

DELIVERED THROUGH THE EXPERT ADVISORY CALL-DOWN SERVICE (EACDS) LOT B:

STRENGTHENING RESILIENCE AND RESPONSE TO CRISES



Department
for International
Development

REVIEWING THE EVIDENCE BASE FOR REINTEGRATING POPULATIONS DISPLACED BY CONFLICT

FINAL REPORT

MICHAEL JONES AND AADITYA DAVE

November 2019

SERVICE IMPLEMENTATION BY A DAI
CONSORTIUM



EXPERT ADVISORY CALL DOWN SERVICE – LOT B

STRENGTHENING RESILIENCE AND RESPONSE TO CRISES

THE SERVICE

Through the Lot B: Resilience service, DAI offers rapid response, high quality support to UK Government and other donors, across a wide range of development and humanitarian challenges.

We offer support for risk informed design for development interventions across all sectors; risk and contingency financing; understanding changing systems; and strategic integration of humanitarian action and development.

We offer a clear process for users that draws upon a well-established network of relevant expertise provided through over 60 consortium partners. We are able to build strong practical partnerships for rapid and responsive delivery through:

- > A dedicated, easy-to-access Secretariat to manage new enquiries and assure delivery
- > Consistent end-to-end quality assurance
- > A user friendly, customer-oriented outlook
- > A pro-active approach to knowledge sharing and communication
- > A focus on due diligence, efficiency and cost effectiveness.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS AND DISCLAIMER

This document has been produced by the authors with the assistance of the UK Department for International Development contracted through the EACDS Lot B service 'Strengthening resilience and response to crises', managed by DAI Europe Ltd. under contract to the UK Department for International Development.

The views expressed in this document are entirely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the UK Department for International Development's own views or policies, or those of DAI or the Royal United Services Institute (RUSI). Comments and discussion on items related to content and opinion should be addressed to the authors, via info@lotb-resilience.org.

Your feedback helps us ensure the quality and usefulness of all knowledge products. Please email: info@lotb-resilience.org and let us know whether you have found this material useful; in what ways it has helped build your knowledge base and informed your work; or how it could be improved

First Published
March 2019
© CROWN COPYRIGHT

CONTENTS

1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2.	INTRODUCTION	8
	HAZARAS	9
	ROHINGYAS.....	9
	KASHMIRI PANDITS	10
	TAMILS.....	10
3.	RESEARCH METHODS	10
	LITERATURE LANDSCAPE	11
	STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES.....	12
4.	CONCEPTUAL ISSUES WITH DURABLE SOLUTIONS	12
	POLICY-MAKER PERSPECTIVES AND THEIR PROBLEMATIC ASSUMPTIONS	12
	COMPREHENSIVE, MULTI-SECTORAL APPROACHES.....	19
	DESIRES OF DISPLACED POPULATIONS AND PARTICIPATORY APPROACHES	21
	UNPACKING RECIPIENT CATEGORIES	24
	Youth.....	25
	Gender.....	25
	Socioeconomic Differences.....	26
	LESSONS AND QUALIFIED EXAMPLES	27
	FACTORS UNDERPINNING SUCCESS.....	32
	Security, Livelihoods and Information.....	32
	Land.....	33
	Urbanisation	36
	Investing in Local Integration and Empowering Displaced Populations.....	37
	ROLE OF DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDERS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR SUCCESS	39
5.	AFGHANISTAN AND THE HAZARAS	44
	LOCALLY LED REINTEGRATION EFFORTS	45
	STRUCTURAL IMPEDIMENTS	48
	NATIONAL PROGRAMMING	49
	INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMMING.....	51
	‘VOLUNTARY’ RETURN	52
	TAKEAWAYS.....	55
6.	MYANMAR/BANGLADESH AND THE ROHINGYAS	55
	CONTEXT.....	55
	STRUCTURAL IMPEDIMENTS	56
	REPATRIATION	58
	LOCAL INTEGRATION IN BANGLADESH	60

	TAKEAWAYS.....	61
7.	INDIA AND THE KASHMIRI PANDITS	62
	CONTEXT.....	62
	NATIONAL INITIATIVES AND OBSTACLES	62
	TAKEAWAYS.....	65
8.	SRI LANKA AND THE TAMILS	66
	CONTEXT.....	66
	ROLE OF THE STATE	66
	RETURN VS REINTEGRATION.....	67
	TAKEAWAYS.....	68
9.	RECOMMENDATIONS	69
10.	BIBLIOGRAPHY	72

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This study examines the displacement and reintegration of minority communities into majority populations through the lens of four case studies from South Asia – the Hazaras in Afghanistan, the Rohingyas in Myanmar, the Kashmiri Pandits in India and the Tamils in Sri Lanka. It documents lessons from previous reintegration experiences and approaches, including those conducted in regions outside South Asia, and seeks to identify factors that are required for successful reintegration as well as conditions that are believed to undermine the prospects of success. The range of case studies selected enables a more complete understanding of the factors that enable or deter reintegration, in particular factors associated with the conflict itself as well as socioeconomic conditions and policies in both the place of origin and the place of displacement. They also enable an examination of different types of integration or reintegration including different national government, local government, international donors, and civil society approaches.

The study first reviews the broader conceptual literature and literature associated with cases beyond South Asia, drawing lessons from those, before focusing on the South Asian cases and attempting to apply some of the findings to their specific contexts. RUSI adopted a comprehensive set of eligibility parameters for the literature, including for example, grey literature alongside peer-reviewed academic content. There are, inevitably, limitations: first, the search was only conducted in English, and second, pre-2010 material was excluded from the conceptual literature collection on the assumption that any significant findings outside this time period would be referenced in more contemporary studies.

Key takeaways

Problems with existing models

Global policy on refugees, displaced communities and reintegration has been broadly framed by the three 'durable solutions' championed by the UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR): voluntary repatriation, local integration and resettlement. While prioritisation among these has fluctuated over time depending on prevailing geopolitical imperatives, the current preference appears to be for the 'home-coming model', which typically aims to rebuild former livelihoods for returnees on the presumption this best satisfies their futures. The literature criticises this approach, accusing it of conflating return with the re-establishment of normality. This is also highlighted in the case studies, specifically with reference to the Rohingyas, where a repatriation arrangement was signed by the governments of Myanmar and Bangladesh in spite of the failures of past cycles of displacement and repatriation, and the fact that there was no real scope for genuine reintegration. The literature instead suggests return and reintegration are iterative processes with non-linear, staggered, sporadic or cyclical variants, and repatriation is likewise a longitudinal, multi-staged development rather than a one-time move from a 'place of asylum' to 'home'.

The 'durable solutions' framework tends to marginalise the agency of IDPs and refugees, especially when they pursue organic, locally designed approaches that do not align with the normative preferences of international stakeholders. Displaced populations are generally neither static nor immobile but operate as purposive, rational actors. Comparisons between the conditions of exile and those in the country of origin, the availability of transnational support networks, remittance infrastructure, the political status of returnees and their eligibility for humanitarian assistance are all factored into their calculus. The decisions that emerge from these calculations could result in innovative survival strategies or resistance to repatriation even in the face of pressures exerted by host governments and international donors. In Afghanistan, for example, refugee movement has consolidated transnational communities that do not conform to the conventional typologies applied by donors. This allows displaced households to 'spread the risk', creating organic coping strategies for satisfying basic needs, sourcing remittances and accumulating capital. Without recognising such

agency among refugees and IDPs, there is a risk that institutional capacities may be outflanked by the speed and enthusiasm of refugee-led processes, meaning they cannot be managed in a comprehensive and sustainable way. Rather than a linear, one-time process, return can be staggered or cyclical, requiring donors to embed greater financial flexibility into their activities so they can exploit transient 'windows of opportunity'.

Comprehensive approaches

More recent literature emphasises the need for conflict-sensitive analysis, granular understandings of local, trans-local and regional contexts, and a suite of complementary and concurrent activities, from strengthening social infrastructure to enhancing economic resilience and delivering relief for host communities. These holistic approaches not only involve physical or economic re-adjustment across the developmental and humanitarian spheres but also integrate wider issues of reconciliation and transitional justice. These interventions create a platform for strengthening civic trust, with the underlying argument being that inclusive participation and comprehensive buy-in can lead to superior outcomes conducive to long-term reintegration and social stability. Importantly, the literature suggests that participatory approaches should be integrated from the project planning and design stage, as well as during implementation, in order to avoid becoming tokenistic and top-down.

In spite of the challenges with the current reintegration frameworks and a dearth of credible 'success stories', promising indicators can be identified as potential building blocks, alongside various failures that need to be understood and learnt from. The literature emphasises the importance of distributing assistance holistically, including to host societies, on the basis these efforts help facilitate the inclusion of displaced populations, remediate economic resilience, accelerate poverty reduction efforts, and gradually shorten the life cycle and resource-consumption of humanitarian aid operations. Moreover, projects, like the World Bank's concessional multi-year financing model, could support host communities alongside refugee populations, helping reduce social friction while also lending greater weight to external advocacy efforts. This is particularly salient in the case of the Rohingyas, given the combination of prevailing sentiment in Bangladesh to prevent local integration and the low possibility of a sustainable return to Myanmar in the near future. The literature does, however, outline issues deriving from the political incentives of recipient states, including the danger that they may be reluctant to distribute resources to refugees, and so the benefits may become concentrated on host societies. This therefore requires robust monitoring mechanisms to track how investments help specific target groups.

There have been participatory projects that have sought to adopt best practice from the development space by facilitating the domestic procurement of goods and services to spur local innovation and preserving the productive potential of displaced persons. The Kalobeyei camp housing Somali refugees in Kenya, for example, is now more affluent than satellite villages and has become an attractive economic hub. While there are contextual specificities that need to be considered, it indicates the potential of treating refugee camps as promising commercial ecosystems in their own right, rather than as humanitarian silos and financial burdens. The key distinction is that these interventions do not anticipate or advocate any final 'return', and so exercise greater latitude when cultivating economically viable communities that can gradually enmesh themselves in the societal fabric of host states. Nevertheless, it should be noted that assimilation into host societies is not necessarily an end point. Instead, this approach can often help equip refugees with the education, skills and resources for eventually returning to their countries of origin. Even where large-scale integration appears to have occurred, as in the case of Afghans in Iran and Kashmiri Pandits in Jammu, the communities have still expressed a desire to return home.

Factors underpinning success

While the factors framing displacement experiences are diverse, several feature prominently across the conceptual literature as well as case studies, indicating broad patterns that may be conducive to promising reintegration processes. These include the provision of security and livelihoods as well as

access to information. For the latter, refugees may either send members of their family to scope out local conditions and assess the feasibility of eventual return, or this can be facilitated through fact-finding missions, preparatory excursions and 'look-and-see' visits. Even in cases of 'voluntary' return, international organisations and national authorities should brief prospective returnees on the situation in their communities of origin, explaining the available options and their likely consequences of each option, unlike the case with Rohingya repatriation in 1992. At the time, UNHCR signed MoUs with the governments of Bangladesh and Myanmar and conducted a mass repatriation registration exercise, with the onus being on refugees to decline to register if they did not wish to return.

It is also important to consider urbanisation trends when designing programming geared towards reintegration. Rural refugees tend to gravitate towards cities and urban hubs on the assumption they can more readily access public infrastructure, protection and livelihood opportunities. Many are reduced to living in crowded slums and informal settlements, competing with destitute residents for jobs and creating strains on overstretched welfare services. This is compounded by a lack of documentation and relevant skills, insecure tenancies, limited contact networks, and government-imposed restrictions on rights to work. In Afghanistan, the absence of coordinated urban planning has led to the creation of 'informal' districts amounting to 80 percent of the city's composition. This has increased the strain on community services and social infrastructure and Hazara IDPs have faced greater competition and disadvantages in urban commercial markets. External stakeholders can support national and municipal planning and investment to stabilise, regulate and gradually integrate illicit settlements such as peri-urban slums, in line with the sensitivities of host communities and the relevant labour demands.

Land access is another key factor, and as in all the selected case studies in this paper, has been a barrier to reintegration. It is often difficult for refugees and IDPs to furnish documentation confirming ownership of land that has been appropriated. In addition, the confiscation of property by state entities at both the national and municipal level can accelerate spatial segregation along ethnic and sectarian lines, as has been the case in Kashmir with the Pandit community and as is ongoing with the repurposing of Rohingya land in Rakhine State. The literature points to Burundi's 'villagization' process as a success story, and the 'Village Rurautz Integres' (VRI) programme implemented there in 2008 may provide lessons for the Tamil case, where IDPs have struggled to regain their land. While there were several flaws with how VRIs were implemented in Burundi, if a genuine participatory approach is adopted, and the intervention is nested within a holistic strategy of national development, peacebuilding and land planning, they could help formalise the reintegration of IDP settlements and expedite the evolution of camps into prosperous commercial hubs. However, this would require participation from the government of Sri Lanka as a key coordinator and implementer within a multi-stakeholder strategy.

Role of different stakeholders

Crucially, states are the only actors that can legitimately, and realistically, restore authority and the rule of law in their own territory over the longer term. The centrality of the state is highlighted in the case of the Rohingyas and the Tamils. Without the buy-in and political will of both host countries and countries of origin, interventions to facilitate integration from external stakeholders will likely flounder. Partnerships can also be enhanced with a range of actors from the initial stages of intervention, including civil society, the private sector and international financial institutions. Private companies, for example, are deemed more efficient in mobilising capital, delivering quick impact projects and investing in economic revival than traditional donors and can complement the comparative strengths of development actors. Similarly, empowering municipal authorities and community-based organisations in sectoral responses helps effectively target programmes, encourage local ownership and internalise context specificities across every strand of the intervention. Further, categories of displaced people need to be disaggregated according to gender,

socioeconomic background, preferences and interests so programmes can more accurately gauge their different interests, preferences, challenges and coping mechanisms.

Lessons

There is no panacea or silver bullet solution to the issue of protracted displacement. Data shortages, insufficient feedback loops, and methodological deficiencies, particularly in terms of tracking programme participants and quantifying the nature of 'integration', are widespread, leaving many studies reliant on anecdotal evidence and speculation. There is a lack of systematic monitoring and evaluation, making the verification of both assumptions and results difficult.

In addition, none of the potential 'success stories' are sufficient in isolation. Technocratic reforms such as vocational training and capacity building programmes, while a favourite deliverable for donors owing to their quantifiable outputs, have been questioned in the literature in terms of how far they can underpin sustainable reintegration. Other economically-focused interventions involving distributing money directly to displaced populations have encountered problems with transparency, oversight and accountability, especially in 'fragile' environments where extortion, corruption and criminality are rife or in cases of long-term aid dependency.

Wider questions of citizenship and renegotiating concepts of identity and the social contract appear necessary before any long-term solution can be entertained. It is also imperative that return programmes consider the nuances of conflict and political economy in targeted contexts and acquire a granular understanding of the local history, society and cultural circumstances that condition exile and return.

Recommendations:

Diagnosing the problem

- Responses need to evolve from a rigorous assessment of need and contextual analysis. This includes tracking and responding to the fluctuating needs, interests and dynamics of displacement situations;
- Disaggregate categories of displaced people according to gender, socioeconomic background, preferences and interests so programmes can more accurately gauge their interests, preferences, challenges and coping mechanisms;

Adopt an inclusive approach from the outset at both the recipient and donor end

- Ensure a participatory and consultative approach, particularly in the preliminary stages, and synchronise interventions with locally-led autonomous strategies where possible;
- Holistic, multi-agency interventions are critical and incorporate a spectrum of humanitarian, development, peacebuilding and transitional justice strategies;
- Undertake holistic capacity building, which includes the urban poor and pre-existing city residents, and provide economic initiatives to rural areas to diversify vocational opportunities to manage internal migration flows;

Frame activities in line with contextual realities and utilise local resources

- Local and transnational dynamics need to be understood and leveraged where possible, whilst the use of regional organisations, development banks, municipal governments and unconventional agents (such as churches and traditional brokers) as sources of investment, political support and legitimacy should be encouraged;
- Empower local agents with autonomy, discretion and dignity and factor spontaneous returns into formal reintegration programmes by installing reactive mechanisms to not only deliver support to sudden influxes but also manage the costs of locally led processes, particularly in terms of compensating host communities to negate any immediate tensions;

- Supplement national and municipal urban planning and investment with international support to strengthen livelihood assistance packages and improve access to basic services, infrastructure and commercial markets;
- Learn from experimental models already in operation, such as the use of vouchers and virtual currencies in Kenya, which help empower refugees and diminish aid dependency;
- Any commercial schemes must be nested in broader social and political programming that responds to the issues and sensitivities relating to identity and citizenship;

Managing expectations and improving evaluations

- Avoid projecting idealised visions of 'home' and raising untenable aspirations. Replace the envisaged 'end point' of the return cycle with a sophisticated understanding of what reintegration means;
- Monitoring and evaluation need to be improved, particularly in terms of collating robust indicators, disaggregating data and sharing findings between stakeholders, and metrics should prioritise process rather than outcome

2. INTRODUCTION

The UN Human Rights Council's (UNHRC) 'Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons' highlights that the needs of internally displaced persons (IDPs) do not disappear when a conflict ends or when they initially find safety. Rather, when they 'return to their homes, settle elsewhere in the country or try to integrate locally', they 'face continuing problems, requiring support until they achieve a durable solution to their displacement'.¹

While this statement can also apply to cross-border displacement, research specifically focusing on people displaced across borders has found that successful or sustainable return processes require the reintegration of refugees, which 'can be complicated by their protracted refugee experience and conditions in the country of origin'.²

The UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR) equates reintegration with 'the achievement of a sustainable return' for refugees and defines it as 'a process that should result in the disappearance of differences in legal rights and duties between returnees and their compatriots and the equal access of returnees to services, productive assets and opportunities'.³ In spite of the challenges, voluntary repatriation/return is seen as one of the durable solutions to protracted refugee situations and is the 'preferred' solution of the international community, as opposed to resettlement and local integration.⁴

Much of the research undertaken in this field has focused on Africa, with some work done on the Middle East, Southeast Asia, the Balkans and Central America. This study therefore examines displacement and reintegration of minority communities into majority populations through the lens of four case studies from South Asia and its periphery, a region that has not received the same level of attention in the existing literature on these issues.

The study provides a landscape of evidence from available literature that examines the link between displaced communities and the key factors leading to their reintegration or the barriers that prevent or disrupt these processes. This includes a mapping of salient themes, lessons and gaps from across a broad range of contexts. The focus is on minority integration into majority populations but also includes programmes that have facilitated successful reintegration in other displacement scenarios. The ensuing analysis examines the experiences of different categories of displaced populations, including IDPs and refugees. Further, the analysis identifies the differences between cases that feature minority-majority dynamics and those that do not, including cases where displaced communities are reintegrated into areas in which they may not have been minorities (in spite of being minorities at a national level), such as Tamils in Sri Lanka's Northern Province. The paper also explores examples of agency that displaced communities can exhibit and the impact this has on reintegration. The goal of this study is to identify conditions that may either enable or disrupt these processes and to identify important factors required for successful reintegration.

The four case studies – the Hazaras in Afghanistan, the Rohingyas in Myanmar, the Kashmiri Pandits in India and the Tamils in Sri Lanka – have been explored in order to test these factors.

¹ UNHRC, 'Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons', Addendum to the Report of the Representative of the Secretary-General on the human rights of internally displaced persons, Walter Kälin, 9 February 2010, A/HRC/13/21/Add.4, <https://undocs.org/A/HRC/13/21/Add.4>

² Brigitte Rohwerder, 'Refugee return in protracted refugee situations', GSDRC Helpdesk Research Report, 30 September 2015

³ UNHCR, 'Handbook for Repatriation and Reintegration Activities', May 2004, <http://www.unhcr.org/411786694.pdf>

⁴ Katy Long, 'Permanent crises? Unlocking the protracted displacement of refugees and internally displaced persons', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, October 2011, <https://www.rsc.ox.ac.uk/publications/permanent-crises-unlocking-the-protracted-displacement-of-refugees-and-internally-displaced-persons>

HAZARAS

The Hazara population in Afghanistan have historically suffered discrimination and marginalisation including during Afghanistan's Communist government from the late 1970s to the early 1990s. It experienced some of the worst atrocities under the Taliban regime owing to their ethnicity and Shia faith. In particular, in many parts of Bamiyan Province, in Central Afghanistan, Hazara populations experienced murder, disappearance, imprisonment, torture and the burning and looting of houses. This prompted several instances of mass migration, and a proportion of inhabitants moved to other parts of the country or migrated abroad.⁵ Following the defeat of the Taliban and the implementation of the new constitution in 2004, the Hazaras were given equal rights as well as representation in government. Nevertheless, some discrimination continues. The legacy of the community as being confined to the lower rungs of society has been difficult to shed, and there are concerns that Hazaras are often appointed to symbolic positions with little authority and subjected to discrimination in hiring and work assignments.⁶ There are also concerns that the Shia population is increasingly at risk from armed groups, such as ISIS. This case study will enable the study of a community that has been able to reintegrate to a certain extent, not only at a provincial level but also at a national level. Moreover, political actors and indeed Hazara mujahideen groups are examples of the community's agency, facilitating an analysis of their role in the reintegration process as well as in affecting the socioeconomic and political contexts surrounding their displacement and return.

