



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr Michal Woloszyn
Respondent: Hot May Pot Pot Limited
At: London Central Employment Tribunal
Before: Employment Judge Adkin

JUDGMENT

1. The Respondent has failed to file an ET3 Grounds of Resistance in this case.
2. Having considered the Claim form (ET1), Employment Judge Adkin has decided that a determination the claim can properly be made without a hearing.
3. The Judgment of the Tribunal, made under rule 21 of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013, is that the Respondent unlawfully deducted the Claimant's wages pursuant to section 13 of the Employment Rights Act 1996.
4. The Respondent shall pay to the Claimant the sum of **£563.12**.

REASONS

1. The Claimant states, and I accept that he was not paid for 68 hours and 59 minutes at an hourly rate of £8.21, making £563.12.
2. A further claim for service charges I cannot determine as I have insufficient evidence to do so.
3. Similarly the claim for holiday I cannot determine as I have insufficient evidence to do so.

Employment Judge Adkin

Dated:07/08/2020

Sent to the parties on:

10/08/2020

For the Tribunal:

.....