

#### **Environment Agency**

# Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

# Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/PP3336TC
The Operator is: Whitetower Energy Limited

The Installation is: Viking Plant

This Variation Notice number is: EPR/PP3336TC/V004

#### What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) Conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant (LCP) published on 17 August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing BAT Conclusions for LCP as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

This is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

#### How this document is structured

#### Glossary of terms

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- 3 The legal framework
- 4 Key issues
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

#### Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

APC Air Pollution Control

BAT Best Available Technique(s)

BAT-AEEL BAT Associated Energy Efficiency Level

BAT-AEL BAT Associated Emission Level

BATc BAT conclusion

BREF Best available techniques reference document

CCGT Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
CEM Continuous emissions monitor
CHP Combined heat and power

CV Calorific value

DAA Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to

allow the principal activity to be carried out

DLN Dry Low NOx burners
DLN-E Dry Low NOx effective

EIONET European environment information and observation network is a partnership

network of the European Environment Agency

ELV Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED

EMS Environmental Management System

EPR Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2016 No.

1154)

EWC European waste catalogue
FSA Food Standards Agency
IC Improvement Condition

IED Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)

IPPCD Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now

superseded by IED

LCP Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED MSUL/MSDL Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load

NOx Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO2 expressed as NO2)

NPV Net Present Value

OCGT Open Cycle Gas Turbine
PHE Public Health England

SAC Special Area of Conservation

SGN Sector guidance note
TGN Technical guidance note
TNP Transitional National Plan
TOC Total Organic Carbon

WFD Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

#### 1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow them to continue to operate the installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard environmental permit template including the relevant annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the Operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our permit template provides two or more options.

#### 2 How we reached our decision

### 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 01 May 2018 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the LCP BAT Conclusions document. The Notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the Operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17 August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17 August 2021, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 30 October 2018.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that review; see below.

| Request for information sent 05 March 2020   | Response received from  |
|--|-------------------------|
| BAT Conclusions 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 12 to 14, 17, | Operator 14 April 2020. |
| 42 and 44.                                   |                         |
| Request for information sent 15 April 2020   | Response received from  |
| BAT Conclusions 1, 3, 6, 12, 42 and 44.      | Operator 28 April 2020. |
| Request for information sent 29 April 2020   | Response received from  |
| BAT Conclusions 1 and 44.                    | Operator 30 April 2020. |
|  |                         |
| Submission of an amended Regulation 61       |                         |
| response which replaces previous             |                         |
| submissions.                                 |                         |

# 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the Operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

#### 3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under Regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

#### 4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AEELs)

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

#### 4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was provided by the Operator to demonstrate that an alternative limit was more appropriate.

For gas turbines where the IED specified that limits applied over 70% load and the BAT Conclusions specified that AELs applied when dry low NOx is effective (DLN-E), we have used DLN-E as a default across all monitoring requirements for NOx and CO.

#### a. LCP Configuration

LCP288 is a 120.5MWth Open Cycle Gas Turbine (OCGT) and is designed to provide electricity to the National Grid at times of high demand (peaking market) and also during periods of instability in the electricity distribution and transmission system. The OCGT is limited to 1,500 hours of operation per annum, refer to section 8 of this document.

Natural gas is burnt as a fuel in the combustion chamber of the gas turbine from where the hot gases expand through the gas turbine to generate electricity via a single electrical generator.

#### b. Setting permit limits

The plant was put into operation before the IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 1 of IED Annex V applicable to existing plant.

The ELVs and AELs are based on the following operating regime:

• <1,500 hours operation

The Operator's Regulation 61 response was based on unlimited hours operation; however this is not BAT, refer to section 8 of this document.

The following tables outline the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP288, where these were derived from and the reference periods at which they apply. The emission limits refer to concentrations, expressed as mass of emitted substance per volume of flue-gas under the following standard conditions:

- dry gas at a temperature of 273.15 K;
- pressure of 101.3 kPa; and
- 15% volume reference oxygen concentration in the flue gases.

The emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit.

|   |  |                             | NC                              | Ox limits (mg          | /Nm³)                   |                              |            |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------|--|
| Averaging                                   | IED (Annex<br>V Part 1) –<br>Existing<br>plant | Current<br>permit<br>limits | BREF<br>(Table<br>24 BAT-<br>c) | Expected permit limits | Basis                   | Limits apply                 | Monitoring |  |
| Annual                                      | None   | None                        | 50                              | Note 1                 | BREF                    | DLN effective to baseload    |            |  |
| Monthly                                     | 50   | 50                          | None                            | 50                     | IED                     | DLN effective to<br>baseload |            |  |
| Daily                                       | 55   | 55                          | 55                              | 55 Note 3              | BREF                    | DLN effective to<br>baseload | Continuous |  |
| 95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of<br>hourly<br>means | 100  | 60                          | None                            | 60 Note 2              | IED (no<br>backsliding) | DLN effective to baseload    |            |  |

Note 1: Yearly average BAT AELs do not apply to existing plants operated < 1,500 hours/year.

Note 3: This limit also applies to MSUL/MSDL to baseload.

|   | CO limits (mg/Nm³)                             |                             |                                 |                                       |                         |                           |            |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|
| Averaging                                   | IED (Annex<br>V Part 1) –<br>Existing<br>plant | Current<br>permit<br>limits | BREF<br>(Table<br>24 BAT-<br>c) | (Table 24 BAT- Expected permit limits |                         | Limits apply              | Monitoring |  |  |  |  |
| Annual                                      | None   | None                        | 40                              | Note 1                                | BREF                    | DLN effective to baseload |            |  |  |  |  |
| Monthly                                     | None   | 50                          | None                            | 50 Note 2                             | IED                     | DLN effective to baseload |            |  |  |  |  |
| Daily                                       | None   | 50                          | None                            | <b>50</b><br>Notes 2 and 3            | IED                     | DLN effective to baseload | Continuous |  |  |  |  |
| 95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of<br>hourly<br>means | 200  | 50                          | None                            | 50 Note 2                             | IED (no<br>backsliding) | DLN effective to baseload |            |  |  |  |  |

Note 1: Yearly average BAT AELs do not apply to existing plants operated < 1,500 hours/year.

Note 2: Existing permit limits which are tighter than the IED ELV and/or the BATAEL and are therefore retained under the principle of 'no backsliding'.

Note 2: Existing permit limits are retained under the principle of 'no backsliding'.

Note 3: This limit also applies to MSUL/MSDL to baseload.

### 4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

The existing permit has no restriction on operating hours and the Regulation 61 response was submitted based on unlimited operational hours. We have introduced a limit on operating hours in Open Cycle Mode for the LCP in line with our guidance 'BAT for Balancing Plant' (refer to section 8 of this document) as we do not consider this mode of operation as BAT for plant operating over 1,500 hours/year.

Table 23 of the LCP BAT Conclusions specifies that the BAT AEELs for this type of plant are not applicable as the plant will operate for <1,500 hours/year. Whilst the BAT AEELs do not apply to this plant, we have included the information provided by the Operator.

The table below sets out the BAT AEELs specified in the LCP BAT Conclusions for LCP operating >1,500 hours/year and the energy efficiency levels confirmed through the Regulation 61 notice response. Although not applicable, we consider this plant is BAT in relation to the AEELs.

|                           | BAT AEELs (%)              |                           | Plant efficiency (%)      |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| Net electrical efficiency | Net total fuel utilisation | Net mechanical efficiency | Net electrical efficiency |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
|                           | LCP288 OCGT >50MWth        |                           |                           |    |    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33 – 41.5                 | None                       | None                      | 41.5 ± 1.1                | NA | NA |  |  |  |  |  |

We have however included a process monitoring requirement in table S3.2 of the consolidated variation notice. This is required to demonstrate that efficiency levels are maintained following any significant overhauls of equipment in order to fulfil the requirement of BAT Conclusion 2. If the plant operates for <500 hours/year we have specified that the assessment of efficiency can be based on calculation. This is because we will not require plant to fire up with the sole purpose of carrying out an assessment of efficiency.

#### 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for LCP were published by the European Commission on 17 August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the consolidated variation notice.