ROHINGYAS

The Rohingyas from Myanmar's Rakhine State have experienced severe discrimination owing to state policies for nearly half a century, including statelessness, extreme poverty, segregation and restrictions on freedom of movement. This has resulted in steady displacement over the years and the creation of small Rohingya communities across Southeast Asia, the Indian subcontinent and parts of the Middle East. Since mid-2017, there has been a spike in violence because of clashes between a group known as the Arakan Rohingya Salvation Army (ARSA) and the Myanmar Army. This was followed by a military campaign that targeted the Rohingya community and has led to their wholesale displacement from Myanmar to neighbouring countries, in particular to Cox's Bazaar in Bangladesh. As of May 2018, the UN's Central Emergency Response Fund had allocated \$19 million to support urgent relief efforts, while the humanitarian response in Cox's Bazaar is being coordinated by the Inter-Sector Coordination Group (ISCG) which is led by the International Organization for Migration (IOM).⁷ The Myanmar and Bangladesh governments signed a repatriation agreement in November 2017; however, there has been no credible assessment to determine that the environment into which the displaced might return is any more secure than when they were forced to flee. This case study will provide insight into a recent crisis, and although it may be hard to document lessons for reintegration from the current cycle, it will highlight an array of factors that have caused displacement in recent years as well as in past instances of mass displacement. Moreover, it will enable an assessment, albeit partial, of policies implemented in response to the crisis in Bangladesh as well as the efforts undertaken to facilitate either repatriation and reintegration into Myanmar or partial integration in Bangladesh.

⁵ Winterbotham, Emily with Fauzia Rahimi (2011), 'Legacies of Conflict: Healing Complexes and Moving Forwards in Bamiyan Province', AREU Case Study Series, October 2011, <https://areu.org.af/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/1125E-Legacies-of-Conflict-Bamiyan-CS-2011.pdf>

⁶ US Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor, 'Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2017: Afghanistan', US State Department, <https://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/hrrpt/humanrightsreport/index.htm?year=2017&dliid=277275#wrapper>

⁷ UNOCHA, 'Rohingya Refugee Crisis', <https://www.unocha.org/rohingya-refugee-crisis>

KASHMIRI PANDITS

The Kashmiri Pandits experienced a mass exodus from the Kashmir Valley in India's Jammu and Kashmir state in the late 1980s and early 1990s as a result of being targeted by insurgent groups seeking independence from India. A large portion of the community remains in a protracted displacement situation, with estimates indicating that of the several hundred thousand Pandits previously residing in the Valley, only about 3,000 remained as of 2016.⁸ Plans to facilitate return have occasionally been discussed by various governments; however, none have succeeded owing to difficulties with employment prospects for returning Pandits, land rights, as well as entrenched alienation between large segments of Kashmiri Muslims and Pandits. Moreover, while the insurgency is no longer as violent as it was in the past, it continues to simmer, creating uncertainty about the prospects of reintegration following return. The idea in this paper is to highlight potential steps that can be taken given the political and security environment in the region and apply lessons from the broader literature and examine it in the context of the Kashmiri Pandit case.

TAMILS

The Tamil community in Sri Lanka, largely concentrated in the Northern and Eastern Provinces, experienced severe excesses during the Sri Lankan Civil War between 1983 and 2009. Sri Lankan military operations against the militant Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE) group contributed to large-scale casualties and displacement among the Tamil population. Operations often involved indiscriminate violence, exacerbated by the use of civilians as human shields by the LTTE, and forced IDPs to be displaced repeatedly. There has been nearly no accountability for the numerous violations that occurred during this period, in spite of short-lived optimism following the election of the new government in 2015 and calls by the UN to establish an independent international investigation. Nevertheless, while several thousand IDPs continue to live in camps, some Tamils have returned to their homes. However, the continued militarization of northern and eastern Sri Lanka has prevented a return to normalcy. This case study will facilitate the study of minority-majority dynamics in reintegration not only at the national level, where the Tamil population constitutes about 15% of the population, but also at the provincial level, where Tamils are the overwhelming majority in Northern Province and a plurality of the population in Eastern Province (as per the 2012 census). Moreover, the presence of Tamil political parties in the national parliament as well as in provincial councils demonstrates Tamil agency, allowing for an examination of its impact on the prospects of IDP return and reintegration.

The range of case studies enable a more complete understanding of the factors that enable or deter reintegration, in particular factors associated with the conflict itself as well as socioeconomic conditions and policies in both the place of origin and the place of displacement. They also enable an examination of different types of integration or reintegration including different national government, local government, international donors, and civil society approaches.

3. RESEARCH METHODS

RUSI's approach involved i) the identification of search terms and criteria for inclusion and exclusion; ii) the identification of sources; iii) the discovery of material relating to conceptual and empirical issues related to reintegration; iv) the analysis of conceptual and empirical issues related to

⁸ BBC, 'Kashmir: Outrage over settlements for displaced Hindus', 15 June 2016

reintegration, with specific reference to four case studies v) and the analysis of case studies in order to produce this report and accompanying slide deck.

RUSI's experts on conflict, peacebuilding, migration, displacement and reintegration were consulted to help identify useful sources, as was the Institute's CILIP-trained head of library services. These included JSTOR; Google Scholar; Taylor and Francis; Sage; MIT Press; Cambridge Press; Oxford Press; Wiley; Science Direct; Nexis Global News and Business Service; Factiva; Scopus; BBC Monitoring; the British Library catalogues (including the ETHOS catalogue of doctoral theses), as well as the library catalogues of Senate House and SOAS; documents and reports from international organisations such as the UN and affiliated organs (IOM, UNHCR and UNDP); NGO reports by ICRC, Oxfam, Saferworld and International Crisis Group; journalistic articles from Reuters Investigates; and reports from UK and foreign government departments and assorted agencies.

Case study and wider conceptual and empirical content were gathered simultaneously. RUSI adopted a comprehensive set of eligibility parameters, including for example, grey literature alongside peer-reviewed academic content. Incorporating low quality evidence has not only helped identify important findings across the literature but provide an auxiliary benefit in mapping the research landscape in terms of, for example, themes, geographies and methods. While the chosen string-searches specifically reference South Asia and the ethnic/demographic qualities of the chosen case studies, RUSI did not proscribe other geographic experiences that were captured, enabling the inclusion of lessons drawn from a range of different contexts.

There are, inevitably, limitations as the search was only conducted in English. It is therefore possible that the search reflects an implicit western-centric bias. A cluster of string search terms were used across the specified catalogues, websites and databases, reflecting the empirical and conceptual scope of reintegration efforts.

A second phase of snowballing was then conducted based on citations in articles identified during the initial systematic search protocol. These approaches produced a preliminary body of 257 documents. Given the breadth and nature of the available material, this bibliography was filtered to include the most relevant articles by reading abstracts and gauging the applicability of each to the project's focus areas. In cases where abstracts were deemed insufficient to indicate applicability or if a document's relevance was contested within the team, the entire document was read.

LITERATURE LANDSCAPE

Aggregated and quantified conclusions should be treated with caution, as the bibliography was not a comprehensive compilation of available material, both academic and grey, but a tranche of resources specifically focusing on this project's central research questions. They are therefore subject to revision and re-interpretation. Nevertheless, broad trends can at least start to be identified. In terms of geographic dispersal, the evidence base tended to concentrate on East and Central Africa, with Burundi being a particularly well-analysed case study. Likewise, a clear majority was of medium quality, a relatively surprising finding given the volume of grey literature from NGOs, international organisations and news outlets included in the search parameters. However, a shortage in primary data has also emerged, with 40 percent of reviewed documents (literature reviews and conceptual articles) recycling a small set of empirics across different studies. This not only risks regurgitating problematic findings, assumptions and interpretations as institutionalised knowledge but may leave policy-makers reliant on ahistorical or out-dated lenses for understanding how dynamic processes function in diverse places over different time periods. The nuances and contextual granularities of specific incidents may therefore be overlooked, creating unresponsive or antiquated programmes with sub-optimal outcomes.

STRENGTHS AND WEAKNESSES

The inclusion criteria were relatively broad to help develop a comprehensive data-collection process, contributing to an up-to-date mapping of both academic and practitioner debates. Despite various methodological challenges, incorporating grey literature allowed a dynamic assessment of displacement issues: shortening feedback loops between policy-makers and the piloting of new ideas, and grounding the application of theory in relevant contexts and case studies. This feeds into a holistic appraisal of the reintegration space and facilitated more in-depth analyses of research questions germane to the client.

However, there were limitations that should be flagged. A smaller sub-set of the total literature initially catalogued was prioritised in accordance with the research questions agreed with DfID, and this therefore involved a subjective selection process that may have omitted relevant content. While previous literature reviews were integrated to ensure no themes or major ideas outside this set of documents were overlooked, the boundaries of discussion and analysis were framed, in part, by secondary – and possibly imperfect – source material.

4. CONCEPTUAL ISSUES WITH DURABLE SOLUTIONS

Before extracting effective practice and workable solutions, it is important to consider common conceptual problems threaded across the literature. These issues consistently resurface as impediments to both reintegration programming and policy-making, raising uncomfortable questions over current international approaches designed to 'resolve' displacement situations. Fundamentally, there appear to be contradictions in what practitioners are trying to achieve, what effectiveness looks like and who defines it, raising tensions between the preferences of intervention recipients, national governments (both host states and countries of origin) and external donors. Likewise what interventions are deemed a 'success' clearly depends on how success is interpreted, the levels on which the case is analysed, and whether the evaluation or analysis adopts a long-term perspective.⁹

POLICY-MAKER PERSPECTIVES AND THEIR PROBLEMATIC ASSUMPTIONS

Global policy on refugees, displaced communities and reintegration has assumed various guises but is broadly framed by the three 'durable solutions' championed by UNHCR: voluntary repatriation, local integration and resettlement.¹⁰ While UN and humanitarian agencies argue there is "no hierarchy" amongst these prescriptions, their prioritisation appears to be largely ephemeral, fluctuating over time depending on prevailing geopolitical imperatives.¹¹ Resettlement, for instance, was the favoured strategy of nation states until the mid-1980s but is now critiqued by stakeholders as having limited strategic value, with third country relocation schemes benefiting only around one

⁹ M Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4.

¹⁰ UN Human Rights Council (2003) 'Framework for Durable Solutions for Refugees and Persons of Concern', Core Group on Durable Solutions, UNHCR Geneva; UN Human Rights Council (2010) Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons, Addendum to the Report of the Representative of the Secretary-General on the human rights of internally displaced persons, Walter Kälin, Thirteenth Session.

¹¹ 'The State of the World's Refugees: In Search for Solidarity', UNHCR, 2012.

percent of recognised refugees globally.¹² Today, international and national donors display a clear preference for the “home-coming model”,¹³ describing return as a vehicle for repairing the socioeconomic and political connections “binding communities.¹⁴ In this context reintegration is often described as “the re-inclusion or re-incorporation of a person into a group or process, for example, of a migrant into the society of his or her country of origin or habitual residence”.¹⁵ By prefacing interventions as restorative, donors, practitioners, and both host and return governments, typically try to rebuild former livelihoods for returnees on the presumption this best satisfies their futures.¹⁶

However, the literature critiques such approaches on both a conceptual and programmatic basis. This needs to be understood given the central role “voluntary return” of both refugee and IDP populations occupies in the broader policy aspirations of “reintegration”.

Primarily, these preferences conflate return with the re-establishment of normality and assume a refugee’s original “home” is where she belongs, irrespective of her interests or aspirations.¹⁷ This logic relies on a “sedentary bias”¹⁸ that casts “one’s homeland as one’s normal and ideal habitat”¹⁹, and infers beneficiaries of durable solutions “will no longer want or need to migrate, if all goes well”²⁰ as they “belong to a particular location as if by nature”.²¹ The teleological supposition colouring these claims is problematic as it interprets return as a “finite event” with a fixed end-point;²² providing a reductive understanding of individual agency and overlooking the contribution of mobility to sustainable livelihoods and reconstruction.²³ Fresia concludes any focus on repatriation as the default solution limits the extent to which rights can be redressed because it remains “embedded in a sedentary view of just order which does not reflect the complex patterns of movement characteristic

¹² Yolanda Weima (2017) ‘Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms’, *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 1.

¹³ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement; Yolanda Weima (2017) ‘Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms’, *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 1; Kate Long (2011) ‘Permanent Crisis? Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford; J Milner and G Loescher (2011) ‘Responding to Protracted Refugee Situations: Lessons from a Decade of Discussion’, Forced Migration Policy Briefing 6, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

¹⁴ Ana Fonseca, Laurence Hart and Susanne Klink (2015) ‘Reintegration: Effective Approaches’, *International Organisation for Migration*; Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’, *International Organisation for Migration*.

¹⁵ Ana Fonseca, Laurence Hart and Susanne Klink (2015) ‘Reintegration: Effective Approaches’, *International Organisation for Migration*, p. 13.

¹⁶ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’; Anne Koch (2014) ‘The Politics and Discourse of Migrant Return: The Role of UNHCR and IOM in the Governance of Return’, *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, Volume 40, Issue 6.

¹⁷ Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) ‘Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi’s Rural Integrated Villages’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 33, Issue 1.

¹⁸ O Bakewell (2008) ‘Keeping them in Their Place: the Ambivalent Relationship Between Development and Migration in Africa’ *Third World Quarterly* 29 (7) cited in Katy Long (2011) ‘Permanent Crises? Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and International Displaced Persons’, *Refugee Studies Centre*, University of Oxford.

¹⁹ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’; L Malkki (1995); *Refugees and Exile: From Refugee Studies to the National Order of Things*, *Annual Review of Anthropology*, 24.

²⁰ R Black and K Koser (1999) *The End of the Refugee Cycle? Refugee Repatriation and Reconstruction* (New York: Berghahn Books) cited in Yolanda Weima (2017) ‘Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms’, *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 1

²¹ Nassim Majidi (2010) Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan, Remmm.

²² Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly* Vol.30, no.4.

²³ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement.

of ordinary people".²⁴ In reality, academic studies suggest return and reintegration are iterative processes with non-linear, staggered, sporadic or cyclical variants,²⁵ and repatriation is likewise a longitudinal, multi-staged development rather than a "one-time move from place of asylum to home".²⁶ Mobility should therefore be viewed as an essential component of individual livelihoods and coping strategies, not a symptom of failed reintegration.²⁷ As Fagen summarises, "such choices may create valuable opportunities for war-affected civilians to escape poverty and discrimination, opening doors to new forms of economic, political and social participation. But this will occur only if protection and assistance for the formally displaced are well targeted to their actual needs".²⁸

In this context, the prioritisation of "voluntary return" (AVVR) not only restricts the options available for IDPs and refugees, but has also been pilloried in the literature for often forfeiting the "voluntary" dimension of repatriation.²⁹ Studies describe a proclivity among national and international stakeholders to sometimes to coerce displaced people into participating in AVVR schemes, depending on the political context and urgency imposed on return.³⁰ Examples arguably include UNHCR's revocation of refugee status for Liberian populations in Ghana, Cote d'Ivoire and Guinea,³¹ and the designation of Burundians expelled by Zaire as "voluntary returnees" in the 1990s.³² AVRR also suffers from various practical deficiencies, largely because few interventions tackle the structural problems underpinning displacement, and many are characterised by financial shortfalls³³ and logistical inadequacies.³⁴ The Belgian model, for instance, advocates a "repatriation trajectory" for asylum seekers across its various activities but has been critiqued in a report for undercutting the agency of returnees by sometimes offering parsimonious or insufficient reintegration packages.³⁵ Repatriation efforts by UNHCR and other international donors have faced similar difficulties in previous crises, dispensing aid parcels too meagre to kick-start the economic self-sufficiency of refugees and therefore contributing to a cycle of dependency and humanitarian emergency.³⁶ Perhaps most problematically given the clear donor preference for return, research suggests those eligible for and participating in voluntary repatriation are a tiny fraction of the total displaced population globally, and the process remains highly episodic, resource-intensive and inefficient.³⁷

²⁴ M Fresia (214) 'Performing Repatriation? The Role of Refugee Aid in Shaping new Beginnings in Mauritania', *Development and Change*, Volume 45, cited in Yolanda Weima (2017) 'Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms', *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 1.

²⁵ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement.

²⁶ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan', p. 17.

²⁷ Katy Long (2009) 'Extending Protection? Labour Migration and Durable Solutions for Refugees', Refugee Studies Centre and University of Oxford, UNHCR Policy Development and Evaluation Service.

²⁸ Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'.

²⁹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement.

³⁰ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'.

³¹ Naohiko Omata and Noriko Takahashi (2018) 'Promoting the Economic Reintegration of Returnees Through Vocational Training: Lessons from Liberia', *Development in Practice*, Volume 28, Issue 8; Naohiko Omata (2016) 'Forgotten people: Former Liberian Refugees in Ghana', *Forced Migration Review*. 52.

³² Gerald Prunier (2011) *Africa's World War: Congo, the Rwandan Genocide, and the Making of a Continental Catastrophe*. Oxford University Press: New York.

³³ Ine Lietaert,(2017) Transnational knowledge in social work programs: Challenges and strategies within assisted voluntary return and reintegration support, *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 2.

³⁴ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'.

³⁵ Ine Lietaert,(2017) Transnational knowledge in social work programs: Challenges and strategies within assisted voluntary return and reintegration support, *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 2.

³⁶ Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'.

³⁷ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

Moreover, external stakeholders and recipient governments have a tendency to deliver “durable solutions” as “mutually exclusive and permanent” initiatives, siloing voluntary repatriation, local integration and resettlement as discrete options.³⁸ This inflexibility not only fails to reflect the operative reality of programming but also provides a narrow point of reference for analysis and introspection.³⁹ Harild et al, for example, argue local integration “does not necessarily work against the decision of refugees to repatriate in the longer term, as education, employment and training in the country of asylum may help equip refugees for eventually undertaking sustainable return”.⁴⁰

This “all or nothing” approach of policy-makers means they tend to interpret activities as outcomes rather than processes, overlooking the complex interplay between different interventions and abruptly terminating attention given to refugees at the point of return.⁴¹ Instead, the literature implies governments and international donors favoured long-term “care and maintenance” models that do little or nothing to promote self-reliance amongst refugees or foster positive relations between host and displaced populations.⁴² Crisp goes as far as accusing UNHCR, NGOs and governmental agencies as previously having a “vested interest” in perpetuating this relief framework, which “entailed the establishment of large, highly visible and internationally funded camps, administered separately from the surrounding area and population”.⁴³ Other reports allude to broader risks such models face in terms of opportunity costs if they neglect to invest in the socioeconomic potential of displaced people or develop a culture of dependency on external aid flows.⁴⁴ Examples include refugees in Sudan, operating as rational agents, rejecting free UNHCR repatriation assistance and remaining suspended in refugee settlements to ensure ongoing access to education for their children and allow for healthcare and other basic needs to be met.⁴⁵ Subsequent shifts in UNHCR’s approach to ‘self-reliance’ have been applauded, especially as they delineate between responses to ‘emergencies’ and ‘chronic displacement’.⁴⁶ However, Milner and Loescher caution that international stakeholders should remain cognisant of the negative perceptions some host states in the global South have towards notions of self-reliance, particularly its propensity to become a “back door to local integration”.⁴⁷

Problems associated with state-centrism are likewise becoming clear. While policy-based analyses usually emphasise the importance of government obligations in resolving forced displacement, the wider literature has gradually recognised the limitations of country-based responses when such problems accrue transnational dimensions. Cross-border affiliations are usually overlooked on the assumption that refugees’ strongest ties are to their country of origin rather than the networks and

³⁸ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement. GPDF issue note series. World Bank Group, Washington, DC, p. 133.

³⁹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement. GPDF issue note series. World Bank Group, Washington, DC.

⁴⁰ Ibid.

⁴¹ Megan Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4; Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

⁴² Jeff Crisp (2010) Forced Displacement In Africa: Dimensions, Difficulties, And Policy Directions, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 29, Issue 3.

⁴³ Ibid.

⁴⁴ Oxfam (2012) ‘Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad’, Joint Briefing Paper; UN (2011) Durable Solutions: Follow-up to the Secretary General’s 2009 Report on Peacebuilding.

⁴⁵ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement. GPDF issue note series. World Bank Group, Washington, DC.

⁴⁶ James Milner and Gil Loescher (2011) ‘Responding to Protracted Refugee Situations: Lessons from a Decade of Discussion’, Forced Migration Policy Briefing 6, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

⁴⁷ James Milner and Gil Loescher (2011) ‘Responding to Protracted Refugee Situations: Lessons from a Decade of Discussion’, Forced Migration Policy Briefing 6, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, p. 16.

bonds they can develop in host communities or the wider diaspora.⁴⁸ Focusing too heavily at the national level also invariably tends to situate agency with, and attention on, national authorities, leaving local government - including municipalities and other frontline institutions - excluded from capacity building schemes, donor sponsorship and mapping exercises.⁴⁹ Sub-national disparities in economic opportunity, conflict, identity-based violence, discrimination, land disputes, de-population and spatial segregation may similarly be obscured if the state is levied as the basic unit of analysis.

