

Environment Agency

Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/ZP3133LM The Operator is: Centrica Brigg Limited

The Installation is: Glanford Brigg Generating Station This Variation Notice number is: EPR/ZP3133LM/V010

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant published on 17th August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions ('BAT Conclusions') for large combustion plant as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the Permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

How this document is structured

Glossary of terms

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- The legal framework
- 4 Key Issues
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to Water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

APC Air Pollution Control

BAT Best Available Technique(s)

BAT-AEEL BAT Associated Energy Efficiency Level

BAT-AEL BAT Associated Emission Level

BATc BAT conclusion

BREF Best available techniques reference document

CCGT Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
CEM Continuous emissions monitor
CHP Combined heat and power

CV Calorific value

DAA

Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to

allow the principal activity to be carried out

DLN Dry Low NOx burners
DLN-E Dry Low NOx effective

EIONET European environment information and observation network is a partnership

network of the European Environment Agency

ELV Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED

EMS Environmental Management System

EPR Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2016 No.

1154)

EWC European waste catalogue
FSA Food Standards Agency
IC Improvement Condition

IED Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)

IPPCD Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now

superseded by IED

LCP Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED MSUL/MSDL Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load NOx Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO₂ expressed as NO₂)

NPV Net Present Value

OCGT Open Cycle Gas Turbine
PHE Public Health England

SAC Special Area of Conservation

SGN Sector guidance note
TGN Technical guidance note
TNP Transitional National Plan
TOC Total Organic Carbon

WFD Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow it to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant Annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 1st May 2018 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the large combustion plant BAT Conclusions document. The Notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17th August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17th August 2021, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 14th November 2018.

2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under Regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AEELs)
- The review and assessment of the availability of BAT for gas turbines operating <500 hours per year

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was provided by the operator to demonstrate that an alternative limit was more appropriate.

The installation is permitted to operate four Large Combustion Plants (LCPs) referenced LCP44, LCP45, LCP46 and LCP47 which are open cycle gas turbines running on natural gas. They are each 122MWth in size. The OCGTs are limited to 500 hrs operation per LCP.

The plant was put into operation before IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 1 of IED Annex V applicable to existing plant.

The ELVs and AELs are based on the following operating regime:

<500 hours non-emergency plant

The following tables outline the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP44, LCP45, LCP46 and LCP47. where these were derived from and the reference periods at which they apply. The emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit. As the only change to the plant is reporting against an indicative

nitrogen dioxide AEL, we have included the requirement from variation issue in table S3.1 rather than from the BAT Conclusions implementation date in 2021 following agreement with the operator and have not produced a separate air emissions table.

Under Chapter III gas turbines and gas engines operating for less than 500 hours per year were considered to be emergency plant and therefore were not covered by the emission limits set out in IED Annex V. However, for the purposes of the LCP BAT review, plants operated for emergency use may only be defined as plants which operate for the sole purpose of providing power at a site during an onsite emergency and/or during a black start and which do not provide balancing services or demand side response services. As this site runs commercially on an intermittent basis to support the Grid, it is not considered emergency plant and therefore indicative BAT applies.

We have set the indicative limit requiring validation through emission factors based on the principle that we will not require plant to fire up with the sole purpose of performing an emission measurement, as set out the UK Regulators Interpretation Document.

Emissions of nitrogen dioxide for comparison against the value included in the permit will be calculated every 2 years, based on fuel usage and emissions factors, according to the agreed protocol described in JEP Report JEP17EMG02/UTG/18/ERG/CT/773/R 'Maintaining the Emissions Performance of Open Cycle Gas Turbines that operate for less than 500 hours per year', October 2018.

Plant type	Open Cycle Gas Turbine
Age	Permitted before publication of the LCP BREF AND existing plant in relation to the IED
Operating Hours	No more than 500 hours/year, not emergency
Fuel	Natural gas

	NOx limits (mg/Nm³) – indicative limits in italics								
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 1) - BREF Expected permi		Expected permit limits	Basis					
Annual	None	None	None	NA					
Monthly	None	None None		NA					
Daily	None 140 304		304	BAT Assessment					
95 th %ile of hr means	None	None	None	NA					

The operator has confirmed that the NOx limit of 304mg/m³ is more appropriate for their plant based on the JEP document described in more detail in section 4.3 of this document.