The conditions in the permit through which the relevant BAT Conclusions are implemented include but are not limited to the following:

| BAT Conclusion    | Permit condition(s) | Permit table(s)         |
|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| requirement topic |                     |                         |
| Environmental     | 1.1.1               | S1.2                    |
| Management System |                     |                         |
| BAT AELs          | 3.1.1 and 3.5.1     | S3.1a                   |
| Monitoring        | 2.3, 3.5 and 3.6    | S1.2, S1.4, S1.5, S3.1a |
| Energy efficiency | 1.2 and 2.3         | S3.2                    |
| Noise             | 2.3 and 3.4         | S1.2                    |
| Other operating   | 2.3                 | S1.2                    |
| techniques        |                     |                         |

The overall status of compliance with the BAT Conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA Not applicable

CC Currently compliant

FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT

Conclusions)

NC Not compliant

PC Partially compliant

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement   |
|--------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
| General      |  |                                  |   |
| 1            | In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features:  i. commitment of the management, including senior management; iii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management; iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; iv. implementation of procedures  (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; viii. following the development of cleaner technologies; viiii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viiii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; ix. application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis. | FC                               | In their response to our further information request received 14 April 2020 they confirm that:  There was a change in ownership in 2019 when the company name was changed to Whitetower Energy Limited.  From 01 June 2020 the site operations and therefore the EMS will be provided by the new operations and maintenance (O & M) provider, NAES Power Solutions Limited. NAES have an EMS that is very similar to ISO 14001 but it is not certified.  In their response to our further information request received 30 April 2020 they confirm that:  The existing RWE EMS is compliant with ISO 14001. This EMS is currently in compliance with features i through to xvi of this BAT Conclusion. Once they have transferred to the NAES systems 01 June 2020, they will transition to the NAES environmental systems throughout 2020/21. They expect to be fully compliant with this BAT Conclusion in 2021.  We do not agree with the Operator's stated compliance of CC and have changed the status to FC. We do not consider it necessary to set an improvement condition as we will track progress via compliance. |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of I  | BAT Conclu                    | ision red              | quirement                            |   |   |                | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC  | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement  |
|--------------|---|-------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|----------------|---|--|
|              | Etc see BA  | T Conclusion                  | ns                     |                                      |   |   |                |   |  |
|              | standardised of   | or non-stand                  | ardised)               | will genera                          | ally be relate                          | of the EMS (e.g.<br>d to the nature, s<br>ntal impacts it m |                |   |  |
| 2            | BAT is to determine the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the gasification, IGCC and/or combustion units by carrying out a performance test at full load (1), according to EN standards, after the commissioning of the unit and after each modification that could significantly affect the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the unit. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. |                               |                        |                                      |   |   |                |   | The Operator confirmed that:  Calculations of gas turbine efficiency were provided in the Regulation 60 data submitted 21 July 2015. (Thermal Efficiency - Measurements taken 20 May 2015 (Control systems engineering report, dated 19 July 2015 (Issue 2)).  Specific data will be reviewed by the O & M provider and Siemens. Siemens will provide engine performance data.  O & M to provide a site efficiency report as a review of historic site data and improvements.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance. |
| 3            | BAT is to more water including  |                               |                        |                                      | relevant fo                             | r emissions to  | air and        | CC  | The Operator confirmed that:   |
|              | Stre  |                               | Veri belo              | Paramete                             | r(s)                                    | Monitor   | ing            |   | MCERT installed CEMS systems.  |
|              | Flue-gas  |                               | Flow                   |                                      |   | Periodic or continuous determination                        |                |   | The existing permit requires the continuous measurement of oxygen, water vapour and stack gas temperature/pressure.  |
|              |   |                               | and pres               | content, ter<br>ssure<br>apour conte |   | Periodic or contir measurement                              | nuous          |   | The flow is measured by instruments installed on the gas turbine.  |
|              | Waste water fro   | om flue-gas                   | -                      | H, and temp                          |   | Continuous meas   | surement       |   | We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.  |
| 4            | accordance w  | rith EN stan<br>or other inte | dards. If<br>rnational | EN stand                             | lards are no                            | quency given be<br>t available, BAT<br>the provision of     | СС             | The Operator confirmed that:  MCERT installed CEMS systems (continuous monitoring). |  |
|              |   | uel/Process/l<br>of combusti  |                        | Combus<br>tion                       | Standard(<br>s) <u>(</u> <sup>4</sup> ) | Minimum<br>monitoring                                       | Monitori<br>ng |   | Servicing is carried out to the requirements of EN14181 by the maintenance contractors.  |

