Title:	Independent Phase One Planning Forum for HS2
Date & Time	Thursday 28 <sup>th</sup> May 2020 13:00 – 15:00 Microsoft Teams Meeting
Chair Promoter Attendees:	Independent ChairHS2 LtdHS2 Ltd
Local Authority Attendees:	Fusion JVThree Rivers District Council (TRDC)Cherwell District Council (CDC)Westminster City Council (Westminster CC)Aylesbury Vale District Council (AVDC)London Borough of Camden (LBC)Warwick District Council (WDC)South Northamptonshire Council (SNC)Birmingham City Council (Birmingham CC)
	Image: Similignam City Council (Birningnam CC)North Warwickshire Borough Council (NWBC)North Warwickshire Borough Council (NWBC)Northamptonshire County Council (NCC)London Borough of Hammersmith and Fulham (LBHF)Buckinghamshire Council (Bucks C)Hertfordshire County Council (HCC)London Borough of Camden (LBC)Solihull Met Borough Council (SMBC)
	Old Oak and Park Royal Development Corporation (OPDC)Lichfield District Council (LDC)Birmingham City Council (Birmingham CC)Warwickshire County Council (WCC)Staffordshire County Council (SCC)Stratford District Council (SDC)
Guests	HS2 Ltd

tem			Action Owner
•	Introductions – were made.		
2.	Review of minutes & actions from last mThe minutes of the January Planning Ford change received from HCC via email.Action: HS2 to place minutes on websiteOutstanding actionsActions were reviewed. It was agreed that removed from the tracker:	um were agreed, subject to a minor , as amended.	HS2
	Action	Status	
	Design development of OLE to be presented at a future meeting, for information.	Last presentation Nov-18. Arrange for future meeting.	
	Keep Planning Forum updated on the HS2 standalone website.	Planning Forum members to use the HS2 website link and feedback with any issues.	
	EHO Subgroup to be engaged on relevant CDEs.	Ongoing.	
	HS2 to arrange for the Head of Arts and Culture to attend a future meeting of Forum.	Arrange for future meeting.	
	HS2 to update the Forum on route- wide issues arising from appeal decisions (when known).	Ongoing.	
	Planning Forum Note 6 (Appendix A).	Planning Forum Note 6 (Appendix A) is currently going through HS2 governance and will be brought to a future Planning Forum. Ongoing.	
	HS2 to circulate a position statement on rural fencing standards.	Update under item 5.	
	HS2 Urban Integration to present again in 6-9 months with more focus on Phase 1.	Urban integration to be presented at a future Planning Forum. Ongoing.	
	Amend PFN9 to include a note to LPAs to add references to indicative mitigation responses as Informatives to Schedule 17 decision notices.	Proposed amendment issued 26 May – item 7	

Raise co-ordination of highways notifications and sequencing of works within highways team.	Coordination of highways notifications and sequencing of works has been raised with the highways team. To be kept under review.
Raise the need for engagement under the Class Approval with all contractors.	The need for engagement on conditions to the Class Approval has been raised with contractors and will be kept under review. To be kept under review.
Consider referencing the reverse side of the noise barrier in the next update to the Common Design Elements Planning Forum Note (PFN).	To be included in next revision of the PFN.
Consider opportunities within the noise barrier PFN to replace 'where appropriate' with 'as agreed' or similar.	Updates to PFN to replace 'where appropriate' with 'as agreed' or similar is being considered.
Proceed with the public engagement on the basis of the designs as they are set out in the draft PFNs.	Public engagement on the current draft CDE's has concluded. Closed.
Consider how to progress the suggested additional 'CDEs' (handrails, access steps and fencing) as a separate workstream and present to the Forum at a future meeting.	Suggested additional 'CDEs' (handrails, access steps and fencing) are currently being considered by HS2 and will feature as a future agenda item. Ongoing.
Review notifications on Common Place.	Review of common place notifications is ongoing.
HS2 to present IPT structure and the interface function in more detail at the next Planning Forum.	Presented on 6 May
HS2 to present programme at the next Planning Forum.	Included in slide pack issued on 16 April and covered in item 3.
HS2 to include IDRP comments in a report incorporating all engagement undertaken on CDEs.	Ongoing.
HS2 to circulate CDE Planning Forum Notes three weeks prior to the March Planning Forum, with any proposed	Ongoing

	amendments highlighted.		
	HS2 agreed to set up a separate meeting on the SLA and procedures so the matter is dealt with outside of Planning Forum.	Ongoing	
	HS2 to review Schedule 17 lines to take, used by the Helpdesk and Common Place.	Lines to take reviewed.	
	HS2 to circulate revised drafts of PFN 6 (Appendix A) and PFN 7.	Ongoing. See slides under item 6	
	HS2 to circulate link to the recovered appeal decision in relation to refusal of a lorry route application in Hillingdon.	Link circulated on 31.01.20	
3.	Phase 1 Update		
	<ul> <li>(HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2</li></ul>		
	milestone for commencement of the mai for Birmingham Curzon Street Station.	n works. Schedule 17 consent was given	
	In May, a competition was launched to na the Chiltern tunnel and Schedule 17 cons Station.	-	
	Regarding Covid-19, HS2 offices will be re reduction in capacity.	eopening in June but with a significant	
	Working from home is still considered to This capacity reduction also includes the requested from suppliers that open sites	supply chain. Stringent assurance is can and are adhering to guidance for	
	safe working and monitoring will continu	е.	