CO limits (mg/Nm³)									
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis					
Annual	None	None	None	NA					
Monthly	None	None	None	NA					
Daily	None	None	None	NA					
95 th %ile of hr means	None	None	None	NA					

4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

The LCP BAT Conclusions specify that the BAT-AEELs for this type of plant are not applicable to plant operating less than 1500 hours per year. We have therefore not assessed this operational aspect of the plant. We have however included a process monitoring requirement in table S3.3 of the consolidated variation notice. This is required to demonstrate that efficiency levels are maintained following any significant overhauls of equipment in order to fulfil the requirement of BAT Conclusion 2. For <500 hour plant we have specified that the assessment of efficiency can be based on calculation. This is because we will not require plant to fire up with the sole purpose of carrying out an assessment of efficiency.

4.3 Any additional key issues e.g. the review and assessment of BAT for gas turbines operating < 500 hours per year

Joint Environmental Programme (JEP) produced a document 'BAT Assessment for Existing Gas and Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs and Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year' dated October 2018. The content of this document has been agreed in principle by the Environment Agency and we have therefore taken the document into account during our determination of this variation.

The operator has concluded that as emissions performance of the OCGTs remain stable and based on the JEP documents, that BAT is equivalent to the currently permitted performance combined with an appropriate maintenance regime. A flow diagram was provided and annotated to indicate the assessment route taken. Whilst a water injection system or a Dry Low NOx (DLN) combustor could (subject to a detailed technical assessment) potentially be retrofitted to reduce the NOx emissions, the BAT assessment given in the JEP document "Maintaining the Emissions Performance of Open

Cycle Gas Turbines that operate for less than 500 hours per year" has shown that it is not appropriate to retrofit low NOx combustors for an OCGT that operates for <500 h/yr. The site operates GE Frame 6 gas turbines which are included in the data set for the study and hence, there is insufficient environmental benefit in reducing the already low annual mass emission, when considering the high conversion costs.

Emissions reporting will continue to be based on Emission Factors and a fixed emission concentration. A maintenance based approach will continue to be used to maintain the general emissions performance of the combustor. Maintenance includes periodic hot gas path inspections and maintenance based on factored fired starts.

In this instance the operator has proposed an AEL of 304mg/m³ based on the figure for a GE Frame 6 gas turbine as set out in the JEP document. We agree that this is an appropriate limit and have included it in table S3.1.

In all cases, the minimum BAT requirements for <500 hour non-emergency plant are considered to be: i) the continued compliance with any permit requirements already in place to protect air quality and ii) the demonstration of an appropriate maintenance regime to maintain plant emissions performance.

5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant, were published by the European Commission on 17th August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The conditions in the permit through which the relevant BAT Conclusions are implemented include but are not limited to the following:

BAT Conclusion requirement topic	Permit condition(s)	Permit table(s)
•	1 1 1	04.0
Environmental Management System	1.1.1	S1.2
BAT AELs	3.1.1 and 3.5.1	S3.1
Monitoring	2.3, 3.5 and 3.6	S1.2, S3.1
Energy efficiency	1.2 and 2.3	S3.3
Noise	3.4 and 2.3	S1.2
Other operating	2.3	S1.2
techniques		

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA Not Applicable

CC Currently Compliant

FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT

conclusions)

NC Not Compliant

PC Partially Compliant

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
General			
1	In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features: i. commitment of the management, including senior management; ii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management; iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; iv. implementation of procedures (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; viii. following the development of cleaner technologies; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis. Etc - see BAT Conclusions	CC	The station operates an EMS which is integrated and certified to ISO14001: 2015 Certificate No: 246955/2017/AE/GBR/UKAS The EMS incorporates all the features described in BAT1 (i to Xiii), and the site has site specific procedures and systems in place to address relevant topics listed in BAT1 x to xvi where practicable to do so. xi - see response to BAT 10/11. xiv - no dust management plan as the fuel used is natural gas and therefore it is not considered to be an environmental risk. However, there are procedures in place to review any complaints received which could be used if issues related to dust were to arise. xv - Noise nuisance is not expected at sensitive receptors. The site has specific procedures and systems in place to address noise. xvi - no odour management plan as the operation does not involve the combustion of malodourous substances, therefore this is not considered to be an environmental risk. However, there are procedures in place to review any complaints received

