

Environment Agency

Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/RP3936YG
The Operator is: Whitetower Energy Limited

The Installation is: Derby Plant

This Variation Notice number is: EPR/RP3936YG/V003

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant published on 17th August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions ('BAT Conclusions') for large combustion plant as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the Permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

How this document is structured

Glossary of terms

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- The legal framework
- 4 Key Issues
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to Water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

APC Air Pollution Control

BAT Best Available Technique(s)

BAT-AEEL BAT Associated Energy Efficiency Level

BAT-AEL BAT Associated Emission Level

BATc BAT conclusion

BREF Best available techniques reference document

CEM Continuous emissions monitor
CHP Combined heat and power

CV Calorific value

DAA

Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to

allow the principal activity to be carried out

DLN Dry Low NOx burners
DLN-E Dry Low NOx effective

EIONET European environment information and observation network is a partnership

network of the European Environment Agency

ELV Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED

EMS Environmental Management System

EPR Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2016 No.

1154)

EWC European waste catalogue
FSA Food Standards Agency
IC Improvement Condition

IED Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)

IPPCD Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now

superseded by IED

LCP Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED MSUL/MSDL Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load NOx Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO₂ expressed as NO₂)

NPV Net Present Value

OCGT Open Cycle Gas Turbine
PHE Public Health England

SAC Special Area of Conservation

SGN Sector guidance note
TGN Technical guidance note
TNP Transitional National Plan
TOC Total Organic Carbon

WFD Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow it to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant Annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 1st May 2018 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the large combustion plant BAT Conclusions document. The Notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17th August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17th August 2021, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 30/10/2018

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that review.

An updated Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 12 May 2020. This response contains additional information and supersedes the previous Regulation 61 response. We have based our review on this submission.

2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under Regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AEELs)

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was provided by the operator to demonstrate that an alternative limit was more appropriate.
- For gas turbines where the IED specified that limits applied over 70% load and the BAT Conclusions specified that AELs applied when dry low NOx is effective (DLN-E), we have used DLN-E as a default across all monitoring requirements for NOx and CO.

LCP 85 consists of a single open cycle gas turbine (OCGT) with a net thermal input of 120.2 MWth, which operates with a thermal efficiency of 41.6%.

The plant was put into operation before IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 1 of IED Annex V applicable to existing plant.

The ELVs and AELs are based on the following operating regime:

- OCGT existing plant
- <1500 hours operation
- >= 50 MWth

The following tables outline the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP85, where these were derived from and the reference periods at which they apply. The emission limits refer to concentrations, expressed as

mass of emitted substance per volume of flue-gas under the following standard conditions: dry gas at a temperature of 273,15 K, pressure of 101,3 kPa and 15%volume reference oxygen concentration if flue gases. The emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit.

| | NOx limits (mg/Nm³) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---|------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Averaging | IED (Annex V Part 1) Existing | BREF (Table 24 BAT- c) | Current | Expected permit limits | Basis | Limits apply | Monitoring | | | | | | |
| Monthly | 50 | None | 50 | 50 | IED | E-DLN | | | | | | | |
| Daily | 55 | 55 | 55 | 55 | BREF/IED | E-DLN and MSUL/MSDL to baseload | Continuous | | | | | | |
| 95 th %ile of hr means | 100 | None | 60 | 60 | Current No backsliding | E-DLN | | | | | | | |

| | CO limits (mg/Nm³) indicative | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----|--------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Averaging | IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing | eart 1) - 24 Current permit Basis | | Limits apply | Monitoring | | | | | | | | |
| Monthly | 100 | None | 50 | 50 | Current No backsliding | E-DLN | | | | | | | |
| Daily | 110 | 85 | 50 | 50 | Current No backsliding | E-DLN and MSUL/MSDL to baseload | Continuous | | | | | | |
| 95 th %ile of hr means | 200 | None | 50 | 50 | Current No backsliding | E-DLN | | | | | | | |

4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

The existing permit has no restriction on operating hours and the Regulation 61 response was based on unlimited operational hours. During the permit review, we have introduced a limit on operating hours in Open Cycle Mode for the LCP in line with our guidance 'BAT for Balancing Plant' (refer to section 8 of this document) as we do not consider this mode of operation as BAT for plant operating over 1,500 hours/year.

Footnote 1 of Table 23 of the LCP BAT Conclusions specifies that the BAT AEELs for this type of plant are not applicable as the plant will operate for <1,500 hours/year. Whilst the BAT AEELs do not apply to this plant, we have included the information provided by the Operator.

The table below sets out the BAT AEELs specified in the LCP BAT Conclusions for LCP operating >1,500 hours/year and the energy efficiency levels confirmed through the Regulation 61 notice response. Although not applicable, we consider this plant is BAT in relation to the AEELs.

| | BAT AEELs (%) | | Plant efficiency (%) | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Net electrical efficiency | Net total fuel utilisation | Net mechanical efficiency | Net electrical efficiency | Net total fuel utilisation | Net mechanical efficiency | | |
| | LCP | 85: Open cycle g | jas turbine ≥ 50 l | MWth | | | |
| 33-41.5 | None | NA | 41.6 | NA | NA | | |

We have included a process monitoring requirement in table S3.2 of the consolidated variation notice. This is required to demonstrate that efficiency levels are maintained following any significant overhauls of equipment in order to fulfil the requirement of BAT Conclusion 2. If the plant operates for <500 hours/year we have specified that the assessment of efficiency can be based on calculation. This is because we will not require plant to fire up with the sole purpose of carrying out an assessment of efficiency.

5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant, were published by the European Commission on 17th August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The conditions in the permit through which the relevant BAT Conclusions are implemented include but are not limited to the following:

| BAT Conclusion | Permit condition(s) | Permit table(s) |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| requirement topic | | |
| Environmental | 1.1.1 | S1.2 |
| Management System | | |
| BAT AELs | 3.1.1 and 3.5.1 | S3.1a |
| Monitoring | 2.3, 3.5 and 3.6 | S1.2, S1.4, S1.5, S3.1a |
| Energy efficiency | 1.2 and 2.3 | S3.2 |
| Noise | 3.4 and 2.3 | S1.2 |
| Other operating | 2.3 | S1.2 |
| techniques | | |

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

- NA Not Applicable
- CC Currently Compliant
- FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT conclusions)
- NC Not Compliant
- PC Partially Compliant

