Environment Agency



Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial **Emissions Directive under the Environmental** Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: The Operator is: The Installation is: This Variation Notice number is: EPR/WP3835LR/V006

EPR/WP3835LR **RWE Generation UK Plc Cowes Gas Turbine Power Station**

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant published on 17th August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions ('BAT Conclusions') for large combustion plant as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

This is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as

referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the Permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

How this document is structured

Glossary of terms

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- 3 The legal framework
- 4 Key Issues
- 4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant
- 4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions
- 4.3 The review and assessment of Best Available Techniques for gas turbines operating less than 500 hours per year
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- 6 Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to Water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 8.1 <1500 non-emergency plant
- 8.2 Black start
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

APC	Air Pollution Control
BAT	Best Available Technique(s)
BAT-AEL	BAT Associated Emission Level
BATc	BAT conclusion
BREF	Best available techniques reference document
CCGT	Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
CEM	Continuous emissions monitor
CHP	Combined heat and power
CV	Calorific value
DAA	Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to allow the principal activity to be carried out
Derogation	from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4) of IED where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs
EIONET	European environment information and observation network is a partnership network of the European Environment Agency
ELV	Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED
EMS	Environmental Management System
EPR	Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2010 No. 1154)
EWC	European waste catalogue
FSA	Food Standards Agency
IED	Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)
IPPCD	Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now superseded by IED
LCP	Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED
MSUL/MSDL	Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load
NOx	Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO ₂ expressed as NO ₂)
NPV	Net Present Value
SGN	Sector guidance note
TGN	Technical guidance note
тос	Total Organic Carbon
WFD	Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow it to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant Annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 01/05/18 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the large combustion plant BAT Conclusions document. The Notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17th August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17th August 2021, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 02/11/18.

We considered that the response did not contain sufficient information for us to commence the permit review. We therefore issued a further information requests to the Operator on 22/05/19. Suitable further information was provided by the Operator on 04/06/19, 15/07/19 and 02/10/19 respectively.

2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under Regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an *installation* as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AEELs)
- The review and assessment of the availability of BAT for gas turbines operating <500 hours per year

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was provided by the operator to demonstrate that an alternative limit was more appropriate.

The LCP(s) on site consist of LCP 284 and LCP 285 which are both gas oil fired Open Cycle Gas Turbines (OCGT).

The plant was put into operation before IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 1 of IED Annex V applicable to existing plant.

The ELVs and AELs are based on the following operating regime:

• <500 hours non-emergency plant

The following tables outline the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP 284 and LCP 285.

The emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit. All limits for <500 hour plants are indicative BAT-

AELs. It has been agreed as part of the Large Combustion Plant review that all BAT-AELs are to be incorporated into the permit, unless a tighter limit already exists. There are currently no emission limits specified in the permit, hence all relevant BAT-AELs have been incorporated into the permit. For NO_x the only indicative BAT-AEL specified is for dual fuel boilers, which is not appropriate for this plant. The operator was asked to propose an appropriate NO_x limit for the plant. This has then been incorporated into the permit.

The operator confirmed in an email dated 31/10/19 that they will be able to comply with the BAT-AELs as specified below upon permit issue and do not require until 17th August 2021 to comply. Table S3.1 in the permit has been amended to reflect this.

Plant type	Open Cycle Gas Turbine
Age	Permitted before publication of the LCP BREF and before IED
Operating Hours	Less than 500 hours/year non-emergency
Fuel	Gas oil

	NOx limits (mg/Nm³) – indicative in <i>italics</i>									
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part BREF Expected permit Basis 1) - Existing Imits Basis									
Annual	None	None	None	NA	NA					
Monthly	None	None	None	NA	NA					
Daily average or average over the sampling period	None	None	250	Operator Proposed limit	Concentration by calculation					
95 th %ile of hr means	None	None	None	NA	NA					

	CO limits (mg/Nm ³)										
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply						
Annual	None	None	None	NA	NA						
Monthly	None	None	None	NA	NA						
Daily	None	None	None	NA	NA						
95 th %ile of hr means	None	None	None	NA	NA						

	SO ₂ limits (mg/Nm ³) – indicative in <i>italics</i>									
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply					
Annual	None	None	None Note 1	NA	NA					
Monthly	None	None	None	NA	NA					

Issued 02/03/2019

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

Daily or average over the sampling period	None	66	66	BREF	Concentration by calculation
95 th %ile of hr means	None	None	None	NA	NA
Note 1: Footnote 1	to Table 22 of BAT-C spec	ifies that the annua	al AELs are not ap	plicable to plants oper	ating <1500 hours.