Crucially, various case studies highlight the propensity of states to instrumentalise displacement as a political issue and distort both the scope and underlying premise of interventions. In Central America, for instance, the durable solutions framework was arguably leveraged by various stakeholders, including "States of Origin keen to attract aid money; regional governments concerned with stability and development in the Americas, and international agencies and NGOs thirsty for a success story to offset criticism of deeply flawed operations in the Balkans, East Africa and the Great Lakes region".⁵⁰ This involved a narrowing of the eligibility criteria so that only an estimated 10 percent of displaced victims were included in either national or international interventions.⁵¹ Whereas UNHCR advocated all Guatemalans fleeing conflict should be recognised as refugees *prima facie*, 1.8 percent of asylum applications filed in the US between 1983 and 1990 were approved, and only 45,000 of a total 200,000 were accepted in Mexico.⁵² As Bradley summarises: "UNHCR itself acknowledges that although the CIREFCA process was mandated to support both refugees and IDPs, CIREFCA⁵³ projects principally targeted refugees and thus touched only the 'tip of the iceberg'".⁵⁴ Recent case studies draw analogous conclusions, arguing interventions prioritising state interests "simply have not worked", at least in part because they ignore the preferences and opinions of displaced individuals themselves.⁵⁵

The dimension of time is also neglected under these conventions, casting the concept of 'home' in ahistorical terms that often create a disjuncture between notions of return and contextual fluidity.⁵⁶ Violence tends to precipitate and accelerate change, contributing to a permanently altered socio-political landscape removed from the memories and experiences of those displaced. Destroyed or appropriated property, de-population, societal re-configurations and ethnic homogenisation, militarised identities and enfeebled governmental capacities are all well-attested symptoms of conflict, generating new ecosystems that are generally unsuitable for prospective returnees.⁵⁷ Modelling repatriation as the recovery of some idealised version of home⁵⁸ may therefore stoke unrealistic expectations from participants, frustrating perceptions that are "at least as important, if

⁴⁸ Yolanda Weima (2017) 'Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms', *Transnational Social Review*; C Olivier-Mensah and S Scholl-Schneider (2016) 'Transnational Return? On the Interrelation of Family, Remigration and Transnationality – An Introduction', *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 6.

⁴⁹ UN (2011) Durable Solutions: Follow-up to the Secretary General's 2009 Report on Peacebuilding.

⁵⁰ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered'.

⁵¹ Ibid.

⁵² Ibid.

⁵³ The International Conference on Central American Refugees (CIREFCA) process.

⁵⁴ A Betts (2006) 'Comprehensive Plans of Action: Insights from CIREFCA and the Indochinese CPA', *New Issues in Refugee Research*, Research Paper No. 120, Geneva, UNHCR, cited in Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', p. 92.

⁵⁵ Alessandro Monsutti (2008) 'Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 27, Issue 1.

⁵⁶ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'.

⁵⁷ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*; Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home', Special Report 268, *United States Institute of Peace*.

⁵⁸ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'; Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4.

not more, than objective quantifiable provision figures".⁵⁹ Displacement is, in itself, transformative.⁶⁰ While the interests, logics and dimensions of dislocated populations evolve over time there is little effort to control for the evolution of protracted situations. A recent Oxfam report describes how IDPs in Chad have become increasingly aware of their civic, economic and human rights after interacting with host populations and humanitarian aid workers, precipitating new expectations that need to be negotiated.⁶¹ As a result, inflexible interventions may be left focusing on out-dated objectives or issues that no longer align with the aspirations of recipients, despite the widespread recognition that refugees spend an average of 17 years in exile.⁶² Likewise, the social, economic and demographic fabric of host communities is liable to change over time, with profound implications at a local, national and regional level. Unfortunately, studies suggest these shifts are rarely factored into the orthodox templates of 'durable solutions' because stakeholders continue to conceptualise in static terms.⁶³

Importantly, an inadequate appreciation of time affects the assessment and evaluation of interventions, leaving practitioners to recast metrics used in emergency relief packages without any modification to factor in the wider structural reforms necessary for sustainable reintegration. This creates superficial benchmarks that cast, for example, the reconstruction of houses as analogous to building a "home",⁶⁴ a deleterious conflation that assumes the act of return will automatically "restore the destroyed relations of trust" IDPs and refugees had with their communities of origin.⁶⁵ Programmes therefore risk focusing on material outputs – the quantity of shelters built or infrastructure restored– at the expense of longer-term, resource-intensive efforts to foster social reconciliation, rolling out temporary stop-gaps rather than any genuine resolution to the underlying causes of displacement. Ensor describes this logic as the "tyranny of the urgent": negative incentive structures surface in emergency contexts where "immediate survival needs are prioritised over targeted solutions more conducive to long term sustainability".⁶⁶

The cessation of conflict is likewise adopted as an indicator for successful interventions even though peace agreements do not necessarily end violence or resolve its legacies, particularly at the local level. Disarmament initiatives, for example, are often superficial and may even entrench instability, grievances, and perceptions of victor's justice - especially where countries lack viable institutions and a professional security apparatus - leaving returnees exposed to the same adverse factors that precipitated their original flight.⁶⁷ There are rarely any quick-fix options: sustainable post-conflict repatriation is inevitably contingent on broader state-building processes that require inter-

⁵⁹ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'; Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', p. 29.

⁶⁰ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'; Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home', Special Report 268, *United States Institute of Peace*.

⁶¹ Oxfam (2012) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad'.

⁶² James Milner (2014) 'Can Global Refugee Policy Leverage Durable Solutions? Lessons from Tanzania's Naturalization of Burundian Refugees'.

⁶³ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'; Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages'.

⁶⁴ Nassim Majidi (2010) Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan, Remmm.

⁶⁵ Achieng, Syprose, Ashebir Solomon, Carolina Cenerini and Alberto di Grazia (2014) How to Deal with People in Post Displacement – Reintegration: The Welcoming Capacity Approach, Land and Water Division Working Paper 7, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, p. 22.

⁶⁶ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan', p. 50; UNHCR, 'Tough Choices for Afghan Refugees Returning Home After Years in Exile', February 2017. Available at: <www.unhcr.org/news/briefing/2017/2/589453557/tough-choices-afghan-refugees-returning-home-years-exile.html>.

⁶⁷ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'.

generational buy-in.⁶⁸ Rapid impact and expedited stabilisation packages – such as replacing lost documentation, allocating emergency accommodation and delivering capacity building schemes – are of course important for addressing the immediate needs of displaced populations, but these should be nested within more strategic, longer-term and holistic responses.⁶⁹ Humanitarian assistance, in isolation, is neither a panacea nor a substitute for sustained engagement: the evidence indicates any efforts to induce social reconciliation and encourage economic growth depend on political investment and decades-long funding commitments. This creates a problem as Lischer describes ‘conflict-induced displacement’ as falling “in the cracks between various scholarly and practical disciplines”.⁷⁰ On an academic level, international relations focus on conflict and violence but “rarely connects with this theoretically with forced migration”, and latter usually prioritises the outcome of conflict rather than its underlying causes.⁷¹ similar binaries are reflected in the realms of policy and programming: humanitarians often consider security issues “beyond their purview” and there is little dialogue between refugee experts and those stakeholders responsible for conflict prevention and management.⁷² Until the interaction and symbiosis connecting various typologies of violence and displacement crises are properly interrogated, interventions will likely remain reductive and fail to achieve their full potential.

Humanitarian and development agencies have also previously exhibited short attention spans and departed before longer-term strategies are completed. Many simply delegate responsibility to cash-strapped host states burdened with other priorities, leaving programmes to eventually expire.⁷³ Omata argues the involvement of UNHCR often ceases without “really investigating the durability of the most ideal durable solution”.⁷⁴ Donor fatigue became particularly stark in the 1990s across countries like Somalia, creating “dramatic and recurring shortfalls in refugee funding, and UNHCR still struggles to maintain minimum human rights standards decades after it declares emergencies as over”.⁷⁵ The rigidity of such approaches sits uneasily with the need for flexible, experimental and politically risky interventions, making them not so much “undurable solutions as unstartable”⁷⁶ unless there is a permissive local context to work in. Even where interventions have not displayed an unwavering focus on “return” they have been hampered by these constraints. Often touted as a resounding success, Tanzania’s supposed willingness to naturalise 220,000 Burundian refugees in 2010 is a clear example. By 2014 the process had stalled due to declining public confidence in the ruling Chama Cha Mapinduzi (CCM) party and a weakening of President Jakaya Kikwete, leaving the prospect of integration politically unpalatable.⁷⁷ Rather than expressing programmatic commitment and flexibility, UNHCR and the donor community adopted a “wait and see” approach, demonstrating the dependence of global interventions on conducive policy windows at the international and

⁶⁸ Martin Gottwald (2012) ‘Back to the Future: The Concept of Comprehensive Solutions’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 31, Issue 3.

⁶⁹ Martin Gottwald (2012) ‘Back to the Future: The Concept of Comprehensive Solutions’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 31, Issue 3; Megan Bradley (2012) ‘Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford; Megan Bradley (2018) ‘Durable Solutions and the Right of Return for IDPs: Evolving Interpretations’, *International Journal of Refugee Law*, Volume 30, Issue 2.

⁷⁰ Sarah Lischer (2007) ‘Causes and Consequences of Conflict-Induced Displacement’, *Civil Wars*, Vol. 9 No. 2, p. 143.

⁷¹ Ibid.

⁷² Sarah Lischer (2007) ‘Causes and Consequences of Conflict-Induced Displacement’, *Civil Wars*, Vol. 9 No. 2.

⁷³ Ibid.

⁷⁴ Naohiko Omata (2013) Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 26, Issue 2, p. 280.

⁷⁵ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, p. 23.

⁷⁶ Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’, p.8.

⁷⁷ James Milner (2014) ‘Can Global Refugee Policy Leverage Durable Solutions? Lessons from Tanzania’s Naturalization of Burundian Refugees’, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Vol. 27, No.4.

national level.⁷⁸ Quick impact projects (QIPs) relating to health and education experienced similar difficulties in South Sudan, with Harild et al finding severe structural weaknesses stemming from an absence of recurrent funding, limited governmental capacities, and the unwillingness of national partners to absorb project costs.⁷⁹ Simply put, without viable partners and amenable environmental conditions – rare qualities in contexts suffering from displacement crises – interventions consistently struggle to gain traction.

COMPREHENSIVE, MULTI-SECTORAL APPROACHES

“Comprehensive, multi-sectoral approaches” are defined by Ozerdam and Sofizada as integrated frameworks for “institutional collaboration” in post conflict situations, bringing together “humanitarian, transition and development approaches throughout the different stages of a reintegration process in a structured manner”.⁸⁰ More recent studies emphasise a need for conflict-sensitive analysis,⁸¹ granular understandings of local, trans-local and regional contexts, and a suite of concurrent activities, from strengthening social infrastructure to enhancing economic resilience and delivering relief for host communities.⁸² Two mutually reinforcing strands are emphasised in this approach: the macro level, with a focus on security, transport, power, communication and building national capacities; and the micro level, addressing the specific constraints effecting specific refugee groups and individuals.⁸³ Both need to operate in parallel from the start, accompanying simple locally oriented interventions with wider development efforts that consider “how the sequencing and prioritization of these programmes underpin national stability”.⁸⁴

These holistic approaches not only involve physical or economic re-adjustment across the developmental and humanitarian spheres, but also integrate broader issues of reconciliation and transitional justice.⁸⁵ IDPs and refugees often suffer from multiple vulnerabilities and exist in a precarious limbo, indicating they have much to gain from the mechanics of peacebuilding and restorative justice.⁸⁶ Notions of ‘just return’ are therefore salient features of transitional protocols; creating opportunities for restoring marginalised populations, like refugees, as “citizens with fundamental moral and legal prerogatives”, including the right to obtain, through formal or informal judicial mechanisms, restitution, compensation and accountability.⁸⁷ By validating and empowering displaced persons as recognised claimants, returnees would be placed “back on an equal footing with non-displaced co-nationals”, at least nominally, by reinstalling “the normal relationship of rights and

⁷⁸ Ibid.

⁷⁹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’; Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

⁸⁰ Alpaslan Özerdem & Abdul Hai Sofizada (2006) Sustainable reintegration to returning refugees in post-Taliban Afghanistan: land-related challenges, Conflict, Security & Development, 6:1, p.79.

⁸¹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’; Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

⁸² The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks’, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

⁸³ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’.

⁸⁴ Ibid, p.33.

⁸⁵ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) ‘Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes’; Megan Bradley (2012) ‘Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons’.

⁸⁶ Roger Duthie (2013) ‘Contributing to Durable Solutions: Transitional Justice and the Integration and Reintegration of Displaced Persons’, International Centre for Transitional Justice; Anne Lise Purkey (2016) ‘Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes’.

⁸⁷ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) ‘Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes’, p. 9.

duties between the state and its returning citizens".⁸⁸ These interventions also create a platform for strengthening civic trust, enfranchising returnees as stakeholders in ongoing state-building processes, and repairing frayed government-society bonds through criminal prosecutions, institution reform, truth-telling commissions and reconciliation initiatives.⁸⁹

The underlying argument of these studies is the instrumental value of inclusive participation, with Purkey arguing that comprehensive buy-in can lead to more effective interventions and "superior outcomes" conducive to long-term reintegration and social stability.⁹⁰ While commensurate material reparations are often impossible to deliver, and expectations around compensation need to be realistic,⁹¹ transitional justice may at least start distributing benefits to victims.⁹² The act of engagement also has value in itself, allowing people to "manifest their inherent worth", reconstruct their identities and exert ownership over post-conflict programming.⁹³ Conversely, the absence of returnee and IDP input may render these mechanisms "entirely ineffective" and "undermine any efforts to promote reintegration, sustainable peace and reconciliation for all concerned".⁹⁴

This debate remains largely theoretical and the literature offers little empirical evidence to test or support its claims, highlighting a research gap that needs to be explored. The few studies that are available include Kenya, where Iyodu concludes that the psychological impact of feeling heard cannot be overstated in the case of refugees;⁹⁵ Liberia, which incorporated refugee and diaspora testimonies as a discrete witness category in its domestic truth and reconciliation process;⁹⁶ and smaller experiments in Timor-Leste, Sierra Leone and Guatemala.⁹⁷ The legal empowerment of 'some' displaced groups in Central America through human rights and vocational training similarly allowed otherwise fringe social actors, such as IDPs, opportunities to leverage peace processes for their own interests, specifically in relation to developmental strategies and institutional reforms.⁹⁸ While the tangible dividends of these campaigns were usually meagre, the experience nevertheless enhanced their agency and provided outlets for pursuing largely overlooked preferences. However, Bradley cautions that reparation issues should not detract attention from wider obstacles to return and "inadvertently generate new tensions that further complicate return and reintegration processes".⁹⁹

⁸⁸ M Bradley (2008) 'Back to Basics: The Condition of Just Refugee Return', *Journal of Refugee Studies* 21 (3) cited in Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes'.

⁸⁹ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes'; Roger Duthie (2013) 'Contributing to Durable Solutions: Transitional Justice and the Integration and Reintegration of Displaced Persons', International Centre for Transitional Justice

⁹⁰ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes'.

⁹¹ Megan Bradley (2012) 'Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

⁹² Roger Duthie (2013) 'Contributing to Durable Solutions: Transitional Justice and the Integration and Reintegration of Displaced Persons', International Centre for Transitional Justice.

⁹³ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes', p. 5.

⁹⁴ Roger Duthie (2013) 'Contributing to Durable Solutions: Transitional Justice and the Integration and Reintegration of Displaced Persons', International Centre for Transitional Justice; Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes'.

⁹⁵ B Iyodu (2011) 'Kenyan Refugees Included in Transitional Justice Processes', *Forced Migration Review*, 38, cited in Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes'.

⁹⁶ A Dabo (2012) 'In The Presence of Absence: Truth Telling and Displacement in Liberia', ICTJ/Brookings, Washington DC; Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes'.

⁹⁷ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) 'Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes'.

⁹⁸ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered'.

⁹⁹ Megan Bradley (2012) 'Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons'.

When used effectively, financial restitution can entice minority groups among others to repatriate, but lessons learned from previous experiences suggest reparations will only have a positive contribution if it responds specifically to “local needs, concerns, conditions and constraints”.¹⁰⁰

Other risks with combining transitional justice and reintegration¹⁰¹ include the inflation of (potentially frustrated) expectations and the propensity of states to co-opt local participation as a “veneer of legitimacy”.¹⁰² Prevailing approaches tend to be top-down and externally imposed by authorities that prioritise operational impediments such as distance rather than addressing the “fundamental questions of capacity, representation, legitimacy and empowerment”.¹⁰³ Attempts to incorporate displaced voices may also become tokenistic if practitioners only seek engagement in implementing not planning and designing programmes.¹⁰⁴

When introducing the mechanics of transitional justice into fragile contexts, it is therefore incumbent on donors and governmental stakeholders to empower individuals and local communities as autonomous agents capable of navigating these processes independently. As McCallin argues, “knowledge is the beginning of participation, which is the beginning of ownership”, indicating the need to build the legal literacy, education and awareness levels of displaced populations through, for example, public legal information campaigns, community legal education projects and community training.¹⁰⁵ By doing so, these strategies can carve space for disadvantaged groups to advance their interests, mobilise efficiently and develop the capabilities to claim their rights in countries of origin.¹⁰⁶

DESIRES OF DISPLACED POPULATIONS AND PARTICIPATORY APPROACHES

Irrespective of the view on UNHCR’s durable solutions, many commentators share the presumption that ‘return’ is, and should be, the aspiration and envisaged end-point for displaced populations. This seemingly ignores the perspectives, preferences and lived realities of those ‘beneficiaries’ such programmes are trying to empower. In contrast, new critical scholarship in refugee studies highlights the limitations of conventional intervention typologies, asserting that the focus on repatriation has “failed to solve the problem of extended exile for the majority of the displaced people in the world”.¹⁰⁷ While ‘return to past’ approaches are now starting to be questioned, the experiences and future prospects of returnees remain under-examined, especially given the funding constraints and short time horizons generally defining donor programming.¹⁰⁸ Bradley argues that in place of ‘turning back the clock’, land restitution and compensation schemes – popular features of reintegration efforts – must be “premised on consultation with the displaced regarding their own preferences and priorities and must take into account the claims and concerns of secondary occupants, who are often displaced

¹⁰⁰ Megan Bradley (2013) *Refugee Repatriation: Justice, Responsibility and Redress*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, p. 175.

¹⁰¹ Megan Bradley (2012) ‘Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons’.

¹⁰² D Taylor (2014) *Victim Participation in Transitional Justice Mechanisms: Real Power or Empty Ritual?* Impunity Watch, Utrecht cited in Anne Lise Purkey (2016) ‘Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes’.

¹⁰³ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) ‘Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes’, p. 18.

¹⁰⁴ Megan Bradley (2012) ‘Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons’.

¹⁰⁵ B McCallin, ‘Restitution and Legal Pluralism in Contexts of Displacement’, Brookings-LSE Project on Internal Displacement, Washington DC, 2012, cited in Anne Lise Purkey (2016) ‘Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes’, p. 21.

¹⁰⁶ Anne Lise Purkey (2016) ‘Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes’.

¹⁰⁷ Yolanda Weima (2017) ‘Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms’, p. 116; Katy Long (2011) ‘Permanent Crises? Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and International Displaced Persons’, *Refugee Studies Centre*, University of Oxford.

¹⁰⁸ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

persons themselves.¹⁰⁹ The evidence suggests a penchant for local integration from both IDPs and refugees, albeit driven by mixed motivations that need to be recognised and embedded into any future approaches.¹¹⁰

'Durable solutions' tend to marginalise the agency of both IDPs and refugees, especially when they pursue organic, locally designed approaches that do not necessarily align with the normative preferences of international stakeholders. Under the rubric of 'repatriation', governments and humanitarian agencies may try to return and anchor the beneficiaries of their programmes in place, essentially casting displaced persons as passive recipients on the assumption they will either be satisfied with the dispensation granted by interventions, or are content to wait in limbo until a 'durable solution' can be delivered. This precludes any consideration of further internal or cross-border movement regardless of the many functions mobility serves including economic engagement, remittance generation, transnational networking, protection and experimental return.¹¹¹

Displaced populations are generally neither static nor immobile but operate as purposive, rational actors. Given the limited space granted for autonomous decision-making, many refugees and IDPs develop improvised coping mechanisms that help maximise family well-being, increase access to livelihoods and services, and expedite alternative strategies outside the formal, heavily bureaucratised and often laborious state-led remedies to displacement.¹¹² These strategies typically draw on networks that predate displacement, indicating a complex circuit of transnational relations and social realities existing outside the interventions of humanitarian agencies.¹¹³ Refugee households, for example, may not depart together but send out the more vulnerable or politically exposed individuals first, or leave members behind to look after property and land.¹¹⁴ Many IDPs in Burundi continued to till their farmlands upcountry during the day and returned to displacement camps in the evening.¹¹⁵

Refugee decision-making is therefore far more complex than traditional 'durable solution' models suggest. Comparisons between the conditions of exile and those in the country of origin, the availability of transnational support networks, remittance infrastructure, the political status of returnees and their eligibility for humanitarian assistance are all factored into their calculus.¹¹⁶ This could result in innovative survival strategies or resistance to repatriation in despite of the pressures exerted by host governments and international donors.¹¹⁷ Drawing on the Burundian experience, an International Refugee Rights Initiatives (IRRI) report states that "pushing large-scale repatriation initiatives in the face of consistent opposition from the refugee population, and in the absence of viable and flexible alternatives, is not just misjudged but ineffective".¹¹⁸ Studies do highlight

¹⁰⁹ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', p. 116.

¹¹⁰ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'.

¹¹¹ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered'; Katy Long (2011) 'Permanent Crises? Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and International Displaced Persons, *Refugee Studies Centre*, University of Oxford.

¹¹² Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*'.

¹¹³ Ibid; Alessandro Monsutti (2008) 'Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 27, Issue 1.

¹¹⁴ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement', *Refugee Studies Centre*, University of Oxford.

¹¹⁵ Achieng, Syprose, Ashebir Solomon, Carolina Cenerini and Alberto di Grazia (2014) *How to Deal with People in Post Displacement – Reintegration: The Welcoming Capacity Approach*, Land and Water Division Working Paper 7, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

¹¹⁶ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*'.

¹¹⁷ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*'.

¹¹⁸ International Refugee Rights Initiative (IRRI) (2013) 'From Refugee to Returnee to Asylum Seeker: Burundian Refugees Struggle to Find Protection in the Great Lakes Region. IRRI, p.10.

exceptions where this pressure has seemingly induced return, for example the locally-led repatriation of 14,000 Somali returnees from Kenya between 2002 and 2003, who were prompted more by their concern about insecurity in refugee camps than by optimism about the conditions inside Somalia.¹¹⁹ But there are few indications that such processes led to sustainable reintegration.