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| General | | 1 | |
| 1 | In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features: i. commitment of the management, including senior management; ii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management; iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; iv. implementation of procedures (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; viii. following the development of cleaner technologies; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; ix. application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis. BAT Conclusions Applicability. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will generally be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the installation, and the | FC | From 1 June 2020 the site operations and therefore the EMS will be provided by the new operations and maintenance (O & M) provider, NAES Power Solutions Limited. The existing RWE EMS is compliant with ISO 14001. This EMS is currently in compliance with features i through to xvi of this BAT Conclusion. The Operator has confirmed that, when fully implemented, the NAES EMS will also meet all requirements of BAT Conclusion 1. Due to the change of EMS and the expected implementation timescales, we do not agree with the Operator's stated compliance of CC and have changed the status to FC. We do not consider it necessary to set an improvement condition as we will track progress via compliance. It is expected that the NAES EMS will be implemented by 17 August 2021 and that the site will be compliant with BAT Conclusion 1. |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion red | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | BAT is to determine the net electric energy efficiency of the gasification load (1), according to EN standards significantly affect the net electrical energy efficiency of the unit. If EN sinternational standards that ensure | ing out a performance test at full after each modification that could tion and/or the net mechanical ISO, national or other | cc | An assessment of efficiency was calculated based on efficiency data and determined to be 41.6 (± 1.1%). Specific data will be reviewed by the O & M provider and Siemens. Siemens will provide engine performance data. O & M to provide a site efficiency report as a review of historic site data and improvements. A process monitoring requirement has been set in table S3.2 which requires energy efficiency monitoring after an overhaul. Reg60 Data submitted 21st July 2015 Calculations of Industrial Trent Efficiency | |
| 3 | BAT is to monitor key process p given below. | arameters relevant for emissions to ai | r and water including those | CC | The operator has confirmed that operation of the GT is to keep |
| | Stream | Parameter(s) | Monitoring | | Start up times to minimum, keep |
| | Flue-gas | Flow | Periodic or continuous determination | | engine testing to minimum |
| | | Oxygen content, temperature, and pressure | Periodic or continuous measurement | | durations, Energy Supply contracts as peaking operations |
| | | | reduces run hours. The Engine is | | |
| | Waste water from flue-gas treatment | Continuous measurement | | shut down for investigation in the event of abnormal emissions. GT instrumentation is routinely serviced and calibrated by the ECSA contract by Siemens. | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of | BAT Conclusion requiremen | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------|---|--|---|---|--|----|---|
| | | | | | | | | Parameters are continuously monitored as required by BAT 3. Flow, Temperature, and Pressure are measured by instruments installed on the Gas Turbine Air system and Gas Fuel system. Oxygen is measured on a continuous basis by the CEMS analyser. The site does not carry out flue-gas treatment |
| 4 | If EN standard | itor emissions to air with at lea ds are not available, BAT is to ata of an equivalent scientific o | use ISO, nati | | | | СС | The operator has confirmed that MCERT installed CEMS systems. Continuous Monitoring |
| | Substance/P arameter | Fuel/Process/Type of combustion plant | Combustion plant total rated thermal input | Standard(s) (4) | Minimum monitoring frequency_(⁵) | Monitoring associated with | | Servicing is carried out to the requirements of EN14181 by the maintenance contractors. |
| | NH ₃ | When SCR and/or SNCR is used | All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous (6) (7) | BAT 7 | | |
| | NOx | Coal and/or lignite including waste coincineration Solid biomass and/or peat including waste coincineration HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases | All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous_(6)_(8) | BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 32 BAT 37 BAT 41 BAT 42 BAT 43 BAT 47 BAT 48 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73 | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of | BAT Conclusion requiremer | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Process fuels from the chemical industry IGCC plants | | | | | | |
| | | Combustion plants on offshore platforms | All sizes | EN 14792 | Once every year (°) | BAT 53 | | |
| | N ₂ O | Coal and/or lignite in circulating fluidised bed boilers | All sizes | EN 21258 | Once every year (10) | BAT 20 BAT 24 | | |
| | | Solid biomass and/or peat in circulating fluidised bed boilers | | | | | | |
| | СО | Coal and/or lignite including waste co-incineration | All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous (6) (8) | BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 33 | | |
| | | Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co-incineration | | | | BAT 38 BAT 44 BAT 49 | | |
| | | HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines | | | | BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 | | |
| | | Gas-oil-fired gas turbines | | | | BAT 73 | | |
| | | Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines | | | | | | |
| | | Iron and steel process gases | | | | | | |
| | | Process fuels from the chemical industry | | | | | | |
| | | IGCC plants | | | | | | |
| | | Combustion plants on offshore platforms | All sizes | EN 15058 | Once every year (°) | BAT 54 | | |
| | SO ₂ | Coal and/or lignite incl waste co-incineration | All sizes | Generic EN standards and EN 14791 | Continuous_(6)_(11)(12)_ | BAT 21 BAT 25 BAT 29 | | |
| | | Solid biomass and/or peat incl waste co-incineration | | LIV 14/91 | | BAT 29 BAT 34 BAT 39 BAT 50 BAT 57 | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of I | BAT Concl | usion requiremen | t | | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | | boile HFC engi Gas Iron gase Proc | O- and/or gas-oil-fired ines s-oil-fired gas turbines and steel process es cess fuels from the | | | | BAT 66 BAT 67 BAT 74 | | |
| | 20 | boile — IGC | CC plants | Alleine | No FN standard | 0 | | | |
| | SO ₃ | — Whe | en SCR is used | All sizes No EN standard available | | Once every year | _ | | |
| | Gaseous chlorides, expressed as HCI | — Prod | al and/or lignite cess fuels from the mical industry in ers | All sizes | EN 1911 | Once every three months (6) (13) (14) | BAT 21 BAT 57 | | |
| | | — Solid | id biomass and/or peat | All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous (15) (16) | BAT 25 | | |
| | | — Was | ste co-incineration | All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous (6) (16) | BAT 66 BAT 67 | | |
| | HF | — Prod | al and/or lignite cess fuels from the mical industry in ers | All sizes | No EN standard available | Once every three months (6) (13) (14) | BAT 21 BAT 57 | | |
| | | — Solid | id biomass and/or peat | All sizes | No EN standard available | Once every year | BAT 25 | | |
| | | — Was | ste co-incineration | All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous (6) (16) | BAT 66 BAT 67 | | |
| | Dust | — Solid | al and/or lignite id biomass and/or peat O- and/or gas-oil-fired ers | All sizes | Generic EN standards and EN 13284-1 and EN 13284-2 | Continuous_(°)_(17) | BAT 22 BAT 26 BAT 30 BAT 35 BAT 39 BAT 51 BAT 58 | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of E | BAT Co | onclusion requiremen | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------|---|-------------------------------|---|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|--|--|
| | | | Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers IGCC plants | | | | BAT 75 | | |
| | | _ _ _ | HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines | | | | | | |
| | | _ | Waste co-incineration | All sizes | Generic EN standards and EN 13284-2 | Continuous | BAT 68 BAT 69 | | |
| | Metals and metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl, V, | | Coal and/or lignite Solid biomass and/or peat HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines | All sizes | EN 14385 | Once every year (18) | BAT 22 BAT 26 BAT 30 | | |
| | Zn) | _ | Waste co-incineration | < 300 MW _{th} | EN 14385 | Once every six months (13) | BAT 68 BAT 69 | | |
| | | | | ≥ 300 MW _{th} | EN 14385 | Once every three months_(19)_(13) | | | |
| | | - | IGCC plants | ≥ 100 MW _{th} | EN 14385 | Once every year (18) | BAT 75 | | |
| | Hg | _ | Coal and/or lignite including waste co- | < 300 MW _{th} | EN 13211 | Once every three months_(13)_(20) | BAT 23 | | |
