



**FIRST-TIER TRIBUNAL  
PROPERTY CHAMBER (RESIDENTIAL  
PROPERTY)**

**Case Reference** : **CAM/00MF/PHI/2020/0001  
P:PAPERREMOTE**

**Property** : **122 Loddon Court Farm Park Beech Hill  
Road Spencers Wood Reading RG7 1HU**

**Applicant** : **Tingdene Parks Limited**

**Respondent** : **Mr Dodd**

**Type of  
Application** : **Determination of the pitch fee –  
paragraph 18 of Schedule 1 Mobile  
Homes Act 1983, as amended (“the Act”)**

**Tribunal Member** : **Evelyn Flint FRICS**

**Date of Decision** : **25 June 2020**

---

**DECISION**

---

**Decision of the tribunal**

The tribunal determines that the new pitch fee with effect from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2020 for the pitch known as 122 Loddon Court Farm Park Beech Hill Road Spencers Wood Reading RG7 1HU is £1,682.76 per annum.

**Introduction and background**

1. This has been a remote/hearing on the papers which has been consented to/not objected to by the parties. The form of remote hearing was P:PAPERREMOTE. A face to face hearing was not held because no one requested the same nor was it practicable and all the issues could be determined on the papers. The documents that I was referred to are in a bundle of 20 pages, the contents of which I have recorded. The order made is described at the end of the reasons.

2. The applicant is the site owner and the respondent is the occupier of the Park Home address. They have not agreed the new pitch fee effective from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2020. Consequently, the site owner must apply to the Tribunal in order to obtain the increase. There does not appear to be any dispute regarding the effective date of the increase.
3. On 29<sup>th</sup> October 2019 the Applicant, served a notice proposing a new annual pitch fee of £1,682.76 based on the current pitch fee of £1,643.40 increased by the change in the Retail Price Index over the twelve months from September 2018.
4. The Applicant applied to the Tribunal on 17 March 2020 for a determination of a new pitch fee.
5. Directions were issued on 20 April 2020 stating that the Tribunal would be content to deal with the application on the papers only unless any party requested a hearing by 1 June. No such request was received.

## **The Law**

6. The site owner can only increase the pitch fee annually with the agreement of the occupier or, in the absence of an agreement, by a determination of a new pitch fee by the Tribunal.
7. The site owner must give written notice accompanied by a prescribed Pitch Fee Review Form. The Tribunal notes that the correct form and time limits have been complied with in this case.
8. Paragraph 18 (1) states that

*When determining the amount of the new pitch fee particular regard shall be had to—*

*any sums expended by the owner since the last review date on improvements—*

*(i) which are for the benefit of the occupiers of mobile homes on the protected site;*

*(ii) which were the subject of consultation in accordance with paragraph 22(e) and (f) below; and*

*(iii) to which a majority of the occupiers have not disagreed in writing or which, in the case of such disagreement, the court, on the application of the owner, has ordered should*

*be taken into account when determining the amount of the new pitch fee;*

*Further by S20 (1) There is a presumption that the pitch fee shall increase or decrease by a percentage which is no more than any percentage increase or decrease in the retail prices index since the last review date, unless this would be unreasonable having regard to paragraph 18(1) above.*

### **Site Inspection**

9. Neither party requested an inspection and the tribunal did not consider that one was necessary, nor would it have been proportionate to the issues in dispute.

### **The Evidence**

10. The applicant provided a bundle of documents containing a copy of the application, the Directions, the pitch fee review letter, Pitch Fee Review Form and an extract from the Office for National Statistics Retail Price Index (RPI) for the relevant period.
11. The Respondent did not provide any evidence or comments on the proposed review.

### **Determination**

12. As the Tribunal has received no evidence from the Respondent and the increase is based on the increase in the RPI it determines that the pitch fee should increase from the review date of 1<sup>st</sup> January 2020 to £1,682.76 in accordance with the Notice dated 29<sup>th</sup> October 2019.
13. If the Respondent has continued to pay the original pitch fee since the review date, he must pay the difference to the Applicant.

**Evelyn Flint**

**25 June 2020**

## **RIGHTS OF APPEAL**

1. If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case.
2. The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the Regional office within 28 days after the Tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.
3. If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the Tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed despite not being within the time limit.
4. The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking.