

Environment Agency

Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/CP3337YX

The Operator is: BWSC Generation Services UK Limited The Installation is: Snetterton Renewable Energy Plant

This Variation Notice number is: EPR/CP3337YX/V002

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant published on 17th August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions ('BAT Conclusions') for large combustion plant as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit

issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

This is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the Permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

How this document is structured

Glossary	of	terms
----------	----	-------

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- The legal framework
- 4 Key Issue
- 4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant
- 4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions
- 4.3 Fuel characterisation
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to Water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

APC Air Pollution Control

BAT Best Available Technique(s)

BAT-AEL BAT Associated Emission Level

BATc BAT conclusion

BREF Best available techniques reference document

CEM Continuous emissions monitor
CHP Combined heat and power

CROW Countryside and rights of way Act 2000

CV Calorific value

Derogation

DAA Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to

allow the principal activity to be carried out

from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4) of IED where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as

described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs

EIONET European environment information and observation network is a partnership

network of the European Environment Agency

ELV Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED

EMS Environmental Management System

EPR Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2016 No.

1154)

EWC European waste catalogue FSA Food Standards Agency

IED Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)

IPPCD Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now

superseded by IED

LCP Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED MSUL/MSDL Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load

NOx Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO₂ expressed as NO₂)

NPV Net Present Value
PC Process Contribution

PEC Predicted Environmental Concentration

PHE Public Health England

PPS Public participation statement SAC Special Area of Conservation

SGN Sector guidance note
TGN Technical guidance note
TOC Total Organic Carbon

WFD Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow it to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant Annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 01/05/18 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the large combustion plant BAT Conclusions document. The Notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17th August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17th August 2021, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 18/10/18. We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that review: see below.

We considered that the response did not contain sufficient information for us to commence the permit review. We therefore issued a further information request to the Operator on 18/10/18. Suitable further information was provided by the Operator on 01/04/19, 30/04/19 and 02/07/19.

We have not received any information in relation to the Regulation 61 Notice response that appears to be confidential in relation to any party.

2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under Regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AEELs)
- Fuel Characterisation

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was demonstrated that an alternative limit was more appropriate.

The one LCP on site is referenced LCP466 and is a biomass fired boiler which has a thermal input of 130 MWth.

The plant was put into operation after IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 2 of IED Annex V applicable to new plant.

The ELVs and AELs are based on the following operating regime:

Unlimited hours operation

The following table outlines the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP466, where these were derived from and the reference periods at which they apply. The emission limits refer to concentrations, expressed as mass of emitted substance per volume of flue-gas under the following standard conditions: dry gas at a temperature of 273,15 K, pressure of 101,3 kPa and 6% volume reference oxygen concentration if flue gases. The

emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit.

	NOx limits (mg/Nm³)								
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 2) – New	BREF (Table 9 BAT-c)	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring			
Annual	None	180	180	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload				
Monthly	200	None	200	IED	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous			
Daily	220	220	200	Existing Permit	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous			
95 th %ile of hr means	400	None	400	IED	MSUL/MSDL to baseload				

Under the no backsliding rule the Daily will be 200 mg/Nm³ as specified in the existing permit.

CO limits (mg/Nm³)						
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 2) - New	BREF (Table 9 BAT-c)	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring
Annual	None	160	160	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous

SO ₂ limits (mg/Nm ³)							
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 2) – New	BREF (Table 10 BAT-c)	Expected permit limits note 1	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring	
Annual	None	100 Note 1	100	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload		
Monthly	200	None	200	IED	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous	
Daily	220	215 Note 2	200	Existing Permit	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous	
95 th %ile of hr means	400	None	400	IED	MSUL/MSDL to baseload		

Note 1 – Operator has provided data demonstrating that average sulphur content is \geq 0.1%. In accordance with footnote 3 of table 10 in the BAT-c a limit of 100mg/Nm^3 can be set in this circumstance.

Under the no backsliding rule the Daily will be 200 mg/Nm³ as specified in the existing permit.

Note 2 - Operator has provided data demonstrating that average sulphur content is \geq 0.1%. In accordance with footnote 4 of table 10 in the BAT-c a limit of 215mg/Nm³ can be set in this circumstance.

HCI limits (mg/Nm³)							
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 2) - New	BREF (Table 11 BAT-c)	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring	
Annual	None	25 Note 1	25	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous	
Daily	None	None Note 2	25	Existing Permit	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous	

Note 1 - Operator has provided data demonstrating that average chlorine content is \geq 0.1%. In accordance with footnote 1 of table 11 in the BAT-c a limit of 25 mg/Nm³ can be set in this circumstance.

Under the no backsliding rule the Daily will be 25mg/Nm³ as specified in the existing permit.

HF limits (mg/Nm³)							
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 2) - New	BREF (Table 11 BAT-c)	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring	
Average over sampling period	None	<1	<1	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Once per year	

Dust limits (mg/Nm³)								
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 2) - New	BREF (Table 12 BAT-c)	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring		
Annual	None	12	12	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload			
Monthly	20	None	20	IED	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous		
Daily	22	18	18	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous		
95 th %ile of hr means	40	None	40	IED	MSUL/MSDL to baseload			

NH ₃ limits (mg/Nm ³)							
Averaging IED (Annex V BREF (BAT Expected Part 2) - New Conclusion 7) Permit limits Basis Limits apply Monitor					Monitoring		
Yearly	None	15	10	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Continuous	

Under the no backsliding rule the Yearly limit will be 10 mg/Nm³, as specified in the existing permit.

Note 2 - Operator has provided data demonstrating that average chlorine content is ≥0.1%. In accordance with footnote 1 of table 11 in the BAT-c no daily limit is required in this circumstance.

Hg limits (µg/Nm³)							
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 2) - New	BREF (BAT Conclusion 27)	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring	
Average over sampling period	None	5	5	BREF	MSUL/MSDL to baseload	Once per year	

4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

The table below sets out the AEELs specified in the BAT Conclusions for the large combustion plant on the site and the energy efficiency levels confirmed through the Regulation 61 notice response. The evidence provided to demonstrate that the AEELs are met was in the form of figures from a planned performance test undertaken on 12th September 2017. We consider this plant is BAT in relation to the AEELs.

BAT AEELs (%)			Plant efficiency (%)						
Net electrical efficiency	Net total fuel utilisation	Net mechanical efficiency	Net electrical efficiency	Net total fuel utilisation	Net mechanical efficiency				
	LCP466: Existing solid biomass and peat boiler								
28% - 38%	None	None	34.6%	NA	NA				

4.3 Fuel characterisation

BAT 9 requires the operator to carry out fuel characterisation. The operator does not currently undertake fuel characterisation of F, K, NA or Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl, V, Zn).

We have therefore included an improvement condition in the consolidated variation notice IC8 requiring the operator to submit a plan outlining how this will be carried out for approval prior to the implementation date for the BAT Conclusions.

5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant, were published by the European Commission on 17th August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The conditions in the permit through which the relevant BAT Conclusions are implemented include but are not limited to the following:

BAT Conclusion	Permit condition(s)	Permit table(s)
requirement topic		
Environmental	1.1.1	S1.2
Management System		
BAT AELs	3.1.1 and 3.5.1	S3.1, S3.1a
Monitoring	2.3, 3.5 and 3.6	S3.1, S3.1a, S3.2
Energy efficiency	1.2 and 2.3	S3.3
Noise	3.4 and 2.3	S1.2
Other operating	1.2	S1.2
techniques		

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA Not Applicable

CC Currently Compliant

FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT conclusions)

NC Not Compliant

PC Partially Compliant

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
General			
1	In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features: i. commitment of the management, including senior management; iii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management; iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; iv. implementation of procedures (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; vii. following the development of cleaner technologies;	CC	An Environment Management System (EMS) is in place which has been audited and accredited by ISO 14001.

BWSC Generation Services UK Limited Snetterton Renewable Energy Plant Issued 18/06/2020

EPR/CP3337YX/V002

Page 13 of 46

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclus	ion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	installation at the stage of diviii. consideration for the eninstallation at the stage of dix. application of sectoral be Etc see BAT Conclusions Applicability. The scope (enon-standardised) will gene	vironmental impacts from the event esigning a new plant, and throughovironmental impacts from the event esigning a new plant, and throughonchmarking on a regular basis. .g. level of detail) and nature of the rally be related to the nature, scale of environmental impacts it may have	out its operating life; tual decommissioning of the out its operating life; EMS (e.g. standardised or and complexity of the			
2	net mechanical energy effici carrying out a performance commissioning of the unit a electrical efficiency and/or the efficiency of the unit. If EN s	electrical efficiency and/or the net lency of the gasification, IGCC and test at full load (1), according to EN and after each modification that coul ne net total fuel utilisation and/or the standards are not available, BAT is ensure the provision of data of an	/or combustion units by I standards, after the Id significantly affect the net e net mechanical energy to use ISO, national or other	CC	The operator has provided details of a performance testing report which states the efficiency of the plant as being 34.6%. The performance testing was undertaken in accordance with EN12952-15.	
3	BAT is to monitor key pro including those given belo	cess parameters relevant for em	issions to air and water	CC	Flow, Oxygen content, temperature, pressure and water vapour content are all monitored on a continuous basis	
	Stream	Parameter(s)	Monitoring		through installed Continuous Emissions Monitoring (CEMS).	
	Flue-gas	Flow	Periodic or continuous determination			
	Oxygen content, temperature, and pressure Periodic or continuous measurement					
		Water vapour content (3)				
	Waste water from flue-gas treatment	Flow, pH, and temperature	Continuous measurement			

