

SARS-CoV-2 inactivation testing: interim report

Report identifier	eport identifier HCM/CoV2/028/v1	
Report date	14 July 2020	
Undertaken by High Containment Microbiology, NIS Laboratories, National Infection		
Service, Public Health England		
N.B. This is an interim report and may be updated as further results are obtained		

Product/treatment details			
Product/treatment	MAST MELT Medium A		
Manufacturer	Mast Group		
Product code	(A)		
Available information on product composition, as supplied	<1g guanidine hydrochloride 5% EDTA 1-10% Triton X-100		
Manufacturer's recommended ratio of sample to product	Swab to be placed directly in tube containing 2ml buffer		

Sample details	
Sample type tested	Tissue culture fluid containing 5% (v/v) foetal calf serum
Virus strain tested	SARS-CoV-2 England 2
Ratio of spiked virus stock to sample matrix	Not applicable; tissue culture fluid used undiluted

Experimental conditions			
Ratio of sample to product tested	le to product tested 1 volume sample to 10 volumes product		
Contact time/s	5 minutes; 10 minutes; 15 minutes		
Temperature of incubation	Room temperature		

	Triplicate samples were treated with test buffer for indicated contact time/s or mock-treated in triplicate with an equivalent volume of PBS. All samples were then subjected to a purification step to remove cytotoxic buffer components. PBS- treated samples were subjected to the same purification procedure in parallel. Test 1: Purified samples were immediately titrated
Brief description of tests performed	on Vero E6 cells to establish virus titre. This test is quantitative and reports the titre of virus in each treatment condition in TCID50 per ml. Reduction in virus titre following treatment is given as the difference between the mean log ₁₀ TCID50/ml for
	treated conditions and the PBS control. Test 2: In parallel, purified samples were seeded onto Vero E6 monolayers to amplify any remaining virus over the course of up to four serial passages.
	Virus amplification over each passage was detected by visual (microscopic) examination of monolayers for cytopathic effect, and confirmed by SARS-CoV-2-specific real-time PCR. This test is qualitative and reports either the presence or
report	absence of virus amplification. This test may detect levels of virus that are below the detection limit of the titration assay (test 1) due to a greater sample plating volume and the opportunity for any virus present to amplify over serial passages.
Internet in the second se	

Table of results					
Maximum detectable virus reduction in test 1 (log ₁₀ TCID50/ml)			5.8		
	Tes Virus titration	Test 2: Passage of samples in cell culture			
	Mean virus titre (log ₁₀ TCID50/ml)	Titre reduction (log10 TCID50/ml)	Virus detected/ Virus not detected		
PBS-treated	7.5	-	Virus detected (all replicates)		
Test buffer-treated (5 minute)	2.1	5.4	Virus detected (all replicates)		
Test buffer-treated (10 minutes)	≤1.7 [†]	≥5.8	Virus detected (all replicates)		
Test buffer-treated (15 minutes)	≤1.7 [†]	≥5.8	Virus detected (all replicates)		

[†]Virus titre in undiluted sample could not be determined due to buffer toxicity

Interpretation

Test 1: Treatment with MELT Medium A gave \geq 5.4 log₁₀ reduction in infectious virus titre at all treatment times tested. 10 and 15 minute treatment reduced virus titre by \geq 5.8 log₁₀, but virus was still detectable in at least one replicate from each treatment time. The maximum detectable virus reduction in this test was 5.8 log₁₀ TCID50/ml.

Test 2: Infectious virus was recoverable from all treated sample replicates.

Demonstrating complete inactivation is dependent on the starting titre of virus used for testing, and it is likely that complete inactivation could be achieved if samples contained lower levels of infectious virus than those tested here. Conversely, sample treatments that inactivate virus effectively in our testing may fail to inactivate samples containing higher levels of virus than those evaluated in this study.

This test has been performed on tissue culture fluid containing 5% (v/v) foetal calf serum. The effectiveness of this treatment against SARS-CoV-2 may vary when used to inactivate clinical samples or other types of sample matrix. Any results of inactivation testing using other sample matrices will be released as they become available.

Inactivation reagents should not be assumed to be 100% effective against SARS-CoV-2.

Suitability of products and treatments for inactivation of other pathogens has not been evaluated in this study.

All COVID-19 laboratory testing workflows must be subjected to suitable and sufficient risk assessment, with consideration given to any inactivation step. Risk assessments should be reviewed regularly as new information on the inactivation of SARS-CoV-2 becomes available.

The impact of chosen inactivation method on the sensitivity of subsequent SARS-CoV-2 detection should also be assessed locally.

Disclaimer

PHE's evaluations of commercial products and treatments for inactivating SARS-CoV-2 have been carried out primarily for PHE's own internal use and the reports of such evaluations are shared solely for readers information; PHE does not in any way recommend any particular product for virus inactivation; and PHE shall not be responsible for the choice of product or treatment for virus inactivation, and it is the responsibility of the testing laboratory to ensure that any such product or treatment implemented has undergone the necessary verification and validation; and PHE shall not be liable, to the greatest extent possible under any applicable law, for any claim, loss or damage arising out of or connected with use of this and related reports and choice of virus inactivation products or treatments.

PHE is an Executive Agency of the Department of Health and Social Care. Unauthorised use of the PHE name and/or logo is prohibited.

Summary of revisions

Version 1: New document

Queries regarding this report or HCM inactivation testing should be directed to <u>HCMgroup@phe.gov.uk</u>

PHE publications gateway number: GW-1464