	Dust limits (mg/Nm ³) – indicative in <i>italics</i>									
Averaging	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply					
Annual	None	None	None	NA	NA					
Monthly	None	None	None	NA	NA					
Daily or average over the sampling period	None	10	10	BREF	Concentration by calculation					
95 th %ile of hr means	None	None	None	NA	NA					

4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

Table 21 of the LCP BAT Conclusions sets out the BAT-AEELs for gas oil fired gas turbines. A footnote to the table specifies that the BAT-AEELs are not applicable to plant operating <1500 hours per year. The energy efficiency level of the plant is therefore not considered further in relation to this BAT Conclusion. We have however included a process monitoring requirement in table S3.4 of the consolidated variation notice. This is required to demonstrate that efficiency levels are maintained following any significant overhauls of equipment in order to fulfil the requirement of BAT Conclusion 2.

The operator provided a calculation of energy efficiency, rather than a full performance test, which we consider adequate for this plant.

4.3 The review and assessment of Best Available Techniques for gas turbines operating less than 500 hours per year

Joint Environmental Programme (JEP) produced a document 'BAT Assessment for Existing Gas and Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs and Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year' dated October 2018. The content of this document has been agreed in principle by the Environment Agency and we have therefore taken the document into account during our determination of this variation.

For the gas turbines of LCP284 and LCP285, the operator has provided BAT assessment for emissions of NOx that follows the approach described in this JEP report.

The techniques considered in the BAT assessment submitted by the operator, are those potentially applicable to gas turbines firing gas oil and operating less than 500 hours per year, according to BAT conclusion 37, namely:

- a. Water/Steam injection;
- b. Use of Dry Low NOx burners.

The technical information on the Olympus B gas turbines installed in LCP284 and LCP285, provided in support of this assessment, can be found in the JEP report JEP19AIB08 / UTG/18/PMP/774/R, 'BAT Assessment for Existing Gas and Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs and Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year'.

According to this report, endorsed by us, the original equipment manufacturer and third-party service organisations advise that there are no commercially available options for NOx reduction for Olympus B gas turbines when firing on gas oil.

Based on this supporting information, and in line with the methodology set out in the JEP report '*BAT Assessment for Existing Gas and Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs and Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year*', the Operator has concluded that techniques a. and b. of BATc 37 are not available for LCP284 and LCP285 and that the currently permitted performance, along with continued appropriate maintenance, are BAT to prevent or reduce emissions of NOx from these gas turbines.

In all cases, the minimum BAT requirements are considered to be: i) the continued compliance with any permit requirements already in place to protect air quality and ii) the demonstration of an appropriate maintenance regime to maintain plant emissions performance.

We agree with the conclusions of the assessment provided by the operator and we consider accordingly that the techniques reported under BATc 37 are not applicable to LCP284 and LCP285.

5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant, were published by the European Commission on 17th August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

- NA Not Applicable
- CC Currently Compliant
- FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT conclusions)
- NC Not Compliant
- PC Partially Compliant

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
General			
1	In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features: i. commitment of the management, including senior management; iii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management; iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; iv. implementation of procedures (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout i	cc	An Environment Management System (EMS) is in place, which is consistent with the requirements of BAT 1. The EMS is certified to ISO 14001:2015.

EPR/

EPR/WP3835LR/V006 Page 12 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of B	AT Conclusion rec	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement					
		e related to the natu	el of detail) and nature of ire, scale and complexity						
2	energy efficient load (1), accord significantly aff energy efficient	cy of the gasification ding to EN standards ect the net electrical cy of the unit. If EN s	cal efficiency and/or the n n, IGCC and/or combusti s, after the commissionir l efficiency and/or the ne standards are not availal n of data of an equivalen	cc	The gas turbine engines installations are Rolls Royce Olympus 'B' rated dedicated oil fired engines. Their name plate efficiency according to JEP report UTG/18/ERG/773/R is 26.2%. There have been no upgrades to the plant since it was originally commissioned. As a result there has been no further energy efficiency testing has been undertaken.				
3	BAT is to mon below.	itor key process p	arameters relevant for	emissions to air	and water includin	ig those given	CC	Monitoring of the flue gas parameters listed under BAT 3 is not required for <500 hour	
		Stream	Paramete	r(s)	Monitor	ing		plant. It is considered that monitoring is	
	Flue-gas		Flow		Periodic or continuous	s determination		required for NO _x , SO ₂ , CO and Dust as specified in table S3.1 in the permit is	
			Oxygen content, temperat	ure, and pressure	Periodic or continuous	s measurement		sufficient.	
			Water vapour content (3)						
	Waste water fro	m flue-gas treatment	Flow, pH, and temperature	Э	Continuous measurer	nent			
4	BAT is to monitor emissions to air with at least the frequency given below and in accordance with EN standards If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. Substance/P Fuel/Process/Type of Combustion Standard(s) (4) Minimum Monitoring						CC	Monitoring is undertaken by determining the concertation by calculation for NO _x , SO ₂ , CO and Dust.	
	Substance/P Fuel/Process/Type of arameter combustion plant			Stanuaru(S)()	monitoring	associated		There is no SCR/SNCR on site and therefore	