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Summary of E	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement I							Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
		e related to the natu				andardised or non-st n, and the range of e			which could be used if issues related to odour were to arise.	
2	energy efficien load (1), accor significantly aff energy efficien	BAT is to determine the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the gasification, IGCC and/or combustion units by carrying out a performance test at full load (1), according to EN standards, after the commissioning of the unit and after each modification that could significantly affect the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the unit. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.							The net baseload efficiency, corrected to ISO conditions is 30.15%. Performance tests will be carried out after any modification that could significantly affect the net electrical efficiency. Further details are in the BAT 40 response.	
3	BAT is to mor	nitor key process p	arameter			r and water includin		NA	The site does not monitor the process parameters because	
		Stream		Parameter(s)		Monitoring			there is no CEMS or periodic monitoring requirements.	
	Flue-gas		Flow			Periodic or continuous determination				
			Oxygen content, temperature, and pressure Water vapour content (3)		Periodic or continuous measurement			1		
	Waste water fro	om flue-gas treatment		and temperature		Continuous measurem	nent .			
4	BAT is to moni	tor emissions to air v	with at lea BAT is to scientific q	east the frequency given below and to use ISO, national or other inter				CC	An indicative AEL for oxides of nitrogen is set in table S3.1 and will be reported against through concentration by calculation. Further details are in the BAT 42 response.	
				thermal input		. ,			response.	
	NOx	Coal and/or ligr including waste incineration Solid biomass a including waste incineration HFO- and/or gaboilers and eng	and/or peat co- s-oil-fired	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous_(°)_(⁸)	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 32 BAT 37 BAT 41 BAT 42 BAT 43 BAT 47			

BAT Concn. Numbe r	oncn.							Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	СО		Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry IGCC plants Combustion plants on offshore platforms Coal and/or lignite including waste co- incineration Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co- incineration HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry IGCC plants	All sizes All sizes	EN 14792 Generic EN standards	Once every year (°) Continuous (6) (8)	BAT 48 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73 BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 33 BAT 38 BAT 38 BAT 44 BAT 49 BAT 66 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73		
		_	Combustion plants on offshore platforms	All sizes	EN 15058	Once every year_(°)	BAT 54		
5	accordance v	with E	missions to water from f N standards. If EN sta irds that ensure the prov	indards are no	ot available, BAT	is to use ISO, na	n BAT 5 and in tional or other	NA	No flue gas treatment on site.

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Sun	nmary of BAT Co	nclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
6	air	of CO and unbu		combustion plants and to reduce emissions to nised combustion and to use an appropriate	CC	a) Stable combustion conditions are achieved as natural gas is used for the fuel and this is
		Technique	Description	Applicability		sourced from the national
	a.	Fuel blending and mixing	Ensure stable combustion conditions and/or reduce the emission of pollutants by mixing different qualities of the same fuel type	Generally applicable		transmission system with composition and uniformity which is controlled in line with national
	b.	Maintenance of the combustion system	Regular planned maintenance according to suppliers' recommendations			standards. b) Regular planned maintenance is carried out according to
	c.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.1	The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system		manufacturer's recommendations. Hot gas path inspections and minor and major maintenance outages are based on factored fired starts. Periodic invasive inspections are completed to monitor condition of the combustion components. c) The site has an advanced control and monitoring system. This automated control system optimises combustion temperature and efficiency during running. There is also a PI system for high-performance monitoring. d) The plant was designed by the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM). The BREF document notes that this is generally only applicable to new plants.
		Good design of the combustion equipment	Good design of furnace, combustion chambers, burners and associated devices	Generally applicable to new combustion plants		
	e.	Fuel choice	Select or switch totally or partially to another fuel(s) with a better environmental profile (e.g. with low sulphur and/or mercury content) amongst the available fuels, including in start-up situations or when back-up fuels are used	Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of suitable types of fuel with a better environmental profile as a whole, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State, or by the integrated site's fuel balance in the case of combustion of industrial process fuels. For existing combustion plants, the type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant		

