previous quarter and is now a percentage point below the rolling 12-month average (78%).

- 2.10 Slightly under half (48%) of customers are satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues, and less than two fifths (38%) are dissatisfied. Satisfaction with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues in Q2 20/21 is lower by 2 percentage points than the Q1 20/21 value (50%). However, it is higher by 3 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (45%).
- 2.11 Four fifths (80%) of customers are satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with, whilst 11% are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction with the way their Move Out was dealt with in Q2 20/21 has shown a decrease of 3 percentage points from the Q1 20/21 value (83%) and is now 2 percentage points below the rolling average for the 12-month period (82%).
- 2.12 Over half (51%) of customers are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them, whilst less than three in ten (27%) are dissatisfied. Customers' satisfaction that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them in Q2 20/21 has shown an increase of a percentage point from the Q1 20/21 value (50%) and is also significantly higher by 4 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (47%).

## Main Conclusions and Recommendations

- 2.13 The most positive indicators have been outlined below as well as some areas for consideration. Please bear in mind that these comparisons of 'best' and 'worst' rankings are an arbitrary indication of performance.

## Areas of High Performance

- 2.14 Satisfaction in Q2 20/21 was higher than the 12-month rolling average for 7/11 of the questions asked in the survey (with satisfaction lower than the rolling average on the other questions), as shown in Table 5.
- 2.15 Over four fifths (85%) of customers are satisfied with SFA estate as a place to live, and this was the highest percentage for satisfaction in the Q2 20/21 survey. A slightly lower proportion are satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA (82%) and that the daily occupancy charge provides value for money (81%).
- 2.16 The other performance area where four fifths of customers were satisfied was the way their Move Out was dealt with (80%).

## Areas for Consideration

- 2.17 Under half (48%) of customers are satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues. This percentage is 3 percentage points above the 12-month rolling average.
- 2.18 Over half (51%) of customers are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them. Again, this percentage is 4 percentage points above the 12-month rolling average.
- 2.19 When considering responses to all questions, those customers who are officers and living in the South East tend to continue to be less satisfied in comparison to customers who are from 'other' ranks or are under the age of 35.



## Satisfaction Comparison

<sup>2.20</sup> The table below shows how satisfaction for each question compares over quarter 2 and to the rolling 12-month average.

**Table 5: How satisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average**

Question	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Q2 average	Rolling 12-month average
<b>Overall service</b>	67%	69%	69%	68%	67%
<b>Rules that govern customers entitlement to SFA</b>	81%	84%	81%	82%	83%
<b>Overall quality of your home</b>	67%	71%	71%	70%	66%
<b>SFA estate as a place to live</b>	84%	85%	84%	85%	83%
<b>Upkeep of communal areas</b>	72%	80%	73%	75%	71%
<b>Daily occupancy charges provide value for money</b>	78%	85%	80%	81%	80%
<b>The arrangements for allocating SFA to customers</b>	68%	68%	67%	68%	71%
<b>The way customers Move In was dealt with</b>	77%	77%	77%	77%	78%
<b>The way the contractor deals with repairs &amp; maintenance issues</b>	44%	51%	48%	48%	45%
<b>The way customers Move Out was dealt with</b>	79%	80%	83%	80%	82%
<b>Listen to customers views and acts upon them</b>	48%	54%	52%	51%	47%

Base: All customers (base size varies)

## Dissatisfaction Comparison

<sup>2.21</sup> The table below shows how dissatisfaction for each question compares over quarter 2 and to the rolling 12-month average.

**Table 6: How dissatisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average**

Question	Jul-20	Aug-20	Sep-20	Q2 average	Rolling 12-month average
<b>Overall service</b>	17%	14%	18%	16%	18%
<b>Rules that govern customers entitlement to SFA</b>	11%	9%	11%	10%	9%
<b>Overall quality of your home</b>	21%	19%	17%	19%	21%
<b>SFA estate as a place to live</b>	8%	9%	8%	8%	10%
<b>Upkeep of communal areas</b>	15%	14%	17%	15%	19%
<b>Daily occupancy charges provide value for money</b>	12%	8%	12%	11%	12%
<b>The arrangements for allocating SFA to customers</b>	21%	21%	20%	21%	19%
<b>The way customers Move In was dealt with</b>	17%	17%	17%	17%	17%
<b>The way the contractor deals with repairs &amp; maintenance issues</b>	43%	35%	37%	38%	42%
<b>The way customers Move Out was dealt with</b>	11%	13%	10%	11%	11%
<b>Listen to customers views and acts upon them</b>	28%	27%	27%	27%	30%


Base: All customers (base size varies)

## 3. Main Findings

### Service Provided by Defence Infrastructure Organisation (DIO) Service Delivery (SD) Accommodation

- 3.1 Over two thirds (68%) of customers are satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors, whilst around one in six (16%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 1: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its Contractors?




Base: All Customers (1,493)

#### Differences by sub-group

- 3.2 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.3 In figure 2 overleaf, we can see that customers who are between the ages of 16-24 are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors.