| AT C<br>o. | Summary          | of BAT Conclusion r   | equiremen                                   | t                    |                            |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|------------|------------------|---|---|----------------------|----------------------------|--|----------------------------------|---|
|            | meter            | plant   | plant<br>total<br>rated<br>thermal<br>input |                      | frequency_( <sup>5</sup> ) | associa<br>ted with                            |                                  | The existing permit requires the continuous measurement of NOx and CO.  |
|            |                  |   |   |                      |                            |  |                                  | We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.   |
|            | NH <sub>3</sub>  | <ul><li>When SCR and/or<br/>SNCR is used</li></ul>                    | All sizes                                   | Generic EN standards | Continuous (6) (7)         | BAT 7  |                                  |   |
|            | NOx              | Coal and/or lignite including waste co-incineration     Solid biomass | All sizes                                   | Generic EN standards | Continuous_(6)_(8)         | BAT 20<br>BAT 24<br>BAT 28<br>BAT 32           |                                  |   |
|            |                  | and/or peat<br>including waste co-<br>incineration                    |   |                      |                            | BAT 37<br>BAT 41<br>BAT 42<br>BAT 43<br>BAT 47 |                                  |   |
|            |                  | HFO- and/or gas-<br>oil-fired boilers and<br>engines                  |   |                      |                            | BAT 48<br>BAT 56<br>BAT 64                     |                                  |   |
|            |                  | <ul> <li>Gas-oil-fired gas<br/>turbines</li> </ul>                    |   |                      |                            | BAT 65<br>BAT 73                               |                                  |   |
|            |                  | <ul> <li>Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines</li> </ul>  |   |                      |                            |  |                                  |   |
|            |                  | <ul><li>Iron and steel process gases</li></ul>                        |   |                      |                            |  |                                  |   |
|            |                  | Process fuels from the chemical industry                              |   |                      |                            |  |                                  |   |
|            |                  | <ul><li>IGCC plants</li></ul>   |   |                      |                            |  |                                  |   |
|            |                  | Combustion plants on offshore platforms                               |   | EN 14792             | Once every year (9)        | BAT 53   |                                  |   |
|            | N <sub>2</sub> O | Coal and/or lignite in circulating fluidised bed boilers              | All sizes                                   | EN 21258             | Once every year (10)       | BAT 20<br>BAT 24                               |                                  |   |
|            |                  | Solid biomass and/or peat in circulating fluidised                    |   |                      |                            |  |                                  |   |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary         | of BAT Conclusion re   | equirement | :                                       |                         | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC               | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |  |
|--------------|-----------------|--|------------|---|-------------------------|--|---|--|
|              |                 | bed boilers  |            |   |                         |  |   |  |
|              | СО              | Coal and/or lignite including waste co-incineration                        | All sizes  | Generic EN standards                    | Continuous_(6)_(8)      | BAT 20<br>BAT 24<br>BAT 28<br>BAT 33           |   |  |
|              |                 | Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co-incineration                  |            |   |                         | BAT 33<br>BAT 38<br>BAT 44<br>BAT 49<br>BAT 56 |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul> <li>HFO- and/or gas-<br/>oil-fired boilers and<br/>engines</li> </ul> |            |   |                         | BAT 64<br>BAT 65<br>BAT 73                     |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul> <li>Gas-oil-fired gas turbines</li> </ul>                             |            |   |                         |  |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul> <li>Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines</li> </ul>       |            |   |                         |  |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul> <li>Iron and steel<br/>process gases</li> </ul>                       |            |   |                         |  |   |  |
|              |                 | Process fuels from the chemical industry                                   |            |   |                         |  |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul><li>IGCC plants</li></ul>  |            |   |                         |  |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul> <li>Combustion plants<br/>on offshore<br/>platforms</li> </ul>        | All sizes  | EN 15058                                | Once every year (9)     | BAT 54   |   |  |
|              | SO <sub>2</sub> | Coal and/or lignite incl waste co-incineration                             | All sizes  | Generic EN<br>standards and<br>EN 14791 | Continuous_(6)_(11)(12) | BAT 21<br>BAT 25<br>BAT 29<br>BAT 34           |   |  |
|              |                 | Solid biomass and/or peat incl waste co-incineration                       |            |   |                         | BAT 39<br>BAT 50<br>BAT 57<br>BAT 66           |   |  |
|              |                 | HFO- and/or gas-<br>oil-fired boilers                                      |            |   |                         | BAT 67<br>BAT 74                               |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul> <li>HFO- and/or gas-<br/>oil-fired engines</li> </ul>                 |            |   |                         |  |   |  |
|              |                 | <ul> <li>Gas-oil-fired gas<br/>turbines</li> </ul>                         |            |   |                         |  |   |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary                                      | of BAT Conclusion r   | equiremen | t  |                                       | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC   | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |  |
|--------------|--|---|-----------|--|---------------------------------------|--|---|--|
|              |  | Iron and steel process gases      Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers      IGCC plants  |           |  |                                       |  |   |  |
|              | SO <sub>3</sub>                              | When SCR is used  | All sizes | No EN<br>standard<br>available                                 | Once every year                       | _  |   |  |
|              | Gaseous<br>chlorides,<br>expressed<br>as HCI | Coal and/or lignite     Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers   | All sizes | EN 1911  | Once every three months (6) (13) (14) | BAT 21<br>BAT 57   |   |  |
|              |  | <ul> <li>Solid biomass and/or peat</li> </ul>   | All sizes | Generic EN standards   | Continuous (15) (16                   | BAT 25   |   |  |
|              |  | Waste co- incineration  | All sizes | Generic EN standards   | Continuous (6) (16)                   | BAT 66<br>BAT 67   |   |  |
|              | HF   | Coal and/or lignite     Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers   | All sizes | No EN<br>standard<br>available                                 | Once every three months (6) (13) (14) | BAT 21<br>BAT 57   |   |  |
|              |  | Solid biomass and/or peat   | All sizes | No EN standard available                                       | Once every year                       | BAT 25   |   |  |
|              |  | Waste co- incineration  | All sizes | Generic EN standards   | Continuous (6) (16)                   | BAT 66<br>BAT 67   |   |  |
|              | Dust   | Coal and/or lignite     Solid biomass and/or peat     HFO- and/or gasoil-fired boilers     Iron and steel process gases     Process fuels from the chemical | All sizes | Generic EN<br>standards and<br>EN 13284-1<br>and<br>EN 13284-2 | Continuous_(°)_(17)_                  | BAT 22<br>BAT 26<br>BAT 30<br>BAT 35<br>BAT 39<br>BAT 51<br>BAT 58<br>BAT 75 |   |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of  | of BAT Concl   | usion req             | quirement                                     |   |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|-----------------------|---|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|--|
|              |   | <ul> <li>IGCC plan</li> <li>HFO- and/<br/>oil-fired en</li> <li>Gas-oil-fire<br/>turbines</li> </ul> | or gas-<br>gines      |   |   |  |                                  |   |  |  |
|              |   | Waste co-<br>incineratio   |                       | All sizes                                     | Generic EN<br>standards and<br>EN 13284-2   | Continuous   | BAT 68<br>BAT 69                 |   |  |  |
|              | Metals and<br>metalloids<br>except<br>mercury<br>(As, Cd, Co,<br>Cr, Cu, Mn,<br>Ni, Pb, Sb,<br>Se, Tl, V, | <ul> <li>Coal and/o</li> <li>Solid biom and/or pea</li> <li>HFO- and/oil-fired bo engines</li> </ul> | ass<br>ass<br>or gas- | All sizes                                     | EN 14385                                    | Once every year (18)   | BAT 22<br>BAT 26<br>BAT 30       |   |  |  |
|              | Se, TI, V,<br>Zn)   | — Waste incineratio  | n 💍                   | < 300 MW <sub>th</sub> ≥ 300 MW <sub>th</sub> | EN 14385<br>EN 14385                        | Once every six months (13) Once every three months (19) (13)         | BAT 68<br>BAT 69                 |   |  |  |
|              |   | <ul><li>IGCC plan</li></ul>  | ts 2                  | ≥ 100 MW <sub>th</sub>                        | EN 14385                                    | Once every year (18)   | BAT 75                           |   |  |  |
|              | Hg  | <ul> <li>Coal and/o including vincineratio</li> </ul>  | vaste co-             | < 300 MW <sub>th</sub> ≥ 300 MW <sub>th</sub> | EN 13211  Generic EN standards and EN 14884 | Once every three months $(^{13})(^{20})$ Continuous $(^{16})(^{21})$ | BAT 23                           |   |  |  |
|              |   | <ul><li>Solid biom and/or pea</li></ul>  | ass                   | All sizes                                     | EN 13211                                    | Once every year_( <sup>22</sup> )                                    | BAT 27                           |   |  |  |
|              |   | Waste co- incineratio solid bioma  | n with                | All sizes                                     | EN 13211                                    | Once every three months_(13)   | BAT 70                           |   |  |  |
|              |   | — IGCC plan  | ts 2                  | ≥ 100 MW <sub>th</sub>                        | EN 13211                                    | Once every year_( <sup>23</sup> )                                    | BAT 75                           |   |  |  |
|              | TVOC  | HFO- and/<br>oil-fired en      Process fu<br>chemical in<br>in boilers                               | gines<br>els from     | All sizes                                     | EN 12619                                    | Once every six months (13)   | BAT 33<br>BAT 59                 |   |  |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary                         | of BAT Conc  | lusion requ                   | iirement                   |                           |                        |                                  |                  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement                        |
|--------------|---------------------------------|--|-------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--|
|              |                                 | Waste co incinerati coal, ligni biomass a peat   | ion with standards ite, solid |                            | 3                         | BAT 71                 |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Formaldehy de                   | spark-ignited lean-<br>burn gas and dual<br>fuel engines  — Natural-gas-fired<br>engines   |                               | I sizes No El stand availa | lard                      | Once every year        |                                  | BAT 45           |                                  |  |
|              | CH <sub>4</sub>                 |  |                               | I sizes EN IS              | SO 25139                  | Once every year (24)   | ′                                | BAT 45           |                                  |  |
|              | PCDD/F                          |  |                               | EN 1                       | 948-1,<br>948-2,<br>948-3 | Once every months (13) | / six<br>_( <sup>25</sup> )      | BAT 59<br>BAT 71 |                                  |  |
|              |                                 | Waste co incineration  |                               |                            |                           |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
| 5            | frequency<br>not availa         | BAT is to monitor emissions to water from flue-gas treatment with at least the frequency given below and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. |                               |                            |                           |                        |                                  |                  |                                  | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.  We have set the status to NA instead of CC. |
|              | Substanc                        | e/Paramete<br>r  | Stand                         | lard(s)                    | Minimum                   |                        | Monitoring<br>associated<br>with |                  |                                  | We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.  |
|              | Total orga                      | nic carbon   | EN 1484                       |                            | Once e                    | •                      | BAT                              |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Chemical demand (               | oxygen<br>COD) <u>(<sup>26</sup>)</u>  | No EN stand                   | dard available             |                           |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Total susp<br>(TSS)             | ended solids   | EN 872                        |                            |                           |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Fluoride (I                     | F-)  | EN ISO 103                    | 04-1                       |                           |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Sulphate (                      | (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )   | EN ISO 103                    | 04-1                       | 1                         |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Sulphide,<br>(S <sup>2-</sup> ) | easily released  | No EN stand                   | I standard available       |                           |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Sulphite (                      | SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )  | EN ISO 103                    | 04-3                       | -                         |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |
|              | Metals an                       | d As   | Various EN                    | standards                  |                           |                        |                                  |                  |                                  |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT              | Conclusion requirement  |   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement  |
|--------------|-----------------------------|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
|              | metalloids                  | Cd available (e.g. EN ISO 11885 or EN ISO 17294-2)  Ni Pb Zn  Hg Various EN standards available (e.g. EN ISO 12846 or EN ISO 17852) |   |                                  |  |
|              | Chloride (Cl⁻)              | Various EN standards<br>available (e.g.<br>EN ISO 10304-1 or<br>EN ISO 15682)   |   |                                  |  |
|              | Total nitrogen              | EN 12260  | _   |                                  |  |
| 6            | and to reduce em            | nissions to air of CO and unb   | performance of combustion plants<br>ournt substances, BAT is to ensure<br>ate combination of the techniques                               | CC                               | The Operator confirmed that:  a) Fuel blending - not applicable  |
|              | Technique                   | Description   | Applicability   |                                  | b) Maintenance of combustion system - maintenance of the gas   |
|              | and mixing                  | Ensure stable combustion conditions and/or reduce the emission of pollutants by mixing different qualities of the same fuel type    |   |                                  | turbine is undertaken to maintain environmental performance. This includes camera inspections and combustion tuning, with improvements carried out through a service agreement.  c) Advance control system – the gas turbine is controlled with an |
|              | . of the                    | Regular planned maintenance according to suppliers' recommendations   |   |                                  | engine management system which is maintained through a service agreement.  d) Good design of combustion equipment – the gas turbine is   |
|              | c Advanced S control system | See description in Section 8.1  | The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system |                                  | fitted with a three stage DLN combustion system to provide combustion stability and emissions performance.  e) Fuel choice – the gas turbine can only operate on natural gas.  |
|              | d Good design (             | Good design of furnace,   | Generally applicable to new   |                                  | We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Sur   | mmary of BA <sup>-</sup>    | T Conclusion requirement   |   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement   |
|--------------|---|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|---|
|              |   | of the combustion equipment | combustion chambers, burners and associated devices  | combustion plants   |                                  |   |
|              | e .   | Fuel choice                 | Select or switch totally or partially to another fuel(s) with a better environmental profile (e.g. with low sulphur and/or mercury content) amongst the available fuels, including in start-up situations or when back-up fuels are used | Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of suitable types of fuel with a better environmental profile as a whole, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State, or by the integrated site's fuel balance in the case of combustion of industrial process fuels.  For existing combustion plants, the type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant |                                  |   |
| 7            | In order to reduce emissions of ammonia to air from the use of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) for the abatement of NO <sub>x</sub> emissions, BAT is to optimise the design and/or operation of SCR and/or SNCR (e.g. optimised reagent to NO <sub>x</sub> ratio, homogeneous reagent distribution and optimum size of the reagent drops).  BAT-associated emission levels  The BAT-associated emission level (BAT-AEL) for emissions of NH <sub>3</sub> to air from the use of SCR and/or SNCR is < 3–10 mg/Nm³ as a yearly average or average over the sampling period. The lower end of the range can be achieved when using SCR and the upper end of the range can be achieved when using SNCR without wet abatement techniques. In the case of plants combusting biomass and operating at variable loads as well as in the case of engines combusting HFO and/or gas oil, the higher end of the BAT-AEL range is 15 mg/Nm³. |                             |  |   | NA                               | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.  We have set the status to NA instead of CC.  We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation. |
| 8            | In order to prevent or reduce emissions to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by appropriate design, operation and maintenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal capacity and availability.   |                             |  |   | CC                               | The Operator confirmed that:  Gas turbine servicing and engine tuning is undertaken.  No abatement systems are installed.  Engines run at full load capacity, therefore most efficient running.  They do not run at reduced load.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.        |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Conclus   | on requirement   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement   |  |
|--------------|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
| 9            | gasification plants and to re elements in the quality assu as part of the environmental  (i) Initial full characterisation and in accordance with EN be used provided they ension with the characterisation and accordance with experimental environmental (ii) Regular testing of the characterisation and accordance with experimental environmental environme | of the plant settings as and when needed and practicable (e.g. aracterisation and control in the advanced control system (see )).  regular testing of the fuel can be performed by the oplier. If performed by the supplier, the full results are the form of a product (fuel) supplier specification and/or | CC                               | The Operator confirmed that:  i) and ii) All fuel gas is supplied through the national gas network. National inventory data is used for quality measurement. There are no alternative fuels for the gas turbine.  iii) Gas turbine engine tuning is carried out by the OEM service provider.  We consider that for plants which burn natural gas from the National Grid as a fuel that it is not necessary for the Operator to replicate the testing carried out by the National Grid.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance. |  |
|              | Fuel(s)  | Substances/Parameters subject to characterisation  |                                  |   |  |
|              | Biomass/peat   | <ul> <li>LHV</li> <li>moisture</li> <li>Ash</li> <li>C, Cl, F, N, S, K, Na</li> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Zn)</li> </ul>  |                                  |   |  |
|              | Coal/lignite  — LHV  — Moisture  — Volatiles, ash, fixed carbon, C, H, N, O, S  — Br, Cl, F  — Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)   |  |                                  |   |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Conclus  | ion requirement  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement   |  |
|--------------|---|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
|              | HFO   | — Ash<br>— C, S, N, Ni, V  |                                  |   |  |
|              | Gas oil   | — Ash<br>— N, C, S   |                                  |   |  |
|              | Natural gas   | <ul> <li>LHV</li> <li>CH<sub>4</sub>, C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>3</sub>, C<sub>4</sub>+, CO<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, Wobbe index</li> </ul>                         |                                  |   |  |
|              | Process fuels from the chemical industry (27)  Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S  — Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)  |  |                                  |   |  |
|              | Iron and steel process gases  | <ul> <li>LHV, CH<sub>4</sub> (for COG), C<sub>X</sub>H<sub>Y</sub> (for COG), CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, total sulphur, dust, Wobbe index</li> </ul>        |                                  |   |  |
|              | Waste <u>(</u> <sup>28</sup> )  | <ul> <li>LHV</li> <li>Moisture</li> <li>Volatiles, ash, Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S</li> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)</li> </ul> |                                  |   |  |
| 10           | In order to reduce emissions to air and/or to water during other than normal operating conditions (OTNOC), BAT is to set up and implement a management plan as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), commensurate with the relevance of potential pollutant releases, that includes the following elements:  — appropriate design of the systems considered relevant in causing OTNOC that may have an impact on emissions to air, water and/or soil (e.g. low-load design concepts for reducing the minimum start-up and shutdown loads for stable generation in gas turbines),  — set-up and implementation of a specific preventive maintenance plan for these relevant systems,  — review and recording of emissions caused by OTNOC and associated circumstances and implementation of corrective actions if necessary,  — periodic assessment of the overall emissions during OTNOC (e.g. frequency of |  | СС                               | The Operator confirmed that:  The gas turbine is operated to keep start-up times to a minimum. Engine testing is kept to minimum durations.  The energy supply contracts are for peaking operations, which reduces operational hours.  The engine is shut-down for investigation in the event of abnormal emissions.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance. |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement |  |  |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement   |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---|
|              |                                       |  | ion, emissions quantification/estin<br>ons if necessary.   | nation) and implementation of                                      |                                  |   |
| 11           | The mo qua shu car res                | BAT is to appropriately monitor emissions to air and/or to water during OTNOC. <b>Description</b> The monitoring can be carried out by direct measurement of emissions or by monitoring of surrogate parameters if this proves to be of equal or better scientific quality than the direct measurement of emissions. Emissions during start-up and shutdown (SU/SD) may be assessed based on a detailed emission measurement carried out for a typical SU/SD procedure at least once every year, and using the results of this measurement to estimate the emissions for each and every SU/SD throughout the year. |  |  |                                  | The Operator confirmed that:  The plant is not operated when the CEMS is out of service. There is no alternative method of analysis available.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.   |
| 12           | uni                                   |  | se the energy efficiency of combu<br>1 500 h/yr, BAT is to use an a<br>elow.   |  | CC                               | The Operator confirmed that:  a) Combustion optimisation - gas turbine performance is   |
|              |                                       | Technique  | Description  | Applicability  |                                  | monitored by the O & M provider who recommend any actions to  |
|              | a.                                    | Combustion optimisation  | See description in Section 8.2. Optimising the combustion minimises the content of unburnt substances in the flue-gases and in solid combustion residues   | 5  |                                  | maintain / improve performance.  b) Optimisation of working medium conditions – the gas turbine engine is controlled with an engine management system which is maintained through a service agreement.  |
|              | b.                                    | Optimisation of<br>the working<br>medium<br>conditions   | Operate at the highest possible pressure and temperature of the working medium gas or steam, within the constraints associated with, for example, the control of NO <sub>x</sub> emissions or the characteristics of energy demanded |  |                                  | d) Minimisation of energy consumption - routine checks of the fuel usage are carried out to compare historic data to measure gas turbine performance technically and commercially.  f) Fuel preheating - fuel gas is heated prior to delivery to the gas turbine. |
|              | C.                                    | Optimisation of the steam cycle  | Operate with lower turbine exhaust pressure by utilisation of the lowest possible temperature of the condenser cooling water, within the design conditions   |  |                                  | p) Minimisation of heat loss - gas fuel pipe-work is insulated after the gas heater.  |
|              | d.                                    | Minimisation of energy consumption   | Minimising the internal energy consumption (e.g. greater efficiency of the feed-water pump)  |  |                                  | q) Advanced materials – the gas turbine is engineered from aero derivative based technology and uses the same materials and technologies.   |
|              | e.                                    | Preheating of combustion air   | Reuse of part of the heat recovered from the combustion flue-gas to  | Generally applicable within the constraints related to the need to |                                  | The site operates in open cycle mode only and is not capable of   |