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

Page 13 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Summary o	of BAT Conclusion requiremer	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement				
	NH ₃	 When SCR and/or SNCR is used 	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous (⁶) (⁷)	BAT 7		
	NOx	 Coal and/or lignite including waste co- incineration 	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous <u>(⁶)(⁸)</u>	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 32		
		 Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co- incineration 				BAT 37 BAT 41 BAT 42		
		 HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines 				BAT 43 BAT 47 BAT 48		
		 Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines 				BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73		
		 Iron and steel process gases 				DAT 73		
		 Process fuels from the chemical industry 						
		 IGCC plants 						
		Combustion plants or offshore platforms	All sizes	EN 14792	Once every year <u>(</u> ⁹)	BAT 53		
	N ₂ O	 Coal and/or lignite in circulating fluidised bed boilers 	All sizes	EN 21258	Once every year <u>(10)</u>	BAT 20 BAT 24		
		 Solid biomass and/or peat in circulating fluidised bed boilers 						
	СО	 Coal and/or lignite including waste co- incineration 	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous <u>(⁶)(⁸)</u>	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28		
		 Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co- incineration 				BAT 33 BAT 38 BAT 44 BAT 49 BAT 56		

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

/006 Page 14 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of I	BAT C	onclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement				
	SO2	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry IGCC plants Combustion plants on offshore platforms Coal and/or lignite incl waste co-incineration Solid biomass and/or peat incl waste co-incineration HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers	All sizes All sizes	EN 15058 Generic EN standards and EN 14791	Once every year (°) Continuous (°) ('1) (12)	BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73 BAT 73 BAT 73 BAT 54 BAT 21 BAT 25 BAT 29 BAT 34 BAT 39 BAT 39 BAT 57 BAT 66 BAT 67 BAT 67 BAT 74		
	SO ₃	-	IGCC plants When SCR is used	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every year	-		
	Gaseous chlorides, expressed as HCI	-	Coal and/or lignite Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers	All sizes	EN 1911	Once every three months (6) (13) (14)	BAT 21 BAT 57		

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

/V006 Page 15 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of E	3AT Conclusio	on requiremen		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement			
		— Solid bio	omass and/or peat	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous <u>(15)(16)</u>	BAT 25		
		— Waste c	o-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous <u>(⁶)(16)</u>	BAT 66 BAT 67		
	HF	 Process 	d/or lignite fuels from the al industry in boilers	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every three months (6) (13) (14)	BAT 21 BAT 57		
		— Solid bio	omass and/or peat	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every year	BAT 25		
		— Waste c	o-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous (⁶) (¹⁶)	BAT 66 BAT 67		
	Dust	— Coal and	d/or lignite	All sizes	Generic EN	Continuous (6) (17)	BAT 22		
		— Solid bid	omass and/or peat		standards and EN 13284-1 and		BAT 26 BAT 30		
		 HFO- ar boilers 	nd/or gas-oil-fired		EN 13284-2		BAT 35 BAT 39 BAT 51		
		 — Iron and gases 	I steel process				BAT 58 BAT 75		
			fuels from the al industry in boilers						
		— IGCC pl	ants						
		 — HFO- ar engines 	nd/or gas-oil-fired						
		— Gas-oil-	fired gas turbines						
		— Waste c	o-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards and EN 13284-2	Continuous	BAT 68 BAT 69		
	Metals and	— Coal and	d/or lignite	All sizes	EN 14385	Once every year (18)	BAT 22		
	metalloids except mercury	— Solid bid	omass and/or peat				BAT 26 BAT 30		
	(As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl, V,		nd/or gas-oil-fired and engines						
	Zn)	— Waste c	o-incineration	$< 300 \text{ MW}_{\text{th}}$	EN 14385	Once every six months (13)	BAT 68 BAT 69		

