

## SARS-CoV-2 inactivation testing: interim report

Report identifier	HCM/CoV2/030/v1		
Report date	15 July 2020		
Undertaken by High Containment Microbiology, NIS Laboratories, National Infection			
Service, Public Health England			
N.B. This is an interim report and may be updated as further results are obtained			

Product/treatment details			
Product/treatment	eNAT® Collection and Preservation System		
Manufacturer	Copan		
Product code	608CS01R		
Available information on product composition, as supplied	Contains 42.5-45% guanidine thiocyanate		
Manufacturer's recommended ratio of sample to product	Swab placed directly into tube containing product, or maximum 3 volumes liquid specimen added to 1 volume product		

Sample details	<u></u>
Sample type tested	Tissue culture fluid containing 5% (v/v) foetal calf serum
Virus strain tested	SARS-CoV-2 England 2
Ratio of spiked virus stock to sample matrix	Not applicable; tissue culture fluid used undiluted

Experimental conditions			
Ratio of sample to product tested	3 volumes sample to 1 volume product 1 volume sample to 3 volumes product		
Contact time/s	10 minutes; 30 minutes; 60 minutes		
Temperature of incubation	Room temperature		

Triplicate samples were treated with test buffer for indicated contact time/s or mock-treated in triplicate with an equivalent volume of PBS. All samples were then subjected to a purification step to remove cytotoxic buffer components. PBS-treated samples were subjected to the same purification procedure in parallel, as a control for virus recovery.

Brief description of tests performed

**Test 1:** Purified samples were immediately titrated on Vero E6 cells to establish virus titre. This test is quantitative and reports the titre of virus in each treatment condition in TCID50 per ml. Reduction in virus titre following treatment is given as the difference between the mean log<sub>10</sub> TCID50/ml for treated conditions and the PBS control.

Test 2: In parallel, purified samples were seeded onto Vero E6 monolayers to amplify any remaining virus over the course of up to four serial passages. Virus amplification over each passage was detected by visual (microscopic) examination of monolayers for cytopathic effect, and confirmed by SARS-CoV-2-specific real-time PCR. This test is qualitative and reports either the presence or absence of virus amplification. This test may detect levels of virus that are below the detection limit of the titration assay (test 1) due to a greater sample plating volume and the opportunity for any virus present to amplify over serial passages.

Table of results (3 volumes sample to 1 volume product)				
Maximum detectable virus reduction in test 1 (log <sub>10</sub> TCID50/ml)			6.2	
	Test 1: Virus titration post-treatment		Test 2: Passage of samples in cell culture	
	Mean virus titre (log <sub>10</sub> TCID50/ml)	Titre reduction (log <sub>10</sub> TCID50/ml)	Virus detected/ Virus not detected	
PBS-treated	6.9	-	Virus detected (all replicates)	
Test buffer-treated (10 minutes)	1.9	5.0	Virus detected (all replicates)	
Test buffer-treated (30 minutes)	1.8	5.1	Virus detected (all replicates)	
Test buffer-treated (60 minutes)	1.7	5.2	Virus detected (all replicates)	

Table of results (1 volume sample to 3 volumes product)				
Maximum detectable virus reduction in test 1 (log <sub>10</sub> TCID50/ml)			5.1	
	Test 1: Virus titration post-treatment		Test 2: Passage of samples in cell culture	
	Mean virus titre (log <sub>10</sub> TCID50/ml)	Titre reduction (log <sub>10</sub> TCID50/ml)	Virus detected/ Virus not detected	
PBS-treated	6.8	•	Virus detected (all replicates)	
Test buffer-treated (10 minutes)	≤1.7 <sup>†</sup>	≥5.1	Virus detected (≥1 replicate)	
Test buffer-treated (30 minutes)	≤1.7 <sup>†</sup>	≥5.1	Virus detected (≥1 replicate)	
Test buffer-treated (60 minutes)	≤1.7 <sup>†</sup>	≥5.1	Virus not detected	

<sup>†</sup>Virus titre in undiluted sample could not be determined due to buffer toxicity

## Interpretation

Test 1: Two different ratios of sample to product were evaluated. Using 3 sample volumes per volume of product reduced infectious virus titre by  $\geq 5.0 \log_{10}$  at all contact times tested, but virus could still be detected in all treated sample replicates. Increasing the concentration of product to 3 volumes of product per volume of sample gave  $\geq 5.1 \log_{10}$  reduction at all contact times tested, the maximum detectable titre reduction in this test.

Test 2: Infectious virus was recoverable from all treated sample replicates at 3 sample volumes per volume of product. At 3 volumes of product per volume of sample, virus was detectable from one replicate after 10 and 30 minute treatment times. No infectious virus was detected from samples treated with 3 volumes of product per volume of sample for 60 minutes.

Demonstrating complete inactivation is dependent on the starting titre of virus used for testing, and it is likely that complete inactivation could be achieved if samples contained lower levels of infectious virus than those tested here. Conversely, sample treatments that inactivate virus effectively in our testing may fail to inactivate samples containing higher levels of virus than those evaluated in this study.

This test has been performed on tissue culture fluid containing 5% (v/v) foetal calf serum. The effectiveness of this treatment against SARS-CoV-2 may vary when used to inactivate clinical samples or other types of sample matrix. Any results of inactivation testing using other sample matrices will be released as they become available.

Inactivation reagents should not be assumed to be 100% effective against SARS-CoV-2.

Suitability of products and treatments for inactivation of other pathogens has not been evaluated in this study.

All COVID-19 laboratory testing workflows must be subjected to suitable and sufficient risk assessment, with consideration given to any inactivation step. Risk assessments should be reviewed regularly as new information on the inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 becomes available.

The impact of chosen inactivation method on the sensitivity of subsequent SARS-CoV-2 detection should also be assessed locally.

## Disclaimer

PHE's evaluations of commercial products and treatments for inactivating SARS-CoV-2 have been carried out primarily for PHE's own internal use and the reports of such evaluations are shared solely for readers information; PHE does not in any way recommend any particular product for virus inactivation; and PHE shall not be responsible for the choice of product or treatment for virus inactivation, and it is the responsibility of the testing laboratory to ensure that any such product or treatment implemented has undergone the necessary verification and validation; and PHE shall not be liable, to the greatest extent possible under any applicable law, for any claim, loss or damage arising out of or connected with use of this and related reports and choice of virus inactivation products or treatments.

PHE is an Executive Agency of the Department of Health and Social Care. Unauthorised use of the PHE name and/or logo is prohibited.

## **Summary of revisions**

Version 1: New document

Queries regarding this report or HCM inactivation testing should be directed to HCMgroup@phe.gov.uk

PHE publications gateway number: GW-1464