| | | | incineration | ≥ 300 MW _{th} | Generic EN standards and EN 14884 | Continuous_(16)_(21) | | | |
| | | _ | Solid biomass and/or peat | All sizes | EN 13211 | Once every year (22) | BAT 27 | | |
| | | | Waste co-incineration with solid biomass and/or peat | All sizes | EN 13211 | Once every three months (13) | BAT 70 | | |
| | | | IGCC plants | ≥ 100 MW _{th} | EN 13211 | Once every year (23) | BAT 75 | | |
| | TVOC | _ | HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines | All sizes | EN 12619 | Once every six months (13) | BAT 33 BAT 59 | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of I | BAT Concl | usion | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|---|----------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|--------|--|---|
| | | | cess fuel mical ind ers | | | | | | | | | |
| | | coa | Waste co-incineration with coal, lignite, solid biomass and/or peat | | All sizes | Generic standard | | Continuous | | BAT 71 | | |
| | Formaldehyde | Natural-gas in sp ignited lean-burn dual fuel engines | | burn gas and | All sizes | No EN s available | | Once every year | ar | BAT 45 | | |
| | CH ₄ | Natural-gas-fired er | | fired engines | All sizes | EN ISO | 25139 | Once every year | ar <u>(²⁴)</u> | BAT 45 | | |
| | PCDD/F | Process fuels from chemical industry in boilers Waste co-incineration | | All sizes | EN 1948-1, EN 1948-2, EN 1948-3 | | Once every six months (13) (25) | | BAT 59 BAT 71 | | | |
| 5 | accordance international | BAT is to monitor emissions to water from flue-gas treatment with at least the frequency given below and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. Substance/Parameter Standard(s) Minimum Monitoring | | | | | | | | | | Not Applicable to the installation as the site does not carry out flue-gas treatment. |
| | | | | | () | | monitoring associated with frequency | | | | | |
| | Total organic | carbon (TOC | C)_(²⁶) | EN 1484 | | | Once every month BAT 15 | | 5 | | | |
| | Chemical oxy (COD)_(26) | gen demand | | No EN stand | dard available | | | | | | | |
| | Total suspend | ded solids (T | SS) | EN 872 | | | | | | | | |
| | Fluoride (F-) | | | EN ISO 103 | 04-1 | | | | | | | |
| | Sulphate (SO | ₄ ²⁻) | | EN ISO 103 | 04-1 | | | | | | | |
| | Sulphide, eas | | (S ²⁻) | No EN stand | dard available | | | | | | | |
| | Sulphite (SO ₃ | | | EN ISO 103 | | | | | | | | |
| | Metals and m | etalloids | As Cd | | standards availal 85 or EN ISO 172 | | | | | | | |
| | | | Cr | <u> </u> | | | <u> </u> | | <u> </u> | | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sun | nmary of BAT Co | nclusion | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | |
|-----------------------------|-----|---|--|---|--|---|----|---|
| | | nloride (Cl ⁻) otal nitrogen | Cu Ni Pb Zn Hg | Various EN standards available (e EN ISO 12846 or EN ISO 17852) Various EN standards available (e EN ISO 10304-1 or EN ISO 15682 EN 12260 | e.g. | | | |
| 6 | air | | rnt substa | l environmental performance of ances, BAT is to ensure optin given below. | nised combustion and to | use an appropriate | CC | The Operator confirmed that: a) Fuel blending - not applicable b) Maintenance of combustion system - maintenance of the gas turbine is undertaken to maintain environmental performance. This includes camera inspections and combustion tuning, with improvements carried out through a service agreement. c) Advanced control system – the |
| | a. | Technique Fuel blending and mixing | reduce the | Description able combustion conditions and/or e emission of pollutants by mixing ualities of the same fuel type | Applicabil Generally applicable | ity | | |
| | b. | Maintenance of the combustion system | | lanned maintenance according to recommendations | | | | |
| | C. | Advanced control system | See desci | iption in Section 8.1 | The applicability to old comb constrained by the need to resystem and/or control comm | etrofit the combustion | | |
| | d. | Good design of the combustion equipment | | ign of furnace, combustion , burners and associated devices | Generally applicable to new | combustion plants | | gas turbine is controlled with an engine management system which is maintained through a |
| | e. | Fuel choice | fuel(s) with (e.g. with content) a | switch totally or partially to another in a better environmental profile low sulphur and/or mercury mongst the available fuels, in start-up situations or when backere used | Applicable within the constrathe availability of suitable typenvironmental profile as a wimpacted by the energy polic State, or by the integrated sicase of combustion of industrials. | bes of fuel with a better hole, which may be by of the Member te's fuel balance in the | | service agreement. d) Good design of combustion equipment – the gas turbine is fitted with a three stage DLN combustion system to provide |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | For existing combustion plants, the type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant | | combustion stability and emissions performance. e) Fuel choice – the gas turbine can only operate on natural gas. |
| 7 | In order to reduce emissions of ammonia to air from the use of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) for the abatement of NO $_{\rm X}$ emissions, BAT is to optimise the design and/or operation of SCR and/or SNCR (e.g. optimised reagent to NO $_{\rm X}$ ratio, homogeneous reagent distribution and optimum size of the reagent drops). BAT-associated emission levels The BAT-associated emission level (BAT-AEL) for emissions of NH $_{\rm 3}$ to air from the use of SCR and/or SNCR is < 3–10 mg/Nm $^{\rm 3}$ as a yearly average or average over the sampling period. The lower end of the range can be achieved when using SCR and the upper end of the range can be achieved when using SNCR without wet abatement techniques. In the case of plants combusting biomass and operating at variable loads as well as in the case of engines combusting HFO and/or gas oil, the higher end of the BAT-AEL range is 15 mg/Nm $^{\rm 3}$. | NA | Not applicable - no SCR or SNCR on site. |
| 8 | In order to prevent or reduce emissions to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by appropriate design, operation and maintenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal capacity and availability. | NA | Not applicable - no abatement systems are installed. The Operator confirmed that, gas turbine servicing and engine tuning is undertaken. Also, engines are run at full load capacity, therefore most efficient running. They do not run at reduced load. |
| 9 | In order to improve the general environmental performance of combustion and/or gasification plants and to reduce emissions to air, BAT is to include the following elements in the quality assurance/quality control programmes for all the fuels used, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1): | CC | The Operator confirmed that: i) and ii) All fuel gas is supplied through the national gas networks. National inventory data is used for |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requ | irement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | | el used including at least the parameters listed below and in accordance with EN ernational standards may be used provided they ensure the provision of data of an | | quality measurement. There are no alternative fuels for the gas turbine. |
| | design specifications. The frequer | check that it is consistent with the initial characterisation and according to the plant acy of testing and the parameters chosen from the table below are based on the assment of the relevance of pollutant releases (e.g. concentration in fuel, flue-gas | | iii) Gas turbine engine tuning is carried out by the O & M service provider. |
| | characterisation and control in the Description Initial characterisation and regular test | lant settings as and when needed and practicable (e.g. integration of the fuel advanced control system (see description in Section 8.1)). sting of the fuel can be performed by the operator and/or the fuel supplier. The sulfs are provided to the operator in the form of a product (fuel) supplier. | | We consider that for plants which burn natural gas from the National Grid as a fuel that it is not necessary for the operator to replicate the testing carried out by |
| | Fuel(s) | Substances/Parameters subject to characterisation | | the National Grid |
| | Biomass/peat | LHVmoisture | | |
| | | — Ash | | |
| | | — C, Cl, F, N, S, K, Na | | |
| | | Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Zn) | | |
| | Coal/lignite | — LHV | | |
| | | — Moisture | | |
| | | Volatiles, ash, fixed carbon, C, H, N, O, S | | |
| | | — Br, Cl, F | | |
| | | Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn) | | |
| | HFO | — Ash | | |
| | | — C, S, N, Ni, V | | |
| | Gas oil | — Ash | | |