| BAT C<br>No. | Su | mmary of BAT  | Conclusion requirement   |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |  |
|--------------|----|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
|              |    |   | preheat the air used in combustion   | control NO <sub>X</sub> emissions  |                                  | CCGT/ CHP operations so some techniques do not apply.   |  |
|              | f. | Fuel preheating                                     | Preheating of fuel using recovered heat  | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the boiler design and the need to control NO <sub>x</sub> emissions  |                                  | NA: c, e, g to o, r and s  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.  |  |
|              | g. | Advanced control system                             | See description in Section 8.2. Computerised control of the main combustion parameters enables the combustion efficiency to be improved  | Generally applicable to new units. The applicability to old units may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system                                       |                                  |   |  |
|              | h. | Feed-water<br>preheating<br>using<br>recovered heat | Preheat water coming out of the steam condenser with recovered heat, before reusing it in the boiler   | Only applicable to steam circuits and not to hot boilers. Applicability to existing units may be limited due to constraints associated with the plant configuration and the amount of recoverable heat |                                  |   |  |
|              | i. | Heat recovery<br>by<br>cogeneration<br>(CHP)        | Recovery of heat (mainly from the steam system) for producing hot water/steam to be used in industrial processes/activities or in a public network for district heating.  Additional heat recovery is possible from:  — flue-gas — grate cooling — circulating fluidised bed | Applicable within the constraints associated with the local heat and power demand. The applicability may be limited in the case of gas compressors with an unpredictable operational heat profile      |                                  |   |  |
|              | j. | CHP readiness                                       | See description in Section 8.2.  | Only applicable to new units where there is a realistic potential for the future use of heat in the vicinity of the unit   |                                  |   |  |
|              | k. | Flue-gas<br>condenser                               | See description in Section 8.2.  | Generally applicable to CHP units provided there is enough demand for low-temperature heat   |                                  |   |  |
|              | I. | Heat accumulation                                   | Heat accumulation storage in CHP mode  | Only applicable to CHP plants. The applicability may be limited in the case of low heat load   |                                  |   |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Sur | mmary of BAT                | Conclusion requirement   |   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|--------------|-----|-----------------------------|--|---|----------------------------------|---|
|              |     |                             |  | demand  |                                  |   |
|              | m.  | Wet stack                   | See description in Section 8.2.  | Generally applicable to new and existing units fitted with wet FGD  |                                  |   |
|              | n.  | Cooling tower discharge     | The release of emissions to air through a cooling tower and not via a dedicated stack  | Only applicable to units fitted with<br>wet FGD where reheating of the<br>flue-gas is necessary before<br>release, and where the unit<br>cooling system is a cooling tower  |                                  |   |
|              | 0.  | Fuel pre-drying             | The reduction of fuel moisture content before combustion to improve combustion conditions  | Applicable to the combustion of biomass and/or peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain).  The retrofit of existing plants may be restricted by the extra calorific value that can be obtained from the drying operation and by the limited retrofit possibilities offered by some boiler designs or plant configurations |                                  |   |
|              | p.  | Minimisation of heat losses | Minimising residual heat losses, e.g. those that occur via the slag or those that can be reduced by insulating radiating sources   | Only applicable to solid-fuel-fired combustion units and to gasification/IGCC units   |                                  |   |
|              | q.  | Advanced materials          | Use of advanced materials proven to be capable of withstanding high operating temperatures and pressures and thus to achieve increased steam/combustion process efficiencies                         | Only applicable to new plants   |                                  |   |
|              | r.  | Steam turbine upgrades      | This includes techniques such as increasing the temperature and pressure of medium-pressure steam, addition of a low-pressure turbine, and modifications to the geometry of the turbine rotor blades | The applicability may be restricted by demand, steam conditions and/or limited plant lifetime   |                                  |   |
|              | s.  | Supercritical               | Use of a steam circuit, including  | Only applicable to new units of   |                                  |   |