Moreover, Afghanistan, Angola, Liberia and South Sudan all provide examples of 'spontaneous return' that preceded voluntary return schemes and were largely driven by the agency of displaced populations themselves.¹²⁰ While approximately 600,000 refugees fled to neighbouring countries as a result of the Angolan civil war, for instance, around 80 percent had opted to return by 2007.¹²¹ As an organic process working outside of, and dwarfing, the formal, internationally funded repatriation scheme, returnees received no identification, health or humanitarian assistance.¹²² Rather than a linear, one-time process, return can therefore be staggered or cyclical, requiring donors to embed greater financial flexibility into their activities so they can exploit transient "windows of opportunity".¹²³ Without modifications to reflect the dynamism of return, there is a risk that institutional capacities may be outflanked by the "speed and enthusiasm" of refugee-led processes, meaning they cannot be managed in a comprehensive and sustainable way.¹²⁴

That said, refugee-led returns have not always been successful and the results varied depending on local conditions, forcing many participants into secondary displacement.¹²⁵ Nevertheless, even the more critical literature concludes that the creativity displayed by local actors needs to be accommodated across policy responses, as many refugee or IDP-led strategies, such as the "twin tracking of integration and migration", geographically dispersing family members, and remote or temporary return, can potentially reinforce the objectives of 'durable solution' programming.¹²⁶ Analysts suggest integrating participatory approaches more readily across project design and delivery.¹²⁷ Research suggests bottom-up, inclusive methods can help cultivate trust and encourage dialogue in conflict-afflicted areas. The 'Welcome Capacity Approach' in South Sudan, for example, leverages participatory mapping and discussion exercises to build confidence and foster amicable relationships between stakeholders, generating dividends not only from the project's quantifiable outputs but the wider communication process itself.¹²⁸ This created opportunities for practitioners to

¹¹⁹ Laura Hammond (2014) 'History, Overview, Trends and Issues in Major Somali Refugee Displacements in the Near Region (Djibouti, Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda and Yemen). Bildhaan: An International Journal of Somali Studies, 13; Rohwerder Brigitte (2015) 'Refugee Return in Protracted Refugee Situations', GSDRC.

¹²⁰ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

¹²¹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

¹²² Ibid.

¹²³ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'; James Milner (2014) 'Can Global Refugee Policy Leverage Durable Solutions? Lessons from Tanzania's Naturalization of Burundian Refugees'.

¹²⁴ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement', p. 28.

¹²⁵ Belquis Ahmadi and Sadaf Lakhani (2017) 'The Afghan Refugee Crisis in 2016', Peace Brief 220, *United States Institute of Peace*; Patricia Weiss Fagen, (2011) Refugees and IDPs after Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home, Special Report 268, United States Institute of Peace.

¹²⁶ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

¹²⁷ Achieng, Syprose, Ashebir Solomon, Carolina Cenerini and Alberto di Grazia (2014) How to Deal with People in Post Displacement – Reintegration: The Welcoming Capacity Approach, Land and Water Division Working Paper 7, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

¹²⁸ Achieng, Syprose, Ashebir Solomon, Carolina Cenerini and Alberto di Grazia (2014) How to Deal with People in Post Displacement – Reintegration: The Welcoming Capacity Approach, Land and Water Division Working Paper 7, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

navigate contentious issues like land rights, which were previously considered “too sensitive to address” and led to ad hoc, superficial and largely unsustainable responses.¹²⁹ Weima, among others, also argues that greater attention should be paid to the “daily lives and practices of people in exile”.¹³⁰

However, these locally led strategies encounter tensions when they start to challenge the defined legal norms of humanitarian programming. Unregulated mobility “pushes at the boundaries and constraints placed on displaced people by host countries and humanitarian agencies, who perceive it as a denial of a ‘bona fide’ refugee identity”.¹³¹ As Zetter outlines, this independent agency fits uneasily with “notions of quasi-sedentary populations waiting for durable (i.e. permanent solutions)” and resists the obsolete, western-centric parameters of status and of expected behaviour still framing international interventions.¹³² Importantly, the literature has also avoided grappling with existential questions as to how or whether informal and illegal solutions, including undocumented movement, could be accommodated into mainstream intervention approaches.¹³³ The reluctance of states to recognise and protect the rights of migrants arriving through clandestine means raises a wider query over how organic, locally-led initiatives to manage displacement can be integrated into existing refugee policies when they contradict the boundaries of what international stakeholders consider ‘acceptable conduct’.¹³⁴ Granting refugees the right to settle wherever is simply not politically palatable or feasible for most countries of asylum, and many refugees would be at risk of early refoulement if UNHCR were to start advocating for such an approach.¹³⁵ This tension remains unresolved in the literature and requires urgent consideration.

The distance between ‘durable solutions’ and the demands and desires of their apparent beneficiaries makes it important to therefore identify who decides ‘status’, who determines the strategy, and, crucially, whose interests are served by the anticipated objectives and outcomes.¹³⁶

UNPACKING RECIPIENT CATEGORIES

Stakeholders often have a tendency to lump displacement crises, causalities and victims together as an “indiscriminate multitude” when in reality “people displaced by conflict often have quite different motivations for flight”.¹³⁷ The literature highlights the importance of disaggregating categories of displaced people to more accurately delineate their eclectic needs, preferences and expectations, alongside their different understandings of ‘success’ and ‘sustainability’.¹³⁸ Displaced people cannot be treated en masse as a single monolithic cohort. Returnees, IDPs and refugees clearly constitute highly heterogeneous groups whose motivations and capacities diverge according to numerous factors, from lineage and education through to socioeconomic status and exposure to cash economies.¹³⁹ Research similarly highlights several endogenous variants including age, gender and urban-rural livelihoods that must be controlled for. Given these discrepancies, Zetter and Omata both argue it is “unreasonable to anticipate the same adjustment and outcomes from the repatriation of a

¹²⁹ Ibid, 81.

¹³⁰ Yolanda Weima (2017) ‘Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms’, *Transnational Social Review*, p. 116.

¹³¹ Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’, p. 11.

¹³² Ibid, p.11.

¹³³ Megan Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’.

¹³⁴ Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’.

¹³⁵ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

¹³⁶ Megan Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’; Megan Bradley (2012) ‘Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons’, Refugees Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

¹³⁷ Sarah Lischer (2007) ‘Causes and Consequences of Conflict-Induced Displacement’, *Civil Wars*, Vol. 9 No. 2, p. 143.

¹³⁸ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

¹³⁹ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’.

diversified population".¹⁴⁰ In reports disseminated by both UNHCR and the World Bank, analysts similarly conclude:

*different groups of returnees will face different constraints to reintegration depending on factors such as their length of stay in exile, challenges to reclaim property, access or lack thereof to social networks in the country of return, and differences between the educational systems accessed in exile and that in the country of return.*¹⁴¹

Youth

Inter-generational issues typically stem from displaced youths' aspirations for 'modern' – synonymous with 'urban' – lifestyles that are often considered incompatible with the traditional occupations, social relations and conservative cultural moorings of their countries of origin.¹⁴² This disjunction frequently interrupts reintegration efforts but is rarely incorporated into the programmatic logic of interventions despite the disproportionately high number of young refugees. In South Sudan, for example, an estimated 75 percent of returnees were under 18 years old and did not have the same emotional attachment to their nominal homeland as their parents.¹⁴³ New arrivals also had to contend with unfamiliar, low-wage rural vocations, and faced substantial language barriers that disrupted recreational and educational opportunities, leaving many socially isolated and disenfranchised.¹⁴⁴ Similarly, the 1993 cohort of Burundian refugees living in Tanzania faced additional challenges regarding social and economic reintegration when compared to older waves of people displaced in 1972: many were born in camps as second generation refugees, could not speak Kirundi and those that had access to education faced the prospect of a new curriculum in a different language when they returned to Burundi.¹⁴⁵ Understanding how age influences the challenges and experience of 'return' is therefore essential for gauging what activities have 'worked' and what success looks like for different stakeholders.

Gender

The literature included in this review similarly appears to be mostly gender blind.¹⁴⁶ Findings from case studies suggest women generally have fewer socioeconomic opportunities and resources, lower status and influence in generally patriarchal milieus,¹⁴⁷ and negotiate greater difficulties securing livelihoods, accessing housing and advocating for property restoration, land access and welfare.¹⁴⁸

¹⁴⁰ Naohiko Omata (2013) Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 26, Issue 2, p. 277; Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'.

¹⁴¹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement', p.104.

¹⁴² Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'.

¹⁴³ Ibid.

¹⁴⁴ Ibid.

¹⁴⁵ Isabel Ruiz, Melissa Siegel Carlos Vargas-Silva, Forced Up or Down? The Impact of Forced Migration on Social Status (2015) *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 2.

¹⁴⁶ Olajumoke Yacob-Haliso (2016) 'Intersectionality and Durable Solutions for Refugee Women in Africa', *Journal of Peacebuilding and Development*, Volume 11; Rohwerder Brigitte (2015) 'Refugee Return in Protracted Refugee Situations', GSDRC.

¹⁴⁷ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'; Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'; Isabel Ruiz, Melissa Siegel Carlos Vargas-Silva, Forced Up or Down? The Impact of Forced Migration on Social Status (2015); Olajumoke Yacob-Haliso (2016) 'Intersectionality and Durable Solutions for Refugee Women in Africa', *Journal of Peacebuilding and Development*, Volume 11.

¹⁴⁸ Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'; 'The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks,

Transitioning across societal and normative boundaries seems to be particularly detrimental to female agency, with reintegration frequently becoming a vehicle for re-imposing conservative value systems.¹⁴⁹ In Iran, Afghan refugees “now consider it a positive and important development that their daughters and wives were able to breathe more freely in the Iranian cultural environment”, benefiting from girls’ schooling and freedom of movement.¹⁵⁰ Many are therefore reticent to return and risk losing their mobility and opportunities for public participation.¹⁵¹

Ruiz also highlights the malign impact forced migration often has on existing societal structures, which is liable to both sap women’s “social capital at the community level, and decision-making power at the household level”.¹⁵² These dynamics are usually neglected in policy discourse, as are gender-sensitive nuances between different categories of displacement. Female IDPs, for instance, are particularly ill-prepared, disadvantaged and overlooked in the reintegration process, largely because there are few international mandates or modalities specifically addressing the needs of internally displaced populations. In contrast to refugees and returnees, these women rarely receive adequate health care, shelter, emergency assistance or inclusion in longer-term development schemes, exacerbating their existing vulnerabilities.¹⁵³ These challenges need to be appreciated and integrated into interventions where possible, alongside distinct gender-oriented survival strategies such as the leveraging of horizontal networks by widows and divorcees to improve their social and economic prospects.¹⁵⁴

Socioeconomic Differences

There are also huge disparities in opportunities for capital accumulation and the wider socioeconomic position of displaced peoples, which are usually rooted in the circumstances of flight and camp residency.¹⁵⁵ This poses a clear problem to propositions promoted by some humanitarian agencies that frame “repatriation as an unproblematic home-coming for all types of returnee”.¹⁵⁶

While poverty “both restrains and encourages return”,¹⁵⁷ Liberian refugees with affluent demographic profiles on average re-assimilated far more easily in contrast to poorer households, which remained in Ghana for longer and were exposed to greater liabilities.¹⁵⁸ In South Sudan, certain social groups more successfully reintegrated in comparison to others: semi-skilled returnees, for example, experienced acute difficulties adapting to their new living conditions.¹⁵⁹ These variations are usually accentuated over time: protracted displacement may have a substantial impact on the

2017; Jeff Crisp (2010) *Forced Displacement In Africa: Dimensions, Difficulties, And Policy Directions*, Refugee Survey Quarterly, Volume 29, Issue 3.

¹⁴⁹ Nassim Majidi (2010) *Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan*, Remmm.

¹⁵⁰ Ibid.

¹⁵¹ Abbasi-Shavazi, M J and D Glazebrook (2006) ‘Continued Protection, Sustainable Reintegration: Afghan Refugees and Migrants in Iran’, Briefing Paper, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).

¹⁵² Isabel Ruiz, Melissa Siegel Carlos Vargas-Silva, *Forced Up or Down? The Impact of Forced Migration on Social Status* (2015) *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue, p. 198.

¹⁵³ Roberta Cohen (2000) ‘Reintegrating Refugees and Internally Displaced Women’, *On the Record*, Brookings; Faith Osasumwen Olanrewaju, Femi Omotoso and Joshua Olaniyi Alabi (2018) *Datasets on the challenges of forced displacement and coping strategies among displaced women in selected Internally Displaced Persons’ (IDPs)camps in Nigeria*, Data in Brief 20.

¹⁵⁴ Abbasi-Shavazi, M J and D Glazebrook (2006) ‘Continued Protection, Sustainable Reintegration: Afghan Refugees and Migrants in Iran’, Briefing Paper, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).

¹⁵⁵ Naohiko Omata (2013) ‘Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin’, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 26, Issue 2.

¹⁵⁶ Ibid, p.279.

¹⁵⁷ Rohwerder Brigitte (2015) ‘Refugee Return in Protracted Refugee Situations’, GSDRC, p.15.

¹⁵⁸ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’*.

¹⁵⁹ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

depreciation of skills, the consolidation of new economic circuitries and support networks, and the dilution of emotional, familial and societal bonds between returnees and their countries of origin.¹⁶⁰ However, the exact implications of protracted displacement on these variables remain disputed and often context-specific. Ruiz describes how a longer period in exile could increase the propensity of refugees to eventually return by providing time to consolidate their assets and build the necessary capital for funding social assimilation.¹⁶¹ In contrast, other studies imply those individuals or households staying longer in well-established host communities are less likely to voluntarily repatriate, particularly if it means potentially jeopardising their financial security or losing 'location-specific assets' that are not readily transferable.¹⁶² Any solution must therefore be sensitive to these nuances and avoid bundling displaced people together as a single homogenous bloc.¹⁶³

Incidents of protracted displacement are therefore often idiosyncratic, defined by context-specific dynamics, variables and stakeholders. However, many programmes implemented by international agencies are derivatives of generic solutions that fail to account for either the intricacies of their operational environments or the diverse interests of their recipients. According to Omata, elements of UNHCR's repatriation programme of Liberians from Ghana were conducted as large-scale operations with "uniform assistance packages", when in reality refugees required tailored interventions to mitigate the various vulnerabilities different segments of the target population were exposed to.¹⁶⁴ Ensor's investigation into South Sudanese repatriation exposes similar problems, claiming standardised approaches to reintegration were inadequate given the heterogeneity of the returnee population.¹⁶⁵ Any return programme must instead not only consider the granularities of conflict and its political economy in targeted contexts but acquire a detailed understanding of the local history, society and cultural circumstances that condition exile and return.¹⁶⁶

LESSONS AND QUALIFIED EXAMPLES

The practical and conceptual limitations of 'durable solutions' are myriad, and the importance of multi-sectoral, comprehensive solutions and participatory approaches raise serious challenges in the literature. As a result, there is a dearth of credible 'success stories'. While promising indicators can be identified as potential building blocks, alongside failures that should be understood and learnt from, any lessons need to be understood with the appropriate degree of scepticism as a lack of systematic monitoring and evaluation makes the verification of both assumptions and results difficult.¹⁶⁷ Data shortages, insufficient feedback loops,¹⁶⁸ and methodological deficiencies, particularly in terms of tracking programme participants and quantifying the nature of 'integration', are widespread, leaving

¹⁶⁰ Ibid.

¹⁶¹ Isabel Ruiz, Melissa Siegel Carlos Vargas-Silva, *Forced Up or Down? The Impact of Forced Migration on Social Status* (2015) *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 2.

¹⁶² Naohiko Omata (2013) 'Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin'.

¹⁶³ Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages'.

¹⁶⁴ Naohiko Omata (2013) 'Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin', p.278.

¹⁶⁵ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'.

¹⁶⁶ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*; UN (2011) *Durable Solutions: Follow-up to the Secretary General's 2009 Report on Peacebuilding*.

¹⁶⁷ Naohiko Omata and Noriko Takahashi (2018) 'Promoting the Economic Reintegration of Returnees Through Vocational Training: Lessons from Liberia'; Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages'.

¹⁶⁸ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'.

many studies reliant on anecdotal evidence and speculation.¹⁶⁹ Progress has been made in certain respects, such as a Durable Solutions Indicator Library, but further improvement is necessary.¹⁷⁰

Researchers emphasise the importance of distributing assistance holistically, including to host societies, on the basis these efforts help facilitate the inclusion of displaced populations; remediate economic resilience; accelerate poverty reduction efforts; and gradually shorten both the life cycle and resource-consumption of humanitarian aid operations.¹⁷¹ Surging refugee populations typically strain already tight budgets, at least initially, fuelling resentment among locals.¹⁷² However, rather than trying to compensate these recipient communities directly, Lindley and Haslie argue, “a better approach would be to adopt wider development approaches targeting refugee-hosting areas”.¹⁷³ Jacobsen identifies various examples such as Kibanda district in Uganda, where UNHCR distributed roughly 40% of its assistance to “the area surrounding the refugee settlement at Kiryandongo, in order to mitigate possible resentment by the local population”.¹⁷⁴

Similarly, in 2016, for example, the World Bank introduced a concessional multi-year financing model that has been described as a “game-changer”, allowing states to readily improve a “broad range of services, from public infrastructure to health and education”.¹⁷⁵ These grants and loans support vulnerable host communities alongside IDP and refugee populations, helping reduce social friction and stimulate more holistic economic development.¹⁷⁶ They also lend greater weight to external advocacy efforts. In exchange for securing a financial package worth roughly one billion dollars,¹⁷⁷ the World Bank convinced the Jordanian government to allocate work permits to refugees, relax vocational restrictions and enabled them to launch home-based businesses.¹⁷⁸ However, the literature specifies issues deriving from the political incentives of recipient states. Many are reluctant to distribute grant resources to ‘foreigners’ such as refugees, even indirectly, and so the civil and commercial benefits are usually concentrated on host societies.¹⁷⁹ This is problematic as there has been a “near universal absence” of attention at the project-level to the outcomes that World Bank-supported activities may have had for IDPs and returnees in places like Bosnia Herzegovina.¹⁸⁰ Without robust monitoring to track how investments help specific target groups, donors risk creating lax accountability regimes that conflate reintegration with broad-based poverty relief - exercises potentially overlooking the displaced populations they were intended for.

Wider developmental approaches also involve converting migrants into productive economic agents so they can positively engage with the local economy and be perceived as an asset instead of a

¹⁶⁹ UNHCR (2017) *Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2017*.

¹⁷⁰ Ibid.

¹⁷¹ *The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks*, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017; Karen Jacobsen (2002) ‘Can Refugee Benefit the State? Refugee Resources and African Statebuilding’, *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 4

¹⁷² Ibid.

¹⁷³ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, p. 35.

¹⁷⁴ Karen Jacobsen (2002) ‘Can Refugee Benefit the State? Refugee Resources and African Statebuilding’, *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 4, p. 582.

¹⁷⁵ David Miliband (2019) ‘A Battle Plan for the World Bank’, *Foreign Affairs*.

¹⁷⁶ Ibid.

¹⁷⁷ World Bank, ‘Concessional Financing for Refugees and Host Communities in Middle Income Countries’, Case Study, 2016. Available at: <www.pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/802571523387514211/case-study-Financial-Products-concessional-financing-for-refugees-and-host-communities.pdf>.

¹⁷⁸ David Miliband (2019) ‘A Battle Plan for the World Bank’, *Foreign Affairs*.

¹⁷⁹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*; Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

¹⁸⁰ Ibid, p.95.

liability.¹⁸¹ The task, at least in theory, is fairly straightforward: refugee participation in host labour markets needs to increase to both reduce their dependency on long-term aid provision and accelerate their transition from financial burden to net contributor.¹⁸² Nevertheless, programmatic methods for achieving these outcomes remain contested.

Analogies have been drawn with the economics of voluntary migration, where individuals move to environments where their skills can be leveraged more productively, yielding benefits for migrants, host communities and, through remittance flows, countries of origin.¹⁸³ In contrast, there is a tendency for forcibly displaced people to “seek safety first and foremost”, usually leaving them stranded in commercially lagging borderlands with few job opportunities.¹⁸⁴ Participatory approaches that entice and expedite further movement to areas with higher labour demands and more readily available livelihood opportunities may therefore be profitable to all stakeholders, assuming it is managed sensitively.¹⁸⁵ There are various case study examples of positive impact emanating from the injection of human capital, such as the introduction of new skills and progressive attitudes by young returnees in South Sudan, galvanising economic and social development previously ‘halted for decades’.¹⁸⁶ Burundian refugees clustered in Katumba, Mashano and Ulyankulu along Tanzania’s north-eastern periphery are not only self-reliant but contribute to the national economy by paying taxes to district authorities from the sale of various cash crops including tobacco.¹⁸⁷ Flourishing new markets have similarly proliferated across deprived regions of Chad, driven primarily by an influx of refugee populations,¹⁸⁸ and the ‘informal remittance industry’ anchored by refugee camps in Kenya have created “all kinds of spin-offs in the form of telephone companies, banks and courier services”.¹⁸⁹ Importantly, reports cite the need to buttress these dividends by enhancing both the demand and supply sides of economic reintegration, supplementing job creation, livelihood diversification and quick impact projects with incentives to encourage private sector growth.¹⁹⁰ While proponents like the World Bank concede there is rarely a ‘one size fits all’ instrument for promoting labour cohesion, best practice seems to involve a range of financial initiatives from accessible credit lines, risk-pooling mechanisms and matching grants through to lending start-up capital and funding insurance.¹⁹¹

However, these prescriptions so far remain largely speculative and lack corroborating empirical data. Anecdotal evidence from various displacement episodes imply that economic synergies between host communities and refugees can provide an entry point for wider reintegration, but it is clear these activities are insufficient in isolation. Civic contributions from Burundian businesses helped foster good will and public receptivity in Tanzania to the idea of naturalisation, but the process ultimately

¹⁸¹ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks’, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

¹⁸² Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’; Naohiko Omata and Noriko Takahashi (2018) ‘Promoting the Economic Reintegration of Returnees Through Vocational Training: Lessons from Liberia’.