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Summary of BAT Conclusion requi	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement				
7	selective non-catalytic reduction (SNC and/or operation of SCR and/or SNC and optimum size of the reagent drop BAT-associated emission levels The BAT-associated emission level (I is < 3–10 mg/Nm³ as a yearly averag achieved when using SCR and the up abatement techniques. In the case of	n order to reduce emissions of ammonia to air from the use of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) for the abatement of NO _X emissions, BAT is to optimise the design and/or operation of SCR and/or SNCR (e.g. optimised reagent to NO _X ratio, homogeneous reagent distribution and optimum size of the reagent drops). BAT-associated emission levels The BAT-associated emission level (BAT-AEL) for emissions of NH ₃ to air from the use of SCR and/or SNCR is < 3–10 mg/Nm³ as a yearly average or average over the sampling period. The lower end of the range can bachieved when using SCR and the upper end of the range can be achieved when using SNCR without wet abatement techniques. In the case of plants combusting biomass and operating at variable loads as well as in the case of engines combusting HFO and/or gas oil, the higher end of the BAT-AEL range is 15 mg/Nm³.					
8		ns to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by intenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal	NA	No abatement on site.			
9	In order to improve the general envireduce emissions to air, BAT is to programmes for all the fuels used, as (i) Initial full characterisation of the fuel standards. ISO, national or other intequivalent scientific quality; (ii) Regular testing of the fuel quality to design specifications. The frequer variability of the fuel and an asset treatment employed); (iii) Subsequent adjustment of the proparacterisation and control in the Description Initial characterisation and regular test of performed by the supplier, the full is specification and/or guarantee.	CC	The site uses natural gas supplied via the national Transmission System and the fuel supplied is controlled in line with national standards. We consider that for plants which burn natural gas from the National Grid as a fuel that it is not necessary for the operator to replicate the testing carried out by the National Grid.				
	Fuel(s)						
	Natural gas	— LHV					
		— CH ₄ , C ₂ H ₆ , C ₃ , C ₄ +, CO ₂ , N ₂ , Wobbe index					

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
10	In order to reduce emissions to air and/or to water during other than normal operating conditions (OTNOC), BAT is to set up and implement a management plan as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), commensurate with the relevance of potential pollutant releases, that includes the following elements: — appropriate design of the systems considered relevant in causing OTNOC that may have an impact on emissions to air, water and/or soil (e.g. low-load design concepts for reducing the minimum start-up and shutdown loads for stable generation in gas turbines), — set-up and implementation of a specific preventive maintenance plan for these relevant systems, — review and recording of emissions caused by OTNOC and associated circumstances and implementation of corrective actions if necessary, — periodic assessment of the overall emissions during OTNOC (e.g. frequency of events, duration, emissions quantification/estimation) and implementation of corrective actions if necessary.	CC	The EMS incorporates many of the key aspects of BAT 10 and 11. The site operates a risk based review with the EMS (Aspects and impacts) which includes a review of potential impacts of OTNOC. A) Gas turbine starts are optimised based on plant condition to minimise emissions during start-up. B) All plant components are included within the site specific preventative maintenance programmes, the frequency of maintenance is dependent on operation of the site.
11	BAT is to appropriately monitor emissions to air and/or to water during OTNOC. <i>Description</i> The monitoring can be carried out by direct measurement of emissions or by monitoring of surrogate parameters if this proves to be of equal or better scientific quality than the direct measurement of emissions. Emissions during start-up and shutdown (SU/SD) may be assessed based on a detailed emission measurement carried out for a typical SU/SD procedure at least once every year, and using the results of this measurement to estimate the emissions for each and every SU/SD throughout the year.	CC	Emissions during start up and shut down operations are surrogate monitored by gas usage. This is reviewed to identify if corrective actions are required. Start up and shut down gas usage is assessed. In the event of an accident or environmental incident, we would review the emissions, cause etc. as part of the incident investigation process and ensure any relevant corrective and / or preventive action is implemented.
12	In order to increase the energy efficiency of combustion, gasification and/or IGCC units operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given in BAT 12.	NA	LCPs on site are operated <500 hours per year.
13	In order to reduce water usage and the volume of contaminated waste water discharged, BAT is to use one or both of the techniques given below.	CC	Water usage is optimised and minimised where plant design