LBC asked whether contractor working practices will be changed in light of Covid- 19 from a public health perspective. (HS2) explained that measures are in place to cover these matters and HS2 will continue to work with local authorities.	
Chair asked whether Traffic Management on the progress slide (7 <sup>th</sup> Jan May item) is road or rail systems related. (HS2) clarified that it is rail systems related.	
Chair asked what, in view of the Government announcement, the new arrangements are going to be for Euston delivery. (HS2) explained that this is work in progress between HS2 and the Department for Transport.	
(WCC) asked if a local competition to name the tunnel boring machines (TBM's) would be run for those in Warwickshire and Birmingham - thus giving local character. (HS2) noted that this will probably be the case as it is common practice in tunnelling to name TBM's.	
(Bucks C) noted a recent lack of engagement. (HS2) explained that there will have been constraints due to Covid-19, but information should still be flowing from contractors. <b>Action:</b> HS2 agreed to take the matter away.	HS2
(HS2) presented on the forecast of Schedule 17's, which includes the planned submission of consent applications based on local authority six- month lookaheads. It was explained that contractors have some way to go to improve on accuracy of forecasts, but that they are likely to improve over time. The cycle of lookaheads is also going to be reset so that all authorities receive them in the same month.	
(HCC) asked if the chart could be amended to show the May 'actual' numbers and not the forecast. (HS2) explained that what is shown was a forecast and that the actual submissions in May were much lower.	
(Bucks C) and (TRDC) highlighted that the chart doesn't differentiate between the different types of submission or account for complexity. (HS2) explained that the quarterly lookahead covers this and that this chart is appropriate route wide. Action: It was agreed to include the previous month's actual figures on the chart.	HS2
(TRDC) additionally asked if the project has an understanding of overall numbers of submissions. (HS2) said that circa 900 are forecast for the main and enabling works and that circa 200 have been submitted to date, but there are likely to be considerably more.	
(Bucks C) raised concerns around resources under the SLA and funding agreement, which has been previously communicated to HS2. Chair suggested that this is a local matter which can be discussed bilaterally.	

4.	Local Authority Feedback & Issues Arising	
	Use of GPDO Part 4 (HS2) explained that following feedback from (NWBC), a note had been circulated on the matter, drafted by DLA Piper.	
	■ (NWBC), acknowledged the paper on face value, but asked for assurance on the number of compounds that will be proposed using the GPDO: there is a concern that it will be used frequently.	
	(HS2) was not aware of any other instances, but it is likely that it would be used although not frequently.	
	■ (NWBC) asked for an explanation as to how the HS2 Bill process assessed compounds and how Part 4 rights fit into this. ■ (HS2) explained that the use of GPDO was implicit in the legislation when the Bill was passing through parliament and that the EMRs still apply to HS2 works under Part 4 through the contracts.	
	Chair said that the paper does not give assurance in respect of EMR and U&A compliance. He also queried whether enforcement through the contracts was sufficient, given that compliance for works under the Act could be elevated to the SoS. <b>Action:</b> (HS2) suggested that the note could be updated to cover this.	HS2
	(SNC) noted that the paper touches on the matter of EIA regulations, but sought more detail. (HS2) clarified that EIA regulations do apply when considering whether Part 4 rights apply, being an extension to 'an EIA project'.	
	<ul> <li>(NWBC) expressed concern about cumulative impacts of such sites outside of act limits and sought clarity on the number of sites in North Warwickshire. Might the combined effects of such sites trigger the need for EIA? Chair asked for the matter to be taken away for more consideration.</li> <li>Action: (HS2) to raise issue with the BBV IPT and consider the EIA point further.</li> </ul>	HS2
	<ul> <li>WDC) expressed concern over compounds being set up in various locations, including woodland removal and that community engagement is lacking in this area.</li> <li>(HS2) asked for specific woodland names and noted these.</li> <li>[Post meeting note: details provided by (WDC) have been forwarded to LM for actioning]</li> </ul>	
	(NWBC) highlighted a concern in the delta junction area that construction may spill outside of limits. Chair noted that (HS2) was taking away a similar action above.	
	(SNC) and (HCC) raised a point about spoil balance and suitability of fill material. <b>Action:</b> Chair suggested that this could be covered by (HS2) at the next meeting.	HS2