| BAT C<br>No. | Su  | mmary of E  | BAT Conclusion requirement  |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement  |
|--------------|---|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
|              |   | and ultra-<br>supercritica<br>steam<br>conditions | steam reheating systems, in which steam can reach pressures above 220,6 bar and temperatures above 374 °C in the case of supercritical conditions, and above 250 – 300 bar and temperatures above 580 – 600 °C in the case of ultrasupercritical conditions | ≥ 600 MW <sub>th</sub> operated > 4 000 h/yr.  Not applicable when the purpose of the unit is to produce low steam temperatures and/or pressures in process industries.  Not applicable to gas turbines and engines generating steam in CHP mode.  For units combusting biomass, the applicability may be constrained by high-temperature corrosion in the case of certain biomasses |                                  |  |
| 13           | In order to reduce water usage and the volume of contaminated waste water discharged, BAT is to use one or both of the techniques given below.  |   |   |  |                                  | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.  |
|              | Т   | echnique  | Description   | Applicability  |                                  |  |
|              | a   | Water recycling                                   | Residual aqueous streams, including run-<br>off water, from the plant are reused for<br>other purposes. The degree of recycling<br>is limited by the quality requirements of<br>the recipient water stream and the water<br>balance of the plant            | Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present  |                                  | There is no water supply to the site for the installation. Water used is for domestic facilities.  We have set the status to NA instead of CC.  We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities   |
|              | b   | Dry bottom<br>ash<br>handling                     | Dry, hot bottom ash falls from the furnace onto a mechanical conveyor system and is cooled down by ambient air. No water is used in the process.  | Only applicable to plants combusting solid fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants  |                                  | carried out at the installation.   |
| 14           | In order to prevent the contamination of uncontaminated waste water and to reduce emissions to water, BAT is to segregate waste water streams and to treat them separately, depending on the pollutant content.  *Description*  Waste water streams that are typically segregated and treated include surface run off water, cooling water, and waste water from flue-gas treatment.  *Applicability*  The applicability may be restricted in the case of existing plants due to the configuration of the drainage systems. |   |   |  |                                  | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.  Contents of rain water / plant blind sumps are removed and tankered off site as required. This equates to approximately three tonnes per year.  We have set the status to NA instead of CC. |

| BAT C<br>No. | Sui | mmary of BAT Conclus  | ion requirement  |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|--------------|-----|---|--|--|----------------------------------|---|
|              |     |   |  |  |                                  | We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.   |
| 15           | app |   | the techniques give  | gas treatment, BAT is to use an below, and to use secondary der to avoid dilution.   | NA                               | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.                       |
|              |     | Technique   | Typical pollutants prevented/abated  | Applicability  |                                  | We have set the status to NA instead of CC.   |
|              |     |   | Primary techniques   |  |                                  | We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities  |
|              | a.  | Optimised combustion<br>(see BAT 6) and flue-gas<br>treatment systems (e.g.<br>SCR/SNCR, see BAT 7) | Organic compounds, ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> )  | Generally applicable   |                                  | carried out at the installation.  |
|              | 1   |   | Secondary techniques   | <u>(29)</u>  |                                  |   |
|              | b.  | Adsorption on activated carbon  | Organic compounds, mercury (Hg)  | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |
|              | C.  | Aerobic biological treatment  | Biodegradable organic<br>compounds,<br>ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> )                | Generally applicable for the treatment of organic compounds. Aerobic biological treatment of ammonium (NH <sub>4</sub> <sup>+</sup> ) may not be applicable in the case of high chloride concentrations (i.e. around 10 g/l) |                                  |   |
|              | d.  | Anoxic/anaerobic biological treatment   | Mercury (Hg), nitrate (NO <sub>3</sub> <sup>-</sup> ), nitrite (NO <sub>2</sub> <sup>-</sup> ) | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |
|              | e.  | Coagulation and flocculation  | Suspended solids   | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |
|              | f.  | Crystallisation   | Metals and metalloids, sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ), fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )   | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |
|              | g.  | Filtration (e.g. sand filtration, microfiltration, ultrafiltration)                                 | Suspended solids, metals   | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |
|              | h.  | Flotation   | Suspended solids, free oil   | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |
|              | i.  | Ion exchange  | Metals   | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |
|              | j.  | Neutralisation  | Acids, alkalis   | Generally applicable   |                                  |   |

| AT C | Sui   | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement  |   |                      |  |  | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|------|---|--|---|----------------------|--|--|---|
|      | k.  | Oxidation  | Sulphide (S <sup>2-</sup> ), (SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ) | sulphite             | phite Generally applicable   |  |   |
|      | 1.  | I. Precipitation  Metals and metallo sulphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> ), fluoride (F <sup>-</sup> )  m. Sedimentation  Suspended solids |   |                      | Generally applicable   |  |   |
|      | m.  |  |   | ds                   | Generally applicable   |  |   |
|      | n. Stripping Ammonia (NH <sub>3</sub> ) The BAT-AELs refer to direct discharges |  |   | Generally applicable |  |  |   |
|      |   | BAT-AELs for direct discharges to a receitreatment   |   |                      |  |  |   |
|      |   | Substance/Parameter  |   |                      | BAT-AELs   |  |   |
|      | _   |  |   |                      | Daily average<br>0-50 mg/l_( <sup>30</sup> )_( <sup>31</sup> )_( <sup>32</sup> )                             |  |   |
|      |   | tal organic carbon (TOC) nemical oxygen demand (C  | 200)  |                      | $0-30 \text{ mg/l} \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1$<br>$0-150 \text{ mg/l} \cdot (30) \cdot (31) \cdot (32)$ |  |   |
|      | l   | tal suspended solids (TSS  |   |                      | 0–30 mg/l  |  |   |
|      | l —   | uoride (F <sup>-</sup> )   | ·)  |                      | 0–25 mg/l ( <sup>32</sup> )  |  |   |
|      | l <del> </del>  | Ilphate (SO <sub>4</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )   |   |                      | 3-2,0 g/l_(3²)_(3³)_(3⁴)_(3⁵)  |  |   |
|      | Su  | lphide (S <sup>2-</sup> ), easily release  | ed  |                      | 1–0,2 mg/l_( <sup>32</sup> )   |  |   |
|      | Su  | Ilphite (SO <sub>3</sub> <sup>2-</sup> )   |   | 1-                   | -20 mg/l <u>(<sup>32</sup>)</u>  |  |   |
|      | Me  | etals and metalloids   | As  | 10                   | )–50 μg/l  |  |   |
|      |   |  | Co  | 2-                   | -5 μg/l  |  |   |
|      |   |  | Cr  | 10                   | 0–50 μg/l  |  |   |
|      | Cu<br>Hg<br>Ni  |  | ı 10  | )–50 μg/l            |  |  |   |
|      |   |  | 0,  | 2–3 μg/l             |  |  |   |
|      |   |  |   | 0–50 μg/l            |  |  |   |
|      |   |  | Pb  | 10                   | 0–20 μg/l  |  |   |
|      |   |  | Zn  | 50                   | )–200 μg/l   |  |   |