| | | — N, C, S | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requ | irement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| 10 | is to set up and implement a manage commensurate with the relevance of — appropriate design of the systems of water and/or soil (e.g. low-load degeneration in gas turbines), — set-up and implementation of a specific actions if necessary, — periodic assessment of the over | LHV CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃, C₄+, CO₂, N₂, Wobbe index Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn) LHV, CH₄ (for COG), C_xH_y (for COG), CO₂, H₂, N₂, total sulphur, dust, Wobbe index LHV Moisture Volatiles, ash, Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn) d/or to water during other than normal operating conditions (OTNOC), BAT ment plan as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), potential pollutant releases, that includes the following elements: onsidered relevant in causing OTNOC that may have an impact on emissions to air, sign concepts for reducing the minimum start-up and shutdown loads for stable cific preventive maintenance plan for these relevant systems, caused by OTNOC and associated circumstances and implementation of corrective all emissions during OTNOC (e.g. frequency of events, duration, emissions mentation of corrective actions if necessary. | CC | The OCGT is shut down for investigation in the event of abnormal emissions. Operation of the Gas Turbine is designed to keep start up times and to engine testing durations to a minimum. The energy supply contracts are for peaking operations, which reduces operational hours. |
| 11 | Description The monitoring can be carried out by if this proves to be of equal or bette during start-up and shutdown (SU/SE | direct measurement of emissions or by monitoring of surrogate parameters or scientific quality than the direct measurement of emissions. Emissions is may be assessed based on a detailed emission measurement carried out st once every year, and using the results of this measurement to estimate /SD throughout the year. | CC | The OCGT is shut down for investigation in the event of abnormal emissions. The plant is not operated when the CEMS is out of service as no |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sun | nmary of BAT Cond | clusion requirement | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | alternative method of analysis is available. |
| 12 | | | energy efficiency of combustion, gasification or the techniques given below | | CC | The Operator confirmed that: |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | a) Combustion optimisation - gas |
| | a. | Combustion optimisation | See description in Section 8.2. Optimising the combustion minimises the content of unburnt substances in the flue-gases and in solid combustion residues | Generally applicable | | turbine performance is monitored by the O & M provider who recommend any actions to maintain / improve performance. |
| | b. | working medium temperature of the working medium gas or steam, within the constraints associated with, for example, the control of NO _x emissions or the characteristics of energy demanded | | | b) Optimisation of working medium conditions – the gas turbine engine is controlled with an engine management system | |
| | C. | Optimisation of the steam cycle | Operate with lower turbine exhaust pressure by utilisation of the lowest possible temperature of the condenser cooling water, within the design conditions | | | which is maintained through a service agreement. d) Minimisation of energy consumption - routine checks of the fuel usage are carried out to compare historic data to measure gas turbine performance technically and commercially. |
| | d. | Minimisation of energy consumption | Minimising the internal energy consumption (e.g. greater efficiency of the feed-water pump) | | | |
| | e. | Preheating of combustion air | Reuse of part of the heat recovered from the combustion flue-gas to preheat the air used in combustion | Generally applicable within the constraints related to the need to control NO _X emissions | | |
| | f. | Fuel preheating | Preheating of fuel using recovered heat | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the boiler design and the need to control NO _x emissions | | p) Minimisation of heat loss - gas fuel pipe-work is insulated after |
| | g. | Advanced control system | See description in Section 8.2. Computerised control of the main combustion parameters enables the combustion efficiency to be improved | Generally applicable to new units. The applicability to old units may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system | | the gas compression. q) Advanced materials – the gas turbine is engineered from aero |
| | h. | Feed-water preheating using recovered heat | Preheat water coming out of the steam condenser with recovered heat, before reusing it in the boiler | Only applicable to steam circuits and not to hot boilers. Applicability to existing units may be limited due to constraints associated with the plant | | derivative based technology and uses the same materials and technologies. |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sun | nmary of BAT Cond | clusion requirement | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | i. | Heat recovery by cogeneration (CHP) | Recovery of heat (mainly from the steam system) for producing hot water/steam to be used in industrial processes/activities or in a public network for district heating. Additional heat recovery is possible from: — flue-gas — grate cooling — circulating fluidised bed | configuration and the amount of recoverable heat Applicable within the constraints associated with the local heat and power demand. The applicability may be limited in the case of gas compressors with an unpredictable operational heat profile | | The site operates in open cycle mode only and is not capable of CCGT/ CHP operations so some techniques do not apply. We agree with the Operator's stated compliance that an appropriate combination of techniques are being used. |
| | j. | CHP readiness | See description in Section 8.2. | Only applicable to new units where there is a realistic potential for the future use of heat in the vicinity of the unit | | |
| | k. | Flue-gas condenser | See description in Section 8.2. | Generally applicable to CHP units provided there is enough demand for low-temperature heat | | |
| | I. | Heat accumulation | Heat accumulation storage in CHP mode | Only applicable to CHP plants. The applicability may be limited in the case of low heat load demand | | |
| | m. | Wet stack | See description in Section 8.2. | Generally applicable to new and existing units fitted with wet FGD | | |
| | n. | Cooling tower discharge | The release of emissions to air through a cooling tower and not via a dedicated stack | Only applicable to units fitted with wet FGD where reheating of the flue-gas is necessary before release, and where the unit cooling system is a cooling tower | | |
| | 0. | Fuel pre-drying | The reduction of fuel moisture content before combustion to improve combustion conditions | Applicable to the combustion of biomass and/or peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain). The retrofit of existing plants may be restricted by the extra calorific value that can be obtained from the drying operation and by the limited retrofit possibilities offered by some boiler designs or plant configurations | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sui | mmary of BAT (| Conclusion requirement | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | p. | Minimisation of losses | occur via the slag or those that can be reduced | ced combustion units and to gasification/IGCC units | | |
| | q. | Advanced mate | als Use of advanced materials proven to be capable of withstanding high operating temperatures and pressures and thus to achieve increased steam/combustion process efficiencies | Only applicable to new plants | | |
| | r. Steam turbine upgrades | | temperature and pressure of medium-pressure | The applicability may be restricted by demand, steam conditions and/or limited plant lifetime | | |
| | S. | Supercritical and ultra-supercritical steam condition | systems, in which steam can reach pressures above 220,6 bar and temperatures above 374 °C in the case of supercritical conditions, and above 250 – 300 bar and temperatures above 580 – 600 °C in the case of ultra-supercritical conditions | Only applicable to new units of ≥ 600 MW _{th} operated > 4 000 h/yr. Not applicable when the purpose of the unit is to produce low steam temperatures and/or pressures in process industries. Not applicable to gas turbines and engines generating steam in CHP mode. For units combusting biomass, the applicability may be constrained by high-temperature corrosion in the case of certain biomasses | | |
| 13 | | order to reduce v | ater usage and the volume of contaminated waste es given below. | water discharged, BAT is to use one or | CC | The operator has confirmed the following: |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | Waste Water volumes are |
| | a. | | the plant are reused for other purposes. The degree of recycling is limited by the quality requirements of the | Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present | | significantly reduced due to open cycle operation only. Steam cycle plant has been mothballed since 2013. Contents of plant blind sumps and interceptors are removed on alarm, tankered off site as required for recycling. Volume |