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of	of BAT Conclusion requ	uirement				Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
4	with EN sta	monitor emissions to air wandards. If EN standards al standards that ensure to successful ensure that ensure the standards that ensure that ensure the standards that ensure the standards that ensure that ensure the standards that ens	are not ava	ilable, BAT is	to use ISO, natio	nal or other	FC	The operator has specified monitoring frequencies as detailed below. The parameters monitored and frequencies specified are in accordance with the requirements of BAT4 and will be monitored from the implementation date in 2021. NH ₃ - Continuous NO _x - Continuous N ₂ O - Once every year CO - Continuous SO ₂ - Continuous SO ₃ - Once every year HCI - Continuous HF - Once every year Dust - Continuous Metals and metalloids - Once per year Hg - Once per year For N ₂ O, SO ₃ and Metals and metalloids we have decided not to set monitoring where there is no limit, however the operator has confirmed that these substances will still be monitored.
		Combustion plants on offshore platforms	All sizes	EN 14792	Once every year (9)	BAT 53		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary (of BAT Conclusion requ	uirement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	N ₂ O	 Coal and/or lignite in circulating fluidised bed boilers Solid biomass and/or peat in circulating fluidised bed boilers Coal and/or lignite including waste coincineration Solid biomass and/or peat including waste coincineration HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry IGCC plants 	All sizes All sizes	EN 21258 Generic EN standards	Once every year (10) Continuous (6) (8)	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 33 BAT 38 BAT 44 BAT 49 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73		
		Combustion plants on offshore platforms	All sizes	EN 15058	Once every year_(°)	BAT 54		
	SO ₂	Coal and/or lignite incl waste co-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards and EN 14791	Continuous (6) (11) (BAT 21 BAT 25 BAT 29 BAT 34 BAT 39 BAT 50		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary o	f BAT Conclusion requ	iirement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	SO ₃	 Solid biomass and/or peat incl waste coincineration HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers IGCC plants When SCR is used 	All sizes	No EN standard available EN 1911	Once every year Once every three	BAT 57 BAT 66 BAT 67 BAT 74		
	chlorides, expressed as HCI	 Coal and/or lignite Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers Solid biomass and/or peat Waste co-incineration 	All sizes All sizes	Generic EN standards Generic EN standards	months_ $\binom{6}{2}$ _ $\binom{13}{2}$ _ $\binom{14}{2}$	BAT 25 BAT 66 BAT 67		
	HF	 Coal and/or lignite Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers 	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every three months_(6)_(13)_(14) Once every year	BAT 21 BAT 57		
		 Solid biomass and/or peat 	All SIZES	available	Once every year	DAT 20		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary o	of BAT Conclusion red	uirement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
		Waste co-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous (6) (16)	BAT 66 BAT 67		
	Dust	Coal and/or lignite Solid biomass and/or peat HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industr in boilers IGCC plants HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines	,	Generic EN standards and EN 13284-1 and EN 13284-2	Continuous (6) (17)	BAT 22 BAT 26 BAT 30 BAT 35 BAT 39 BAT 51 BAT 58 BAT 75		
		Waste co-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards and EN 13284-2	Continuous	BAT 68 BAT 69		
	Metals and metalloids except mercury (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl, V, Zn)	Coal and/or lignite Solid biomass and/o peat HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines	All sizes	EN 14385	Once every year_(18)	BAT 22 BAT 26 BAT 30		
	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	— Waste co-incineration	< 300 MW_{th}≥ 300 MW_{th}	EN 14385 EN 14385	Once every six months_(13) Once every three months_(19)_(13)	BAT 68 BAT 69		
		IGCC plants	≥ 100 MW _{th}	EN 14385	Once every year (18)	BAT 75		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary o	f BAT Conclusion re	quirement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	Hg	Coal and/or lignite including waste co-	< 300 MW _{th}	EN 13211	Once every three months_(13)_(20)	BAT 23		
		incineration	≥ 300 MW _{th}	Generic EN standards and EN 14884	Continuous (16) (21)			
		 Solid biomass and/opeat 	r All sizes	EN 13211	Once every year (22)	BAT 27		
		Waste co-incineration with solid biomass and/or peat	All sizes	EN 13211	Once every three months_(13)	BAT 70		
		— IGCC plants	≥ 100 MW _{th}	EN 13211	Once every year (23)	BAT 75		
	TVOC	HFO- and/or gas-oil fired engines Process fuels from	All sizes	EN 12619	Once every six months_(13)	BAT 33 BAT 59		
		 Process fuels from chemical industry in boilers 						
		Waste co-incineration with coal, lignite, so biomass and/or pea	id	Generic EN standards	Continuous	BAT 71		
	Formaldehyd e	Natural-gas in spark ignited lean-burn ga and dual fuel engine	s	No EN standard available	Once every year	BAT 45		
	CH ₄	— Natural-gas-fired engines	All sizes	EN ISO 25139	Once every year (24)	BAT 45		
	PCDD/F	Process fuels from chemical industry in boilers	All sizes	EN 1948-1, EN 1948-2, EN 1948-3	Once every six months (13) (25)	BAT 59 BAT 71		
		Waste co-incineration	n					

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Co	onclus	ion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
5	BAT is to monitor emissions to water from flue-gas treatment with at least the frequency given below and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.						No flue-gas treatment used at the installation.
	Total organic carbon (TOC)_(26) Chemical oxygen demand (COD)_(26) Total suspended solids (TSS)		Standard(s)	Minimum monitoring frequency	Monitoring associated with		
			EN 1484	Once every month	BAT 15		
			No EN standard available				
			EN 872				
	Fluoride (F ⁻)		EN ISO 10304-1				
	Sulphate (SO ₄ ²⁻)		EN ISO 10304-1				
	Sulphide, easily releated (S ²⁻)	sed	No EN standard available				
	Sulphite (SO ₃ ²⁻)		EN ISO 10304-3				
	Metals and metalloids	Cd Cr Cu Ni Pb Zn Hg	Various EN standards available (e.g. EN ISO 11885 or EN ISO 17294-2) Various EN standards available (e.g. EN ISO 12846 or EN ISO 17852)				

BAT Concn. Number	Sur	nmary of BAT	Conclusion requirement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		hloride (Cl ⁻) otal nitrogen	Various EN standards available (e.g. EN ISO 1030 1 or EN ISO 15682) EN 12260	4-	_		
6	red	duce emissions mbustion and to	ve the general environmental perf s to air of CO and unburnt sub- o use an appropriate combination of	stances, BAT is to of the techniques give	СС	Combustion is optimised through the application of the following measures: A – Fuel Blending and Management – different fuels are stored separately to allow for control of fuel feed and blend.	
	Technique Description a Fuel blending Ensure stable combustion conditions and/or reduce the emission of pollutants by mixing different qualities of the same fuel type		Applicability Generally applicable			B – Maintenance – fuel feeding system is maintained in accordance with operational procedures for the installation. Plant is shut down once a year to inspect any issues and rectify any problems.	
	b	Maintenance of the combustion system	Regular planned maintenance according to suppliers' recommendations				C – Advanced control system – Computer based control system and live instrumentation used to monitor and control combustion. E – Fuel Choice – The fuel supply is changed if emissions
	C.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.1	The applicability to old may be constrained by the combustion system command system	the need to retrofit		issues are encountered.
	d	Good design of the combustion equipment	Good design of furnace, combustion chambers, burners and associated devices	Generally applicable to new combustion plants			
	e	Fuel choice	Select or switch totally or partially to another fuel(s) with a better environmental profile (e.g. with low sulphur and/or mercury content) amongst the available fuels, including in start-up situations or when back-up fuels are used	Applicable within the coassociated with the average types of fuel with a better profile as a whole, which impacted by the energon Member State, or by the state, or by the state is a second control of the state of	railability of suitable tter environmental ich may be ty policy of the		