| BAT C<br>No. | Su                              | mmary of BAT  | Conclusion requirement  |   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement  |
|--------------|---------------------------------|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
| 16           | ga<br>to<br>(a<br>)<br>(b<br>(c | sification proces<br>maximise, in ord<br>waste prever<br>products;<br>b) waste prepar<br>criteria;<br>c) waste recycl<br>d) other waste | the quantity of waste sent for disposa<br>as and abatement techniques, BAT is<br>der of priority and taking into account<br>ation, e.g. maximise the proportion of<br>ration for reuse, e.g. according to the<br>sling;<br>recovery (e.g. energy recovery),<br>an appropriate combination of technique              | to organise operations so as<br>life-cycle thinking:<br>f residues which arise as by-<br>ne specific requested quality  | CC                               | The Operator confirmed that:  Disposal of waste where possible is via recycling processes with contractors. Volumes of waste are very low due to activity levels on site.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance. |
|              | Бу                              | Technique   | Description   | Applicability   |                                  |  |
|              | a                               | Generation of<br>gypsum as a<br>by-product  | Quality optimisation of the calcium-<br>based reaction residues generated by<br>the wet FGD so that they can be used<br>as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g.<br>as raw material in the plasterboard<br>industry). The quality of limestone used<br>in the wet FGD influences the purity of<br>the gypsum produced | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions                                    |                                  |  |
|              | b                               | Recycling or<br>recovery of<br>residues in the<br>construction<br>sector  | Recycling or recovery of residues (e.g. from semi-dry desulphurisation processes, fly ash, bottom ash) as a construction material (e.g. in road building, to replace sand in concrete production, or in the cement industry)  | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required material quality (e.g. physical properties, content of harmful substances) associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions |                                  |  |
|              | C.                              | Energy<br>recovery by<br>using waste in<br>the fuel mix   | The residual energy content of carbon-<br>rich ash and sludges generated by the<br>combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel<br>oil, peat or biomass can be recovered<br>for example by mixing with the fuel  | Generally applicable where plants can accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the combustion chamber   |                                  |  |
|              | d                               | Preparation of<br>spent catalyst<br>for reuse   | Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades.  | The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO <sub>X</sub> and   |                                  |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Su | mmary of BAT                                    | Conclusion requirement  |   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement                      |
|--------------|----|---|---|---|----------------------------------|--|
|              |    |   | Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme   | NH₃ emissions   |                                  |  |
| 17           |    | order to reduce<br>hniques given b              | e noise emissions, BAT is to use of elow.   | one or a combination of the   | СС                               | The Operator confirmed that:   |
|              |    | Technique                                       | Description   | Applicability   |                                  | Maintaining site noise prevention devices / sound proofing.  |
|              | a  | Operational<br>measures                         |   | Generally applicable  |                                  | Low levels of operating hours during the year.  Regular maintenance of plant.  The plant is as design and operated remotely with no new plant items requiring new noise assessments. |
|              |    |   | experienced staff     avoidance of noisy activities at night, if possible     provisions for noise control during maintenance activities                                |   |                                  | O & M plan noise surveys to check against historic levels.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.  |
|              | b  | Low-noise equipment                             | This potentially includes compressors, pumps and disks  | Generally applicable when the equipment is new or replaced  |                                  |  |
|              | C. | Noise<br>attenuation                            | Noise propagation can be reduced by inserting obstacles between the emitter and the receiver. Appropriate obstacles include protection walls, embankments and buildings | Generally applicable to new plants. In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by lack of space |                                  |  |
|              | d  | Noise-control equipment                         | This includes:  — noise-reducers  — equipment insulation  — enclosure of noisy equipment  — soundproofing of buildings  | The applicability may be restricted by lack of space  |                                  |  |
|              | e  | Appropriate location of equipment and buildings | Noise levels can be reduced by increasing the distance between the emitter and the receiver and by using buildings as noise screens                                     | Generally applicable to new plant   |                                  |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternatechniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|
|              |                                       |                                  | compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement   |

CC

BAT Conclusions 18 to 23 for the combustion of coal and/or lignite (solid fuels only)

BAT Conclusions 24 to 27 for the combustion of solid biomass and/or peat (solid fuels only)

BAT Conclusions 28 to 30 for the combustion of HFO and/or gas-oil in boilers (liquid fuels only)

BAT Conclusions 31 to 35 for the combustion of HFO and/or gas-oil in engines (liquid fuels only)

BAT Conclusions 36 to 39 for the combustion of gas oil in gas turbines (liquid fuels only)

These BAT Conclusions are not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.

#### Combustion of gaseous fuels

In order to increase the energy efficiency of natural gas combustion, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given in BAT 12 and below.

| Techniq<br>ue    | Description                    | Applicability   |  |  |  |  |  |
|------------------|--------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| a Combined cycle | See description in Section 8.2 | Generally applicable to new gas turbines and engines except when operated < 1 500 h/yr.  Applicable to existing gas turbines and engines within the constraints associated with the steam cycle design and the space availability.  Not applicable to existing gas turbines and engines operated < 1 500 h/yr.  Not applicable to mechanical drive gas turbines operated in discontinuous mode with extended load variations and frequent start-ups and shutdowns.  Not applicable to boilers |  |  |  |  |  |

BAT-associated energy efficiency levels (BAT-AEELs) for the combustion of natural gas

| Type of                              | BAT-AEELs <u>(136)</u> <u>(137)</u> |                               |   |  |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| combustion unit                      |                                     | ectrical<br>ncy (%)           | Net total fuel utilisation                    | Net mechanical ener<br>efficiency (%) (139) (1 |               |  |  |  |  |  |
|                                      | New<br>unit                         | Existin<br>g unit             | (% <u>) (<sup>138</sup>) (<sup>139</sup>)</u> | New<br>unit                                    | Existing unit |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas engine                           | 39,5–<br>44 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u> | 35–44 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u> | 56–85 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u>                 | No BAT-AE                                      | EL.           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gas-fired boiler                     | 39–<br>42,5                         | 38–40                         | 78–95   | No BAT-AE                                      | EL.           |  |  |  |  |  |
| Open cycle gas<br>turbine, ≥ 50 MWth | 36–<br>41,5                         | 33–41,5                       | No BAT-AEEL                                   | 36,5–41  | 33,5–41       |  |  |  |  |  |

The Operator confirmed that:

Thermal Efficiency - Measurements taken 20 May 2015 (Control systems engineering report, dated 19 July 2015 (Issue 2) submitted to the Environment Agency 21 July 2015).

Total gas fuel mass flow rate is 3990pph + 8219pph + 6692pph (3 stage combustion)

 $= 2.38 \pm 0.05$  Kg/sec.

Total energy input =  $110.3 \pm 2.6$ MW (LHV).

Generator Power (Gross) is 45.85 ± 0.5 MWe

Thermal Efficiency =  $41.5 \pm 1.1\%$ 

At 50 MWe the thermal input to the site can be expressed as 100/ $\eta$  x 50

 $= 120.5 \pm 2.6$  MW.

The overall uncertainty of the total energy input is pessimistically based on  $\pm$  3% of point individual fuel mass flow accuracy (3 stage combustion), and  $\pm$  0.5 MW gas fuel LHV variation.

We confirm that the net mechanical energy efficiency is not applicable to the installation, with notes 4 and 5 to the table as follows:

| BAT C<br>No. | N /   |   |  |                |   |               |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC  | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|--------------|---|---|--|----------------|---|---------------|--|---|---|
|              |   |   | Com  | bined cyc      | le gas turbir   | ne (CCG       | ST)  |   | (4) These BAT AEELs do not apply to plants generating only  |
|              |   | CGT, 50–<br>00 MW <sub>th</sub>             | 53–<br>58,5  | 46–54          | No BAT-AE   | EL            | No BAT-AEEL  |   | electricity. (5) These BAT AEELs apply to units used for mechanical drive applications.   |
|              | С   | CGT, ≥ 600 MW <sub>th</sub>                 | 57–<br>60,5  | 50–60          | No BAT-AE   | EL            | No BAT-AEEL  |   | The efficiency of 41.5 ± 1.1% is at the higher end of the BAT   |
|              |   | HP CCGT, 50–<br>00 MW <sub>th</sub>         | 53–<br>58,5  | 46–54          | 65–95   |               | No BAT-AEEL  |   | AEEL range of 33 to 41.5%.  |
|              | _   | HP CCGT,<br>600 MW <sub>th</sub>            | 57– 50–60 65–95<br>60,5  |                |   | No BAT-AEEL   |  | Also refer to section 4.2 of this document.   |   |
|              |   |   |  |                |   |               |  |   | We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.   |
| 41           | In order to prevent or reduce NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in boilers, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given below. |   |  |                |   |               |  | NA  | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.                       |
|              | Technique Description   |   |  |                |   | Applicability |  |   |   |
|              | a   | Air and/or fuel staging                     | See descriptions in Section 8.3. Air staging is often associated with low-NO <sub>x</sub> burners  |                | Generally applicable  |               |  | We have set the status to NA instead of CC.  We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities |   |
|              | b   | Flue-gas recirculation                      | See des  | scription in S | Section 8.3   |               |  |   | carried out at the installation.  |
|              | C   | c Low-NO <sub>X</sub><br>. burners (LNB)    |  |                |   |               |  |   |   |
|              | d   | Advanced control system                     | See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr |                | The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system |               |  |   |   |
|              | e   | Reduction of the combustion air temperature | See des  | scription in S | Section 8.3   |               | illy applicable within the ints associated with the sneeds |   |   |