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Sur	nmary of BAT	Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
		Technique	Description	Applicability		allows. The water used within the
	a.	Water recycling	Residual aqueous streams, including run-off water, from the plant are reused for other purposes. The degree of recycling is limited by the quality requirements of the recipient water stream and the water balance of the plant	Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present		cooling water system is not of suitable quality to be re-used in other processes on site. Water usage within the cooling water system is optimised through
	b.	Dry bottom ash handling	Dry, hot bottom ash falls from the furnace onto a mechanical conveyor system and is cooled down by ambient air. No water is used in the process.	Only applicable to plants combusting solid fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants		management of cooling tower cycles of concentration.
14	to s Des Was was	egregate waste scription ste water strean te water from fl plicability	the contamination of uncontaminated waste water at water streams and to treat them separately, dependent on the tare typically segregated and treated include ue-gas treatment. By be restricted in the case of existing plants due to the tare typically segregated and treated include ue-gas treatment.	CC	Process water passes through an oily water separator, which is regularly inspected and monitored. The cooling water is not deemed to be contaminated by the operator, and the site does not have waste water from flue gas treatment as a result surface water is not segregated from the discharge water. As this is already an established site, the applicability is restricted by the configuration of the existing drainage system.	
15	tech		emissions to water from flue-gas treatment, BAT is n BAT 15, and to use secondary techniques as clo	NA	No flue gas treatment on site.	
16	aba	tement techniq ount life-cycle the waste preven	the quantity of waste sent for disposal from the corues, BAT is to organise operations so as to maxininking: ation, e.g. maximise the proportion of residues which the specific requestation for reuse, e.g. according to the specific requestation.	СС	The waste hierarchy is implemented on site, and steps for waste reduction continue to be taken where applicable. None of the four techniques in the BAT are relevant to the site. The site uses	

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Sui	nmary of BAT Conc	lusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	(c) (d) by i	other waste rec	; overy (e.g. energy recovery), ropriate combination of techniques such as:			a clean fuel source and no solid waste is generated from the natural gas.
		Technique	Description	Applicability		
	a.	Generation of gypsum as a by- product	Quality optimisation of the calcium-based reaction residues generated by the wet FGD so that they can be used as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g. as raw material in the plasterboard industry). The quality of limestone used in the wet FGD influences the purity of the gypsum produced	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	b.	Recycling or recovery of residues in the construction sector	Recycling or recovery of residues (e.g. from semi- dry desulphurisation processes, fly ash, bottom ash) as a construction material (e.g. in road building, to replace sand in concrete production, or in the cement industry)	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required material quality (e.g. physical properties, content of harmful substances) associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	C.	Energy recovery by using waste in the fuel mix	The residual energy content of carbon-rich ash and sludges generated by the combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be recovered for example by mixing with the fuel	Generally applicable where plants can accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the combustion chamber		
	d.	Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse	Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme	The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO _X and NH ₃ emissions		
17	In c	rder to reduce noise	emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination	СС	Noise is reduced through	
	Technique		Description	Applicability		operational measures including all
	a.	Operational measures	These include: — improved inspection and maintenance of equipment — closing of doors and windows of enclosed areas, if possible — equipment operated by experienced staff	Generally applicable		those detailed in a). Where possible, low-noise equipment is utilised. High-noise equipment is contained within buildings and equipment insulation is used where applicable. The GTs are within an enclosure within the