BWSC Generation Services UK Limited Snetterton Renewable Energy Plant

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	fuel balance in the case of combustion of industrial process fuels. For existing combustion plants, the type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant		
7	In order to reduce emissions of ammonia to air from the use of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) for the abatement of NO $_{\rm X}$ emissions, BAT is to optimise the design and/or operation of SCR and/or SNCR (e.g. optimised reagent to NO $_{\rm X}$ ratio, homogeneous reagent distribution and optimum size of the reagent drops). BAT-associated emission levels The BAT-associated emission level (BAT-AEL) for emissions of NH $_{\rm 3}$ to air from the use of SCR and/or SNCR is < 3–10 mg/Nm $^{\rm 3}$ as a yearly average or average over the sampling period. The lower end of the range can be achieved when using SCR and the upper end of the range can be achieved when using SNCR without wet abatement techniques. In the case of plants combusting biomass and operating at variable loads as well as in the case of engines combusting HFO and/or gas oil, the higher end of the BAT-AEL range is 15 mg/Nm $^{\rm 3}$.	CC	The site uses Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) for abatement of NO _x emissions.
8	In order to prevent or reduce emissions to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by appropriate design, operation and maintenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal capacity and availability.	СС	The site has a number of Standard operating procedures covering all plant operations and maintenance.
9	In order to improve the general environmental performance of combustion and/or gasification plants and to reduce emissions to air, BAT is to include the following elements in the quality assurance/quality control programmes for all the fuels used, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1): (i) Initial full characterisation of the fuel used including at least the parameters listed below and in accordance with EN standards. ISO, national or other international standards may be used provided they ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality;	FC	Samples are taken daily to form a composite weekly fuel sample which is analysed. This in accordance with OFGEM requirements. The following substances are characterised: Gross and Net CV, moisture, ash, C, Cl, N and S. F, K, Na and metals and metalloids are currently not characterised. The operator will add these to the fuel

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion	n requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	according to the plant design from the table below are based	uality to check that it is consistent with the initial characterisation and a specifications. The frequency of testing and the parameters chosen sed on the variability of the fuel and an assessment of the relevance incentration in fuel, flue-gas treatment employed);		characterisation requirements and will be compliant by 2021. An improvement condition (IC8) has been included requesting submission of the fuel testing procedures.	
	of the fuel characterisation Section 8.1)). Description Initial characterisation and regulate fuel supplier. If performed I	the plant settings as and when needed and practicable (e.g. integration in and control in the advanced control system (see description in allar testing of the fuel can be performed by the operator and/or by the supplier, the full results are provided to the operator in plier specification and/or guarantee.	The methods of testing are in accordance with EN stand samples are taken on a daily basis to form a composite sample, should any parameters fall outside of the fuel specification Plant Asset Owner/General Manger is notificant assessment is made of the materiality of the parameter where appropriate the fuel procurement team is asked to action quality improvement.		
	Fuel(s)	Substances/Parameters subject to characterisation			
	Biomass/peat	 LHV moisture Ash C, Cl, F, N, S, K, Na Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Zn) 			
	Coal/lignite	 LHV Moisture Volatiles, ash, fixed carbon, C, H, N, O, S Br, Cl, F Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn) 			
	HFO	— Ash — C, S, N, Ni, V			

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion	requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Gas oil	— Ash		
		— N, C, S		
	Natural gas	— LHV		
		— CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ , C ₃ , C ₄ +, CO ₂ , N ₂ , Wobbe index		
	Process fuels from the chemical	— Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S		
	industry (27) — Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)			
	Iron and steel process gases	 LHV, CH₄ (for COG), C_XH_Y (for COG), CO₂, H₂, N₂, total sulphur, dust, Wobbe index 		
	Waste_(²⁸)	— LHV		
		— Moisture		
		Volatiles, ash, Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S		
		 Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn) 		
10	conditions (OTNOC), BAT is to environmental management is potential pollutant releases, that — appropriate design of the syst on emissions to air, water and up and shutdown loads for standard environmentation of	to air and/or to water during other than normal operating o set up and implement a management plan as part of the system (see BAT 1), commensurate with the relevance of it includes the following elements: ems considered relevant in causing OTNOC that may have an impact sold (e.g. low-load design concepts for reducing the minimum startable generation in gas turbines), a specific preventive maintenance plan for these relevant systems, missions caused by OTNOC and associated circumstances and actions if necessary,	CC	The operator has confirmed that the following techniques are implemented at this installation: The plant has gone through an independent engineering assessment to ensure compliance with environmental impacts. A maintenance plan is in place where the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) services and calibrates equipment on the installation including CEMs, Water discharge, dust monitors and noise meters. All data is recorded and submitted in line with the requirements of the permit. The data is reviewed on an annual basis with view for continual improvements which feed back into the installations Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) and management systems.

BAT Concn. Number	Su	mmary of BAT C	Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
			nent of the overall emissions during OTNC fication/estimation) and implementation of				
11	The sur me ass	scription e monitoring can rogate paramete asurement of er sessed based or cedure at least or	be carried out by direct measurements if this proves to be of equal or bett missions. Emissions during start-up and a detailed emission measurement ance every year, and using the results cand every SU/SD throughout the year.	nt of emissions or by monitoring of ter scientific quality than the direct and shutdown (SU/SD) may be carried out for a typical SU/SD of this measurement to estimate the	cc	Continuous monitoring is in place at the installation. Monitoring will be taking place during OTNOC.	
12	ope		e the energy efficiency of combustion yr, BAT is to use an appropriate cor		CC	Energy Efficiency is optimised through the application of the following measures: A - Combustion is optimised as described under BAT 6. B – The plant is designed to be run at base load running at	
	a.		See description in Section 8.2. Optimising the combustion minimises the content of unburnt substances in the flue-gases and in solid combustion residues	Generally applicable		optimum pressure and temperature whilst controlling NO _x . C – An air cooled condenser is used to optimise conditions for low pressure steam exhaust. E – Combustion air is pre-heated. H – The boiler feedwater is preheated.	
	b. Optimisation of the working and temperature of the working medium gas or steam, within the constraints conditions associated with, for example, the control of NO _x emissions or the characteristics of energy demanded			·			
	C.	Optimisation of the steam cycle	Operate with lower turbine exhaust pressure by utilisation of the lowest possible temperature of the condenser cooling water, within the design conditions				