Figure 2: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.4 Satisfaction with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors in Q2 in 20/21 is one percentage point higher than the rolling 12-month average of 67% but it is lower than the previous quarter (Q1 in 20/21) by one percentage point.

Figure 3: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

## Rules That Govern Entitlement to Service Family Accommodation (SFA)

- 3.5 Over four fifths (82%) of customers are satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA, whilst one in ten (10%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 4: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA?




Base: All customers (1,488)

### Differences by sub-group

- 3.6 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.7 In figure 5 overleaf, we can see that customers who are between the ages of 25-34 are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the rules that govern their entitlement to SFA. In contrast, customers who are aged over 44 are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 5: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA? (by sub groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.8 Satisfaction with the rules that govern customers’ entitlement to SFA in Q2 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 3 percentage points from the Q1 20/21 value (85%) and it is also a percentage point lower than the rolling average for the 12-month period (83%).

Figure 6: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

## Overall Quality of the Home

- 3.9 Seven out of ten (70%) of customers are satisfied with the overall quality of their home, whilst just under a fifth (19%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 7: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home?




Base: All customers (1,494)

### Differences by sub-group

- 3.10 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the overall quality of their home. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.11 In figure 8 overleaf, we can see that customers who live in the South West area are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the overall quality of their home. In contrast, customers who live in the Central area are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 8: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.12 Satisfaction with the overall quality of the home in Q2 20/21 has shown a significant increase of 4 percentage points from the Q1 20/21 value (66%) and now has a value that is 4 percentage points higher than the rolling average for the 12-month period (66%).

Figure 9: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)



## SFA Estate as a Place to Live

3.13 Over four fifths (85%) of customers are satisfied with their SFA estate as a place to live, whilst less than one in ten (8%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 10: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live?




Base: All customers (1,492)

### Differences by sub-group

3.14 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with their SFA estate as a place to live. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.15 In figure 11 overleaf, we can see that there are no significant differences within sub-groups in this quarter regarding customer satisfaction with their SFA estate as a place to live.


Figure 11: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.16 Satisfaction with SFA estate as a place to live in Q2 20/21 has increased by a percentage point from the Q1 20/21 value (84%) and is now two percentage points higher than the rolling average for the 12-month period (83%).

Figure 12: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

## The Upkeep of Communal Areas and Grounds Maintenance

3.17 Three quarters (75%) of customers are satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas (including grounds maintenance) and over one in eight (15%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 13: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance?




Base: All customers who share communal areas (1,432)

### Differences by sub-group

3.18 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.19 In figure 14 overleaf, we can see that customers who live in Scotland and Northern Ireland and customers who are in 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the upkeep of communal areas, whilst customers who live in the South East area and customers who are officers are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 14: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers who share communal areas (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.20 Satisfaction with the upkeep of communal areas in Q1 20/21 is 2 percentage points lower than the Q1 20/21 value (77%). It is significantly higher by 4 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (71%).

Figure 15: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers who share communal areas (base size varies)

## Daily Occupancy Charges and Value for Money

- 3.21 Over four fifths (81%) of customers are satisfied that their daily occupancy charges provide value for money, whilst around one eighth (11%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 16: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money?




Base: All customers (1,487)

### Differences by sub-group

- 3.22 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied that their daily occupancy charges provide value for money. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.
- 3.23 We can see in figure 17 that customers who are in 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied that their daily occupancy charges provide value for money. In contrast, customers who are officers are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 17: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.25 Customers’ satisfaction that daily occupancy charges provide value for money is higher than the previous quarter, having increased by 1 percentage point, and is now also 1 percentage point higher than the rolling 12-month average (80%).

Figure 18: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

## Arrangements for Allocating SFA

3.26 Over two thirds (68%) of customers are satisfied with the arrangements for allocating SFA, whilst over a fifth (21%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 19: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you?




Base: All customers (1,489)

### Differences by sub-group

3.27 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the arrangements of allocating SFA to them. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.28 Figure 20 overleaf shows that customers who are aged 16-24 and customers who are 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the arrangements of allocating SFA to them. In contrast, officers, Customers who are Officers are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 20: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.29 Satisfaction with the arrangements for allocating SFA in Q2 20/21 has shown a significant decrease of 3 percentage points from the Q1 20/21 value (71%) and now has a value that is 3 percentage points lower than the rolling average for the 12-month period (71%).

Figure 21: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)



## The Way the Move-In Was Dealt With

3.30 Over three quarters (77%) of customers are satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with, whilst around one in six (17%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 22: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with?




Base: All customers (1,484)

### Differences by sub-group

3.31 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.32 In figure 23 overleaf, we can see that customers who are from 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the way their Move In was dealt with, whilst officers and those customers who live in the South East area are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 23: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.33 Customers’ satisfaction with the way their Move In was dealt with has decreased by 2 percentage points compared to the previous quarter, Q1 20/21 (79%) and is now a percentage point lower than the rolling 12-month average (78%).

Figure 24: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers (base size varies)

## The Way the Contractor Deals with Repairs and Maintenance Issues

3.34 Slightly under half (48%) of customers are satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues, and nearly two fifths (38%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 25: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues?