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Sui	ummary of BAT Conclusion requirement					Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
				possible — provision	ce of noisy activities at night, if ns for noise control during ance activities			building designed for noise attenuation.
	b.	Low-noise eq	uipment	This potentiall disks	y includes compressors, pumps and	Generally applicable when the equipment is new or replaced		
	c. Noise attenuation Noise pro- obstacles Appropria		obstacles bety	ation can be reduced by inserting ween the emitter and the receiver. ostacles include protection walls, and buildings	Generally applicable to new plants. In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by lack of space			
	d.	Noise-control equipment		— equip	-reducers ment insulation ure of noisy equipment proofing of buildings	The applicability may be restricted by lack of space		
	e.	Appropriate lo of equipment buildings	, ,		een the emitter and the receiver and	Generally applicable to new plant		
Combus	tion	of gaseous f	uels					
40	In order to increase the energy efficiency of natural gas combustion, BAT is to use an appropriate combinatio of the techniques given in BAT 12 and below.						СС	BAT AEELs are not applicable as the LCPs are not operated ≥1500
	Т	echnique	Des	cription	Appli	cability		h/yr.
	cycle Section 8.2 < 1 500 h/yr. Applicable to existing gas turbines and associated with the steam cycle design Not applicable to existing gas turbines		n and the space availability. s and engines operated < 1 500 h/yr. s turbines operated in discontinuous mode quent start-ups and shutdowns.		The site has a net electrical efficiency of 30.15%, determined by a performance test at full load to ISO conditions. The plant efficiency is greater than the efficiency threshold of 29.7% for existing OCGT and gas fuel plant shown in table 2 of the			
	BA	BAT-associated energy efficiency levels (BAT-AEELs) for the combustion of natural gas BAT-AEELs (136) (137)						Environment Agency document "BAT guidance for >50MWth gas

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Su	ummary of BAT Conclusion requirement						Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Type of combustion			Net electrical Net total fuel utilisation efficiency (%) (%) (138) (139)		n Net mechanical energy efficiency (%) (139) (140)			and liquid fuel combustion plant exporting electricity under
		unit	New unit	Existing unit	xisting	New unit	Existing unit		commercial arrangements for <1500 hours per annum" (Working
	Ga	as engine	39,5– 44 <u>(¹⁴¹)</u>	35–44 <u>(141)</u>	56–85 <u>(¹⁴¹)</u>	No BAT-AEEL.			draft version 1.0 17/08/2018).
	Ga	as-fired boiler	39–42,5	38–40	78–95	No BAT-AEEL.			See section 8 of the decision document for further details on
		oen cycle gas turbin MWth	e, ≥ 36–41,5	33–41,5	No BAT-AEEL	36,5–41	33,5–41		energy efficiency and BAT.
41	In order to prevent or reduce NO _X emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in boilers, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 41.				oilers, BAT is to use	NA	No boilers on site.		
42	In order to prevent or reduce NO _X emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in gas turbines, BAT is use one or a combination of the techniques given below.							NOx continuously, as only	The site does not monitor CO and NOx continuously, as only an
		Technique		Description			Applicability		indicative BAT AEL calculated through emission factors is applicable. Emissions performance of the OCGTs are reported as stable by
	a.	Advanced control system See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in techniques or may be used alcoperated < 500 h/yr							
	b.	Water/steam addition	See description	in Section 8.3		The applicability m water availability	ay be limited due to		the operator, and the power station runs to site specific BAT in reference to the following two JEP documents: "BAT Assessment for Existing Gas & Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs & Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50 MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year" (JEP19AIB08 Issued October 2018) and "Maintaining the Emissions
	C.	Dry low-NO _X burners (DLN)				The applicability m case of turbines whis not available or vaddition systems a	nere a retrofit package when water/steam		
	d.	Low-load design concept	to maintain good in energy varies	icombustion eff e.g. by improvisplitting the com	ol and related equipment iciency when the demand ng the inlet airflow control bustion process into	The applicability m gas turbine design	ay be limited by the		
	e.	Low-NO _X burners (LNB)	See description	in Section 8.3		firing for heat recov (HRSGs) in the case	le to supplementary very steam generators se of combined-cycle () combustion plants		Performance of Open Cycle Gas Turbines that Operate for Less Than 500 Hours Per Year" (JEP17EMG02 Issued October 2018).