BAT Concn. Number	Sur	nmary of BAT C	onclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	d.	Minimisation of energy consumption	Minimising the internal energy consumption (e.g. greater efficiency of the feed-water pump)			
	e.	Preheating of combustion air	Reuse of part of the heat recovered from the combustion flue-gas to preheat the air used in combustion	Generally applicable within the constraints related to the need to control NO _X emissions		
	f.	Fuel preheating	Preheating of fuel using recovered heat	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the boiler design and the need to control NO _X emissions		
	g. Advanced control system See description in Section 8.2. Computerised control of the main combustion parameters enables the combustion efficiency to be improved h. Feed-water preheating using recovered heat Preheat water coming out of the steam condenser with recovered heat, before reusing it in the boiler		Generally applicable to new units. The applicability to old units may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system			
			condenser with recovered heat, before	Only applicable to steam circuits and not to hot boilers. Applicability to existing units may be limited due to constraints associated with the plant configuration and the amount of recoverable heat		
	i.	Heat recovery by cogeneration (CHP)	Recovery of heat (mainly from the steam system) for producing hot water/steam to be used in industrial processes/activities or in a public network for district heating. Additional heat recovery is possible from: — flue-gas — grate cooling — circulating fluidised bed	Applicable within the constraints associated with the local heat and power demand. The applicability may be limited in the case of gas compressors with an unpredictable operational heat profile		
	j.	CHP readiness	See description in Section 8.2.	Only applicable to new units where there is a realistic potential for the		

EPR/CP3337YX/V002

BAT Concn. Number	Sui	mmary of BAT (Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
				future use of heat in the vicinity of the unit		
	k. Flue-gas condenser l. Heat accumulation storage in CHP mode		Generally applicable to CHP units provided there is enough demand for low-temperature heat			
				Only applicable to CHP plants. The applicability may be limited in the case of low heat load demand		
	m. Wet stack See description in Section 8.2. n. Cooling tower discharge The release of emissions to air through a cooling tower and not via a dedicated stack			Generally applicable to new and existing units fitted with wet FGD		
				Only applicable to units fitted with wet FGD where reheating of the flue-gas is necessary before release, and where the unit cooling system is a cooling tower		
	o. Fuel pre-drying The reduction of fuel moisture content before combustion to improve combustion conditions The reduction of fuel moisture content before combustion to improve combustion conditions Applicable to the combustion of biomass and/or peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain). The reduction of fuel moisture content biomass and/or peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain). The reduction of peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain). The reduction of peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain). The retrofit of existing plants may be restricted by the extra calorific value that can be obtained from the drying operation and by the limited retrofit possibilities offered by some boiler designs or plant configurations					
	p.	Minimisation of heat losses	Minimising residual heat losses, e.g. those that occur via the slag or those that can be reduced by insulating radiating sources	Only applicable to solid-fuel-fired combustion units and to gasification/IGCC units		

BAT Concn. Number	Sur	nmary of BAT C	conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	r. Steam turbine upgrades This includes techniques such increasing the temperature and pressures at achieve increased steam/comb process efficiencies This includes techniques such increasing the temperature and of medium-pressure steam, ad low-pressure turbine, and mod		capable of withstanding high operating temperatures and pressures and thus to achieve increased steam/combustion	Only applicable to new plants		
			This includes techniques such as increasing the temperature and pressure of medium-pressure steam, addition of a low-pressure turbine, and modifications to the geometry of the turbine rotor blades			
	S.	ultra-supercritical	Use of a steam circuit, including steam reheating systems, in which steam can reach pressures above 220,6 bar and temperatures above 374 °C in the case of supercritical conditions, and above 250 – 300 bar and temperatures above 580 – 600 °C in the case of ultrasupercritical conditions	Only applicable to new units of ≥ 600 MW _{th} operated > 4 000 h/yr. Not applicable when the purpose of the unit is to produce low steam temperatures and/or pressures in process industries. Not applicable to gas turbines and engines generating steam in CHP mode. For units combusting biomass, the applicability may be constrained by high-temperature corrosion in the case of certain biomasses		
13	In order to reduce water usage and the volume of contaminated waste water discharged, BAT is to use one or both of the techniques given below.		СС	Grey water recovery in place at the installation. Wet ash water is recovered via a slag pit. A Waste Treatment Plant and boiler		
		echnique	Description	Applicability		blowdown recovery are to be installed on site.
	a. Water recycling		sidual aqueous streams, including run-off er, from the plant are reused for other poses. The degree of recycling is limited the quality requirements of the recipient er stream and the water balance of the nt	Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present		

BWSC Generation Services UK Limited Snetterton Renewable Energy Plant Issued 18/06/2020

EPR/CP3337YX/V002

Page 28 of 46

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requ	uirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	b. Dry bottom ash fa onto a mechanical cor cooled down by ambie in the process.		Only applicable to plants combusting solid fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants		
14	In order to prevent the contamination to water, BAT is to segregate waste on the pollutant content. Description Waste water streams that are typical cooling water, and waste water from Applicability The applicability may be restricted in drainage systems.	e water streams and to ally segregated and treat a flue-gas treatment.	treat them separately, depending ated include surface run-off water,	CC	Site trade effluent/foul water and surface water are already separated. Grey water is also recovered from 60% of the roof area.
15	In order to reduce emissions to water combination of the techniques given possible to the source in order to avoid	n below, and to use s		NA	Flue gas treatment is not used at this installation.
	Technique Typ	rpical pollutants	Applicability		
		Primary techniques			
		anic compounds, nonia (NH ₃)	enerally applicable		
	Sec	condary techniques (29)			
		anic compounds, Gecury (Hg)	enerally applicable		
		pounds, ammonium of	enerally applicable for the treatment organic compounds. Aerobic ological treatment of ammonium		