| BAT C<br>No. | Su | mmary of B  | AT Conclusion requirement   |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement  |
|--------------|----|---|---|--|----------------------------------|--|
|              | f. | Selective non catalytic reduction (SNCR)  Selective catalytic reduction (SC | ope variation The the ope 1 50 load Not ope Not   | applicable to combustion plants rated < 500 h/yr. generally applicable to  |                                  |  |
|              |    |   | The ecol exis   | abustion plants of < 100 MW <sub>th</sub> .  Ire may be technical and  Inomic restrictions for retrofitting  Iting combustion plants operated  Iting the solution of the |                                  |  |
| 42           | ga |   | ent or reduce $NO_X$ emissions to air from the first ines, BAT is to use one or a combination   |  | CC                               | The Operator confirmed that:  a) Advanced control system – the gas turbine is controlled with an   |
|              |    | Advanced control system   | Description  See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr   | Applicability  The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system   |                                  | engine management system which is maintained through a service agreement.  c) Dry low-NOx burners – the gas turbine has a DLN combustion system.  d) Low-load design concept – the gas turbine is not capable at |
|              |    | Water/steam<br>addition<br>Dry low-NO <sub>X</sub><br>burners<br>(DLN)      | See description in Section 8.3  | The applicability may be limited due to water availability  The applicability may be limited in the case of turbines where a retrofit package is not available or when water/steam addition systems are installed  |                                  | operations at low load.  NA: b, e and f  Dry low NOx load point:  i. The output load @ 35MWe   |
|              | d  | Low-load<br>design<br>concept   | Adaptation of the process control and related equipment to maintain good combustion efficiency when the demand in energy varies, e.g. by improving the inlet airflow control capability or by splitting the combustion process into decoupled | The applicability may be limited by the gas turbine design   |                                  | or ii. This output load @70% Thermal Applicable NOx BAT AELs - table 24 of this BAT Conclusion.  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Su | mmary of B                                   | AT Conclusion requirement  |  | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |  |
|--------------|----|--|--|--|----------------------------------|---|--|
|              |    |  | combustion stages  |  |                                  | Yearly average BAT AELs do not apply to existing plants operated  |  |
|              | e  | Low-NO <sub>X</sub><br>burners<br>(LNB)      | See description in Section 8.3   | Generally applicable to<br>supplementary firing for heat<br>recovery steam generators<br>(HRSGs) in the case of<br>combined-cycle gas turbine<br>(CCGT) combustion plants  |                                  | < 1,500 hours/year.  Daily Average 25 - 55 mg/Nm³  Refer to section 4.1 of this document for the setting of limits.   |  |
|              | f. | Selective<br>catalytic<br>reduction<br>(SCR) |  | Not applicable in the case of combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr.  Not generally applicable to existing combustion plants of < 100 MW <sub>th</sub> .  Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space.  There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr |                                  | Refer to section 4.1 of this document for the setting of limits.  We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.   |  |
| 43           |    |  |  | air from the combustion of natural on of the techniques given below.   | NA                               | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.                       |  |
|              | 1  | echnique                                     | Description  | Applicability  |                                  |   |  |
|              | a  | Advanced control system                      | See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr | The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system  |                                  | We have set the status to NA instead of CC.  We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.                  |  |
|              | b  | Lean-burn concept                            | See description in Section 8.3.<br>Generally used in combination with<br>SCR   | Only applicable to new gas-fired engines   |                                  |   |  |
|              | C  | Advanced lean-burn concept                   | See descriptions in Section 8.3  | Only applicable to new spark plug ignited engines  |                                  |   |  |
|              | d  | Selective                                    |  | Retrofitting existing combustion plants  |                                  |   |  |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion r  | equirement   |   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |   |
|--------------|--|--|---|----------------------------------|---|---|
|              | . catalytic reduction (SCR)  | may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space. Not applicable to combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr |   |                                  |   |   |
| 44           | gas, BAT is to ensure optimised of<br>Description - See descriptions<br>BAT-associated emission leve       | combustion and/o in Section 8.3.   | or NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air from the n gas turbines  BAT-AELs (mg/Nm³) (142) (143)  Yearly average (144)  Daily average average |                                  | cc  | The Operator confirmed that:  Historic engine CO emissions are below ELVs as required in the permit. This is a characteristic of this type of gas turbine.  They confirm that they would be compliant with a CO ELV of 40 mg/Nm³. However, as we have introduced a restriction on operating hours for the OCGT to <1,500 hours per year, the yearly BAT AEL for NOx and the yearly indicative emission limit for CO |
|              | Open-cycle   | (MW <sub>th</sub> )  | ' (***)   Over the  |                                  |   | are not applicable.  Refer to section 4.1 of this document for the setting of limits.   |
|              | New OCGT   | ≥ 50   | 25–50   |                                  | We agree with the Operator's stated compliance.   |   |
|              | Existing OCGT (excluding turbines for mechanical drive applications)  — All but plants operated < 500 h/yr |  |   | 25–55 <u>(148)</u>               |   | we agree with the Operator's stated compliance.   |
|              | Combined-cyc   | le gas turbines (CC  | CGTs) <u>(<sup>146</sup>) (<sup>149</sup>)</u>  |                                  |   |   |
|              | New CCGT   | ≥ 50   | 10–30   | 15–40                            |   |   |
|              | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 %  | ≥ 600  | 10–40   | 0 18–50                          |   |   |
|              | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 %  | ≥ 600 10–50  |   | 18–55 <u>(150)</u>               |   |   |
|              | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 %  |  | 10–45   | 35–55                            |   |   |
|              | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 %  | 50–600   | 25–50 <u>(<sup>151</sup>)</u>   | 35–55 <u>(<sup>152</sup>)</u>    |   |   |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Co  | onclusion                  | requirement                          |                    |   | Status<br>NA/ CC<br>/ FC /<br>NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---|----------------------------------|---|
|              |  | Open- and                  | combined-cyc                         | le gas turbines    |   |                                  |   |
|              | Gas turbine put into op<br>later than 27 Novembe<br>existing gas turbine for<br>use and operated < 50  | er 2003, or<br>r emergency | ≥ 50                                 | No BAT-AE          | EL 60–140 <u>(153)</u> (154)  |                                  |   |
|              | Existing gas turbine fo<br>drive applications — A<br>operated < 500 h/yr   |                            | ≥ 50                                 | 15–50 <u>(155)</u> | 25–55 <u>(156)</u>  |                                  |   |
|              |  | erated ≥ 1 5               |                                      |                    | r each type of existing<br>new combustion plant                               |                                  |   |
|              | — New OCGT of ≥ 50 MW <sub>th</sub> : < 5–40 mg/Nm³. For plants with a net electrical efficiency (EE) greater than 39 %, a correction factor may be applied to the higher end of this range, corresponding to [higher end] x EE/39, where EE is the net electrical energy efficiency or net mechanical energy efficiency of the plant determined at ISO baseload conditions.   |                            |                                      |                    |   |                                  |   |
|              | 40 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> . The h  | nigher end o               | f this range will itted with dry ted | generally be 80    | drive applications): < 5—<br>mg/Nm³ in the case of<br>reduction, or 50 mg/Nm³ |                                  |   |
|              | <ul> <li>New CCGT of ≥ 50 MW<sub>th</sub>: &lt; 5–30 mg/Nm³. For plants with a net electrical efficiency (EE) greater than 55 %, a correction factor may be applied to the higher end of the range, corresponding to [higher end] × EE/55, where EE is the net electrical energy efficiency of the plant determined at ISO baseload conditions.</li> </ul>   |                            |                                      |                    | higher end of the range,  |                                  |   |
|              | <ul> <li>Existing CCGT of 3 be 50 mg/Nm³ for p</li> </ul>  |                            |                                      |                    | f this range will generally   |                                  |   |
|              | <ul> <li>— Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW<sub>th</sub> for mechanical drive applications: &lt; 5–40 mg/Nm³. The higher end of the range will generally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants operate at low load.</li> <li>In the case of a gas turbine equipped with DLN burners, these indicative levels correspond to when the DLN operation is effective.</li> <li>BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO<sub>x</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in boilers and engines</li> </ul> |                            |                                      |                    |   |                                  |   |
|              |  |                            |                                      |                    |   |                                  |   |
|              | Type of  | BAT-AELs (mg/Nm³)          |                                      |                    |   |                                  |   |
|              | combustion plant   | Yearly av                  | /erage <u>(<sup>157</sup>)</u>       |                    | or average over the<br>ling period  |                                  |   |
|              |  | New<br>plant               | Existing plant (158)                 | New plant          | Existing plant <u>(159)</u>   |                                  |   |

| BAT C<br>No. | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement  |                      |               |                      |                                     |  |  | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement   |
|--------------|--|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------------------|--|--|---|
|              | Boiler   | 10–60                | 50–100        | 30–85                | 85–110                              |  |  |   |
|              | Engine (160)   | 20–75                | 20–100        | 55–85                | 55–110_                             |  |  |   |
|              | As an indication, the  |                      |               |                      |                                     | be:  |  |   |
|              | — < 5–40 mg/Nm   |                      |               | aleu                 | ı/yı,                               |  |  |   |
|              | — < 5–15 mg/l  |                      |               |                      |                                     |  |  |   |
|              | — 30–100 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> engines.   | for exis             | sting engines | operated ≥ 1         | 500 h/yr                            | and for new  |  |   |
| 45           | In order to reduce non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) and methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ) emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in spark-ignited lean-burn gas engines, BAT is to ensure optimised combustion and/or to use oxidation catalysts.  *Description*  See descriptions in Section 8.3. Oxidation catalysts are not effective at reducing the emissions of saturated hydrocarbons containing less than four carbon atoms.  BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for formaldehyde and CH <sub>4</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in a spark-ignited lean-burn gas engine |                      |               |                      |                                     |  |  | The Operator confirmed that this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the installation, despite stating that they are currently compliant.  We have set the status to NA instead of CC.  We agree this BAT Conclusion is not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation. |
|              | Combustion plant to  |                      | hermal        | BAT-AE               | Ls (mg/Nm                           | 3)   |  |   |
|              | input (N   | /IVV <sub>th</sub> ) |               | maldehyde            |                                     | CH <sub>4</sub>  |  |   |
|              | Average over the sampling period   |                      |               |                      |                                     |  |  |   |
|              |  |                      | New           | or existing<br>plant | New<br>plant                        | Existing plant   |  |   |
|              | ≥ 50   |                      | 5–15          | (162)                | 215–<br>500 <u>(<sup>163</sup>)</u> | 215–560 <u>(<sup>162</sup>)</u><br><u>(<sup>163</sup>)</u> |  |   |