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

06 Page 16 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of I	BAT Conclusion requiremen	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement				
			≥ 300 MW _{th}	EN 14385	Once every three months (¹⁹) (¹³)			
		 IGCC plants 	≥ 100 MW _{th}	EN 14385	Once every year (18)	BAT 75		
	Hg	Coal and/or lignite including waste co-	< 300 MW _{th}	EN 13211	Once every three months (13) (20)	BAT 23		
		incineration	≥ 300 MW _{th}	Generic EN standards and EN 14884	Continuous $(1^{16}) (2^{1})$			
		 Solid biomass and/or peat 	All sizes	EN 13211	Once every year (22)	BAT 27		
		 Waste co-incineration with solid biomass and/or peat 	All sizes	EN 13211	Once every three months (13)	BAT 70		
		 IGCC plants 	≥ 100 MW _{th}	EN 13211	Once every year (23)	BAT 75		
	TVOC	— HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines	All sizes	EN 12619	Once every six months (13)	BAT 33 BAT 59		
		 Process fuels from chemical industry in boilers 						
		 Waste co-incineration with coal, lignite, solid biomass and/or peat 	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous	BAT 71		
	Formaldehyde	 Natural-gas in spark- ignited lean-burn gas and dual fuel engines 	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every year	BAT 45		
	CH ₄	 Natural-gas-fired engines 	All sizes	EN ISO 25139	Once every year (24)	BAT 45		
	PCDD/F	 Process fuels from chemical industry in boilers 	All sizes	EN 1948-1, EN 1948-2, EN 1948-3	Once every six months $(1^3)(2^5)$	BAT 59 BAT 71		
		 Waste co-incineration 						
5	accordance	nitor emissions to water from with EN standards. If EN sta standards that ensure the prov	andards are n	ot available, BA	T is to use ISO, na		NA	No emissions of process effluent from flue- gas treatment.

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP

EPR/WP3835LR/V006 Page 17 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Sur	nmary of BAT Co	nclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
6	of	CO and unburnt su the techniques give	ubstances, BAT is to ensure optimised cor en below.	combustion plants and to reduce emissions to air nbustion and to use an appropriate combination	CC	Environmental performance of the installation is improved through the adoption of techniques B, D and E as described
		Technique	Description	Applicability		below. B – Maintenance contracts are in place.
	a.	. Fuel blending and mixing	Ensure stable combustion conditions and/or reduce the emission of pollutants by mixing different qualities of the same fuel type	Generally applicable		Inspection and Maintenance of the plant is undertaken during power outages, which occur at least annually. A list of works is
	b.	Maintenance of the combustion system	Regular planned maintenance according to suppliers' recommendations			of overhauled gas turbines are kept in strategic reserve to replace any gas turbine if
	C.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.1	The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system		necessary. D - The combustion equipment are Rolls Royce Olympus 'B' rated gas turbines. A feature of the combustion system is the relatively low firing temperatures. The NOx emissions from the plant are determined by
	d.	Good design of the combustion equipment	Good design of furnace, combustion chambers, burners and associated devices	Generally applicable to new combustion plants		
	e.	. Fuel choice	Select or switch totally or partially to another fuel(s) with a better environmental profile (e.g. with low sulphur and/or mercury content) amongst the available fuels, including in start-up situations or when back- up fuels are used	Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of suitable types of fuel with a better environmental profile as a whole, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State, or by the integrated site's fuel balance in the case of combustion of industrial process fuels. For existing combustion plants, the type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant		the maximum possible peak flame temperature, they are stable and are relatively insensitive to combustor degradation. Therefore, the plant is considered to be of a good design. \mathbf{E} – The site uses Class D fuel (0.1% Sulphur). However Class A2 Gas Oil (Ultra low Sulphur or 10ppm) is burnt when reasonably available to the location (i.e. within commercial logistical and fuel quality constraints).
						Class D Gas Oil has not been purchased for this location since Dec 2012. With the exception of a 211 tonne transfer (from another RWE location), all of the circa 6,500

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

V006 Page 18 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
			tonnes of gas oil delivered to site since Dec 2012 has been Ultra Low Sulphur Gas Oil. The application of the techniques as described is considered sufficient to comply with the requirements of BAT 6.	
7	In order to reduce emissions of ammonia to air from the use of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or selective non-catalytic reduction (SNCR) for the abatement of NO _X emissions, BAT is to optimise the design and/or operation of SCR and/or SNCR (e.g. optimised reagent to NO _X ratio, homogeneous reagent distribution and optimum size of the reagent drops). BAT-associated emission levels The BAT-associated emission level (BAT-AEL) for emissions of NH ₃ to air from the use of SCR and/or SNCR is < 3–10 mg/Nm ³ as a yearly average or average over the sampling period. The lower end of the range can be achieved when using SNCR without wet abatement techniques. In the case of plants combusting biomass and operating at variable loads as well as in the case of engines combusting HFO and/or gas oil, the higher end of the BAT-AEL range is 15 mg/Nm ³ .	NA	There is no SCR/SNCR on site and therefore this BAT 7 is not applicable	
8	In order to prevent or reduce emissions to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by appropriate design, operation and maintenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal capacity and availability.	NA	No abatement equipment is installed at this installation and therefore, BAT 8 is not applicable.	
9	 In order to improve the general environmental performance of combustion and/or gasification plants and to reduce emissions to air, BAT is to include the following elements in the quality assurance/quality control programmes for all the fuels used, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1): (i) Initial full characterisation of the fuel used including at least the parameters listed below and in accordance with EN standards. ISO, national or other international standards may be used provided they ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality; (ii) Regular testing of the fuel quality to check that it is consistent with the initial characterisation and according to the plant design specifications. The frequency of testing and the parameters chosen from the table below are based on the variability of the fuel and an assessment of the relevance of pollutant releases (e.g. concentration in fuel, flue-gas treatment employed); 	CC	The fuel is routinely analysed for all of the parameters listed for gas oil Ash, Nitrogen and Sulphur. Currently only periodic testing is undertaken for Carbon. A composite sample is taken upon completion of each batch delivery, which are made approximately once per year. In addition, density, moisture, Gross Calorific Value (GCV), Net Calorific Value (NCV), flash point are routinely analysed.	

