



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS (SCOTLAND)

5

Case No: 4112767/2018

10

**Preliminary Hearing Held at Glasgow
on 2 August 2019**

Employment Judge M Robison

15

Mr P Hamilton

**Claimant
Not present**

20

Alan McLean

**First respondent
in person**

25

C.I.Leisure Ltd

**Second respondent
No response**

JUDGMENT OF THE EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNAL

30

The judgment of the Employment Tribunal is that the claim is dismissed.

REASONS

35

1. This preliminary hearing was set down to identify the correct respondent. The claimant did not attend.
2. The first respondent, Mr McLean, who attended today, had lodged a response following a reconsideration hearing which took place on 2 May

2019, asserting that he had not employed the claimant. His position was that the claimant had been employed by the second respondent.

3. No response has been submitted by the second respondent, however the company CI Leisure was dissolved in April 2019.

5 4. As the claimant did not attend to pursue his claim, and given the comments of Employment Judge Wiseman at paragraph 25 of her judgment, I decided the claim against the first respondent should be dismissed.

10 5. Notwithstanding the fact that the second respondent has not entered a response, since there is no legal entity in existence against whom judgment can be made, the claim against the second respondent is also dismissed.

Employment Judge:

M Robison

Date of Judgement:

02 August 2019

15

Entered in Register,

Copied to Parties:

02 August 2019

20