¹⁸³ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks’, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

¹⁸⁴ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks’, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017, p. 6.

¹⁸⁵ Ibid.

¹⁸⁶ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

¹⁸⁷ James Milner (2014) ‘Can Global Refugee Policy Leverage Durable Solutions? Lessons from Tanzania’s Naturalization of Burundian Refugees’.

¹⁸⁸ Oxfam (2012) ‘Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad’, Joint Briefing Paper.

¹⁸⁹ Karen Jacobsen (2002) ‘Can Refugee Benefit the State? Refugee Resources and African Statebuilding’, *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 4, p. 584.

¹⁹⁰ UN (2011) Durable Solutions: Follow-up to the Secretary General’s 2009 Report on Peacebuilding.

¹⁹¹ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks’, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

ran afoul of shifting political currents in Dar es Salaam.¹⁹² Wider questions of citizenship and renegotiating social contracts and concepts of identity appear necessary before any long-term solution can be entertained.¹⁹³ While the skills imported by younger, educated returnees undoubtedly enriched Juba's local industries, any benefits were at least partially constrained by the structural dilapidation of South Sudan.¹⁹⁴ There are also problems from an economic perspective: commercial environments are often fluid, high-paced and volatile, creating sudden shocks that reconfigure host labour markets and lead to sub-optimal outcomes as refugees struggle with a mismatch between their capabilities and available vocational opportunities.¹⁹⁵ It is therefore important to avoid distorting recipient economies by managing demographic influxes and encouraging financial cohesion, with the caveat that these measures cannot unilaterally facilitate reintegration.

Other technocratic reforms have also been questioned by the literature in terms of how far they can actually underpin sustainable reintegration solutions. Vocational training and capacity building programmes, for instance, are a favourite deliverable for donors as they not only produce quantifiable outputs but also embody a linear, market-oriented logic that assumes increasing the skill-sets of returnees will lead to a greater demand for their labour. The World Bank, for example, cites the importance of pushing beyond social welfare provision to proactively invest in public services, technical assistance and job creation schemes.¹⁹⁶ These assertions need to be qualified as many derive from policy reports without any methodological grounding or empirical evidence. The few evaluation studies that are available suggest overall employment conditions and socioeconomic status do generally increase for participants but this is not a silver-bullet and any outcomes are vulnerable to the litany of exogenous shocks characteristic of post-conflict contexts.¹⁹⁷ Crucially, Omata's longitudinal analysis of vocational training in Liberia concludes such programmes are more effective when coupled with the sequential provision of start-up capital and access to credit – components usually lacking in resource-strapped interventions.¹⁹⁸

Caveats have similarly been raised for using cash to build local capacities, rather than in-kind developmental assistance. Distributing money directly to displaced populations emerged as a possibly more efficient and flexible method for sustainable integration as it empowers recipients in deciding how and where to settle.¹⁹⁹ For example, UNHCR programmes in Cambodia, Afghanistan and Timor Leste were all lauded for allocating bursaries, encouraging local agency and allowing refugees to satisfy their own material needs as they "see fit".²⁰⁰ However, many of these interventions encountered problems with transparency, oversight and accountability, especially in 'fragile' environments where extortion, corruption and criminality are rife or there is the spectre of long-term aid dependency.²⁰¹ These perverse incentive structures also disrupt wider integration processes, as

¹⁹² James Milner (2014) 'Can Global Refugee Policy Leverage Durable Solutions? Lessons from Tanzania's Naturalization of Burundian Refugees'.

¹⁹³ Jean-Benoît Falisse, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the 'Uprooted' Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3.

¹⁹⁴ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan'.

¹⁹⁵ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

¹⁹⁶ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

¹⁹⁷ Naohiko Omata and Noriko Takahashi (2018) 'Promoting the Economic Reintegration of Returnees Through Vocational Training: Lessons from Liberia', *Development in Practice*, Volume 28, Issue 8.

¹⁹⁸ Ibid.

¹⁹⁹ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered'; Franses Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 33, Issue 1.

²⁰⁰ Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home', p. 13.

²⁰¹ Ibid.

displaced households can exclusively rely on the largesse of external donors and therefore have little motivation to enmesh themselves in the national economy.²⁰²

New models are now being piloted in areas like Kalobeyi in North Western Kenya, which are not only circulating an experimental voucher currency – “bamba chakula” – but also developing a novel “cash for shelter” initiative.²⁰³ While available accounts are mainly journalistic and not anchored by large sample sizes, anecdotally results appear promising. Within the confines of a single displacement camp, residents receive and spend these grants via text message in selected shops stocking both staple and luxury commodities.²⁰⁴ Aside from mitigating the dependency of refugee economies on donor funding, this model helps preserve some degree of individual autonomy. Instead of being “shuffled into preapproved housing”, new arrivals also acquire a choice in the design and commission of their accommodation using domestic building services paid for by UNHCR.²⁰⁵ Rather than creating an unsustainable reliance on in-kind assistance, these initiatives therefore inject cash into local markets, streamline construction, expand access to credit and help incubate refugee-owned businesses.²⁰⁶

There are of course limitations: it is unclear whether this framework can be scaled up and the camp’s commercial industries remain hermetic and uncompetitive when compared to indigenous companies. Employment still largely revolves around low-paid work with international NGOs, and additional barriers including transport costs constrain any integration into Kenyan society more widely.²⁰⁷ Kalobeyi has also been a fixture in the county since 1992 and is more affluent than satellite villages, making the settlement an attractive economic hub. Such contextual specificities would be hard to replicate elsewhere.²⁰⁸ Nevertheless, it seems to address some of the fallout from Nairobi’s previous “abdication and containment policy” by treating refugee camps as promising commercial ecosystems in their own right, rather than a humanitarian silo and financial burden to be unloaded.²⁰⁹ UNHCR is developing a manual based on the Kalobeyi experience, emphasising the importance of dignity, autonomy and efficiency when trying to integrate displaced populations.²¹⁰

In many respects these participatory projects echo the recommendations from the World Bank and aid agencies by adopting best practice from the development space: facilitating the domestic procurement of goods and services to spur local innovation and preserving the productive potential of displaced persons.²¹¹ The key distinction is they are not anticipating, or advocating, any final ‘return’, and so exercise greater latitude when cultivating economically viable communities that can gradually enmesh themselves in the societal fabric of host states.

However, the lessons and examples outlined in the literature still prioritise the practical delivery of ‘durable solutions’ by assessing interventions through a reductive economic lens, offering a bundle

²⁰² Oxfam (2018) *Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan*, Oxfam Research Report.

²⁰³ Alexander Betts (2018) ‘Refuge, Reformed: Kenya has Found a Way to Make Refugee Camps Benefit Host Communities. Other Countries Should Follow its Lead’, *Foreign Policy*.

²⁰⁴ *Ibid.*

²⁰⁵ *Ibid.*

²⁰⁶ *Ibid.*

²⁰⁷ *Ibid.*

²⁰⁸ *Ibid.*

²⁰⁹ James Milner (2009) *Refugees, the State and the Politics of Asylum in Africa*, Palgrave MacMillan cited in Alexander Betts (2018) ‘Refuge, Reformed: Kenya has Found a Way to Make Refugee Camps Benefit Host Communities. Other Countries Should Follow its Lead’; Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

²¹⁰ Alexander Betts (2018) ‘Refuge, Reformed: Kenya has Found a Way to Make Refugee Camps Benefit Host Communities. Other Countries Should Follow its Lead’.

²¹¹ M Yarnell and A Thomas (2014) ‘Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Somali Refugees in Kenya’, Refugees International; UNHCR (2012) ‘The State of the World’s Refugees: In Search for Solidarity’; The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks’, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

of technocratic reforms while overlooking cultural issues, social currents, identity politics, ideas of citizenship, belonging and other dynamics that influence integration processes in different ways.²¹² While they may now be in a stronger position from a materialistic perspective, Somali refugees in Kenya, for instance, are embedded in a “complex mesh of socio-political relations with the Kenyan public, each other and Somali-Kenyans”, resulting in many not really seeing themselves as members of Kenyan society.²¹³ As Lindley and Haslie conclude, “it is impossible to answer how much of this is due to local discrimination or Somali preference”, but the disconnect does highlight the limitations of commercially-focused interventions that simplify the agency of displaced people and assume they are only driven by economic considerations.²¹⁴

FACTORS UNDERPINNING SUCCESS

While the factors and variables framing displacement experiences are diverse, broad patterns can be discerned that are, at the very least, conducive to ‘successful’ or promising reintegration processes. These are explored in greater detail across the various case studies included in this report, but a selection can be harvested from the wider conceptual literature on reintegration.

Security, Livelihoods and Information

In their comprehensive analysis of ‘durable solutions’, Harild et al not only list security and the usual roster of economic incentives – “adequate services, housing and livelihood opportunities” - as ingredients encouraging sustainable return, but also highlight the importance of accessible information.²¹⁵ Fact-finding missions,²¹⁶ preparatory excursions and ‘look and see’ visits all inform refugee decision-making by helping them directly access the situation on the ground.²¹⁷

As previously mentioned, displaced households often disperse members as a coping mechanism to safeguard family welfare, which usually involves sending individuals back to their countries of origin to scope out local conditions, gauge their expectations and assess the feasibility of eventual return.²¹⁸ This can help develop organic reintegration strategies, designed and owned by refugees themselves, although many continue to face significant barriers in a space dominated by state-centric interests. Collating the perspectives of irregular Salvadoran migrants living in the US, Bradley’s ethnographic work indicates a desire among many to repatriate to El Salvador, either temporarily or permanently. However, the risk of illicitly crossing borders without the necessary documentation “make short-term returns impossible”, especially as family members in country usually depend on remittance streams.²¹⁹ Qualitative evidence from IOM research suggests migrants – both forced and economic – have an interest in returning to their countries of origin as long as they are able to maintain linkages and access to host states.²²⁰ Unfortunately, blocks on transnational migratory flows all too often

²¹² Jeff Crisp (2010) *Forced Displacement In Africa: Dimensions, Difficulties, And Policy Directions*, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 29, Issue 3.

²¹³ Anna Lindley (2011) ‘Between a Protracted and a Crisis Situation: Policy Responses to Somali Refugees in Kenya’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, p. 36.

²¹⁴ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement’, *Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford*, p. 36.

²¹⁵ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*, p. xi.

²¹⁶ Ibid.

²¹⁷ Oxfam (2018) *Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan*, Oxfam Research Report.

²¹⁸ Oxfam (2012) ‘Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad’, *Joint Briefing Paper*; Laura Hammond (2014) ‘History, Overview, Trends and Issues in Major Somali Refugee Displacements in the Near Region (Djibouti, Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda and Yemen)’. *Bildhaan: An International Journal of Somali Studies*, 13.

²¹⁹ M Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4, p.109.

²²⁰ A Fonseca, L Hart, and S Klink (2015) ‘Reintegration: Effective Approaches’, *International Organisation for Migration*.

impede these options. In Iran, for example, Afghan refugees are obliged to surrender their 'amayesh' (identity) cards in exchange for an exit visa, essentially making their journey a one-way trip.²²¹

While government preferences for border controls and strict migratory management are unlikely to change, especially in regions experiencing conflict, studies identify various opportunities for integrating regulated transnational transit in the preliminary stages of reintegration schemes.²²² Where mobility cannot be facilitated, Oxfam argues that international organisations and national authorities should brief prospective returnees – both refugees and IDPs - on the situation in their communities of origin, explaining the available options and their likely consequences, and granting displaced people a free and informed choice.²²³ Cross-border networks can also be used as a conduit for information and intelligence.²²⁴ Similarly, interacting with the diaspora has value in its own right as Lindley argues, "one of the most constructive forms of transnational engagement by Somali refugees in Kenya might quite easily be facilitated – or at least not hindered by policymakers".²²⁵ By readily distributing internal travel permits, "enthusiastic young camp residents" could "observe and participate" in Somali political meetings in Nairobi, strengthening bonds between refugees and their former (or theoretical) homeland, and encouraging a sense of national affiliation.²²⁶

Remittances are central to these arrangements, particularly in the context of informal integration, as it can allow displaced populations to "access documents, pay bribes", save capital and invest in independent commercial ventures.²²⁷ Contact networks can likewise facilitate social reintegration and access to local labour markets. Omata describes the utility of kinship and familial linkages – the most reliable source of assistance - in the initial phase of the transition process.²²⁸ These connections are essential for patrimonial environments like Afghanistan and Somalia, where economic arrangements still depend on patterns of patronage, corruption and nepotism that often define who is eligible for particular jobs or entry into professional industries.²²⁹

Land

Land access seems to be a particularly pernicious barrier to reintegration, with the appropriation of farmland becoming a problem for returnees in countries including Somalia²³⁰ and Afghanistan.²³¹ Victims often lack documentation confirming ownership and face difficulties accessing both formal and informal judicial mechanisms for resolving claims.²³² Importantly, the appropriation of property

²²¹ Abbasi-Shavazi, M J and D Glazebrook (2006) 'Continued Protection, Sustainable Reintegration: Afghan Refugees and Migrants in Iran', Briefing Paper, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).

²²² Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²²³ Oxfam (2018) Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan, Oxfam Research Report.

²²⁴ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²²⁵ Anna Lindley (2011) 'Between a Protracted and a Crisis Situation: Policy Responses to Somali Refugees in Kenya', *Refugee Survey Quarterly* p. 17.

²²⁶ *Ibid*, p. 17.

²²⁷ *Ibid*, p.34.

²²⁸ Naohiko Omata (2013) 'Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin'.

²²⁹ *Ibid*; Patricia Weiss Fagen (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs after Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'; Yolanda Weima (2017) 'Refugee repatriation and ongoing transnationalisms'; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²³⁰ Laura Hammond (2014) 'History, Overview, Trends and Issues in Major Somali Refugee Displacements in the Near Region (Djibouti, Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda and Yemen).

²³¹ Nassim Majidi (2010) Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan, Remmm.

²³² Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

can also accelerate spatial segregation along ethnic and sectarian lines, as evident in Iraq²³³ and Bosnia Herzegovina,²³⁴ transforming the socio-political landscape of societies and blocking opportunities for secure, successful reintegration.²³⁵ As previously noted, these conditions often mean the 'home' of displaced populations no longer exists physically and figuratively. Land issues are ubiquitous across the South Asian case studies explored in this report, but the literature also packages Burundi's 'villagisation' process as a 'success story' for peacebuilding, stabilisation and resolving the problem of displaced, landless populations.²³⁶ Such claims require a more detailed examination given the alleged promise of these methods.

Burundi

Between 2002 and 2010 Burundi reintegrated over 500,000 returnees, an 'extraordinary achievement' considering this total included 473,000 refugees pressured to leave camps in Tanzania such as the '1972 Cohort' - an eclectic group of exiles bearing children that do not speak Kirundi or French.²³⁷ Given the scale and pace of repatriation, and pre-existing strains of population density, land scarcity and post-conflict social fragility, this could in some respects be considered a unique experience.²³⁸ However, there were shared complications with other countries like Sudan and Rwanda, specifically in relation to property disputes: farmland tilled by returnees was often untenable; and a lack of documentation and poorly maintained registries complicated ownership claims.²³⁹ To accommodate this influx, the national government in Gitega launched a series of 'villagisation' schemes, including the 'Village Rurauz Integres' (VRI) programme in 2008, to help facilitate return, offering substitute settlements as hubs for distributing services and establishing viable livelihoods for participants.²⁴⁰ The scope of its objectives required an inter-agency framework involving UNHCR, UNICEF, UNDP and FAO, alongside municipal stakeholders and local authorities, to exploit comparative advantages and align with Burundi's long-term development goals.²⁴¹ In keeping with international best practice, these projects also used a bottom up, inclusive approach to empower representatives of refugees and local communities.²⁴²

However, many of these initial attempts were undermined by poor infrastructure and geographic isolation, with new villages built inaccessibly far from market towns. Later iterations such as the VRIs also struggled with resource shortages as a result of delayed donor funding, accentuating problems

²³³ Patricia Weiss Fagen (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs after Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'.

²³⁴ Gearoid O Tuathail and John O'Loughlin (2009) 'After Ethnic Cleansing: Return Outcomes in Bosnia- Herzegovina a Decade Beyond Way', *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 99, No. 5.

²³⁵ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²³⁶ Devon Curtis (2012) 'The International Peacebuilding Paradox: Power Sharing and Post Conflict Governance in Burundi', *African Affairs* 112; Jean-Benoît Falisse, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the 'Uprooted' Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3.

²³⁷ Greta Zeender and Barbara McCallin (2013) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons in Burundi Within Reach', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 32, Issue 1; J Milner (2014) 'Can Global Refugee Policy Leverage Durable Solutions? Lessons from Tanzania's Naturalization of Burundian Refugees'.

²³⁸ Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'.

²³⁹ Greta Zeender and Barbara McCallin (2013) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons in Burundi Within Reach', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 32, Issue 1; Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 33, Issue 1; Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 33, Issue 1.

²⁴⁰ Jean-Benoît Falisse, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the 'Uprooted' Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3.

²⁴¹ Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages'.

²⁴² Greta Zeender and Barbara McCallin (2013) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons in Burundi Within Reach'.

with land tenure, agricultural production and general “community disharmony”.²⁴³ While improvements to inter-agency partnerships were made compared to previous interventions in the region, which were characterised by a failure to navigate “different cultures, working methods, funding arrangements” and inadequate institutional linkages between humanitarian and development organisations, multilateral cooperation remains a challenge.²⁴⁴

While there was a demand for seed money and start-up capital to accelerate economic diversification in rural areas, the modalities of VRI were similarly flawed as the cash grants distributed under UNDP’s flagship 3x6 Programme were used to satiate recipients’ basic needs rather than investing in sustainable livelihoods.²⁴⁵ The consultative aspects of these activities likewise broke down: host communities largely dismissed VRIs as transplanted refugee camps, creating barriers to long-term reintegration, and preferences of refugees themselves were often overlooked as many no longer had pastoral skills after protracted displacement in urban areas.²⁴⁶ A 2011 evaluation by UNHCR concluded, “the effective reintegration of the population remained precarious” in second-generation villages, and an estimated 95 percent suffered from food security.²⁴⁷ While dominant, donor-led narratives continue to blame inefficient aid coordination, research shows returnees deplored their marginalisation in a process of “top-down social engineering”, contributing to a greater sense of frustration and resentment.²⁴⁸

Crucially, donors ignored lessons from the first tranche of ‘village models’ and analogous experiments such as Rwanda’s ‘Imidigudu strategy’,²⁴⁹ leading to a replication of mistakes and the launch of activities imbued with a sedimentary bias.²⁵⁰ Villagisation was therefore problematic in both conceptual and practical terms. While a 2009 review of the UNHCR mission in Burundi identified broad satisfaction with repatriation processes in rural areas, the durability of its outputs were considered extremely low.²⁵¹ Studies cite the absence of available templates as a possible reason for these limitations, and suggest it may be emblematic of a wider gap in the literature.

There are more reassuring trends in relation to the reintegration of internally displaced populations. Grappling with over 800,000 IDPs, Burundi has managed to return an estimated 90 percent to their homes “under improved security conditions” between 1999 and 2005, although the definition and metrics for ‘improvement’ are generally unspecified.²⁵² Judicial vehicles such as the National Commission for Lands and Other Properties (CNTB) were created to resolve the lack of vacant or productive land plots and seem to be making tentative progress.²⁵³ Zeender and McCallin suggest the clear preference expressed by Burundian IDPs for local integration rather than re-settlement or return to communities of origin could also make these populations perfect candidates for the VRI scheme,

²⁴³ Franses Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) ‘Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi’s Rural Integrated Villages’, p.75.

²⁴⁴ Ibid, p.65.

²⁴⁵ Ibid.

²⁴⁶ Jean-Benoît Falisse, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the ‘Uprooted’ Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3.

²⁴⁷ UNHCR (2011) ‘Mission d’évaluation participative 2011 dans les huit (8) Villages Ruraux Integres (VRI) des provinces de Bururi, Makamba et Rutana, Bujumbura: UNHCR, cited in Jean-Benoît Falisse, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the ‘Uprooted’ Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3, p. 398.

²⁴⁸ Jean-Benoît Falisse, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the ‘Uprooted’ Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3.

²⁴⁹ Ann-Sofie Isaksson (2011) ‘Manipulate the Rural Landscape: Villagisation and Income Generation in Rwanda’, *Journal of African Economies*, 22:3.

²⁵⁰ Franses Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) ‘Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi’s Rural Integrated Villages’.

²⁵¹ Cited in Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) ‘Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home’ pp. 12-13.

²⁵² Greta Zeender and Barbara McCallin (2013) ‘Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons in Burundi Within Reach’.