| | b. | Dry bottom ash handling | mechanical conveyor system and is cooled down by ambient air. No water is used in the process. | Only applicable to plants combusting solid fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | about 4 tonnes per year is collected. Station Discharge Sump: Cooling water purge water or drainage are discharged via station discharge sump to the Rolls Royce host site waste effluent systems. Oil contaminated surface drains go to the Station discharge Sump via an oil interceptor |
| | | | The operator provided a revised site map on the 22/06/2020 showing the location of the interceptors. Confirming that cooling water purge water or drainage are discharged via station discharge sump to the Rolls Royce host site waste effluent systems. Oil contaminated surface drains go to the Station Discharge Sump via an oil interceptor, S1 |
| 14 | In order to prevent the contamination of uncontaminated waste water and to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to segregate waste water streams and to treat them separately, depending on the pollutant content. *Description* Waste water streams that are typically segregated and treated include surface run-off water, cooling water, and waste water from flue-gas treatment. *Applicability* | CC | The operator has confirmed that foul Water is piped off site through local sewage network, via the Rolls Royce main site system. |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sur | nmary of BAT Conclusion requ | irement | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--|--|--|--|-------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| | The applicability may be restricted in the case of existing plants due to the configuration of the drainage systems. Rain water run off is via interceptor to site outlet and all surface water dra systems are identified by colour on site. | | | | | | | | | |
| 15 | tech | | | T is to use an appropriate combination of the se as possible to the source in order to avoid | NA | Not Applicable to the installation. No flue gas treatment undertaken | | | | |
| | | Technique | Typical pollutants prevented/abated | Applicability | | treatment undertaken | | | | |
| | | | Primary techniques | | \dashv | | | | | |
| | a. | Optimised combustion (see BAT 6) and flue-gas treatment systems (e.g. SCR/SNCR, see BAT 7) | Organic compounds, ammonia (NH ₃) | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | | | 1 | | | | | | | |
| | b. | Adsorption on activated carbon | Organic compounds, mercury (Hg) | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | C. | Aerobic biological treatment | Biodegradable organic compounds, ammonium (NH ₄ ⁺) | Generally applicable for the treatment of organic compounds. Aerobic biological treatment of ammonium (NH ₄ ⁺) may not be applicable in the case of high chloride concentrations (i.e. around 10 g/l) | | | | | | |
| | d. | Anoxic/anaerobic biological treatment | Mercury (Hg), nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻), nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻) | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | e. | Coagulation and flocculation | Suspended solids | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | f. | Crystallisation | Metals and metalloids, sulphate (SO $_4$ 2 -), fluoride (F $^-$) | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | g. | Filtration (e.g. sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration) | Suspended solids, metals | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | h. | Flotation | Suspended solids, free oil | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | i. | Ion exchange | Metals | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | j. | Neutralisation | Acids, alkalis | Generally applicable | | | | | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sun | nmary of BAT Conclusion re | quirement | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | NA/ CC / capability and any alternative | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | k. | Oxidation | Sulphide (S ²⁻), sulphite (| SO ₃ ²⁻) | Generally applicable | | | | | |
| | k. Oxidation Sulphide (S ² -), sulphite (SO ₃ · I. Precipitation Metals and metalloids, sulpha (SO ₄ ²⁻), fluoride (F ⁻) m. Sedimentation Suspended solids | | | ulphate | e Generally applicable | | | | | |
| | | | | | Generally applicable | | | | | |
| | n. | Stripping | Ammonia (NH ₃) | Generally applicable | | | | | | |
| | | allation. | o o | | dy at the point where the emission leaves the ter body from flue-gas treatment | | | | | |
| | | Substance/Para | ameter | | BAT-AELs | | | | | |
| | | | | | Daily average | | | | | |
| | Total organic carbon (TOC) | | | | 1–50 mg/l_(30)_(31)_(32) | , | | | | |
| | Chemical oxygen demand (COD) | | | | 1–150 mg/l_(³⁰)_(³¹)_(³²) | | | | | |
| | Total suspended solids (TSS) | | | | 1–30 mg/l | | | | | |
| | Fluoride (F ⁻) | | | |)–25 mg/l <u>(³²)</u> | | | | | |
| | | Iphate (SO ₄ ²⁻) | | _ | 3–2,0 g/l <u>(³²) (³³) (³⁴) (³⁵)</u> | | | | | |
| | | lphide (S ²⁻), easily released | | | 1–0,2 mg/l_(³²) | | | | | |
| | | Iphite (SO ₃ ²⁻) | | | -20 mg/l_(³²) | | | | | |
| | Me | etals and metalloids | As | | l–50 μg/l | | | | | |
| | | | Cd | _ | -5 μg/l | | | | | |
| İ | | | Cr | | 1–50 μg/l | | | | | |
| | | | Cu | | 0–50 μg/l | | | | | |
| | | | Hg | _ | 2–3 µg/l | | | | | |
| | | | Ni | _ | 2–50 μg/l | | | | | |
| | | | Pb Zn | | 20 μg/l | | | | | |
| | | | Zn | 50 | I–200 μg/l | | | | | |
| 16 | aba | tement techniques, BAT is to ount life-cycle thinking: | organise operations so a | as to | e combustion and/or gasification process and maximise, in order of priority and taking into which arise as by-products; | CC | Operator confirmed the following: Recycling is undertaken where possible via recycling processes with contractors. Volumes of | | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sui | nmary of BAT Cond | clusion requirement | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|-------------------------------|---|
| | (b) (c) (d) by | waste recycling other waste rec | for reuse, e.g. according to the specific request; overy (e.g. energy recovery), ropriate combination of techniques such as: | ed quality criteria; | | waste are very low due to nature and activity levels on site. |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | |
| | a. | Generation of gypsum as a by- product | Quality optimisation of the calcium-based reaction residues generated by the wet FGD so that they can be used as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g. as raw material in the plasterboard industry). The quality of limestone used in the wet FGD influences the purity of the gypsum produced | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions | | |
| | b. | Recycling or recovery of residues in the construction sector | Recycling or recovery of residues (e.g. from semi- dry desulphurisation processes, fly ash, bottom ash) as a construction material (e.g. in road building, to replace sand in concrete production, or in the cement industry) | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required material quality (e.g. physical properties, content of harmful substances) associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions | | |
| | C. | Energy recovery by using waste in the fuel mix | The residual energy content of carbon-rich ash and sludges generated by the combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be recovered for example by mixing with the fuel | Generally applicable where plants can accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the combustion chamber | | |
| | d. | Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse | Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme | The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO _X and NH ₃ emissions | | |
| 17 | In c | order to reduce noise | emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination | of the techniques given below. | СС | The Operator confirmed that the |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | following steps are taken to |
| | a. | Operational measures | These include: — improved inspection and maintenance of equipment — closing of doors and windows of enclosed areas, if possible | Generally applicable | | - Maintaining site noise prevention devices / sound proofing. - Low levels of operating hours during the year. |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of | BAT Cond | lusion requir | ement | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------|---|--|---|-------------------------------|--|
| | c. Noise att | ntrol tt | — avoidance possible — provision mainten. This potentiall disks Noise propaga obstacles betw. Appropriate of embankments This includes: — noise — equip — enclosu — soundr. Noise levels c distance betw. | ns for noise control during ance activities y includes compressors, pumps and ation can be reduced by inserting ween the emitter and the receiver. Ostacles include protection walls, | Generally applicable when the equipment is new or replaced Generally applicable to new plants. In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by lack of space The applicability may be restricted by lack of space Generally applicable to new plant | | Regular maintenance of plant. The plant is as design and operated remotely with no new plant items requiring new noise assessments. O & M plan noise surveys to check against historic levels. |