BAT Concn. Number	Sui	nmary of BAT Conclusion	requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
				(NH ₄ ⁺) may not be applicable in the case of high chloride concentrations (i.e. around 10 g/l)		
	d.	Anoxic/anaerobic biological treatment	Mercury (Hg), nitrate (NO ₃ ⁻), nitrite (NO ₂ ⁻)	Generally applicable		
	e.	Coagulation and flocculation	Suspended solids	Generally applicable		
	f. Crystallisation Metals and metalloids.		Metals and metalloids, sulphate (SO ₄ ²⁻), fluoride (F ⁻)	Generally applicable		
	g. Filtration (e.g. sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration) Suspended solids, me		Suspended solids, metal	Generally applicable		
	h.			il Generally applicable		
	i.	Ion exchange	Metals	Generally applicable		
	j.	Neutralisation	Acids, alkalis	Generally applicable		
	k.	Oxidation	Sulphide (S ²⁻), sulphite (SO ₃ ²⁻)	Generally applicable		
	l.	Precipitation	Metals and metalloids, sulphate (SO ₄ ²⁻), fluoride (F ⁻)	Generally applicable		
	m.	Sedimentation	Suspended solids	Generally applicable		
	n.	Stripping	Ammonia (NH ₃)	Generally applicable		
	em	ssion leaves the installation	١.	ng water body at the point where the ater body from flue-gas treatment		
		Substance/Paran	neter	BAT-AELs		
				Daily average		
	То	tal organic carbon (TOC)	2	0–50 mg/l <u>(³⁰) (³¹) (³²)</u>		
	Ch	emical oxygen demand (COD)	6	0–150 mg/l <u>(³⁰) (³¹) (³²)</u>		
	То	tal suspended solids (TSS)	1	0–30 mg/l		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT C	conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	Fluoride (F-)		10–25 mg/l <u>(³²)</u>			
	Sulphate (SO ₄ ²⁻)		1,3–2,0 g/l_(³²)_(³³)_(³⁴)_	(35)		
	Sulphide (S ²⁻), easily i	released	0,1–0,2 mg/l_(³²)			
	Sulphite (SO ₃ ²⁻)		1–20 mg/l <u>(³²)</u>			
	Metals and metalloids As 10–50 μg/l					
	Cd 2–5 μg/l					
	Cr 10–50 μg/l					
	Cu 10–50 μg/l					
		Hg	0,2–3 μg/l			
		Ni	10–50 μg/l			
	Pb 10–20 μg/l					
		Zn	50–200 μg/l			
16	gasification process maximise, in order of	the quantity of waste sent fo and abatement techniques, E f priority and taking into accoun- tion, e.g. maximise the proportions:	BAT is to organise op tife-cycle thinking:	erations so as to	CC	The waste hierarchy is applied to all site waste and is under continual review. The following techniques are applied at the installation.
		ation for reuse, e.g. according	to the specific reques	sted		b) Bottom ash is either recovered and used within the construction industry.
	(c) waste recyclin	ıg;			Fly ash is currently sent to landfill. Options for its use as an	
		recovery (e.g. energy recovery mbination of techniques such a		an		agricultural fertiliser are being progressed as part of preoperational conditions in the permit.
	Technique	Description	Appli	cability		d) A Catalyst Management Plan is also being produced.

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT (Conclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	a. Generation of gypsum as a byproduct	Quality optimisation of the calcium-based reaction residues generated by the wet FGD so that they can be used as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g. as raw material in the plasterboard industry). The quality of limestone used in the wet FGD influences the purity of the gypsum produced	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	b. Recycling or recovery of residues in the construction sector	Recycling or recovery of residues (e.g. from semi-dry desulphurisation processes, fly ash, bottom ash) as a construction material (e.g. in road building, to replace sand in concrete production, or in the cement industry)	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required material quality (e.g. physical properties, content of harmful substances) associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	c. Energy recovery by using waste in the fuel mix	The residual energy content of carbon-rich ash and sludges generated by the combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be recovered for example by mixing with the fuel	Generally applicable where plants can accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the combustion chamber		
	d. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse	Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme	The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO _X and NH ₃ emissions		
17	In order to reduce given below.	noise emissions, BAT is to use one or	a combination of the techniques	СС	In order to reduce noise at the installation the following techniques are in place:
	Technique	Description	Applicability		
	a. Operational measures	These include:	Generally applicable		a) Operational measures are in place including: closing of doors, experienced staff, avoidance of noisy activities at night.

BAT Concn. Number	Su	mmary of BAT C	onclusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	e.	equipment Noise attenuation Noise-control equipment Appropriate location of equipment and buildings	improved inspection and maintenance of equipment closing of doors and windows of enclosed areas, if possible equipment operated by experienced staff avoidance of noisy activities at night, if possible provisions for noise control during maintenance activities This potentially includes compressors, pumps and disks Noise propagation can be reduced by inserting obstacles between the emitter and the receiver. Appropriate obstacles include protection walls, embankments and buildings This includes: noise-reducers equipment insulation enclosure of noisy equipment soundproofing of buildings Noise levels can be reduced by increasing the distance between the emitter and the receiver and by using buildings as noise screens	Generally applicable when the equipment is new or replaced Generally applicable to new plants. In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by lack of space The applicability may be restricted by lack of space Generally applicable to new plant		b) Equipment is housed inside a building. The plan equipment is also of modern design. c) Noise attenuation is provided through embankments which are built around the perimeter of the site. d) Noisy equipment is within an enclosed area. Equipment is also insulated in order to minimise noise.
2.2.1 Table 8	В	AT-associated ene	ergy efficiency levels (BAT-AEELs) for th and/or peat	e combustion of solid biomass	CC	The energy efficiency of the plant is 34.6% based upon a contractual performance test conducted on 12/09/17.