²⁵³ Ibid.

and so advocate extending the programme to “those who already see the benefits of living in villages”.²⁵⁴ Instead of creating new sites for voluntarily (or forcefully) repatriated refugees, it may be easier to impose the VRI model on existing IDP camps as many already have burgeoning local economies and connections with neighbouring communities. If a genuine participatory approach is adopted, and the intervention is nested within a holistic strategy of national development, peacebuilding and land planning, studies cautiously argue VRIs could help formalise the de facto reintegration of IDP settlements and expedite the evolution of these camps into prosperous commercial hubs.²⁵⁵

While these claims remain speculative, they nevertheless highlight the importance of addressing issues of land insecurity and suggest a modified process of villagisation may help accelerate reintegration in spaces where there are already promising indicators.

Urbanisation

Successful programmes also acknowledge and respond to urbanisation trends, a largely neglected dimension in the literature focusing on displacement. As described in this report, returnee and IDP situations tend to be fluid, with the interests and expectations of stakeholders fluctuating over time. These changes are particularly evident in the preferences of rural refugees, who usually gravitate towards cities and urban hubs in host countries on the assumption they can more readily access public infrastructure, protection and livelihood opportunities.²⁵⁶ In reality, many are reduced to living in crowded slums and informal settlements, competing with destitute local residents for jobs and creating strains on already overstretched welfare services.²⁵⁷ The lack of documentation and relevant skills, insecure tenancies, limited contact networks, and government-imposed restrictions on rights to work raise additional entry barriers to the labour market.²⁵⁸ Nevertheless, various studies argue this is not a temporary phenomenon that will readily subside but may represent a permanent demographic shift.²⁵⁹ Even those households based in densely populated refugee camps experience de facto urbanisation, leading to a depreciation of agricultural skills or diminishing interest in returning to an ‘agro-pastoral’, largely subsistence-based lifestyle.²⁶⁰

This therefore poses a significant problem for conflict-afflicted cities like Juba in South Sudan, which are not capable of absorbing a large influx of returnees.²⁶¹ National and local authorities in similar contexts are usually reticent to lend assistance on the pretext it may entice further IDP and refugee flows or encourage permanent illegal settlement; leading to a lack of municipal investment and disrupting any disaster risk management or formal urban planning.²⁶² International interventions are

²⁵⁴ Ibid, p.96.

²⁵⁵ Ibid.

²⁵⁶ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’; Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’; Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement’, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

²⁵⁷ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

²⁵⁸ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’.

²⁵⁹ Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’; V Metcalfe et al (2011): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Nairobi’, Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, London; V Metcalfe et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul’, Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, London.

²⁶⁰ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

²⁶¹ Marisa Ensor (2013) ‘Displaced Youth’s Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan’.

²⁶² V Metcalfe et al (2011): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Nairobi’, Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, London; V Metcalfe et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul’, Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, London; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015)

similarly restricted from engaging in this arena, either as a result of government proscriptions or the limited experience they can apply to unfamiliar settings.²⁶³ Humanitarian programming traditionally focuses on restoring rural livelihoods rather than helping integrate new city dwellers, requiring a shift to adequately reflect the changing disposition of contemporary displacement situations. Customary 'seeds and tools' packages, for instance, need to be replaced with, or supplemented by, alternative measures such as vocational training to build capacities in urban or peri-urban contexts.²⁶⁴

More broadly, Weiss argues effective initiatives should levy 'innovative strategies' that respond to the needs of disparate groups with different life experiences and expectations coalescing under conditions often involving secondary displacement.²⁶⁵ This could include consolidating partnerships between CSOs and private sector interests; developing creative approach such as "ICT-enabled access to education... digital financial services... and crowdsourcing tools to mobilize resources";²⁶⁶ investing in diverse, non-agricultural livelihoods in rural areas; and strengthening institutional arrangements in cities so they can become "poles of development" linking rural and urban spaces in post-conflict countries.²⁶⁷ However, there are scarce examples of ongoing projects in the literature. Many studies instead identify the problem but preface it in terms of recommendations rather than providing data on initiatives being delivered.

Investing in Local Integration and Empowering Displaced Populations

Those programmes bearing some promising results usually leverage the benefits of local integration, even as a platform for subsequent repatriation in the longer term. As already noted, assimilation into host societies is not necessary an exclusive process, and can often help equip refugees with the education, skills and resources for eventually returning to their countries of origin.²⁶⁸ Cambodian refugees in Thailand were often able to gradually accumulate enough capital, contacts and assets to expedite their reintegration into Cambodian society, purchasing new homes and developing sustainable livelihoods on the back of commercially lucrative transnational networks.²⁶⁹ Ruiz et al's mixed method analysis of Burundian refugee datasets similarly shows those displaced people who felt "part" of host societies were more positive about the idea of migration, including return, suggesting that policies encouraging integration into host countries may be beneficial even in the event of repatriation.²⁷⁰ As Harild et al summarise, this is because "where large scale integration appears to have occurred, the vast majority of refugees may still return home if the conditions are right even after decades in exile". Despite the fluidity of individual preferences and the relatively small sample sizes the study relies on, it nevertheless alludes to the receptivity of many displaced populations to 'return' in some form.²⁷¹ According to polling data, South Sudanese refugees in Sudan and Uganda, Afghans in Iran and various other groups all expressed some interest in the prospect of return, indicating those projects working to build the capabilities and socioeconomic strengths of

Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²⁶³ V Metcalfe et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'.

²⁶⁴ Marisa Ensor (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan',.

²⁶⁵ Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'.

²⁶⁶ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017, p.10.

²⁶⁷ Patricia Fagen Weiss (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home', P. 14.

²⁶⁸ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²⁶⁹ Ibid.

²⁷⁰ Isabel Ruiz, Melissa Siegel Carlos Vargas-Silva, Forced Up or Down? The Impact of Forced Migration on Social Status (2015) Journal of Refugee Studies, Volume 28, Issue 2.

²⁷¹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement', p. xiii.

refugee populations may contribute to an organic, locally led process of incremental return over time.²⁷²

On this basis, research suggests piecemeal strategies such as investing in eligible subgroups, including very long-term refugees and qualified professionals, or gradual approaches to integration through identifying progressive, conditional pathways to fuller legal status, merit exploration.²⁷³ Modest examples are cited such as the easing of work permit requirements, which facilitate integration opportunities that are manageable in the short-term and cater to more durable solutions in the long term.²⁷⁴ Involving refugees in the administrative services and management of displacement camps is as another method of encouraging ownership, particularly with the establishment of municipal-style governance structures to streamline decision-making and devolve authority back to local beneficiaries.²⁷⁵

A corollary is the importance of empowering displaced populations themselves reflecting the multiple strategies often employed by IDPs and returnees, as previously highlighted in this report. Various interventions have tried to accommodate a degree of agency, with at least some anecdotal success stories. In Uganda, for example, a Self-Reliance Strategy (SRS) for South Sudanese refugees has attempted to facilitate interim self-sufficiency without leading to permanent integration or citizenship.²⁷⁶ By enabling access to education, health and other governmental services, granting right-to-work permits, and encouraging displaced populations to trade and engage with host communities, SRS was assessed as a benefit for social cohesion, albeit using fairly broad metrics.²⁷⁷ Crucially, it did not consider local integration as the 'end solution' and so provided a useful platform for strengthening the position of refugees before they eventually repatriated back to South Sudan under their own volition.²⁷⁸

Long similarly argues that return-focused programmes are most impactful when they are combined with other strategies such as "continued transnational relocation" or "dual citizenship".²⁷⁹ This aligns with broader operational lessons documented by Rohwerder's literature review of protracted displacement situations, which suggests effective return involves demand driven community-based projects that "engage both returnees and stayees in participatory planning", and transformative programmes delivered at scale to have impact and durability.²⁸⁰ Typically, these solutions benefit "whole communities".²⁸¹ NGO-backed interventions in Afghanistan during the 1990s incentivised a staggered repatriation process, encouraging refugee labourers to help repair irrigation systems, plant crops and rehabilitate public infrastructure in recipient villages, before the rest of their household

²⁷² Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*.

²⁷³ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

²⁷⁴ Ibid; Alexander Betts (2018) 'Refuge, Reformed: Kenya has Found a Way to Make Refugee Camps Benefit Host Communities. Other Countries Should Follow its Lead', *Foreign Policy*.

²⁷⁵ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

²⁷⁶ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*; Frank Ahimbisibwe (2014) 'The Self Reliance Strategy and Refugee Livelihoods: Evidence from Oruchinga Refugee Settlement, South Western Uganda', *International Research Journal of Social Sciences*

²⁷⁷ Alexander Betts, Imane Chaara, Naohiko Omata and Olivier Sterck (2019) 'Uganda's Self Reliance Model: Does it Work?', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

²⁷⁸ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*.

²⁷⁹ Kate Long (2011) 'Permanent Crisis? Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, p.27.

²⁸⁰ Rohwerder Brigitte (2015) 'Refugee Return in Protracted Refugee Situations', GSDRC, p. 16.

²⁸¹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*.

returned.²⁸² Salaries from the reconstruction work not only provided start-up capital for participants to invest in longer-term livelihoods but also eased tensions with local residents and created a more stable footing for social reintegration.²⁸³

Of course, research suggests there are numerous limitations with locally led initiatives, and practitioners should be cautious about over-romanticising the role of displaced voices in policy-making, irrespective of the authenticity they may lend to the process. Grassroot social support mechanisms used by Somali refugees in camps and urban neighbourhoods across Kenya, for example, may generate ethno-centric 'bubbles' that further segregate them from their host communities. Sharing homes and meals, paying alms and participating in rotating savings and credit associations or "ayuuto", underpin an informal welfare system that helps secure refugee households but provides few incentives for integrating into wider Kenyan society.²⁸⁴ This can encourage a cycle of endogenous dependency, where displaced people become reliant on the assistance of their peers and therefore forgo potentially more sustainable processes of social assimilation. Similarly, participatory action in Central America was successful in terms of "increasing security for refugees" and keeping their interests as relevant issues in national peace processes, but this was less practical as a method of achieving development in communities once they had returned.²⁸⁵ Bradley argues that grassroot mobilisation failed in Guatemala, for instance, to prevent discrimination and impoverishment by the state, undercutting returnee's abilities to advocate for greater justice and equality.²⁸⁶ She also suggests that while undocumented migration and other informal coping strategies functioned as useful stopgaps, they left many unrecognised refugees "feeling trapped", particularly when families became increasingly reliant on remittances.²⁸⁷ In this sense, large scale, unregulated migration may be a condition for both "unlocking and inadvertently perpetuating protracted displacement".²⁸⁸

ROLE OF DIFFERENT STAKEHOLDERS AND THEIR IMPLICATIONS FOR SUCCESS

Given the complex factors determining 'successful' reintegration interventions, the role of various stakeholders need to be understood. As previously referenced in this report, the literature clearly emphasises the importance of comprehensive, multi-sectoral approaches. A spectrum of actors with different expertise and specialisms is therefore necessary for helping maximise comparative advantages and creating a more impactful intervention model, particularly given the spatial and time scales of these processes.

Drawing on numerous interviews with returnees and local practitioners, Oxfam's account of post-conflict fragility in Chad concludes that states are the only actors that can realistically restore authority and the rule of law in their own territory over the longer term.²⁸⁹ Despite the dangers of state-centrism already flagged in this report, the duty of resolving protracted IDP situations similarly appears to lie with governments, which can establish security, accountability and the provision of public services by extending its presence in volatile areas and exercising its writ at a national and local level.²⁹⁰ This includes, for example, empowering judicial mechanisms to effectively adjudicate and

²⁸² Ibid.

²⁸³ Ibid.

²⁸⁴ Anne Lindley and Anita Haslie (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, p. 34.

²⁸⁵ M Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4, p.107.

²⁸⁶ Ibid.

²⁸⁷ M Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', p. 109.

²⁸⁸ Ibid, p. 116.

²⁸⁹ Oxfam (2012) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad', Joint Briefing Paper.

²⁹⁰ Ibid.

enforce decisions over land disputes.²⁹¹ Without the buy-in and political will of both host countries and countries of origin, any additional interventions to facilitate integration from external or subnational stakeholders will likely flounder. The lack of confidence in states' capacities and inclination to protect their citizens is a perennial obstacle for donors and NGOs trying to encourage reintegration as they cannot substitute or reproduce the trust necessary for communities to commit themselves to these processes.²⁹² In this context, the provision of physical and legal security by national agencies is not only a sovereign responsibility but also a precondition for any solutions having a long lasting effect.²⁹³

However, states often do not always have the capacity to provide adequate support for repatriates and IDPs, and outside command economies or the public sector governments are rarely able to directly manufacture jobs and livelihoods.²⁹⁴ Research suggests these material gaps should instead be filled, where possible, by institutional assistance from the UN, humanitarian entities and a mix of other stakeholders.²⁹⁵ There has been a recent shift away from UN-centric orthodoxies in favour of more diverse multilateral interventions to help supplement the technical expertise of UNHCR and strengthen its often under-resourced programming. Coordination between development, rapid response and stabilisation agencies is essential given the scope of displacement and its underlying factors, but this synergy is frequently disrupted by inflexible funding mechanisms that segregate emergency relief from longer-term structural projects.²⁹⁶ NGOs are consequentially starved of cash and left little room to envisage or implement activities orientated around integrative processes.²⁹⁷ Many studies emphasise the difficulties of synchronising and combining projects sponsored by different donors, as they usually have distinct mechanics, priorities and objectives.²⁹⁸ UN analysis similarly suggests many transitional activities trying to facilitate the return of displaced populations are bereft of flexible funding streams; restricting the delivery of targeted services for the preliminary stages of reintegration schemes, weakening the intermediate support between rapid stabilisation projects and longer-term developmental programming.²⁹⁹

To mitigate these concerns, the Office of the UN Secretary General (UNSG) suggests enhancing early partnerships with a range of actors from the initial stages of an intervention, from civil society through to private sector and international financial institutions.³⁰⁰ Private companies, for example, are deemed more efficient in mobilising capital, delivering quick impact projects and investing in economic revival than traditional donors, and can complement the comparative strengths of development actors like UNDP, the World Bank and the UN Peace-Building Fund.³⁰¹ Empowering

²⁹¹ Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages'.

²⁹² Oxfam (2012) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad', Joint Briefing Paper.

²⁹³ Ibid.

²⁹⁴ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²⁹⁵ K Long (2010) 'Home Alone? A Review of the Relationship Between Repatriation, Mobility and Durable Solutions for Refugees. UNHCR, Policy Development Evaluation Services (PDES) Evaluation Report, Geneva.

²⁹⁶ Oxfam (2012) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad', Joint Briefing Paper.

²⁹⁷ Ibid.

²⁹⁸ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

²⁹⁹ UN (2011) Durable Solutions: Follow-up to the Secretary General's 2009 Report on Peacebuilding.

³⁰⁰ Ibid.

³⁰¹ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

municipal authorities and community-based organisations to assume the lead for sectoral responses also helps effectively target programmes, encourage local ownership and internalise context specificities across every strand of the intervention. Likewise, supranational bodies like the African Development Bank, Asia Development Bank and the Council of Europe Development Bank have the assets necessary for funding long-term structural reforms such as “infrastructure reconstruction, economic recovery and the development of social safety nets”.³⁰² However, while Milner and Loescher echo the importance of including a “broader range of political, security and development actors both inside and outside the UN system”, they also flag a number of constraints such as UNHCR’s reassertion of its own “non-political mandate”.³⁰³ Its insistence that states should “play the catalytic role in leveraging solutions and engaging other actors” may precipitate opportunity costs or potentially expose these coalitions to greater levels of politicisation and co-optation if they are primarily led by national governments that are conditions by independent, often contradictory interests.³⁰⁴

Regional entities such as the Intergovernmental Authority on Development (IGAD), Economic Community of West African States (ECOWAS) and the African Union (AU) are also considered important, if underused, stakeholders, with studies suggesting they have a more nuanced understanding of the contextual sensitivities framing displacement crises in their ‘backyard’ that allow for amenable partnerships with the affected member-states.³⁰⁵ Nevertheless, these assertions need to be qualified as commentators rarely provide case study examples for their conclusions and tend to ignore the ethical implications of involving nominally impartial actors that may be impacted by regionalised problems.

Despite the rubric of cross-sectoral inclusivity, these ‘comprehensive’ models also continue to prioritise economic transformation rather than identity, citizenship and reconciliation. In this context, research suggests that informal authority structures such as churches, schools and traditional local mediators also needs to be acknowledged, as they are usually more receptive to the survival strategies of displaced populations themselves.³⁰⁶ Kaun’s 2008 study, for example, suggests Angola’s spontaneous return flows in the 1990s were facilitated by grassroots intermediaries or ‘sobas’, who help re-distribute land to repatriates and resolved community level disputes.³⁰⁷ Churches and religious institutions provided similarly space for returnees and ‘stayees’ to socialise, share experiences and reconcile.³⁰⁸ These unofficial nodes lend a degree of soft power to strengthen local activities, and offer creative entry points for supporting reintegration through existing civic structures. Instead of saturating community mechanisms with cash, external agencies should learn about their roles, sensitivities and needs to “uncover small innovative ways to support them” and help reaffirm the value, dignity and autonomy of people themselves.³⁰⁹

Unfortunately, there were few instances of genuinely multi-agency, comprehensive approaches referenced in the literature that have already been delivered, in part due to a lack of political investment. Sporadic episodes of multilateral coordination surfaced in countries like Afghanistan,

³⁰² UN (2011) *Durable Solutions: Follow-up to the Secretary General’s 2009 Report on Peacebuilding*.

³⁰³ James Milner and Gil Loescher (2011) ‘Responding to Protracted Refugee Situations: Lessons from a Decade of Discussion’, *Forced Migration Policy Briefing 6*, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

³⁰⁴ James Milner and Gil Loescher (2011) ‘Responding to Protracted Refugee Situations: Lessons from a Decade of Discussion’, *Forced Migration Policy Briefing 6*, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford, p. 17.

³⁰⁵ *The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks*, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

³⁰⁶ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*.

³⁰⁷ A Kaun (2008) ‘When Displaced Return: Challenges to Reintegration in Angola’, UNHCR, *New Issues in Refugee Research*, Paper 152, Geneva: UNHCR, cited in Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) *Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement*.

³⁰⁸ *Ibid.*

³⁰⁹ *Ibid.*

but these were generally improvised and did not translate into any wider paradigmatic shifts in how reintegration is achieved.

Central America

Historical interventions in Central America may be a prominent exception to this lacklustre track record, exhibiting at least a nominal attempt to deliver a comprehensive, multi-tiered and inclusive intervention that appreciated the utility of both local and international agencies.³¹⁰ Characterised by an “unprecedented degree” of engagement, funding and diplomatic commitment from the global North, initiatives such as the CIREFCA process (1984-1994) are therefore frequently referenced as archetypal success stories in the literature.³¹¹

By exercising flexibility in how durable solutions were delivered, this multilateralism is widely applauded for helping ‘unlock’ a regional crisis that saw over three million Guatemalans, Salvadorans and Nicaraguans displaced.³¹² While return was undeniably still the preferred option, there was an increasing receptivity to local integration in host states, providing a choice for participants.³¹³ At the international and regional level, the “pursuit of solutions was...characterised by cooperation, innovative...assistance programmes” and quick impact projects, and functioned malleably enough to engage with concurrent episodes of grassroots activism such as the formation of locally led solidarity networks.³¹⁴ As a result, the CIREFCA helped return or locally integrate some 62,000 Nicaraguans, 27,000 Salvadorans and 45,000 Guatemalans, levying US\$422.3 million to “backstop” its initiatives across the region.³¹⁵

Despite its fanfare in the literature and CIREFCA’s acceleration of regional peace agreements however, it is clear the resolution of the underlying causes and consequences framing protracted displacement was not particularly successful in the longer term.³¹⁶ When measured against the relatively narrow technical standard of the Inter Agency Standing Committee’s Framework on Durable Solutions for IDPs, Bradley concludes, “it is clear that the efforts to unlock Central America’s protracted displacement crisis were far from an unblemished success”.³¹⁷ Many programmes accentuated the social and economic disparities between returnees and local communities, or pushed participants into “rigid assistance packages” that almost exclusively focused on land acquisition rather than the idiosyncratic preferences of refugees.³¹⁸ Restitution and re-allocation schemes similarly stalled, precipitating secondary displacement and turning repatriated refugees into IDPs.³¹⁹

The comprehensive multi-sectoral framework was also heavily politicised and bureaucratic, leading to many Central Americans to avoid the system altogether and rely on self-help strategies including “concealment, solidarity” and undocumented migration.³²⁰ Over two million were estimated to have illegally settled in Mexico, the US and Canada alone between 1974 and 1996.³²¹ As Bradley qualifies that if only a small fraction of these irregular migratory streams had been recognised as refugees, the

³¹⁰ Alongside other examples including The Comprehensive Plan of Action for Indo-Chinese Refugees and the Dayton Accords.

³¹¹ M Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4, p. 84.

³¹² Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’.

³¹³ M Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4.

³¹⁴ *Ibid*, p. 84.

³¹⁵ *Ibid*, p. 91.

³¹⁶ Roger Zetter (2011) ‘Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview’.

³¹⁷ Megan Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’, p. 111.

³¹⁸ *Ibid*, p. 106.

³¹⁹ Megan Bradley (2011) ‘Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America’s Success Story Reconsidered’.

³²⁰ *Ibid*, p. 109.