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requ	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement					
	characterisation and control in the Description Initial characterisation and regular terperformed by the supplier, the full respecification and/or guarantee.	plant settings as and when needed and practicable (e.g. integration of the fuel e advanced control system (see description in Section 8.1)). sting of the fuel can be performed by the operator and/or the fuel supplier. If esults are provided to the operator in the form of a product (fuel) supplier		Hydrogen content, pour point, viscosity, CFPP (Cold Filter Plugging Point), FAME (Fatty Acid Methyl-Esters) content and elemental analysis are periodically analysed. The operator is a member of the Joint			
	Fuel(s) Biomass/peat	Substances/Parameters subject to characterisation — LHV — moisture — Ash — C, Cl, F, N, S, K, Na		Environmental Protocol and has confirmed that they will follow the requirements set out in the JEP document: 'Characterisation of Power Plant Fuels for Compliance with LCP BREF Conclusion BAT9'. We have agreed that for such operators they will be			
	Coal/lignite	 Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Zn) LHV Moisture Volatiles, ash, fixed carbon, C, H, N, O, S 		considered be in compliance with this BAT conclusion.			
		— Br, Cl, F — Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)					
	HFO	Ash C, S, N, Ni, V					
	Gas oil	— Ash — N, C, S					
	Natural gas	 LHV CH₄, C₂H₆, C₃, C₄+, CO₂, N₂, Wobbe index 					
	Process fuels from the chemical industry (27)	— Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S					

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion red	quirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
		— Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)			
	Iron and steel process gases	 LHV, CH₄ (for COG), C_XH_Y (for COG), CO₂, H₂, N₂, total sulphur, dust, Wobbe index 			
	Waste_(²⁸)	 LHV Moisture Volatiles, ash, Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn) 			
10	 is to set up and implement a mana commensurate with the relevance appropriate design of the system water and/or soil (e.g. low-load generation in gas turbines), set-up and implementation of a s review and recording of emission actions if necessary, periodic assessment of the o 	and/or to water during other than normal operating conditions (OTNOC), BAT agement plan as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), of potential pollutant releases, that includes the following elements: s considered relevant in causing OTNOC that may have an impact on emissions to air, design concepts for reducing the minimum start-up and shutdown loads for stable pecific preventive maintenance plan for these relevant systems, as caused by OTNOC and associated circumstances and implementation of corrective verall emissions during OTNOC (e.g. frequency of events, duration, emissions plementation of corrective actions if necessary.	CC	OTNOC conditions are covered in the Environment Management System, site documentation and procedures. There is a programme of regular preventative maintenance at the installation. Any environment incident is reviewed as part of an incident investigation and corrective and/or preventative actions implemented.	
11	Description The monitoring can be carried out if this proves to be of equal or bette start-up and shutdown (SU/SD) ma	issions to air and/or to water during OTNOC. by direct measurement of emissions or by monitoring of surrogate parameters r scientific quality than the direct measurement of emissions. Emissions during ay be assessed based on a detailed emission measurement carried out for a once every year, and using the results of this measurement to estimate the SD throughout the year.	СС	No monitoring is undertaken during OTNOC. Emissions of NO _x , SO ₂ , CO and Dust are calculated based on concertation and not through active monitoring.	
12		ficiency of combustion, gasification and/or IGCC units operated \geq 1 500 h/yr, ination of the techniques given in BAT 12.	NA	This is not applicable as the plant is operated for <500 hours per year.	