BWSC Generation Services UK Limited Snetterton Renewable Energy Plant Issued 18/06/2020 EPR/CP3337YX/V002

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Co	onclusion requiren	nent		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	Type of		BAT-AEELs	<u>(⁷³) (⁷⁴)</u>			
	combustion unit	Net electrical ef	ficiency (%) <u>(</u> ⁷⁵)		el utilisation ⁷⁶) <u>(</u> ⁷⁷)		
		New unit_(78) Existing u		New unit	Existing unit		
	Solid biomass and/or peat boiler	33,5-to > 38	28–38	73–99	73–99		
24	In order to prevent or from the combustion techniques given below	of solid biomass and			СС	A Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR) system is installed in order to control NO _x emissions.	
	Technique	Descript	Description		Applicability		See key issues section for more information on AELs.
	a Combustion optimisation	See description Section 8.3			enerally applicable		
	b Low-NO _X burners	s					
	c. Air staging						
	d Fuel staging						
	e Flue-gas . recirculation						
	f. Selective non-catalytic reductio (SNCR)	n Section 8.3.	Section 8.3. Can be applied with slip' SCR The applied case of between		blicable to combustion plants ed < 500 h/yr with highly e boiler loads. plicability may be limited in the combustion plants operated en 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr with variable boiler loads.		

BAT Concn. Number	Su	ummary of BAT Concl	usion requirer	nent		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
				a t	For existing combapplicable within associated with the mperature wind time for the inject	the constraints he required low and residence		
	The use of high-alkali fuels (e.g. straw) may require the SCR to be installed downstream of the dust abatement system BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs)			gh-alkali aw) may rock to be nstream of ement constraints	operated < 500 h. There may be ecceptrofitting existing of < 300 MW _{th} . Not generally approximately	onomic restrictions for g combustion plants blicable to existing s of < 100 MW _{th}		
		Combustion plant total		BA ⁻	Γ-AELs (mg/Nm	3)		
		rated thermal input (MWth)	Yearl	y average		ge or average over npling period		
			New plant	Existing plant (79)	New plant	Existing plant (80)		
	50–100 70– 150 <u>(81)</u>		70–225 <u>(82)</u>	120–200_(83)	120–275 <u>(⁸⁴)</u>			
	100–300 50–140 50–180		50–180	100–200 100–220				
	≥ 300 40–140 40–150 <u>(85</u>			40–150 (85)	65–150	95–165 <u>(⁸⁶)</u>		
	As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels will generally be: — < 30–250 mg/Nm³ for existing combustion plants of 50–100 MW _{th} operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr, or new combustion plants of 50–100 MW _{th} ,							

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Concl	usion requireme	ent	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	≥ 1 500 h/yr, or new o	combustion plants existing combust	on plants of ≥ 300 MW _{th} operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr, or		
			Applicability Generally applicable Not applicable to combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and	CC	The installation uses Duct sorbent injection (DSI) as per point b. in the BAT conclusions. The system used is lime dosing in conjunction with a bag filter. See key issues section for more information on AELs.

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT C	Conclusio	n requirem	ent			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement		
	h Fuel choice Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of different types of fuel, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State									
	BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for SO ₂ emissions to air from the combustion of solid biomass and/or peat									
	Combustion plan		BAT-AELs for SO ₂ (mg/Nm³)							
	rated thermal i (MW _{th})					Daily average or average over the sampling period				
				Existi plant_(New plant	Existing plant (88)			
	< 100		15–70	15–100	<u> </u>		30–215			
	100–300		< 10–50	< 10–70	0 <u>(89)</u>	< 20–85	< 20–175 <u>(⁹⁰)</u>			
	≥ 300		< 10–35	< 10–50	10–50 <u>(89)</u> < 20–70		< 20–85 <u>(⁹¹)</u>			
	BAT-associated er					and HF emis	sions to	air from the		
	Combustion plant total	BAT	-AELs for	HCI (mg	g/Nm³) <u>(</u>	(92) (93) BAT-AELs for HF (mg/Nm³)				
	input		average of samples ave			average or ge over the ing period	Average over the sampling period			
	New plant		Existing plant (94)		New plant	Existing plant (%)	New plan t	Existin g plant_(9 <u>9</u>		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement						Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	< 100	1–7	1–15	1–12	1–35	< 1	< 1,5		
	100–300	1–5	1–9	1–12	1–12	< 1	< 1		
	≥ 300	1–5	1–5	1–12	1–12	< 1	< 1		
26	In order to reduce dust and particulate-bound metal emissions to air from the combustion of solid biomass and/or peat, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below.							CC	Dust emissions are controlled through the use of a bag filter.
	Technique	De	scription		Applica	bility			
	a Electrostatic See description in precipitator (ESP) Section 8.5			General	Generally applicable				
	b Bag filter								
	c Dry or semi-dry . FGD system	Sectio	See descriptions in Section 8.5						
	d Wet flue-gas . desulphurisation (wet FGD)	The techniques are mainly used for SO _X , HCl and/or HF control			See applicability in BAT 25				
	e Fuel choice See description in Section 8.5		Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of different types of fuel, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State			types of fuel,			
	BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for dust emissions to air from the combustion of solid biomass and/or peat								
	Combustion plant total E				AT-AELs for dust (mg/Nm³)				
	rated thermal input (MWth)		Yearly ave	average Daily average or average over the sampling period					
				cisting ant <u>(⁹⁷)</u>	New plant		isting int <u>(⁹⁸)</u>		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion	n requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	< 100	2–5 2–15	2–10	2–22		
	100–300	2–5 2–12	2–10	2–18		
	≥ 300	2–5 2–10	2–10	2–16		
27 In order to prevent or reduce and/or peat, BAT is to use of		or a combination of th	e techniques give	en below.	СС	The following techniques are in place at the installation in order to control mercury emissions.
	Technique Specific too	Description chniques to reduce m		licability		The operator plans to reduce mercury emissions through fuel
	a Carbon sorbent (e.g activated carbon or halogenated activated carbon) injection in the flue gas b Use of halogenated . additives in the fuel or injected in the furnace c Fuel choice . Co-benefit from techniques	See descriptions in Section 8.5	Generally application low halogen correctly applicable within associated with different types of impacted by the Member State			choice. There is secondary abatement in place in the form of a bag filter. The installation does not currently measure mercury but will do so by June 2021 in order to comply with the BAT conclusions.
	d Electrostatic precipitator . (ESP) e Bag filter	Generally applicable				