| Combus | tion of gaseor | ıs fuels | | | | | |
| 40 | | | energy efficier in BAT 12 and | | T is to use an appropriate combination | СС | The operator confirmed the following: |
| | Technique | Des | cription | Appli | cability | | The station uses techniques a h |
| | a. Combined cycle Section 8.2 Generally applicable to new gas turbine < 1 500 h/yr. Applicable to existing gas turbines and associated with the steam cycle design Not applicable to existing gas turbines. Not applicable to mechanical drive gas with extended load variations and frequence to boilers | | d engines within the constraints in and the space availability. and engines operated < 1 500 h/yr. sturbines operated in discontinuous mode | | The station uses techniques a, b, d, p and q given in BAT 12. See above for further details. The plant only operates as an OCGT, during the permit review, we have introduced a limit on operating hours in line with our guidance 'BAT for Balancing | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclu | usion requ | uirement | | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|-------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Type of combustion | | | BAT-AEELs (136) (137 |] | Plant' (refer to section 8 of this | | |
| | unit | | lectrical ency (%) | Net total fuel utilisation (%) (138) (139) | | anical energy y (%) (139) (140) | | document) as we do not consider this mode of operation as BAT for |
| | | New unit | Existing unit | | New unit | Existing unit | | plant operating over 1,500 hours/year. Footnote 1 of Table 23 of the LCP BAT Conclusions specifies that the BAT AEELs for this type of |
| | Gas engine | 39,5– 44 <u>(¹⁴¹)</u> | 35–44 <u>(¹⁴¹)</u> | 56–85 <u>(¹⁴¹)</u> | No BAT-AEEL | | | |
| | Gas-fired boiler | 39–42,5 | 38–40 | 78–95 | No BAT-AEEL | | | |
| | Open cycle gas turbine, ≥ 50 MWth | 36–41,5 | 33–41,5 | No BAT-AEEL | 36,5–41 | 33,5–41 | | plant are not applicable as the plant will operate for <1,500 |
| | | (| 1 | hours/year. Whilst the BAT AEELs do not apply to this plant, the | | | | |
| | CCGT, 50-600 MW _{th} | 53–58,5 | 46–54 | No BAT-AEEL | No BAT-AEEL | | | Operator provided details of the plant efficiency calculations. The |
| | CCGT, ≥ 600 MW _{th} | 57-60,5 | 50–60 | No BAT-AEEL | No BAT-AEEL | | | |
| | CHP CCGT, 50-600 MW _{th} | 53–58,5 | 46–54 | 65–95 | No BAT-AEEL | | | Operator has confirmed that the efficiency for LCP85 is 46.1%± |
| | CHP CCGT, ≥ 600 MW _{th} | 57–60,5 | 50-60 | 65–95 | No BAT-AEEL | |] | 1.1%. This is within the BAT- |
| | | | | | | | | AEEL range for existing open cycle gas turbines. |
| | | | | | | | | Derby Thermal Efficiency. 41.6 ± 1.1% this is based on measurements taken 17 June 2015 @ 21:31 Total gas fuel mass flow rate is 5495pph + 12590pph (2 Stage Combustion) = 2.28 ± 0.05 Kg/sec. |
| | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | Total energy input = 105.7 ± 2.6MW (LHV). |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|
| | | | | | | Generator Power (Gross) is 44.0 ± 0.5 MWe |
| | | | | | | At 50MWe the Thermal Input to the site can be expressed as $100/\eta \times 50$ = 120.2 ± 2.6 MW. |
| | | | | | | The overall uncertainty of the total energy input is pessimistically based on ± 3% of point individual fuel mass flow accuracy (2 stage combustion), and ± 0.5 MW gas fuel LHV variation. |
| 41 | | | duce NO _X emissions to air from the comb the techniques given below. | sions to air from the combustion of natural gas in boilers, BAT is to use given below. | | Combustion of gas in boiler does not take place on site |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | · |
| | a. | Air and/or fuel staging | See descriptions in Section 8.3. Air staging is often associated with low-NO _x burners | Generally applicable | | |
| | b. | Flue-gas recirculation | See description in Section 8.3 | | | |
| | C. | Low-NO _X burners (LNB) | | | | |
| | d. | Advanced control system | See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr | The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system | | |
| | e. | Reduction of the combustion air temperature | See description in Section 8.3 | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the process needs | | |
| | f. | Selective non– catalytic reduction (SNCR) | | Not applicable to combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr with highly variable boiler loads. | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Su | mmary of BAT C | of BAT Conclusion requirement | | | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|----|--|
| | g. | Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) | con anc Not < 5 Not of < The res plan | applicability may be limited in the case of bustion plants operated between 500 h/yr 1 500 h/yr with highly variable boiler loads applicable to combustion plants operated 100 h/yr. generally applicable to combustion plants 100 MW _{th} . re may be technical and economic rictions for retrofitting existing combustion ts operated between 500 h/yr and 100 h/yr | | |
| 42 | In order to prevent or reduce NOx emissions to air from the combustion of use one or a combination of the techniques given below. Technique Description | | | of natural gas in gas turbines, BAT is to Applicability | CC | The operator has confirmed the following: (a) An advanced electronic control |
| | a. | · - | See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr | The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need | | system is used to automatically control and optimise combustion efficiency and manage prevention and reduction of emissions. (b) NA water steam addition systems are not installed (c) Dry low NOx burners are fitted. (d) NA as Low load design is not used (e) NA -OCGT (f) NA as no SCR fitted. We accept that as this OCGT is an existing plant and the DLN-E definition is accepted |
| | b. | Water/steam addition | See description in Section 8.3 | The applicability may be limited due to water availability | | |
| | C. | Dry low-NO _X burners (DLN) | | The applicability may be limited in the case of turbines where a retrofit package is not available or when water/steam addition systems are installed | | |
| | d. | Low-load design concept | Adaptation of the process control and related equipment to maintain good combustion efficiency when the demain energy varies, e.g. by improving the inlet airflow control capability or by splitting the combustion process into decoupled combustion stages | nd gas turbine design | | |
| | e. Low-NO _x burners See description in Section 8.3 (LNB) | | See description in Section 8.3 | Generally applicable to supplementary firing for heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs) in the case of combined-cycle gas turbine (CCGT) combustion plants | | i. The output load @ 35MWe or ii. This output load @70% |
| | f. | Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) | | Not applicable in the case of combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. | | Thermal |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sui | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|---|-------------------------------|---|
| | | | | | | Not generally applicable to existing combustion plants of < 100 MW _{th} . Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space. There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr | | |
| 43 | | In order to prevent or reduce NO _X emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in engines, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below. | | NA | Engines are not in use at the site | | | |
| | Technique Descriptio | | ion | Applicability | | | | |
| | a. | Advanced control system See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system | | ed by the need to retrofit the combustion | | | | |
| | b. Lean-burn See description in Section 8.3. Concept See description in Section 8.3. Generally used in combination with SCR | | Only applicable to new gas-fired engines | | | | | |
| | c. Advanced lean- burn concept See descriptions in Section 8.3 Only applicable to new spark plug | | licable to new spark plug ignited engines | | | | | |
| | d. | Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) | | | constrain Not appli < 500 h/y There ma for retrofi | ng existing combustion plants may be led by the availability of sufficient space. cable to combustion plants operated /r. ay be technical and economic restrictions litting existing combustion plants operated 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr | | |
| 44 | In order to prevent or reduce CO emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas, BAT is to ensure optimised combustion and/or to use oxidation catalysts. *Description - See descriptions in Section 8.3.* BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO _X emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in gas turbines | | | | СС | The operator has confirmed that CO emissions are reduced as far as possible by optimising combustion. Gas Turbine is a DLN Combustion system. | | |
| | | Type of cor | nbustion plant | | | BAT-AELs (mg/Nm³) (142) (143) | | They also confirm that they would be compliant with a yearly CO |

