

Permitting decisions

Partial surrender, variation and consolidation

We have decided to accept the surrender of part of the permit for Wheeler Poultry Unit operated by Sturdee Poultry Farms Limited.

The permit number is EPR/TP3132NJ

We have also carried out an Environment Agency initiated variation to the permit.

We consider in reaching that decision we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the permit will ensure that the appropriate level of environmental protection is provided.

Purpose of this document

This decision document provides a record of the decision making process. It:

- highlights key issues in the determination
- summarises the decision making process in the decision checklist to show how all relevant factors have been taken into account
- explains why we have also made an Environment Agency initiated variation

Unless the decision document specifies otherwise we have accepted the applicant's proposals.

Read the permitting decisions in conjunction with the environmental permit and the partial surrender and variation notice. The introductory note summarises what the variation covers. Further details are provided within the Site Condition Report Evaluation Template and it should be read in conjunction with this document.

Key issues of the decision

Partial surrender

The area of land being surrendered has never been part of the installation or the site and was included on the permit in an historical error. The operator and site inspector have confirmed that any pollution incidents on the site would not have impacted upon the land being surrendered.

Environment Agency Initiated Variation

All remaining Environment Agency changes are as a result of updating the permit to modern conditions from the 2010 regulations template to the 2016 regulations template as well as the publication of the BAT Conclusions document for the intensive farming sector.

New BAT conclusions review

The new Best Available Techniques (BAT) Reference Document (BReF) for the Intensive Rearing of Poultry or Pigs was published on the 21 February 2017. There is now a separate BAT Conclusions document which sets out the standards that permitted farms have to meet. Now that the BAT Conclusions are published, all new installation farming permits issued after the 21 February 2017 must be compliant in full from the first day of operation. 'New plant' is defined as plant first permitted at the site of the farm following the publication of the BAT conclusions. 'Existing plant' is defined in the BREF as any plant that is not a 'new plant'.

There are some new requirements for permit holders. The conclusions include BAT Associated Emission Levels (BAT-AELs) for ammonia which apply to the majority of permits as well as BAT-AELs for nitrogen and phosphorous excretion. A BAT-AEL provides us with a performance benchmark to determine whether an activity is BAT. For some types of rearing practices stricter standards apply to farms and housing permitted after the new BAT Conclusions are published.

There are 33 BAT Conclusion measures in total within the BAT Conclusion document dated 21st February 2017.

The following is a more specific review of the measures the Applicant has applied to ensure compliance with the above key BAT measures.

BAT measure	Applicant compliance measure
BAT 3 - Nutritional management Nitrogen excretion	<p>The Applicant has confirmed it will demonstrate it achieves levels of Nitrogen excretion below the required BAT-AEL of 0.6 kg N/animal place/year.</p> <p>This confirmation was in response to the Not Duly Made Request for Further Information, received 03/04/20, which has been referenced in Table S1.2 Operating Techniques of the Permit.</p> <p>Table S3.3 of the Permit concerning process monitoring requires the Operator to undertake relevant monitoring that complies with these BAT Conclusions.</p>
BAT 4 Nutritional management Phosphorous excretion	<p>The Applicant has confirmed it will demonstrate it achieves levels of Phosphorous excretion below the required BAT-AEL of 0.25 kg P₂O₅ animal place/year.</p> <p>This confirmation was in response to the Not Duly Made Request for Further Information, received 03/04/20, which has been referenced in Table S1.2 Operating techniques of the Permit.</p> <p>Table S3.3 of the Permit concerning process monitoring requires the Operator to undertake relevant monitoring that complies with these BAT Conclusions.</p>
BAT 25 Monitoring of emissions and process	<p>Table S3.4 of the Permit concerning process monitoring requires the Operator to undertake relevant monitoring that complies with these BAT Conclusions.</p>

BAT measure	Applicant compliance measure
parameters - Ammonia emissions	
BAT 27 Monitoring of emissions and process parameters -Dust emissions	Table S3.3 Process monitoring requires the operator to undertake relevant monitoring that complies with these BAT conclusions.
BAT 32 Ammonia emissions from poultry houses - Broilers	The BAT-AEL to be complied with is 0.08 kg NH ₃ /animal place/year. The Applicant will meet this as the emission factor for broilers is 0.034 kg NH ₃ /animal place/year. The Installation does not include an air abatement treatment facility, hence the standard emission factor complies with the BAT AEL.

Industrial Emissions Directive (IED)

The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2013 were made on the 20 February 2013 and came into force on 27 February 2013. These Regulations transpose the requirements of the IED.

This permit implements the requirements of the European Union Directive on Industrial Emissions.

Groundwater and soil monitoring

As a result of the requirements of the Industrial Emissions Directive, all permits are now required to contain a condition relating to protection of soil, groundwater and groundwater monitoring. However, the Environment Agency's H5 Guidance states **that it is only necessary for the operator to take samples** of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where there is evidence that there is, or could be existing contamination and:

- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a particular hazard; or
- The environmental risk assessment has identified that the same contaminants are a hazard and the risk assessment has identified a possible pathway to land or groundwater.

H5 Guidance further states that it is **not essential for the Operator** to take samples of soil or groundwater and measure levels of contamination where:

- The environmental risk assessment identifies no hazards to land or groundwater; or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies only limited hazards to land and groundwater and there is no reason to believe that there could be historic contamination by those substances that present the hazard; or
- Where the environmental risk assessment identifies hazards to land and groundwater but there is evidence that there is no historic contamination by those substances that pose the hazard.

The site condition report (SCR) for Wheeler Poultry Unit (dated 29/01/07) demonstrates that there are no hazards or likely pathway to land or groundwater and no historic contamination on site that may present a hazard from the same contaminants. **Therefore, on the basis of the risk assessment presented in the SCR, we accept that they have not provided base line reference data for the soil and groundwater at the site at this stage and although condition 3.1.3 is included in the permit no groundwater monitoring will be required.**

Area Agreement

Area sign-off not required as per the Coronavirus incident agreed change.

Decision checklist

Aspect considered	Decision
Receipt of application	
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.
The facility	
The regulated facility	We considered the extent and nature of the facility at the site in accordance with RGN2 'Understanding the meaning of regulated facility'. The extent of the facility is defined in the site plan and in the permit. The activities are defined in table S1.1 of the permit.
The site	
Extent of the site of the facility	The operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility. The plan is included in the permit.
Site condition report	The operator has provided a description of the condition of the site, which we consider is satisfactory. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on site condition reports and baseline reporting under the Industrial Emissions Directive.
Operating techniques	
General operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator and compared these with the relevant guidance notes and we consider them to represent appropriate techniques for the facility. The operating techniques that the applicant must use are specified in table S1.2 in the environmental permit.
Permit conditions	
Updating permit conditions during consolidation	We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide the same level of protection as those in the previous permit.
Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation	We have varied the permit to update permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide the same level of protection as those in the previous permit.
Emission limits	ELVs and technical measures based on BAT have been set for the following substances: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • nitrogen • phosphorous

Aspect considered	Decision
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ammonia.
Monitoring	<p>ELVs and equivalent parameters or technical measures based on BAT have been set for the following substances:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ammonia • nitrogen • phosphorous.
Reporting	<p>With the publication of the IRPP BAT Conclusion Document, we have specified reporting in the permit. These reporting requirements have been added in order to comply with the IRPP BAT Conclusion Document.</p>
Operator competence	
Management system	<p>There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions.</p>
Growth Duty	
Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 – Growth duty	<p>We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit.</p> <p>Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says:</p> <p>“The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation.”</p> <p>We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.</p> <p>We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.</p>