³²¹ *Ibid*.

scale and complexity of regional programming would have 'increased dramatically' and likely distorted CIREFCA's outcomes.³²² Many IDPs in cities and urban centres were eventually re-categorised as 'poor' rather than 'displaced' revealing the vulnerabilities of status designations and the political expediency conditioning humanitarian discourses.³²³ As a result, many of those uprooted by war continued to consider themselves displaced long after the situation was officially declared 'resolved'.³²⁴

The malign legacies of these approaches continue to hamper the region's recovery efforts and general development. Sixteen percent of El Salvador's gross domestic product (GDP) was drawn from remittances in 2009 as local economies were drained of human capital by disproportionately high outflows of educated labour.³²⁵ As Averbuch and Kinoshian document, many immigration experts "believe no amount of aid dollars alone would reduce the incentive for Central Americans to try to get to the United States" as "there are so many other factors that go into people's decisions to leave".³²⁶ While these findings are drawn from a small sample size, they allude to the deleterious realities of a CIREFCA model that failed to ameliorate the original drivers of conflict, from abusive state power to societal inequalities.³²⁷

Despite its successes, reintegration efforts in Central America therefore remained relatively flawed. Multi-sector cooperation at international, regional and local levels produced some dividends but the neglect of displaced populations and host communities ultimately led to disappointing results.³²⁸ Critics argue that the targeted beneficiaries of interventions need to be placed at the centre of economic, social and governmental reforms, a repositioning not always achieved in post-conflict Central America.³²⁹ The direct engagement of NGOs and human rights advocates throughout these activities is another important precondition for ensuring that regional cooperation mechanisms ostensibly intended to advance the wellbeing of refugee and IDPs are not co-opted by "anti-immigrant interests".³³⁰

This represents a problem for other interventions, as initiatives like CIREFCA were able to draw on ample reserves of financial and political support rarely attainable for practitioners today. Efforts to entice similar interest in displacement issues outside the Americas have repeatedly faltered, as the under-funded International Conferences on Assistance to Refugees in Africa (ICARA I and II) indicate.³³¹ But, even with this unprecedented level of investment from stakeholders in the global North, the comprehensive, multi-sectoral approaches leveraged in Central America failed to make a sustainable impact to regional reintegration.

It would therefore appear the importance of participatory methods that centralise the preferences and interests of displaced populations, and their host communities, cannot be overstated. As the literature shows, there has already been significant progress strengthening the macro-level development strategies. Importantly however, the other half of the equation - bottom-up, locally oriented micro-level approaches – remain a gap that needs to be addressed.

³²² Ibid, p. 108.

³²³ Ibid.

³²⁴ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered'.

³²⁵ Ibid.

³²⁶ Maya Averbuch and Sarah Kinoshian 'Pay to Stay? Why US Aid to Central America has Not Eased the Flow of Migrants', *Foreign Policy*, December 2018.

³²⁷ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered'.

³²⁸ Roger Zetter (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview'.

³²⁹ Ibid.

³³⁰ Megan Bradley (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', p. 114.

³³¹ Ibid.

5. AFGHANISTAN AND THE HAZARAS

This case study explores return and reintegration processes in Afghanistan, with particular reference to local Hazara communities. The invasion by US-led coalition forces in 2001 is widely considered a “water-shed moment”,³³² precipitating the return of approximately 5.2 million registered refugees over the next decade, the largest assisted repatriation process in history.³³³ This influx has strained an already an over-burdened government and an exhausted population, with 76 percent of Afghan households surveyed by the Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC) claiming they have been displaced twice, “once into exile and again back to Afghanistan”.³³⁴ The country is suffering from overlapping tensions that intersect and accentuate various land disputes, familial feuds and socio-economic grievances.³³⁵ Decades of conflict, from the Soviet invasion through to the fall and resurgence of the Taliban, have also securitised identities, strengthening parochial forms of affiliation along tribal, ethnic and kinship lines.³³⁶

As a result, the country has been at the front-end of programmes designed to support displaced populations, providing a unique context for assessing the effectiveness of interventions given their scale, multi-lateral disposition and extensive time frames. As a persecuted minority, the Hazara offer a useful lens for understanding these dynamics in more detail, and can help identify where progress is being made.

Given the lack of research documenting the specific experience of the Hazara community, this case study threads an analysis of their reintegration through a wider appraisal of post-2001 programming in Afghanistan.

As a “physically distinctive” ethnic minority practicing a Shi’ite interpretation of Islam in a predominately Sunni populated country, the Hazara have experienced particular difficulties in an already fraught environment.³³⁷ Displacement, economic appropriation, political repression, and concurrent spontaneous and state-led pogroms beleaguered the community since the 16th century,³³⁸ gradually concentrating survivors into relatively small territorial enclaves across Baghlan, Balkh, Daikundi, Ghazni, Herat, Maidan Wardak, Uruzgan, Hazarajat city and the western districts of Kabul.³³⁹ Between 1929 and 1970, Hazaras could not openly reveal their ethnic lineage when applying for citizenship,³⁴⁰ and these strictures only increased under a Pashtun-centric Taliban government in the 1990s.³⁴¹ Human rights violations, forced conversions and extrajudicial killings were all relatively

³³² Matthew Willner-Reid (2017) ‘Afghanistan: Displacement Challenges in a Country on the Move’, Migration Policy Institute. Available at: <www.migrationpolicy.org/article/afghanistan-displacement-challenges-country-move>.

³³³ Afghanistan, Fact Sheet, UNHCR, July 2018.

³³⁴ ‘Going Home to Displacement: Afghanistan’s Returnee-IDPs’, The Invisible Majority Thematic Series. Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, Samuel Hall and Norwegian Refugee Council, 2017.

³³⁵ Christian Dennys and Idrees Zaman (2009) ‘Trends in Local Afghan Conflicts: Synthesis Paper’, Cooperation for Peace and Unity. Available at: <www.cpau.org.af/manimages/publications/Trends_in_local_Afghan_conflicts_Synthesis_June09_Final.pdf>.

³³⁶ Sarabi, Humayun (2006) ‘Politics and Modern History of Hazaras: Sectarian Politics in Afghanistan’, The Fletchers School, Tufts University.

³³⁷ DFAT, ‘Hazaras in Afghanistan’, 18 September 2017. Available at: <www.dfat.gov.au/about-us/publications/Documents/country-information-report-hazaras-thematic.pdf>.

³³⁸ Frank Clements and Ludwig Adamec (2003) *Conflict in Afghanistan: A Historical Encyclopedia*, ABC-CLIO.

³³⁹ L Schuster (2017) Risks on Return of Hazara Asylum Seekers. Anonymised report prepared for Immigration Tribunal, City University of London.

³⁴⁰ Minority Rights Group International, *World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples - Afghanistan : Hazaras*, 2008, available at: <www.refworld.org/docid/49749d693d.html>.

³⁴¹ Ibid.

normalised,³⁴² and the community was frequently subjected to food blockades,³⁴³ well-documented atrocities including the 1989 massacre in Maar-e Sharif³⁴⁴ and various episodes of ethnic cleansing in 1998³⁴⁵, 2000 and 2001.³⁴⁶ In this unstable context thousands of Hazara fled to neighbouring districts or across Afghanistan's borders into Iran and Pakistan, swelling a latent Afghan diaspora composed almost entirely of refugees.³⁴⁷

LOCALLY LED REINTEGRATION EFFORTS

The collapse of the Taliban's Islamic Emirate in 2001 and the implementation of a new constitution in 2004 appeared to "bring a new era of hope" that the Hazara "could escape their historic persecution and rise to a level of equality with other ethnic groups".³⁴⁸ It also created an opportunity for reintegrating displaced households, particularly as the community was granted equal rights and received delegates for Shia constituencies in both the Cabinet and national parliament.

Social and commercial arrangements at the municipal and national level in Afghanistan are still often defined by patterns of patronage, where kinship bonds, familial networks and clientelism mediate economic access, job opportunities and entry into professional circuitries.³⁴⁹ The historical stigmatisation of Hazaras largely precluded their societal integration, at least in part because they did not have the largesse of a benevolent strongman or a viable political elite they could depend on. After their recognition in 2004 as a legitimate ethnic constituency, Hizb-e Wahdat-e Islami Afghanistan, the predominant vehicle for Hazara political demands and aspirations, assumed "modest" influence in the Interim Administration (2001-2002) and provided a platform for high-fliers including Karim Khalili, future Vice President in Karzai's administration, and Muhammad Mohaqiq, future second vice chief executive of the country under President Ghani.³⁵⁰

With new access and stronger networks, the community's position has undoubtedly improved; facilitating the rise of corporative empires and media conglomerates such as Tolo,³⁵¹ and enabling the group to manage the discriminatory tendencies still prevalent in large parts of Afghanistan. Local innovation, commercial profits and appropriated state funding can be better leveraged to

³⁴² Emily Winterbotham (2011) Healing Complexes and Moving Forward in Ghazni Province, AREU, Kabul. Available at: <www.areu.org.af/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/1126E-Legacies-of-Conflict-Ghazni-CS-2011.pdf>.

³⁴³ Annika Frantzell (2011) 'Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western Societies', Thesis, Lund University. Available at: <www.lup.lub.lu.se/student-papers/search/publication/2204122>.

³⁴⁴ Frank Clements and Ludwig Adamec.(2003) Conflict in Afghanistan: An Encyclopedia.

³⁴⁵ Human Rights Watch (1998) 'Afghanistan: The Massacre in Mazar-I Sharif'. Available at: <www.hrw.org/report/1998/11/01/afghanistan-massacre-mazar-i-sharif#>; Human Rights Watch (2001) 'Massacres of Hazaras in Afghanistan'; Available at: <www.hrw.org/report/2001/02/01/massacres-hazaras-afghanistan#>.

³⁴⁶ Frank Clements and Ludwig Adamec.(2003) Conflict in Afghanistan: An Encyclopedia; Emily Winterbotham (2011) Healing Complexes and Moving Forward in Ghazni Province, AREU, Kabul. Available at: <www.areu.org.af/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/1126E-Legacies-of-Conflict-Ghazni-CS-2011.pdf>.

³⁴⁷ Phil Zabriskie (2008) 'Hazaras: Afghanistan's Outsiders.' *National Geographic*; Alpaslan Özerdem & Abdul Hai Sofizada (2006) Sustainable reintegration to returning refugees in post-Taliban Afghanistan: land-related challenges, Conflict, Security & Development, 6:1.

³⁴⁸ Annika Frantzell (2011) 'Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western Societies', p. 33.

³⁴⁹ Schuster, Liza (2017) Risks on Return of Hazara Asylum Seekers. Anonymised report prepared for Immigration Tribunal, City University of London.

³⁵⁰ Annika Frantzell (2011) 'Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western Societies'.

³⁵¹ DFAT, 'Hazaras in Afghanistan', 18 September 2017. Available at: <www.dfat.gov.au/about-us/publications/Documents/country-information-report-hazaras-thematic.pdf>.

compensate for derelict infrastructure in neglected areas like Hazajat, and private institutions including so-called "Hazara universities" help substitute for under-resourced public services.³⁵²

However, these dividends are limited, and local solutions struggle to attract adequate capital and generate isolative trends that further distort locally-led processes of social assimilation.³⁵³ In reality, minority rights under President Karzai arguably remained cosmetic, masking the financial dispensation enjoyed by more powerful ethnic constituencies³⁵⁴ and alluding to a wider problem with the notion of 'Hazara revivalism' in the context of reintegration.³⁵⁵ The Hazara are not a homogenous social bloc and suffer the same intra-communal problems of inequality and corruption as other social groups, only with a much narrower bandwidth given their history of marginalisation and economic exclusion. Political parties, for instance, were often seemingly co-opted as platforms for profligacy and personal rivalries.³⁵⁶ Stakeholders often competed over career opportunities rather empowering, or investing in, Hazara districts, and patronage remained mostly insulated within in a very exclusivist, family-based circuitry orbiting Kabul.³⁵⁷ Crucially this also entrenched the position of an oligopolistic elite, making it difficult for newcomers to enter the Hazara political scene.³⁵⁸

The community was therefore left bereft of clear leadership and political capital, meaning disadvantaged or fringe members, specifically IDPs or refugees, had very little purchasing power or mobility in the Afghan socioeconomic marketplace.³⁵⁹ Since their 'emancipation' in 2001, many of Hazara still lack the necessary social connections to reintegrate successfully or improve their social standing, and consequently become trapped in cycles of poverty or under-employment.

Spontaneous return

As highlighted, migration often assumes trajectories that neither definitive nor linear but function as a "series of (recurrent) multidirectional displacements".³⁶⁰ This is no different in the socio-cultural milieu of Afghanistan where refugee movement has consolidated "genuinely transnational communities" that do not necessarily conform to the conventional typologies applied by donors.³⁶¹ This allows displaced households to spread the risk, creating organic coping strategies for satisfying basic needs, source remittances and accumulate capital for land, housing or commercial investment.³⁶² The concept of a 'finite' transition or fixed destination – underpinning the preferred 'durable solution' - remains anathematic to the Afghan experience.³⁶³ The Hazara continue to exploit "circulatory territories" defined by socio-economic opportunities, security and supranational kinship

³⁵² Schuster, Liza (2017) Risks on Return of Hazara Asylum Seekers. Anonymised report prepared for Immigration Tribunal, City University of London.

³⁵³ Schuster, Liza (2017) Risks on Return of Hazara Asylum Seekers. Anonymised report prepared for Immigration Tribunal, City University of London.

³⁵⁴ Annika Frantzell (2011) 'Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western Societies'.

³⁵⁵ Ibid.

³⁵⁶ Niamatullah Ibrahim (2009) 'The Dissipation of Political Capital Among Afghanistan's Hazaras: 2001-2009', Crisis States Working Papers Series No. 2. London School of Economics.

³⁵⁷ Ibid.

³⁵⁸ Ibid.

³⁵⁹ Ibid.

³⁶⁰ Alessandro Monsutti (2008) 'Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem', Refugee Survey Quarterly, Volume 27, Issue 1, 1 January 2008, p. 65. Available at: <www.doi.org/10.1093/rsg/hdn007
<https://academic.oup.com/rsg/article-abstract/27/1/58/1532871?redirectedFrom=fulltext>>.

³⁶¹ Ibid p. 71.

³⁶² Niels Harild and Christensen, Asger (2010) 'The Development Challenge of Finding Durable Solutions for Refugees and Internally Displaced People', World Development Report Background Note, World Bank.

³⁶³ Abbasi-Shavazi, Mohammad Jalal, Diana Glazebrook, Gholamreza Jamshidiha, Hossein Mahmoudian and Rasoul Sadeghi (2005) 'RETURN TO AFGHANISTAN? A Study of Afghans Living in Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran', Case Study Series, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).

networks,³⁶⁴ reflecting an innovative logic that preceded Afghanistan's many conflicts and features 'spontaneous return' as an integral component.³⁶⁵ Both registered and undocumented Hazara refugees and IDPs were able to tap these contacts and facilitate some form of reintegration, alluding to an independent, locally led societal system existing outside the prescriptive confines of donor funded interventions.

These processes often gravitate towards urban hubs on the assumption economic infrastructure, public services and livelihood opportunities are disproportionately concentrated, and therefore more accessible, in cities and provincial towns.³⁶⁶ District 13 on the western outskirts of Kabul, for example, is a Hazara-dominated enclave accommodating residents from Ghazni, Bamiyan, Ghor, Uruzgan, Wardak and Daikundi displaced from conflict, drought and poverty.³⁶⁷ Many new arrivals are returnees from Iran, crossing the border and sharing accommodation with local relatives.³⁶⁸ The demographic composition of often illegally built neighbourhoods is extremely complex, with high turnover rates and an accumulated blend of different generations - bearing distinct preferences, interests and priorities. Given the fluidity and inherent dislocation underpinning such relationships, informal social protection mechanisms are therefore "on the whole" much weaker in urban settings while concurrent demand for welfare remains high.³⁶⁹

Kabul's population expanded from 2 million in 2001 to 4.5 million in 2010 and is likely to reach 6 million by 2020. This is problematic as the absence of any coordinated urban planning or civic management has led to a proliferation of illicit building-work, creating 'informal' districts that now amount to 80 percent of the city's composition.³⁷⁰ These slums are characterised by a lack of service infrastructure, low quality housing and are over-saturated by an eclectic mix of urban poor, returnees and displaced persons.³⁷¹

As a result, the absorption capacity and strain on community services and social infrastructure in District 13, and Kabul more generally, have reached unsustainable levels.³⁷² Hazara IDPs are particularly vulnerable as newly arriving Hazara from rural provinces face greater competition and acute disadvantages in urban commercial markets.³⁷³ Without suitable skills or access to capital, many risk becoming trapped in the grey economy relying on bonded or low-paid menial labour in

³⁶⁴ Alessandro Monsutti (2008) 'Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem', p. 71.

³⁶⁵ Niels Harild; Christensen, Asger (2010) 'The Development Challenge of Finding Durable Solutions for Refugees and Internally Displaced People'; Belquis Ahmadi and Sadaf Lakhani (2017) 'The Afghan Refugee Crisis in 2016', Peace Brief 220, *United States Institute of Peace*; Patricia Weiss Fagen (2011) Refugees and IDPs after Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home, Special Report 268, *United States Institute of Peace*.

³⁶⁶ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul', Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, London; Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

³⁶⁷ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'.

³⁶⁸ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'; Abbasi-Shavazi, M J and D Glazebrook (2006) 'Continued Protection, Sustainable Reintegration: Afghan Refugees and Migrants in Iran', Briefing Paper, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).

³⁶⁹ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'.

³⁷⁰ 'Protection Problems in Kabul Informal Settlements (KIS)', Danish Refugee Council, October 2012; Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'; Yohannes Gebremedhin (2005) 'Preliminary Assessment of Informal Settlements in Kabul City', USAID/LTERA Project, Kabul. Available at: <www.pdf.semanticscholar.org/f4e8/0cd2f8832ce50bc6442e2885695936a3b283.pdf>; Belquis Ahmadi and Sadaf Lakhani (2017) 'The Afghan Refugee Crisis in 2016'.

³⁷¹ 'Protection Problems in Kabul Informal Settlements (KIS)', Danish Refugee Council; Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

³⁷² Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'.

³⁷³ Ibid.

construction or waste collection to survive.³⁷⁴ Similarly, despite, cities and larger service-economies generally facilitating greater social mobility, those in female-headed households continue to be sidelined into largely domesticated activities including sewing and begging.³⁷⁵ This disparity between vocational opportunities and the expectations of new arrivals feeds into increasingly volatile social stresses.³⁷⁶ Therefore, whilst displaced populations, including the Hazara, develop independent coping strategies that function as resilient stop-gaps, they do not necessarily translate into sustainable forms of reintegration and may disrupt longer-term integration efforts by precipitating unstable second order effects.

In Afghanistan, displacement is generally considered a “temporary phenomenon”; claims tinted by the deleterious presumption “that in time people will return to their rural areas of origin”.³⁷⁷ This has led to significant shortfalls in government investment and inflamed social tensions as local claimants compete for scarce resources.³⁷⁸ Exceptions surface when actors can exploit kinship ties to game the system. In Kabul’s District 7, for example, displaced Hazara arrivals allegedly leveraged contacts in the Ministry of Interior to secure new properties.³⁷⁹ Unfortunately, this influx aggravated relations with surrounding residents who cast the IDPs as illegal squatters occupying land designated for cemeteries and pasture, disrupting any attempt at sustainable social assimilation and increasing the likelihood of secondary displacement.³⁸⁰

Any successful, locally led integration efforts by displaced Hazara communities are often derived more from time and momentum than local agency. For instance, displaced Hazaras in District 7 initially experienced abuse from state security forces as they lacked any land titles and building permits.³⁸¹ This mistreatment was partially mitigated by paying bribes but the city police became increasingly reluctant to intervene as the area grew more densely populated and its inhabitants developed better connections.³⁸² This implies an organic process of re-balancing as a neighbourhood absorbs new tenants, creating a new social equilibrium that stabilises over time and assumes its own independent momentum. While such arrangements may not necessarily ameliorate broader structural problems relating to inadequate investment, regulation and welfare, it does suggest a degree of integration is possible however reluctant local stakeholders may be.

STRUCTURAL IMPEDIMENTS

Wider legislative and policy developments have not yet translated into the robust safeguarding of individual rights, and national authorities lack the resources, and arguably the inclination, to defend their most vulnerable citizens.³⁸³ Afghanistan’s formal justice system is in a “catastrophic state of disrepair”,³⁸⁴ and its security apparatus, political elite and civic institutions are fragmented and often

³⁷⁴UNHCR (2013) ‘Assessment of Livelihood Opportunities for Returnees/Internally Displaced Persons and Host Communities in Afghanistan’, IOM; ‘Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

³⁷⁵ Ibid.

³⁷⁶ Oxfam (2018) *Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan*, Oxfam Research Report.

³⁷⁷ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): *Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul*, p. 16.

³⁷⁸ Yohannes Gebremedhin (2005) ‘Preliminary Assessment of Informal Settlements in Kabul City’.

³⁷⁹ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): *Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul*’.

³⁸⁰ Ibid.

³⁸¹Metcalfe, V et al (2012): *Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul*’.

³⁸² Ibid.

³⁸³ EASO Country of Origin Information Report: Afghanistan: Key Socioeconomic Indicators, State Protection and Mobility in Kabul City, Mazar-e Sharif and Herat City’, August 2017. Available at: <www.easo.europa.eu/sites/default/files/publications/EASO-COI-Afghanistan-IPA-August-2017_0.pdf>; Metcalfe, V et al (2012): *Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul*’.

³⁸⁴ International Crisis Group, ‘Reforming Afghanistan’s Broken Judiciary’, November 2010.

susceptible to corruption, leaving displaced populations susceptible to various abuses.³⁸⁵ These dynamics feed into a climate of unpredictability, condemned in the conceptual literature as detrimental to any reintegration process.