| OCGT (excluding turbines for ical drive applications) — All but plants d < 500 h/yr Combined-c | | 15–35 15–50 | Daily average or average over the sampling period 25–50 25–55 (148) | | emission limit of 50 mg/Nm3. However, as we have introduced a restriction on operating hours for the OCGT to <1,500 hours per year, the yearly BAT-AEL for NOx and the yearly indicative emission limit for CO are not applicable. As an existing OCGT plant the | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| OCGT (excluding turbines for ical drive applications) — All but plants d < 500 h/yr Combined-c | ≥ 50 ≥ 50 ycle gas turbines (CCG | 15–35 15–50 | | | year, the yearly BAT-AEL for NOx and the yearly indicative emission limit for CO are not applicable. | | | | |
| OCGT (excluding turbines for ical drive applications) — All but plants d < 500 h/yr Combined-c | ≥ 50 ycle gas turbines (CCG | 15–50 | | | limit for CO are not applicable. | | | | |
| ical drive applications) — All but plants d < 500 h/yr Combined-c | ycle gas turbines (CCG | | 25–55 <u>(¹⁴⁸)</u> | | | | | | |
| CGT | | Ts) (146) (149) | | | applicable NOx BAT-AEL is 55 | | | | |
| | | , | Combined-cycle gas turbines (CCGTs) (146) (149) | | | | | | |
| | ≥ 50 | 10–30 | 15–40 | | applicable when the DLN system is fully effective. | | | | |
| CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of | ≥ 600 | 10–40 | 18–50 | | The existing permit already sets | | | | |
| CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of | ≥ 600 | 10–50 | 18–55 <u>(¹⁵⁰)</u> | | monthly, daily and hourly average emission limits for carbon | | | | |
| CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of | 50–600 | 10–45 | 35–55 | | monoxide and NOx. Under the principal of "no backsliding", the | | | | |
| CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of | 50–600 | 25–50 <u>(¹⁵¹)</u> | 35–55 <u>(¹⁵²)</u> | | current emission limits will be retained unless tighter limits are | | | | |
| Open- an | | set by the BREF. | | | | | | | |
| oine put into operation no later than 27 per 2003, or existing gas turbine for ncy use and operated < 500 h/yr | ≥ 50 | No BAT-AEL | 60–140 (153) (154) | | Refer to section 4.1 of this document for further details of the limits set in the consolidated permit NOx and CO emissions are | | | | |
| gas turbine for mechanical drive ions — All but plants operated /yr | ≥ 50 | 15–50 <u>(¹⁵⁵)</u> | 25–55 <u>(¹⁵⁶)</u> | | | | | | |
| ii / i | CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of Open- an ine put into operation no later than 27 er 2003, or existing gas turbine for icy use and operated < 500 h/yr gas turbine for mechanical drive ions — All but plants operated yr dication, the yearly average CO er in/yr and for each type of new combin OCGT of ≥ 50 MW _{th} : < 5–40 mg/Nm³. For may be applied to the higher end of this | CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of Open- and combined-cycle gas ine put into operation no later than 27 er 2003, or existing gas turbine for ex use and operated < 500 h/yr gas turbine for mechanical drive cons — All but plants operated eyr dication, the yearly average CO emission levels for each extra year of new combustion plant will general CCGT of ≥ 50 MW _{th} : < 5–40 mg/Nm³. For plants with a net elector may be applied to the higher end of this range, corresponding to | CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of 50–600 10–45 CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of 50–600 25–50 (151) Open- and combined-cycle gas turbines ine put into operation no later than 27 er 2003, or existing gas turbine for recy use and operated < 500 h/yr gas turbine for mechanical drive ons — All but plants operated //r dication, the yearly average CO emission levels for each type of existing con/yr and for each type of new combustion plant will generally be as follows: OCGT of \geq 50 MW _{th} : $<$ 5–40 mg/Nm³. For plants with a net electrical efficiency (EE) greater may be applied to the higher end of this range, corresponding to [higher end] \times EE/39, v | CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of $50-600$ $10-45$ $35-55$ $10-45$ $35-55$ $10-45$ $35-55$ $10-45$ $35-55$ $10-45$ 1 | CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of $50-600$ $10-45$ $35-55$ CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of $50-600$ $25-50 \frac{(151)}{2}$ $35-55 \frac{(152)}{2}$ Open- and combined-cycle gas turbines ine put into operation no later than 27 er 2003, or existing gas turbine for exclusion and operated $< 500 \text{ h/yr}$ gas turbine for mechanical drive ons — All but plants operated $< 500 \text{ h/yr}$ dication, the yearly average CO emission levels for each type of existing combustion plant operated only and for each type of new combustion plant will generally be as follows: OCGT of $\ge 50 \text{ MW}_{tb}$: $< 5-40 \text{ mg/Nm}^3$. For plants with a net electrical efficiency (EE) greater than 39 %, a correction or may be applied to the higher end of this range, corresponding to [higher end] $\times \text{ EE/39}$, where EE is the net electrical | | | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclu | sion require | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | be 80 mg/Nm ³ | : < 5–40 mg/Nm 3 . The higher end of the fitted with dry techniques for NO $_{\rm X}$ | | | | |
| | | the higher end | (EE) greater than 55 %, a correction EE/55, where EE is the net electrical | | | | |
| | Existing CCGT of ≥ 50 N operate at low load. | MW _{th} : < 5–30 m | g/Nm³. The higher e | nd of this range will ge | nerally be 50 mg/Nm³ for plants that | | |
| | generally be 50 mg/Nm ³ | when plants o | perate at low load. | _ | m ³ . The higher end of the range will | | |
| | operation is effective. | | | emissions to air fr | els correspond to when the DLN om the combustion of natural | | |
| | Type of combustion | | | BAT-AELs (mg/Nm³) | | | |
| | plant | Yearly average (157) Daily av | | Daily average | aily average or average over the sampling period | | |
| | | New plant | Existing plant (158) | New plant | Existing plant (159) | | |
| | Boiler | 10–60 | 50-100 | 30–85 | 85–110 | | |
| | Engine_(160) | 20–75 | 20–100 | 55–85 | 55–110 <u>(¹⁶¹)</u> | | |
| | As an indication, the yearly - < 5-40 mg/Nm³ for - < 5-15 mg/Nm³ - 30-100 mg/Nm³ for e | existing boile for new boile | ngines. | | | | |
| 45 | the combustion of natural and/or to use oxidation cat Description | gas in sparka alysts. on 8.3. Oxida | thane (CH ₄) emissions to air from to ensure optimised combustion ucing the emissions of saturated | NA | Spark-ignited lean-burn gas engine are not used on site | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|---|
| | BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for formaldehyde and CH ₄ emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in a spark-ignited lean-burn gas engine | | | | | |
| | Combustion plant total rated thermal input (MW _{th}) | BAT-AI | ELs (mg/Nm³) | | | |
| | | Formaldehyde | | CH₄ | | |
| | | Average over | the sampling p | eriod | | |
| | | New or existing plant | New plant | Existing plant | | |
| | ≥ 50 | 5–15 <u>(¹⁶²)</u> | 215–500 <u>(163)</u> | 215–560 <u>(162)</u> (163) | | |

6. Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value

The IED enables a competent authority to allow derogations from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4):

By way of derogation from paragraph 3, and without prejudice to Article 18, the competent authority may, in specific cases, set less strict emission limit values. Such a derogation may apply only where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits due to:

- (a) the geographical location or the local environmental conditions of the installation concerned; or
- (b) the technical characteristics of the installation concerned.

As part of their Regulation 61 Note response, the operator has not requested a derogation from compliance with any AEL values.

7. Emissions to Water

There are no direct emissions to water. Waste water is either tankered off site or transferred for treatment by Rolls Royce host Site via points S1 and W1 on map in Schedule 7 of the permit.

There are no BAT AELs specified in the BAT Conclusions for this type of plant. There are also no additional treatment options identified as BAT for the installation. We have therefore not carried out any additional assessment of the emissions to water as part of this review.

8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements

Name Changed - to Derby Plant

Operating Hours

We have introduced a limit on operating hours in open cycle mode for the LCP in line with our guidance 'BAT for Balancing Plant' (see below) as we do not consider this mode of operation as BAT for plant operating over 1,500 hours/year.

We have amended the reporting requirements in the permit. We have added in a reporting requirement for operating hours to be reported over a five year rolling average to demonstrate compliance with the less than 1,500 hours/year operational limit.

The OCGT was previously permitted to operate unlimited. However, we are not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence available to demonstrate that OCGTs represent BAT for plants operating for more than 1,500 hours/year. Therefore, we have specified 1,500 hours as a limit on operational hours in the permit.

Article 11 of the IED 2010/75/EU states that BAT are applied. BAT requires the use of the most effective and advanced techniques to prevent or minimise emissions and impacts on the environment.

Relevant guidance that we have drawn on, for BAT, includes the Department of Energy and Climate Change 'Developing best available techniques (BAT) for combustion plants operating in the balancing market' and Chapter III of IED and the BAT Conclusions, all of which specifically identify two categories of combustion plant operating in the balancing market as peaking plant: those that operate less than 500 hours and those that operate from 500 hours up to 1,500 hours. Within these documents no other categories of operational regimes are recognised other than base load operation.

Furthermore, draft Environment Agency guidance 'BAT guidance for >50 MWth gas and liquid fuel combustion plant exporting electricity under commercial arrangements for <1,500 hours per annum' consolidates our position on the above and stipulates that combustion plants operating in a single cycle, will be limited to 1,500 hours per annum on a rolling average.

OCGTs operating as peaking plant are classed as fast start, lower efficiency and would generally have higher emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) per megawatt hour of energy produced than would be expected for natural gas fired base load plant. Therefore, OCGTs are better suited to fast reserve running for short periods of time in comparison to base load plants which are more appropriate for steady state running operations.

The use of fast start combined cycle gas turbines (CCGT) aero derivative, gas turbine combined heat and power (GT-CHP) or a large gas engine with combined heat and power would be considered to be a more favourable alternative, in terms of energy efficiency, than the proposal presented in this Regulation 61 response.

The National Emissions Ceiling Directive (NECD) sets national targets for reductions in pollutants including NOx. Restrictions on plants with higher NOx intensity directly contributes to achieving the NECD targets.

For this reason the variation restricts the hours of operation of the plant to no more than 1,500 hours/year as a rolling average over a 5 year period and with operation of the turbine in any individual year limited to a maximum of 2,250 hours. We have included permit condition 2.3.6 and updated tables S1.1 and S4.3 to reflect the permitted hours of operation.

Black Start Operation

In the event of a black out National Grid would call on combustion plant to operate and may require them to do so outside their permitted conditions. We have dedicated black start plant and they are permitted to run as such but this scenario is relevant to the rest of the large combustion plant which could be called depending on the circumstances.

A risk assessment will be carried out by Energy UK/Joint Environmental Programme on behalf of Large Combustion Plant connected to the National Transmission System. Air emissions modelling will be based on generic black start scenarios to establish whether they have the potential to have local impact on the environment or not (on a national basis). If the modelling demonstrates that no significant impacts are likely, the plant can operate under condition 2.3.8. This conditions allows the hourly ELVs for plants operating under a black start instruction to be discounted for the purpose of reporting. We would also require there to be a procedure in place for minimisation of emissions in the case of a black start event and for reporting in the event of a black start. This modelling and the procedures have not been agreed in advance of the issue of the permit review and therefore a condition linking back to an improvement condition have been included in the permit.

9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice.

| Aspect considered | Decision | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Receipt of application | | | | | |
| Confidential information | A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made. | | | | |
| Identifying confidential information | We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential. | | | | |
| The site | | | | | |
| Biodiversity, heritage, landscape and nature conservation | The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat. | | | | |
| | A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the site(s)/species/habitat has not been carried out as part of the permit review process. We consider that the review will not affect the features of the site(s)/species/habitat as the conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit. | | | | |
| | We have not consulted Natural England on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance. | | | | |
| Operating techniques | | | | | |
| General operating techniques | We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes. | | | | |
| | The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions. The ELVs deliver compliance with the BAT-AELs. | | | | |
| | We have introduced a limit on operating hours in Open Cycle Mode for the LCP in line with our guidance 'BAT for Balancing Plant' as we do not consider this mode of operation as BAT for plant operating over 1,500 hours. See section 8 for further information. | | | | |

| Aspect considered | Decision |
|---|---|
| Permit conditions | |
| Updating permit conditions during consolidation | We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit. |
| Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation | We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice. |
| Improvement programme | Permit condition 2.3.8 has been included in the permit with corresponding improvement condition IC8 requiring the operator to submit a report in relation to potential black start operation of the plant. See Section 8 for further information. |
| | We have also removed the completed improvement conditions from the permit. |
| Emission limits | We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit. |
| | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Sections 4.1 and 5 of this document. |
| | It is considered that the ELVs/equivalent parameters or technical measures described above will ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured. |
| Monitoring | We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified. |
| | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document. |
| | Table S3.2 Process monitoring requirements was amended to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency after overhauls on site in line with BAT2. |
| | Based on the information in the application we are fully satisfied that the operator's techniques, personnel and equipment have either MCERTS certification or MCERTS accreditation as appropriate. |
| Reporting | We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters: |
| | Nitrogen dioxideCarbon monoxide |

| Aspect considered | Decision | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document. | | | | |
| Operator competence | | | | | |
| Management system | There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. | | | | |
| Growth Duty | | | | | |
| Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 – Growth duty | We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit. | | | | |
| | Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says: | | | | |
| | "The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation." | | | | |
| | We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections. | | | | |
| | We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards. | | | | |