Base: All customers who have had repairs/maintenance work carried out (1,437)

### Differences by sub-group

3.35 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results for sub-groups which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.36 In figure 26 overleaf, we can see that customers who live in the Central area and those who are in 'other ranks' are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance. In contrast, officers and customers who live in the South East area are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 26: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers who have had repairs/maintenance work carried out (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.37 Satisfaction with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues in Q2 20/21 is lower by 2 percentage points than the Q1 20/21 value (50%) and also higher by 3 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (45%).

Figure 27: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers who have had repairs/maintenance work carried out (base size varies)

## The Way the Move-Out Was Dealt With

3.38 Four fifths (80%) of customers are satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with, whilst around one in ten (11%) are dissatisfied.

Figure 28: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with?




Base: All customers who have moved out of SFA accommodation (1,026)

### Differences by sub-group

3.39 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.40 In figure 29 overleaf, we can see that customers who live in the South West area and customers who are officers are significantly more likely to be satisfied with the way their Move Out was dealt with, whilst customers who are from 'other' ranks and those customers who live in the Central area are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 29: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers who have moved out of SFA accommodation (base size varies)

3.41 Customers’ satisfaction with the way their Move Out was dealt with in Q2 20/21 has shown a decrease of 3 percentage points from the Q1 20/21 value (83%) and is now 2 percentage points below the rolling average for the 12-month period (82%).

Figure 30: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months




Base: All customers who have moved out of SFA accommodation (base size varies)

## The DIO SD Accommodation Listening to Views and Acting Upon Them

3.42 Over half (51%) of customers are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them, whilst under three in ten (27%) are dissatisfied. Over a fifth (22%) said that they are neither satisfied nor dissatisfied.

Figure 31: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them?




Base: All customers (1,298)

### Differences by sub-group

3.43 The chart overleaf shows how the responses for this question vary across different sub-groups of the population who stated they are satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them. Results for sub-groups which are significantly more likely than the overall score are highlighted in green, whilst results which are significantly less likely are highlighted in red.

3.44 In figure 32 overleaf, we can see that customers who are aged 16 to 34 and those who are 'other' ranks are significantly more likely to be satisfied that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them. In contrast, officers and customers who are aged 35 to 44 are significantly less likely to think this.


Figure 32: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? (by sub-groups)



Base: All customers (number of customers shown in brackets)

3.45 Customers’ satisfaction that DIO SD Accommodation listens to their views and acts upon them in Q2 20/21 has shown an increase of a percentage point from the Q1 20/21 value (50%) and is also significantly higher by 4 percentage points than the rolling average for the 12-month period (47%).

Figure 33: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? Trend over last 12 months



Base: All customers (base size varies)



# Table of Figures

## Tables

Table 1: Area – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	5
Table 2: Age – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	5
Table 3: Rank – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	6
Table 4: Month – All Customers (Note: Figures may not sum due to rounding).....	6
Table 5: How satisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average.....	9
Table 6: How dissatisfaction compares over the quarter and to the rolling 12-month average.....	10

## Figures

Figure 1: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its Contractors? .....	11
Figure 2: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? (by sub-groups).....	12
Figure 3: Taking everything into account, how satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the service provided by DIO SD Accommodation and its contractors? Trend over last 12 months.....	12
Figure 4: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA?.....	13
Figure 5: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA? (by sub groups).....	14
Figure 6: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the rules that govern your entitlement to SFA? Trend over last 12 months.....	14
Figure 7: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home?.....	15
Figure 8: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? (by sub-groups).....	16
Figure 9: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the overall quality of your home? Trend over last 12 months.....	16
Figure 10: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? .....	17
Figure 11: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? (by sub-groups) .....	18
Figure 12: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with your SFA estate as a place to live? Trend over last 12 months .....	18
Figure 13: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? .....	19
Figure 14: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? (by sub-groups).....	20
Figure 15: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the upkeep of communal areas, including grounds maintenance? Trend over last 12 months.....	20
Figure 16: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? .....	21
Figure 17: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? (by sub-groups).....	22
Figure 18: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that your daily occupancy charges provide value for money? Trend over last 12 months .....	22
Figure 19: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? .....	23
Figure 20: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? (by sub-groups).....	24
Figure 21: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the arrangements for allocating SFA to you? Trend over last 12 months.....	24

Figure 22: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? .....	25
Figure 23: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? (by sub-groups) .....	26
Figure 24: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move In was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months .....	26
Figure 25: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? .....	27
Figure 26: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? (by sub-groups) .....	28
Figure 27: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way the contractor deals with repairs and maintenance issues? Trend over last 12 months .....	28
Figure 28: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? .....	29
Figure 29: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? (by sub-groups) .....	30
Figure 30: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you with the way your Move Out was dealt with? Trend over last 12 months .....	30
Figure 31: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? .....	31
Figure 32: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? (by sub-groups) .....	32
Figure 33: How satisfied or dissatisfied are you that DIO SD Accommodation listens to your views and acts upon them? Trend over last 12 months .....	32