	<ul> <li>(HCC) raised the issue of a signage strategy for HS2.</li> <li>(HS2) explained that it was still too early to discuss in detail: there are national and international standards and HS2 have a desire to do more.</li> <li>Action: this will be brought to Planning Forum at a later date.</li> </ul>	HS2
5.	Common Design Elements	
	(HS2) summarised the public engagement on CDEs undertaken early in 2020. It was explained that the engagement was broken down into three different settings: urban, rural and transport corridor. Nine questions were asked in total: 424 completed questionnaires were received plus various other responses from statutory bodies, local authorities and one MP.	
	Analysis of the responses is based around four themes: design, landscape, community and safety / security. HS2 plans to share a tabulated report which will include HS2's responses.	
	Action: Planning Forum should receive the draft prior to the July meeting.	HS2
	(Weston Williamson) reminded Forum members of the design ethos and key themes behind the CDEs. <b>Sector</b> stated that on the basis of the consultation responses received it is not proposed to alter the Planning Forum Notes as the majority of the issues raised have been addressed through previous local authority engagement.	
	(HS2) clarified that the aim of HS2 is to issue the public engagement summary and final draft CDE Planning Forum Notes in good time to enable the July Planning Forum to decide whether to approve those Notes. A minor addition would be included to explain that engagement has taken place in accordance with Information Paper D1.	
	Action: HS2 to circulate draft text prior to the July Planning Forum.	HS2
	(SNC) asked whether there will be a common design for fencing and handrails etc. (HS2) explained that they will not be 'common design elements' per se but are design principles for fencing, which will be shared with the Planning Forum when the information is more developed.	
6.	Community Engagement and Helpdesk Update	
	(HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2 (HS2	
	Statistics were shared on the volume and location of complaints to the help desk. Trends show that there has been an increase in complains relating to Covid-19. Across phase one the majority of complaints relate to construction works (site operations and traffic and transport), which reflects the increase in construction	

	activity along the route. (HS2) noted that 97% of complains are resolved within 20 working days.	
	On the matter if community engagement, face to face contact has been cancelled due to Covid-19, but that there are plans in to make this a more virtual process. Common Place is continuing to be updated and the first virtual HS2 event took place on Phase 2a recently, which was successful. The enquiries desk remains open as usual. Further updates can be provided at the next meeting.	
7.	Planning Forum Notes and Appeals Update	
	<ul> <li>Planning Forum Notes (PFNs):         <ul> <li>Changes to PFN 6 &amp; 7 are in abeyance due internal governance delays.</li> <li>PFN's for CDEs will be updated with a paragraph to reference the community engagement activities. They will be shared for approval at the July Planning Forum.</li> <li>PFN 9 has been updated to include a suggested informative on comments received from local authorities on indicative mitigation, as per comments received from HCC. Action: HCC to review the PFN 9 wording and feedback to HS2 following the meeting.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Post Meeting Note: HCC confirmed they were content with the wording. PFN9 agreed. The updated version will be uploaded to the GOV.UK website.</li> <li>Appeals:         <ul> <li>(HS2) noted the following:</li> <li>Appeals Digest issued 26-May-20. To be updated as new decisions issued.</li> <li>Appeals Digest issued 26-May-20. To be updated as new decisions issued.</li> <li>Appeals Digest issued 26-May-20. To be updated as new decisions issued.</li> <li>Appeals Digest issued 26-May-20. To be updated as new decisions issued.</li> <li>Appeals Digest issued 26-May-20. To be updated as new decisions issued.</li> <li>Appeals (Key Principles' document to follow.</li> <li>Colne Valley Viaduct (conditions). Appeal decision issued 26 May.</li> <li>Currently 4 live appeals (2 x LB Camden; 2 x LB Hillingdon):                 <ul></ul></li></ul></li></ul>	нсс
8.	<ul> <li>Forward Plan/ AOB</li> <li>Future meetings: <ul> <li>23<sup>rd</sup> July 2020</li> <li>24<sup>th</sup> September 2020</li> <li>26<sup>th</sup> November 2020</li> </ul> </li> <li>Meetings will be conducted via Microsoft Teams and kept under review.</li> <li>Chair raised that the July agenda could be busy and asked whether another meeting could take place in late June, to cover a single item such as urban integration or the arts programme. This was supported by HCC and WCC.</li> <li>and (HS2 expressed that the town planning team may not have the resources, but suggested that other non-planning agenda items, such as</li> </ul>	

ĺ	community engagement could take place on the alternate months.	
	Action: HS2 take the matter away and feedback.	HS2