Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Rugeley Power Limited Rugeley Ash Storage Lagoons Power Station Road Rugeley Staffordshire WS15 1PR NGR: SK0689116680
Document reference of application SCR	Application ref: EPR/JP3139SG/A001 Variation Ref: EPR/JP3139SG/V002 Variation Ref: EPR/JP3139SG/V003 Part surrender Ref: EPR/JP3139SG/S004
Date and version of application SCR	Application: Application site report

1.0 Site details	
Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response
Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points	Accepted at permit determination 05/11/2009 (EA/PPC/XP3334XD)

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue To be completed by GWCL officers (Receptor)		
Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response	
 a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters b) Pollution history including: pollution incidents that may have affected land historical land-uses and associated contaminants visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available) d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data? 	Accepted at permit determination 05/11/2009 (EA/PPC/XP3334XD)	

3.0 Permitted activities		
(S	ource)	
Has the applicant provided the following information Response		
as	required by the application SCR template?	(Specify what information is needed
		from the applicant, if any)
a)	Permitted activities	Accepted at permit determination
b)	Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site	05/11/2009 (EA/PPC/XP3334XD)

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment (Source)	
The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.	Accepted at permit determination 05/11/2009 (EA/PPC/XP3334XD)

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater? (Conceptual model)	
Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?	Accepted at permit determination 05/11/2009 (EA/PPC/XP3334XD)
For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?	Accepted at permit determination 05/11/2009 (EA/PPC/XP3334XD)

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	Accepted at permit determination
Information is missing- the following information must be obtained from the applicant.	
Pollution of land and water is unlikely; or	Agreed and accepted at permit determination
Pollution of land and water is likely	
Historical contamination is present- advise operator that collection of background data may be appropriate	
Date and name of reviewer:	

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0 to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

4.0 Changes to the activities (Source)	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries	

b) Permitted activities

c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced

This information will be needed to surrender the permit and should therefore cover all of the land on which any of the activities covered by the permit may take place.

5.0 Measures taken to protect land

To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Pathway)

Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?

If no, specify why

6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation To be completed by EM/PPC officers (Sources) Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any Accepted at permit determination pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of 30/10/2007 the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?

Have all pollution sources associated with the incident been investigated and remediated such that they will not lead to pollution after permit surrender e.g. pipe work containing raw materials?

7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)

Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?

If no, specify why

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk

To be completed by EM/PPC officers

Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?

The permitted regulated facilities have not changed as a result of the partial surrender. This partial surrender removes the Ash Storage Lagoon 5 and the existing golf course area from the installation. This part surrender is one of the steps taken by the operator in preparation to fully surrender the original permit. The facility has not been in operation and would be decommissioned in due course as soon as all part surrenders are approved. There are no pollution risks from the surrender of the lagoon 5 area.

9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)

To be completed by GWCL officers

Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?

(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils — quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.

10.0a Statement of site condition

To be completed by EM/PPC officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

This section should be used if the operator is relying solely on records obtained during the operational phase of the activity. If no, specify why

10.0b Statement of site condition

To be completed by GWCL officers

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

If no, specify why

Surrender SCR decision summary To be completed by GWCL officers and returned to NPS	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit; or	

Insufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed or that the site is in a satisfactory state – do not accept the application to surrender the permit. The following information must to be obtained from the applicant before the permit is determined:	
Date and name of reviewer	