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Summary of BAT Conclusion requiremen	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement					
	f. Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)		plants operated Not generally a combustion pla Retrofitting exis may be constra sufficient space There may be t restrictions for	pplicable to existing ints of < 100 MWth. sting combustion plants ined by the availability of echnical and economic retrofitting existing ints operated between		See section 4.3 of the decision document for further information.		
43	In order to prevent or reduce NO _x emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in engines, BAT is to us one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 43.				NA	No LCP gas engines on site – see section 8 for further information on Medium Combustion Plant gas engines on site.		
44	In order to prevent or reduce CO emissions to combustion and/or to use oxidation catalysts Description - See descriptions in Section BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-A	CC	The plant can comply with the indicative AEL for NO ₂ of 140mg/m ³ . This is specified in the permit to be calculated through emission factors.					
	Type of combustion plant	gas in gas turbines Combustion plant BAT-AELs (mg/Nm³)_(1		g/Nm³ <u>) (¹⁴²) (¹⁴³)</u>				
		total rated thermal input (MW _{th})	Yearly average (144) (145)	Daily average or average over the sampling period		There is no AEL for carbon monoxide for this plant as it operates less than 500 hours,		
	Open-cyc		however the operator has confirmed that the plant has an					
	New OCGT	≥ 50	15–35	25–50		emission level of approximately		
	Existing OCGT (excluding turbines for mechanical drive applications) — All but plants operated < 500 h/yr	≥ 50	15–50	25–55 <u>(¹⁴⁸)</u>		100mg/m³. See sections on air emissions and BAT for <500 hours for more		
	Combined-c	Combined-cycle gas turbines (CCGTs) (146) (149)						
	New CCGT	≥ 50	10–30	15–40		information.		
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 %	≥ 600	10–40	18–50		AELs for gas engines applicable only to LCP engines, not medium		

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Summary of BAT Conclusion requiremen	nt			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement		
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 %	≥ 600	10–50	18–55 <u>(¹⁵⁰)</u>		combustion plant (MCP) engines as can be found on this site.		
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 %	50–600	10–45	35–55				
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 %	50–600	25–50 <u>(¹⁵¹)</u>	35–55 <u>(¹⁵²)</u>				
	Open- ar	d combined-cycle gas t	urbines	,				
	Gas turbine put into operation no later than 27 November 2003, or existing gas turbine for emergency use and operated < 500 h/yr	≥ 50	No BAT-AEL	60–140 <u>(153)</u> <u>(154)</u>				
	Existing gas turbine for mechanical drive applications — All but plants operated < 500 h/yr	≥ 50	15–50 <u>(¹⁵⁵)</u>	25–55 <u>(¹⁵⁶)</u>				
		As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels for each type of existing combustion plant operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr and for each type of new combustion plant will generally be as follows:						
	 New OCGT of ≥ 50 MW_{th}: < 5–40 mg/Nm³. F factor may be applied to the higher end of this energy efficiency or net mechanical energy of 							
	 Existing OCGT of ≥ 50 MW_{th} (excluding turb this range will generally be 80 mg/Nm³ in the reduction, or 50 mg/Nm³ for plants that open 	e case of existing plants						
	 New CCGT of ≥ 50 MW_{th}: < 5–30 mg/Nm³. F factor may be applied to the higher end of the energy efficiency of the plant determined at 							
	 Existing CCGT of ≥ 50 MW_{th}: < 5–30 mg/Nn operate at low load. 	— Existing CCGT of ≥ 50 MW _{th} : $< 5-30$ mg/Nm ³ . The higher end of this range will generally be 50 mg/Nm ³ for plants that						
	 Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW_{th} for mechanical drive applications: < 5–40 mg/Nm³. The higher end of the range will generally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants operate at low load. In the case of a gas turbine equipped with DLN burners, these indicative levels correspond to when the DLN operation is effective. BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO_X emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in boilers and engines 							
]			Ls (mg/Nm³)					

BAT Concn. Numbe r	Summary of BAT Conclu	sion requirer	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement			
	Type of combustion	Yearly average (157)		Daily average or average over the sampling period			
	plant	New plant	Existing plant (158)	New plant	Existing plant (159)		
	Boiler	10–60	50–100	30–85	85–110		
	Engine (160)	20–75	20–100	55–85	55–110 <u>(161)</u>		
	As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels will generally be: — < 5–40 mg/Nm³ for existing boilers operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr, — < 5–15 mg/Nm³ for new boilers, — 30–100 mg/Nm³ for existing engines operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr and for new engines.						
45		gas in spark-i			hane (CH ₄) emissions to air from to ensure optimised combustion	NA	No LCP gas engines on site. See section 8 for information on MCP gas engines.

6. Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value

The IED enables a competent authority to allow derogations from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4):

By way of derogation from paragraph 3, and without prejudice to Article 18, the competent authority may, in specific cases, set less strict emission limit values. Such a derogation may apply only where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits due to:

- (a) the geographical location or the local environmental conditions of the installation concerned; or
- (b) the technical characteristics of the installation concerned.

As part of their Regulation 61 Notice response, the operator not has requested a derogation from compliance with the AEL value.

7. Emissions to Water

The consolidated permit incorporates the one current discharge to controlled waters identified as W1.

There are no BAT AELs specified in the BAT Conclusions for this type of plant. There are also no additional treatment options identified as BAT for the installation. We have therefore not carried out any additional assessment of the emissions to water as part of this review.

In addition the LCPs operate <500 hours per year and are unlikely to produce significant volumes of process water. We therefore consider the likely risk from the discharge to be low.

8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements:

BAT for balancing plant

The BAT for balancing plant guidance (Draft V9, 2017) sets out additional restrictions on hours for <1500 hour non-emergency plant which are low efficiency. Table 1 of the guidance sets out categories for LCP peaking plant. The LCPs at Glandford Brigg fall into category B because it's NOx emissions are below 500mg/m³ and its efficiency at 30.15% is above that set out in table 2 of the guidance. Table 1 therefore confirms that there are no additional restrictions applied to the hours of operation.

Black start

In the event of a black out National Grid would call on combustion plant to operate and may require them to do so outside their permitted conditions. We have dedicated black start plant and they are permitted to run as such but this scenario is relevant to the rest of the large combustion plant which could be called depending on the circumstances.

A risk assessment will be carried out by Energy UK/Joint Environmental Programme on behalf of Large Combustion Plant connected to the National Transmission System. Air emissions modelling will be based on generic black start scenarios to establish whether they have the potential to have local impact on the environment or not (on a national basis). If the modelling demonstrates that no significant impacts are likely, the plant can operate under condition 2.3.8. This conditions allows the hourly ELVs for plants operating under a black start instruction to be discounted for the purpose of reporting. We would also require there to be a procedure in place for minimisation of emissions in the case of a black start event and for reporting in the event of a black start. This modelling and the procedures have not been agreed in advance of the issue of the permit review and therefore a condition linking back to an improvement condition have been included in the permit.

Medium Combustion Plant Directive

The spark ignition engines operating as peaking plant on site are MCPs and therefore have been assessed against the MCP Directive. The ELVs, monitoring and reporting requirements in the permit comply with the Directive and therefore no changes have been made to these.

Removal of plant

References to the fuel offloading area, fuel oil storage compound and fuel forwarding pump house have been removed from the permit as these are no longer in use.

Issued xx/xx/2020

9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the **BAT** Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice.

Aspect considered	Decision						
Receipt of application	Receipt of application						
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.						
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.						
The site							
Biodiversity, heritage, landscape and nature conservation	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat.						
	A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the site(s)/species/habitat has not been carried out as part of the permit review process. We consider that the review will not affect the features of the site(s)/species/habitat as the conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.						
	We have not consulted Natural England on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.						
Operating techniques							
General operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.						
	The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions.						
Permit conditions							
Updating permit conditions during consolidation	We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.						
Changes to the permit conditions due to an	We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice.						

Aspect considered	Decision
Environment Agency initiated variation	
Emission limits	We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit.
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.
	It is considered that the ELVs/equivalent parameters or technical measures described above will ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured.
Monitoring	We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified.
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.
	Table S3.3 Process monitoring requirements was amended to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency after overhauls on site in line with BAT2.
Reporting	We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters:
	Nitrogen dioxideCarbon monoxideSulphur dioxide
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.
Operator competence	
Management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions.
Growth Duty	
Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 - Growth duty	We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit.
	Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says:
	"The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a

Aspect considered	Decision
	factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation."
	We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.
	We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.