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion	requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	f. Dry or semi-dry FGD system g Wet flue-gas	See descriptions in Section 8.5. The techniques are	See applicability in BAT 25		
	desulphurisation (wet FGD)		GGG applicability in BAT 20		
	The BAT-associated emission le combustion of solid biomass an period.		rcury emissions to air from the Nm³ as average over the sampling		

6. Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value

The IED enables a competent authority to allow derogations from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4):

By way of derogation from paragraph 3, and without prejudice to Article 18, the competent authority may, in specific cases, set less strict emission limit values. Such a derogation may apply only where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits due to:

- (a) the geographical location or the local environmental conditions of the installation concerned; or
- (b) the technical characteristics of the installation concerned.

As part of their Regulation 61 Note response, the operator has not requested a derogation from compliance with any AEL values.

7. Emissions to Water

The consolidated permit incorporates the current discharge to controlled waters identified as W1 for process effluent and introduces W2 for uncontaminated surface water

The operator submitted proposals to change the discharge route for effluent from the sewer to surface water in variation EPR/AP3037FL/V004. The discharge to surface water was assessed for hazardous pollutants and sanitary determinands in accordance with Environment agency guidance for hazardous pollutants – following the same steps as the H1. The conclusion of the assessment was that there would be no significant deterioration of the receiving watercourse.

The Water Framework Directive was also taken into account and it was concluded that the level of pollutants would not exceed those required in order to main the "Good" ecological/Water Framework Directive status of the River Thet.

We have also identified that a discharge of surface water is also made to the ditch network to the North of the facility. Details of the discharge are documented in prior application documents however had not been formally incorporated into the permit. The Operator has confirmed that there is no process effluent discharging to this part of the drainage system, the water comprises rainwater run-off from roofs and roadways, and the generator SUT pit. Water from roadways and the SUT pit passes through an interceptor prior to entering a retention pond which subsequently discharges to a ditch via a hydrobrake. We are satisfied there is no significant risk to controlled waters, however have introduced a monthly visual inspection for oil/grease, which has been incorporated as W2 in table S3.2 of the permit.

8. Additional IED Chapter II requirements:

Black Start Operation

In the event of a black out National Grid would call on combustion plant to operate and may require them to do so outside their permitted conditions. We have dedicated black start plant and they are permitted to run as such but this scenario is relevant to the rest of the large combustion plant which could be called depending on the circumstances.

A risk assessment will be carried out by Energy UK/Joint Environmental Programme on behalf of Large Combustion Plant connected to the National Transmission System. Air emissions modelling will be based on generic black start scenarios to establish whether they have the potential to have local impact on the environment or not (on a national basis). If the modelling demonstrates that no significant impacts are likely, the plant can operate under condition 2.3.7. This conditions allows the hourly ELVs for plants operating under a black start instruction to be discounted for the purpose of reporting. We would also require there to be a procedure in place for minimisation of emissions in the case of a black start event and for reporting in the event of a black start. This modelling and the procedures have not been agreed in advance of the issue of the permit review and therefore a condition linking back to an improvement condition have been included in the permit.

Start up and shut down Criteria

Table S1.4 has been updated to reflect the temporary start up and shut down criteria agreed for the site. Improvement condition IC7 requires a future review of the criteria submitted.

9. Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice.

Aspect considered	Decision				
Receipt of application					
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.				
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.				
The facility					
The regulated facility	We considered the extent and nature of the facility at the site in accordance with RGN2 'Understanding the meaning of regulated facility', Appendix 2 of RGN 2 'Defining the scope of the installation', Appendix 1 of RGN 2 'Interpretation of Schedule 1', guidance on waste recovery plans and permits.				
	The extent of the facility is defined in the site plan and in the permit. We have updated the plan referred to in Schedule 7 to include the effluent pipeline to W1, and clearly labelled the emission points. The activities are defined in table S1.1 of the permit.				
	The emergency diesel generator (477kW) has been incorporated under the S1.1 activity in the permit. It no longer appears as a Directly Associated Activity.				
Operating techniques					
General operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.				
	The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions. The ELVs deliver compliance with the BAT-AELs.				
Improvement programme	Based on the information on the application, we consider that we need to impose an improvement programme.				
	We have imposed an improvement programme to ensure that: • the operator will have a plan in place to ensure that the fuel is characterised in line with BAT 9.				

Aspect considered	Decision	
	We have also removed the completed improvement conditions from the permit.	
Permit conditions		
Updating permit conditions during consolidation	We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.	
Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation	We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice.	
Improvement programme	Based on the information on the application, we consider that we need to impose an improvement condition.	
	We have imposed an improvement condition to ensure that: The operator complies with the requirements of BAT9. The parameters F, K, Na and Metals and Metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl, V andZn) are not currently monitored. The operator is required to submit a procedure for approval outlining how they will be monitored.	
Emission limits	We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit.	
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.	
	It is considered that the ELVs/equivalent parameters or technical measures described above will ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured.	
Monitoring	We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified.	
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.	
	Table S3.3 Process monitoring requirements was added to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency.	
Reporting	We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters:	
	Nitrogen dioxideCarbon monoxideSulphur dioxide	
Reporting	parameters: • Nitrogen dioxide • Carbon monoxide	

Aspect considered	Decision		
	 Hydrogen Chloride Hydrogen Fluoride Dust Ammonia Mercury 		
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.		
Operator competence			
Management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions.		
Growth Duty			
Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 – Growth duty	We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit. Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says: "The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation." We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise noncompliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections. We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.		