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT	Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement This is not applicable as there are limited process waters generated.	
13	In order to reduce both of the technic	water usage and the volume of contaminated waster usage below.	NA		
	Technique	Description			
	a. Water recycling	Residual aqueous streams, including run-off water, from the plant are reused for other purposes. The degree of recycling is limited by the quality requirements of the recipient water stream and the water balance of the plant	Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present		
	b. Dry bottom ash handling	Dry, hot bottom ash falls from the furnace onto a mechanical conveyor system and is cooled down by ambient air. No water is used in the process.	Only applicable to plants combusting solid fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants		
14	to segregate waste Description Waste water streat waste water from the Applicability	t the contamination of uncontaminated waste water a e water streams and to treat them separately, depend ims that are typically segregated and treated include flue-gas treatment.	NA	This is not applicable as there are limited process waters generated.	
15		emissions to water from flue-gas treatment, BAT is n BAT 15, and to use secondary techniques as close		NA	No flue gas treatment equipment installed.
16	abatement techniq life-cycle thinking: (a) waste preve (b) waste prepa (c) waste rec	the quantity of waste sent for disposal from the con- ques, BAT is to organise operations so as to maximise ntion, e.g. maximise the proportion of residues which aration for reuse, e.g. according to the specific reques cycling; the recovery (e.g. energy recovery),	сс	There are no wastes produced as part of the combustion process as identified in the adjacent table under BAT 16. All other wastes are treated in accordance with the waste hierarchy.	

BAT Concn. Number	Su	mmary of BAT Cond	clusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	by	implementing an app	ropriate combination of techniques such as:			
		Technique	Description	Applicability		
	a.	Generation of gypsum as a by- product	Quality optimisation of the calcium-based reaction residues generated by the wet FGD so that they can be used as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g. as raw material in the plasterboard industry). The quality of limestone used in the wet FGD influences the purity of the gypsum produced	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	b.	Recycling or recovery of residues in the construction sector	Recycling or recovery of residues (e.g. from semi-dry desulphurisation processes, fly ash, bottom ash) as a construction material (e.g. in road building, to replace sand in concrete production, or in the cement industry)	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required material quality (e.g. physical properties, content of harmful substances) associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	C.	Energy recovery by using waste in the fuel mix	The residual energy content of carbon-rich ash and sludges generated by the combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be recovered for example by mixing with the fuel	Generally applicable where plants can accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the combustion chamber		
	d.	Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse	Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme	The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO_X and NH_3 emissions		
17	In c	order to reduce noise	emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination of	of the techniques given below.	СС	In order to minimise noise the following
		Technique	Description	Applicability		techniques are adopted at the installation.
	a.	Operational measures	 These include: improved inspection and maintenance of equipment closing of doors and windows of enclosed areas, if possible equipment operated by experienced staff 	Generally applicable		 A Programme of daily, weekly and monthly inspections are in place. There is also a database of preventative maintenance routines. When unoccupied all plant access points are locked for security and safety reasons.

BAT Su Concn. Number	ummary of BAT Conc	lusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
d	 Low-noise equipment Noise attenuation Noise-control equipment Appropriate location of equipment and buildings 	 avoidance of noisy activities at night, if possible provisions for noise control during maintenance activities This potentially includes compressors, pumps and disks Noise propagation can be reduced by inserting obstacles between the emitter and the receiver. Appropriate obstacles include protection walls, embankments and buildings This includes: noise-reducers equipment insulation enclosure of noisy equipment soundproofing of buildings Noise levels can be reduced by increasing the distance between the emitter and the receiver and by using buildings as noise screens 	Generally applicable when the equipment is new or replaced Generally applicable to new plants. In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by lack of space The applicability may be restricted by lack of space Generally applicable to new plant Generally applicable to new plant		 When occupied self-closing door mechanisms reduce noise levels when the building is occupied. The plant is manned and operated by experienced and trained staff. Site activities with the potential to create noise (e.g. maintenance) are typically only undertaken during the day and very rarely during the evenings or night if at all possible. There has been no requirement to undertake night work with potential to create noise since 2001 (Unit 1 major overhaul). If unavoidable, the use of noise control measures will be assessed and implemented where possible to mitigate noise should such activities be necessary in the future B – New blast cooler fans and silences were installed in 2015. C – Between the main operational noise sources (Unit 1 and 2 Gas Turbine Houses) and the nearest sensitive receptor (residential dwelling approximately 100m to the east) attenuating any noise. D Gas turbines are located within acoustic engine cells within Units 1 and 2 Gas Turbine Houses. Gas turbine exhaust ducts are silenced before exhaust gasses are released to atmosphere.