BAT Conclusions 46 to 51 for iron and steel process gases. BAT Conclusions 52 to 54 for offshore platforms.

BAT Conclusions 55 to 59 for chemical process gases.

BAT Conclusions 60 to 71 for co-incineration.

BAT Conclusions 72 to 75 for gasification.

These BAT Conclusions are not applicable to the activities carried out at the installation.

#### 6 Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value

The IED enables a competent authority to allow derogations from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4):

By way of derogation from paragraph 3, and without prejudice to Article 18, the competent authority may, in specific cases, set less strict emission limit values. Such a derogation may apply only where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits due to:

- (a) the geographical location or the local environmental conditions of the installation concerned; or
- (b) the technical characteristics of the installation concerned.

The Operator did not make any such request.

#### 7 Emissions to water

There are no emissions to water from the installation.

### 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements:

| Permit   | Justification  |
|--|--|
| condition/table<br>2.3.7, 4.2.2 and<br>tables S1.1 and | We have introduced a limit on operating hours in open cycle mode for the LCP in line with our guidance 'BAT for  |
| S4.3   | Balancing Plant' (see below) as we do not consider this mode of operation as BAT for plant operating over 1,500 hours/year.  |
|  | We have amended the reporting requirements in the permit. We have added in a reporting requirement for operating hours to be reported over a five year rolling average to demonstrate compliance with the less than 1,500 hours/year operational limit.  |
|  | The OCGTs in the permits have previously operated with no restriction on hours. However, we are not satisfied that there is sufficient evidence available to demonstrate that OCGTs represent BAT for plants operating for more than 1,500 hours/year. Therefore, we have specified 1,500 hours as a limit on operational hours in the permit.   |
|  | Article 11 of the IED 2010/75/EU states that BAT are applied. BAT requires the use of the most effective and advanced techniques to prevent or minimise emissions and impacts on the environment.  |
|  | Relevant guidance that we have drawn on, for BAT, includes the Department of Energy and Climate Change 'Developing best available techniques (BAT) for combustion plants operating in the balancing market' and Chapter III of IED and the BAT Conclusions, all of which specifically identify two categories of combustion plant operating in the balancing market as peaking plant: those that operate less than 500 hours and those that operate from 500 hours up to 1,500 hours. Within these documents no other categories of operational regimes are recognised other than base load operation. |
|  | Furthermore, draft Environment Agency guidance 'BAT guidance for >50 MWth gas and liquid fuel combustion plant exporting electricity under commercial arrangements for <1,500 hours per annum' consolidates our position on the above and stipulates that combustion plants operating in a single cycle, will be limited to 1,500 hours per annum on a rolling average.  |

OCGTs operating as peaking plant are classed as fast start, lower efficiency and would generally have higher emissions of oxides of nitrogen (NOx) per megawatt hour of energy produced than would be expected for natural gas fired base load plant. Therefore, OCGTs are better suited to fast reserve running for short periods of time in comparison to base load plants which are more appropriate for steady state running operations.

The use of fast start combined cycle gas turbines (CCGT) aero derivative, gas turbine combined heat and power (GT-CHP) or a large gas engine with combined heat and power would be considered to be a more favourable alternative, in terms of energy efficiency, than the proposal presented in this Regulation 61 response.

The National Emissions Ceiling Directive (NECD) sets national targets for reductions in pollutants including NOx. Restrictions on plants with higher NOx intensity directly contributes to achieving the NECD targets.

For this reason the variation restricts the hours of operation of the plant to no more than 1,500 hours/year as a rolling average over a five year period and with operation of the turbine in any individual year limited to a maximum of 2,250 hours.

### 2.3.8 and IP4 in table S1.3

In the event of a black out National Grid would call on combustion plant to operate and may require them to do so outside their permitted conditions. We have dedicated black start plant and they are permitted to run as such but this scenario is relevant to the rest of the LCP which could be called depending on the circumstances.

A risk assessment will be carried out by Energy UK/Joint Environmental Programme on behalf of LCP connected to the National Transmission System. Air emissions modelling will be based on generic black start scenarios to establish whether they have the potential to have a local impact on the environment or not (on a national basis). If the modelling demonstrates that no significant impacts are likely, the plant can operate under condition 2.3.8. This condition allows the hourly ELVs for plants operating under a black start instruction to be discounted for the purpose of reporting. We would also require there to be a procedure in place for minimisation of emissions in the case of a black start event and for reporting in the event of a black start. This modelling and the procedures have not been agreed in advance of the issue of the permit review and therefore a condition linking back to an improvement condition has been included in the permit.

| S1.3              | IP3 status changed to complete.                         |
|-------------------|---|
| Schedule 6        | Deleted the definition for "background concentration"   |
| Interpretation    | which isn't applicable to the installation.             |
|                   | Added definition for "baseload" operation.              |
|                   | Added definition for "daily average".                   |
|                   | Deleted definition for "Mid-merit" which is no longer   |
|                   | applicable as the plant is limited to 1,500 hours/year. |
|                   | Mid-merit definition was for combustion plant operating |
|                   | between 1,500 and 4,000 hours/year.                     |
|                   | Amended reference conditions definitions to remove      |
|                   | those not applicable to the facility.                   |
| Installation name | Changed from Seal Sands OCGT Power Station to           |
|                   | Viking Plant.   |

## 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice.

| Aspect considered                                  | Decision  |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| Receipt of application                             | on  |  |  |  |  |
| Confidential information                           | A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.   |  |  |  |  |
| Identifying confidential information               | We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.   |  |  |  |  |
| The site   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Extent of the site of the facility                 | The Operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility. The plan is included in the permit.   |  |  |  |  |
| Biodiversity,<br>heritage, landscape<br>and nature | The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat.  |  |  |  |  |
| conservation                                       | A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the site(s)/species/habitat has not been carried out as part of the permit review process. We consider that the review will not affect the features of the site(s)/species/habitat as the conditions will provide at |  |  |  |  |

| Γ   |   |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|
| Aspect considered   | Decision  |  |  |
|   | least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.   |  |  |
|   | We have not consulted Natural England on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.   |  |  |
| Operating technique   | es  |  |  |
| General operating techniques  | We have reviewed the techniques used by the Operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.  |  |  |
|   | The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions. The ELVs deliver compliance with the BAT AELs.   |  |  |
| Permit conditions   |   |  |  |
| Updating permit conditions during consolidation                                   | We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit. |  |  |
| Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation | We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice.  |  |  |
| Improvement programme   | Based on the information in the Regulation 61 response, we consider that we need to impose an improvement programme. The reasons for this are explained in section 8 of this document.  |  |  |
| Emission limits   | We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit.   |  |  |
|   | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in sections 4.1 and 5 of this document.   |  |  |
|   | It is considered that the ELVs described above will   |  |  |

| Aspect considered                               | Decision   |
|---|--|
|   | ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured.   |
| Monitoring                                      | We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified.  |
|   | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in section 5 of this document.   |
|   | Table S3.2 Process monitoring requirements was added to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency after overhauls on site in line with BAT Conclusion 2.  |
|   | Based on the information in the application we are satisfied that the Operator's techniques, personnel and equipment have either MCERTS certification or MCERTS accreditation as appropriate.  |
| Reporting                                       | We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters:  |
|   | Nitrogen dioxide   |
|   | Carbon monoxide  |
|   | Sulphur dioxide  |
|   | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in section 5 of this document.   |
| Operator competence                             |  |
| Management system                               | There is no known reason to consider that the Operator will not have the management system to enable them to comply with the permit conditions.  |
| Growth Duty                                     |  |
| Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 – Growth duty | We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit. |
|   | Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says: "The primary role of regulators, in delivering   |

| Aspect considered | Decision   |
|-------------------|--|
|                   | regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation." |
|                   | We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.                                      |
|                   | We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate Operators because the standards applied to the Operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.              |