Issued 02/03/2020

Page 24 of 34

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion require	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement				
							 Ancillary equipment with the potential to create noise is housed where possible within dedicated buildings (e.g. Fuel Pump House, Fire Pump House etc.). External equipment (e.g. transformers, blast coolers etc.) are appropriately shielded/silenced minimising noise as much as possible. Audible control rooms alarms are deactivated overnight while the site is unmanned and monitored remotely. The plant also operates infrequently (typically <50 hours/year).
Table 13	BAT-associated energy efficiency	levels (BAT-AEELs) for	r HFO and/or gas oil co	mbustion in	boilers	NA	Not applicable to gas turbines.
	Type of combustion unit		BAT-AEELs <u>(⁹⁹) (</u> 10	ELs <u>(⁹⁹) (¹⁰⁰)</u>			
		Net electrica	al efficiency (%)		otal fuel on (% <u>) (</u> ¹⁰¹)		
		New unit	Existing unit	New unit	Existin g unit		
	HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boiler	> 36,4	35,6–37,4	80–96	80–96		
28	In order to prevent or reduce NO $_{\rm X}$ emission and/or gas oil in boilers, BAT is to use of	NA	Not applicable to gas turbines.				
29	In order to prevent or reduce SO _x , HCI a boilers, BAT is to use one or a combinat	NA	Not applicable to gas turbines.				

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BA	AT Conclusion require	ment			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
30	In order to reduce dust and particulate-bound metal emissions to air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas oil in boilers, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 30.						Not applicable to gas turbines.	
31	In order to increase the energy efficiency of HFO and/or gas oil combustion in reciprocating engines, BAT is to use an appropriate combination of the techniques given in BAT 12 and BAT 31.						Not applicable to gas turbines.	
32	In order to prevent or reduce NO _X emissions to air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas oil in reciprocating engines, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 32.						Not applicable to gas turbines.	
33	In order to prevent or reduce emissions of CO and volatile organic compounds to air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas oil in reciprocating engines, BAT is to use one or both of the techniques given in BAT 33.						Not applicable to gas turbines.	
34	In order to prevent or reduce SO _x , HCI and HF emissions to air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas oil in reciprocating engines, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 34.						Not applicable to gas turbines.	
35		ent or reduce dust and p cating engines, BAT is	NA	Not applicable to gas turbines.				
36		ase the energy efficien he techniques given in l	СС	LCP284 and LCP285 consists of an existing open cycle gas turbine operating for less				
	Technique	Description		Applicability			than 500 hours per year.	
	a. Combined cycle	See description in Section 8.2	Applicable to existing cycle design and the s		nts associated with the steam		The use of combined cycle is therefore not applicable as BAT for energy efficiency. BAT-AEELs are not applicable to units operated for less than 1,500 hours per year.	
	BAT	associated energy eff						
		Type of combustion u	nit		-AEELs <u>(¹³²)</u>			
					al efficiency (% <u>) (¹³³)</u>			
	Gas oil fired oper	n-cycle gas turbine		New unit	Existing unit 25–35,7			
		bined cycle gas turbine		> 33	33–44			
								
37		ent or reduce NO _X emise ation of the techniques		ombustion of gas oil	in gas turbines, BAT is to use	CC	There are no commercially available NO _x reduction options for Rolls-Royce Olympus	

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of	BAT Conc	lusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement		
	Technie	que	Description	Applicability			'B' gas turbines, see section S3.3 of this
	a. Water/stea	m addition	See description in	The applicability may	y be limited due to water availability		report (JEP report UTG/18/ERG/773/R).
	b. Low-NO _X k (LNB)	ourners	Section 8.3	Only applicable to tu available on the mar	rbine models for which low-NO $_{\rm X}$ burners are ket		
	c. Selective c reduction (There may be techn existing combustion	nbustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. ical and economic restrictions for retrofitting plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr. combustion plants may be constrained by the ent space		
38			duce CO emissions to the techniques given		ustion of gas oil in gas turbines, BAT is to use	CC	The combustion process is optimised as described under BAT 6.
	Technique Description				Applicability		Oxidation catalysts are not applicable for
	a. Combustion See description in optimisation Section 8.3		Generally applicable			plant operated <500 hr/yr.	
	b. Oxidation	catalysts		Not applicable to combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space			
		nergency u	hission level for NOx se operated < 500 h/y				
39		In order to prevent or reduce SO _x and dust emissions to air from the combustion of gas oil in gas turbines, BA is to use the technique given below.					The indicative BAT AEL for sulphur is 66 mg/Nm ³ as a daily average over the
	Technique	Descri	iption		Applicability		sampling period. The sulphur content of the
	a. Fuel choice						fired Gas Oil is regulated to $\leq 0.1\%$ by mass, equivalent to 55 mg/m3 SO2 in the flue gas
	BAT-associa	BAT-associated emission levels for SO ₂ and dust emissions to air from the combustion of gas oil in gas turbines, including dual fuel gas turbines					(JEP report UTG/18/ERG/773/R). Therefore this ensures that emissions are less than the indicative BAT AEL.
				BAT-AELs			
			SO ₂		Dust		The applicable indicative AEL for dust is 10 mg/Nm ³ as a daily average or average over

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006

Page 27 of 34

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT	Conclusion requ	irement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	Type of combustion plant	Yearly average <u>(¹³⁴)</u>	Daily average or average over the sampling period_(135)Yearly average (134)	Daily average or average over the sampling period (¹³⁵)		the sampling period. Dust emission levels are quantified in JEP report UTG/18/ERG/773/R and the levels are less		
	New and existing plants	35–60	50–66	66 2–5			than the indicative BAT AEL. ELVs for both sulphur (66mg/Nm ³) and dust (10 mg/Nm ³) have been added to the permit in table S3.1 through the review.	

Issued 02/03/2020

EPR/WP3835LR/V006 Page 28 of 34

6. Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value

The IED enables a competent authority to allow derogations from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4):

By way of derogation from paragraph 3, and without prejudice to Article 18, the competent authority may, in specific cases, set less strict emission limit values. Such a derogation may apply only where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits due to:

(a) the geographical location or the local environmental conditions of the installation concerned; or

(b) the technical characteristics of the installation concerned.

As part of their Regulation 61 Note response, the operator has not requested a derogation from compliance with any AEL values.

7. Emissions to Water

There are no emissions of process effluent to sewer or surface water. The consolidated permit incorporates the current discharge point W1 as shown on the site plan in schedule 7 of the permit.

8. Additional IED Chapter II requirements:

8.1 <1500 non-emergency plant

The BAT for balancing plant guidance (Draft V9, 2017) sets out additional restrictions on hours for <1500 hour non-emergency plant which are low efficiency. Table 1 of the guidance sets out categories for LCP peaking plant. The LCP at Cowes falls into category B because it's NOx emissions are below 500mg/m³ and its efficiency at 26.2% is above that set out in table 2 of the guidance. Table 1 therefore confirms that there are no additional restrictions applied to the hours of operation.

8.2 Black Start

In the event of a black out National Grid would call on combustion plant to operate and may require them to do so outside their permitted conditions. We have dedicated black start plant and they are permitted to run as such but this scenario is relevant to the rest of the large combustion plant which could be called depending on the circumstances.

A risk assessment will be carried out by Energy UK/Joint Environmental Programme on behalf of Large Combustion Plant connected to the National Transmission System. Air emissions modelling will be based on generic black start scenarios to establish whether they have the potential to have local impact on the environment or not (on a national basis). If the modelling demonstrates that no significant impacts are likely, the plant can operate under condition 2.3.7. This conditions allows the hourly ELVs for plants operating under a black start instruction to be discounted for the purpose of reporting. We would also require there to be a procedure in place for minimisation of emissions in the case of a black start event and for reporting in the event of a black start. This modelling and the procedures have not been agreed in advance of the issue of the permit review and therefore a condition linking back to an improvement condition have been included in the permit.

9. Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice.

Aspect considered	Decision	
Receipt of application		
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.	
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.	
The site		
Biodiversity, heritage, landscape and nature conservation	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat.	
	We have not assessed the application and its potential to affect all known sites of nature conservation, landscape and heritage and/or protected species or habitats identified in the nature conservation screening report as part of the permitting process. We consider the revised permit will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.	
	We consider that the application will not affect any sites of nature conservation, landscape and heritage, and/or protected species or habitats identified.	
	We have not consulted Natural England on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.	
Operating techniques		
General operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.	
	The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions. The ELVs deliver compliance with the BAT-AELs.	
Permit conditions		
Updating permit conditions during consolidation	We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as	

Aspect considered	Decision
	those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.
Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation	We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice.
Improvement programme	Based on the information on the application, we consider that we need to impose an improvement programme.
	We have imposed an improvement programme to ensure that the operator will have a plan in place to ensure that the fuel is characterised in line with BAT 9.
Emission limits	We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit.
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.
	It is considered that the ELVs/equivalent parameters or technical measures described above will ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured.
Monitoring	We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified.
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.
	Table S3.3 Process monitoring requirements was amended to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency after overhauls on site in line with BAT2.
	Based on the information in the application we are satisfied that the operator's techniques, personnel and equipment have either MCERTS certification or MCERTS accreditation as appropriate.
Reporting	We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters:
	 Nitrogen dioxide Sulphur dioxide Carbon Monoxide Dust
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.

Aspect considered	Decision	
Operator competence		
Management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions.	
Growth Duty		
Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 – Growth duty	We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit.	
	Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says: "The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation."	
	We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non- compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.	
	We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.	