Insecurities persist from a mix of spoilers including a resurgent Taliban, Lashkar-e Jhangvi, Hizb-e Islami, and Islamic State in the Khoran (ISKP). UNAMA catalogued 25 incidents involving the abduction of 224 Hazara civilians in 2015, and further 82 a year later,³⁸⁶ consolidating a collective sense of grievance and encouraging the mobilisation of 'self-defence' ethnic militias.³⁸⁷ Hazaras are also victimised by other Afghan ethnic populations, with latent social tensions re-inflamed by perceptions of the group as the main beneficiaries of political arrangements manufactured after the invasion in 2001.³⁸⁸ While this is not entirely a fallacy given the improvements experienced by some Hazara,³⁸⁹ it is misleading given the group's low pre-2001 baseline, the non-exclusivity of post-Taliban commercial and political dividends and dearth of state funding in areas like Hazarajat.³⁹⁰ Imagined inequalities nevertheless continue to stoke resentment and contribute to the militarisation of ethnicity and the isolation of Hazara neighbourhoods. These challenges raise substantial barriers for Hazara integrative efforts, diminishing the agency and power of displaced actors as they try to implement independent local strategies for assimilating back into Afghan society.

NATIONAL PROGRAMMING

Given the scale of challenges facing refugees and IDPs, a significant proportion of Hazara returnees turn to national and international schemes for potentially more durable reintegration opportunities. While interventions occasionally show promise, their outcomes have been generally meagre so far.

Stakeholders including the Ministry of Return and Reintegration (MoRR) have engaged with these issues; launching a National IDP Policy process in 2012 that was interpreted by donors as an opportunity for developing Afghan-led strategies in conformity with international best practice.³⁹¹ In reality, this appears to be largely cosmetic legislative change, functioning, at least in part, as signalling exercise to entice external funds. As argued by Metcalfe et al "one day they (MoRR) will categorise 100% of residents in one area as IDPs, the next they'll say {the residents} are lying about their status and are criminals."³⁹² Status is often politicised and arbitrarily applied by some Afghan authorities on the basis of ethnic, familial or social linkages, creating complex, highly subjective and

³⁸⁵ Eisa Ayoobi (2018) 'The Afghan Government is Failing to Deliver on its Promises', *Al Jazeera*. Available at: www.aljazeera.com/indepth/opinion/afghan-government-failing-deliver-promises-180910123013055.html; United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA) 'Civilian Deaths from Afghan Conflict in 2018 at Highest Recorded Level', February 2019. Available at: www.unama.unmissions.org/sites/default/files/24_february_2019_-_civilian_deaths_from_afghan_conflict_in_2018_at_highest_recorded_level_-_un_report_english.pdf.

³⁸⁶ United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), 'Afghanistan Annual Report on Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict: 2016', February 2017.

³⁸⁷ Frud Bezhan (2018) 'Under Threat, Kabul's Hazara Make Call to Arms', *Radio Free Europe*. Available at: www.rferl.org/a/under-threat-kabul-s-hazara-make-call-for-arms/29500669.html.

³⁸⁸ 'Country Policy and Information Note: Afghanistan: Hazaras', *Home Office*, UK Government, August 2018; Available at: www.assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/736134/Afghanistan_-_Hazaras_-_CPIN_-_v1.0__August_2018_.pdf; Annika Frantzell (2011) 'Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western; *The Economist*. "Coming up from the bottom." Vol. 382, issue 8516. 17 February 2007.

³⁸⁹ EASO Country of Origin Information Report: Afghanistan: Key Socioeconomic Indicators, State Protection and Mobility in Kabul City, Mazar-e Sharif and Herat City'.

³⁹⁰ DFAT, 'Hazaras in Afghanistan', 18 September 2017; Annika Frantzell (2011) 'Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western'.

³⁹¹ Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

³⁹² Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul', p. 36.

inconsistent spaces to navigate.³⁹³ This is further complicated by the wish to install time limits on those identified as IDPs, in part due to MoRR's nascent funding streams.³⁹⁴ Lacking any unified political representation, Hazaras usually have few options or available contacts to safeguard their interests in a heavily contested policy arena.³⁹⁵

The idea of holistic, multi-faceted activities prescribed as a necessity in the wider literature have been attempted under the auspices of the National Solidarity Programme (NSP), referenced as "one of the most successful" Afghan-led initiatives in this space, providing "community-based assistance to rural communities".³⁹⁶ By allocating block grants to villages for local development packages designed and delivered by elected Community Development Councils (CDCs), interventions not only encouraged buy-in from, but ownership by, recipient populations, and specific provisions were made to include marginalised voices in the planning stages.³⁹⁷ Between 2003 and mid 2014 the NSP established 34,000 CDCs across 387 Afghan districts, including Hazara dominated areas, and sponsored nearly 86,000 projects from a funding pot of \$1.53 billion.³⁹⁸

Feedback from beneficiaries has been largely positive; the programme's comprehensive approach helped mitigate tensions between host communities and returnees, contributing to general improvements across most developmental indicators. Likewise, an "overwhelming majority" of returning refugees and IDPs considered the expansion of local infrastructure, and the associated uptick in short term employment opportunities, as having a valuable impact on their reintegration experience.³⁹⁹

Unfortunately, it appears any improvement in economic welfare was almost exclusively derived from the initial injection of block grant resources rather than the completion of projects, suggesting any positive outcomes were largely unsustainable.⁴⁰⁰ While these funding tranches were able to induce socio-economic activity, its results showed no clear connection to improving social reintegration.

As identified in the literature review, land restitution and property disputes are also pervasive problems for reintegrating displaced populations. Hazaras often lacked documentation to confirm their tenancy and faced difficulties accessing both formal and informal judicial mechanisms for resolving claims. The Land Allocation Scheme (LAS), introduced by MoRR, was designed to help alleviate these pressures by distributing alternative land parcels amongst returnees, and helping foster viable socioeconomic opportunities and replacement livelihoods.⁴⁰¹ Between 2005 and 2013, 13,745 plots were allocated to refugees, and a further 290,000 prepared for applicants, indicating the scale of what were effectively artificial townships.⁴⁰²

Unfortunately, these aspirations were disrupted by a programmatic logic casting return as a "mechanic rather than organic" process.⁴⁰³ As with other examples highlighted in this literature

³⁹³ Ibid.

³⁹⁴ Ibid.

³⁹⁵ Niamatullah Ibrahim (2009) 'The Dissipation of Political Capital Among Afghanistan's Hazaras: 2001-2009', Crisis States Working Papers Series No. 2. London School of Economics.

³⁹⁶ Majidi, Nassim (2010) Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan, Remmm. Available at: <www.journals.openedition.org/remmm/8098>.

³⁹⁷ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

³⁹⁸ Ibid.

³⁹⁹ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement', p. 66.

⁴⁰⁰ Ibid.

⁴⁰¹ Nassim Majidi (2010) 'Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan'.

⁴⁰² Nassim Majidi (2010) 'Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan'.

⁴⁰³ Ibid; Laura Hammond (1999) 'The Discourse of Repatriation', in BLACK Richard and KOSER Khalid (eds), *The End of the Refugee Cycle?: Refugee repatriation and reconstruction*, New York, Oxford, Berghahn Books.

review, this led to the assumption that the allocation of land was synonymous with creating a 'community', overlooking the complex normative dynamics and local agency integral to ideas of social cohesion.⁴⁰⁴ In many respects LAS arguably disrupted integrative opportunities, imposing settlements on host communities without any space for local consultation and creating a state-imposed social 'dichotomy' that accentuated tensions, fragmentation and exclusion.⁴⁰⁵

They have been also been disappointing from a practical perspective. While many participants demanded land, a majority were "too poor" to build houses on it in the absence of concurrent vocational opportunities.⁴⁰⁶ This precipitated a high departure rate of nearly 80 percent in some townships; frustrating the expectations of returnees, donors and policy-makers, and reducing abandoned land plots to areas of long-term speculation and illicit re-sales.⁴⁰⁷ Many of these disillusioned participants were Hazara, encouraged to leave Iran by authorities in Kabul and the UNHCR with messages promising a 'better future in Afghanistan'.⁴⁰⁸ Crucially, the government-sponsored LAS approach also excluded IDPs, who were deemed ineligible for the scheme if they refused to return to their often-insecure province of origin.⁴⁰⁹

Regardless of the challenges tentative lessons can still be drawn, and there is evidence displaced populations prefer living in townships established by returnees themselves. As a 2017 Oxfam report describes, integration, livelihood development and ownership are more visible in locally manufactured settlements, with "people investing more in their future...despite the lack of government or NGO assistance".⁴¹⁰ This suggests the underlying premise of returnee-hubs may be conducive to reintegration if the process is organic and locally directed, lending a framework for state investment to potentially supplement and reinforce. These arrangements are still frustrated by poor incentive structures and the negative externalities of developmental programming. For example, refugees are aware they can access lucrative aid flows from registered IDP camps in the suburbs of Kabul, producing convenient, highly visible focal points for welfare support from international actors unable to reach vulnerable populations elsewhere in the country.⁴¹¹ In this context there is little motivation for families, particularly those from disadvantaged social groups like the Hazara, to break a cycle of aid dependency in favour of precarious opportunities in the Afghan economy.⁴¹² Instead many may opt to enmesh themselves further in the hermetic circuitries of humanitarian relief as a preferred coping mechanism.

INTERNATIONAL PROGRAMMING

Similar issues problems disrupted internationally led interventions, providing few viable outlets for Hazara refugees to engage with, and even less for local IDP populations.⁴¹³ Those interventions that did find traction tended to be concentrated in stable and secure spaces, and were expedited when

⁴⁰⁴ Nassim Majidi (2010) 'Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan'.

⁴⁰⁵ Ibid.

⁴⁰⁶ Ibid; S Reed (2009) 'Land and Property: Challenges and Opportunities for Returnees and Internally Displaced People in Afghanistan', prepared for the Norwegian Refugee Council.

⁴⁰⁷ I MacDonald (2011) 'Landlessness and Insecurity: Obstacles to Reintegration in Afghanistan', MEI-FRS, Washington DC cited in Nassim Majidi (2010) 'Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan'.

⁴⁰⁸ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement'.

⁴⁰⁹ Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

⁴¹⁰ Oxfam (2018) Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan, Oxfam Research Report, p.23.

⁴¹¹ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'.

⁴¹² Oxfam (2018) Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan, Oxfam Research Report; Nassim Majidi (2010) 'Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan'.

⁴¹³ Pete Spink (2004) 'A Closing Window? Are Afghanistan's IDPs being Forgotten?', FMR 21.

assistance was either directly requested by recipients or members of refugee households were able to “scout ahead” on scoping missions.⁴¹⁴

Progress was made through holistic programming conducted by, for example, the Danish Committee for Aid to Afghan Refugees (DACAAR), which adopted a participatory approach with both host communities and returnees in the 1980s. This helped ensure an equitable distribution of targeted, carefully sequenced assistance in “defined and relatively small areas”, achieving a tangible impact in terms of local recovery.⁴¹⁵ Harild et al flag early successes in 1991 amongst Pashtun refugees from Khost, where “totally devastated villages were almost fully rebuilt, the bulk of refugees had returned, and life had returned to something resembling pre-war normalcy” in two years.⁴¹⁶ This may not necessarily be relevant to the Hazara experience given the disjuncture in social standing, at least historically, between the two groups, but similar humanitarian and emergency coverage has been extended to Hazara-dominated provinces such as Ghazni, Balkh and Baghlan.⁴¹⁷ These modalities are embedded in the Citizen’s Charter Afghanistan Project (CCPA), a flagship programme of national authorities in Kabul designed to help low income communities access a basic package of welfare services, leasing it a degree of sustainability. CCPA builds on the CDC infrastructure first installed under the National Solidarity Programme, and has received significant investment from the World Bank including \$127.7 million to strengthen service delivery and “emergency short term employment opportunities through labour-intensive public works”.⁴¹⁸

‘VOLUNTARY’ RETURN

Multilateral interventions like the Solutions Strategy for Afghan Refugees (SSAR), a “quadripartite consultative process” between Afghanistan, Iran, Pakistan and UNHCR launched in 2011, are described in the literature promising regional solutions, at least on paper.⁴¹⁹ Designed as a multi-year initiative, the SSAR offers a comprehensive, bespoke framework for joint programmes: supporting host communities, building the capacities of displaced populations, and coordinating donor resources to maximise comparative advantages.⁴²⁰ Beneficiaries receive a suite of activities ranging from “micro-financing and vocational training in marketable skills” to cash-for-work schemes and the rehabilitation of community infrastructure.⁴²¹ This requires significant transnational cooperation to ensure programmes are sequenced throughout the repatriation process, from host countries such as Iran and Pakistan to communities of origin in Afghanistan. As such, the SSAR’s Quadripartite Steering Committee therefore seems to be a useful mechanism for ensuring a comprehensive approach and synchronising efforts at various levels.⁴²²

Nevertheless, this still arguably fails to adequately factor in the preferences of so-called beneficiaries, and Hazaras have been particularly reluctant to participate in ‘voluntary’ return processes.⁴²³

⁴¹⁴ Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

⁴¹⁵ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’, p. 58.

⁴¹⁶ Ibid, p. 58.

⁴¹⁷ DACAAR, ‘Where We Work’, available at: <www.dacaar.org/functions/wherewework/>; Annika Frantzell (2011) ‘Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western Societies’.

⁴¹⁸ Shubham Chaudhuri (2017) ‘A Roadmap to Reintegrate Displaced and Refugee Afghans’, World Bank. Available at: <www.blogs.worldbank.org/endpovertyinsouthasia/roadmap-reintegrate-displaced-and-refugee-afghans>.

⁴¹⁹ UNHCR, ‘Solutions Strategy for Afghan Refugees: Enhancing Co-Existence through Greater Responsibility Sharing: 2018-2019, October 2018, p. 7.

⁴²⁰ Ibid.

⁴²¹ Ibid, p. 12.

⁴²² Ibid.

⁴²³ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’.

Comprising 43 percent of documented Afghans in Iran, Hazaras account for only 25.6 percent of total UNHCR-assisted returnees – an imbalance stemming from greater economic opportunities abroad, residual identity-based prejudices in Afghanistan and local insecurities.⁴²⁴ Conflict is a perennial concern, with 86 percent of polled “Afghan-Iranians” citing war and physical violence as the most important barriers to repatriation.⁴²⁵ While more recent opinion surveys are scarce, deteriorating stability and the resurgence of militant groups such as the Taliban and ISKP will likely exacerbate these concerns.⁴²⁶ Many express a desire to permanently integrate into host communities; particularly those in Iran.⁴²⁷ Historically, the Hazara also enjoy strong cross-border kinship bonds and religious affiliation with Iran, allowing refugees to leverage these social networks in a bid to acquire work, housing and financial support.⁴²⁸

The preference for local integration is not unanimous across the diaspora, but studies suggest Hazara women, the poorly educated and rural dwellers are all less willing to return for various reasons, from modest livelihood prospects at ‘home’ through to the social emancipation and greater female equality experienced abroad.⁴²⁹ This reticence may be due to a lack of information regarding politico-legal changes in Kabul and the improved standing of Hazaras, at least nominally.⁴³⁰ Accommodating cross-border excursions of refugees to assess conditions in their country of origin may therefore help appease such anxieties. However, this does not address the broader problems of perceived and actual shortages in housing, welfare and staple commodities that continue to deter or delay those contemplating return.⁴³¹ Crucially, many Hazara also believe successful repatriation requires capital and social assets.⁴³² These resources are often accumulated in exile and analogous case studies referenced in the conceptual literature review suggest affluent refugees are generally less likely to jeopardise their position by moving ‘home’.⁴³³

UNHCR has become progressively aware of the need to develop a new paradigm that reflects these social realities. Nevertheless, research clearly demonstrates voluntary repatriation still remains “the preferred durable solution” of both international agencies and national governments, creating plausible cover for states to pursue self-interested agendas under the rubric of humanitarian interventions.⁴³⁴ As such there is a risk such interventions may co-opted to pressure Afghan refugees into returning.⁴³⁵ Since the 1990s, authorities in Tehran have passed legislative measures targeting

⁴²⁴ Diana Glazebrook & Mohammad Jalal Abbasi-Shavazi (2007) Being Neighbors to Imam Reza: Pilgrimage Practices and Return Intentions of Hazara Afghans Living in Mashhad, Iran, *Iranian Studies*, 40:2.

⁴²⁵ Ibid.

⁴²⁶ Patricia Weiss Fagen (2011) ‘Refugees and IDPs after Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home’; Alpaslan Özerdem & Abdul Hai Sofizada (2006) ‘Sustainable reintegration to returning refugees in post-Taliban Afghanistan: land-related challenges’.

⁴²⁷ Abbasi-Shavazi, Mohammad Jalal, Diana Glazebrook, Gholamreza Jamshidiha, Hossein Mahmoudian and Rasoul Sadeghi (2005) ‘RETURN TO AFGHANISTAN? A Study of Afghans Living in Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran’, Case Study Series, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).

⁴²⁸ Alessandro Monsutti, ‘Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem’; Abbasi-Shavazi, M J and D Glazebrook (2006) ‘Continued Protection, Sustainable Reintegration: Afghan Refugees and Migrants in Iran’, Briefing Paper, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).

⁴²⁹ Diana Glazebrook & Mohammad Jalal Abbasi-Shavazi (2007) Being Neighbors to Imam Reza: Pilgrimage Practices and Return Intentions of Hazara Afghans Living in Mashhad, Iran’.

⁴³⁰ Ibid.

⁴³¹ Niels Harild; Christensen, Asger (2010) ‘The Development Challenge of Finding Durable Solutions for Refugees and Internally Displaced People’, World Development Report Background Note, World Bank; Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’.

⁴³² Diana Glazebrook & Mohammad Jalal Abbasi-Shavazi (2007) Being Neighbors to Imam Reza: Pilgrimage Practices and Return Intentions of Hazara Afghans Living in Mashhad, Iran’.

⁴³³ Naohiko Omata (2013) Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 26, Issue 2.

⁴³⁴ Alessandro Monsutti, ‘Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem’.

⁴³⁵ Belquis Ahmadi and Sadaf Lakhani (2017) ‘The Afghan Refugee Crisis in 2016’.

unregistered Afghans, and new restrictive regulations constrain how far documented refugees, including Hazaras, can embed themselves in the economic fabric of their host communities.⁴³⁶ Much like Pakistan, Iranian security services have also launched a series of deportation campaigns, raising questions over the degree of coercion behind those returns labelled spontaneous or voluntary.⁴³⁷ Schmeidl summarises these dynamics by claiming: "the Afghan case painfully demonstrates the problems with resolving protracted displacement where considerations other than refugee protection are at the heart of the activities of international actors".⁴³⁸

Similar issues are evident for Hazara asylum-seekers repatriated back from Europe and Australia, where they are sometimes perceived as "contaminated",⁴³⁹ and subjected to robbery, kidnapping and extortion on the assumption they are receiving some stipend or reintegration assistance.⁴⁴⁰ While evidence suggests recipient populations do not inherently discriminate against returnees, their socioeconomic prospects are contingent on the same patronage base, contact networks and patrimonial configurations conditioning Afghan society more widely. In this context, the history and societal stigmatisation of the Hazara tend to accentuate these challenges, as summarised by Schuster: "A Hazara who is returned to Kabul without social connections is likely to end up destitute, or to be exposed to gross exploitation or criminal predation".⁴⁴¹ These policies therefore raise difficult ethical and practical questions, and the risk of secondary displacement, high levels of debt, low levels of credit and overstretched support networks all imply Afghan refugee repatriation processes face severe difficulties.⁴⁴²

Finally, it is difficult to measure how far repatriation efforts result in successful reintegration, alluding to a wider limitation in effectively verifying and evaluating intervention outputs.⁴⁴³ IOM can only monitor Afghan returnees for twelve months and seemingly has "no strategy in place to use the data they collect for practical purposes such as vulnerability analysis, increasing assistance or protection".⁴⁴⁴ Conventional metrics also fail to account for "recyclers" amongst registered refugee populations, who either exploit aid packages and seasonal vocations with no intention of staying long term, or face such significant difficulties they precipitate "backflows" to Iran and Pakistan.⁴⁴⁵ The porosity of boundaries between voluntary and forced movement is similarly high, with households relocating multiple times for different.⁴⁴⁶ Determining when displacement ends in this context is therefore challenging, making it difficult to identify relatively fluid IDP and refugee populations.⁴⁴⁷ As a result, these monitoring regimes are often compromised practically and methodologically, leaving practitioners with redundant feedback loops and an opaque understanding of what their interventions have actually achieved.

⁴³⁶ Diana Glazebrook & Mohammad Jalal Abbasi-Shavazi (2007) Being 'Neighbors to Imam Reza: Pilgrimage Practices and Return Intentions of Hazara Afghans Living in Mashhad, Iran'.

⁴³⁷ Nasreen Ghufuran (2011) The Role of UNHCR and Afghan Refugees in Pakistan, *Strategic Analysis*, 35:6.

⁴³⁸ S Schmeidl (2009) 'Repatriation to Afghanistan: Durable Solution or Responsibility Shifting?', *Forced Migration Review*, 33, p. 20.

⁴³⁹ Asylos, 'Afghanistan: Situation of Young Male 'Westernised' Returnees to Kabul', August 2017.

⁴⁴⁰ Liza Schuster and Nassim Majidi. 2015. "Deportation Stigma and Re-migration," *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 41: 4; Home Office, 'Afghanistan: Afghans Perceived as Westernised', Country Policy and Information Note, January 2018.

⁴⁴¹ Liza Schuster (2017) Risks on Return of Hazara Asylum Seekers. Anonymised report prepared for Immigration Tribunal, City University of London.

⁴⁴² Ibid.

⁴⁴³ Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

⁴⁴⁴ Oxfam (2018) Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan, Oxfam Research Report, p. 15.

⁴⁴⁵ D Turton and P Marsden (2002) 'Taking Refugees for a Ride? The Politics of Refugee Return to Afghanistan', AREU, Kabul.

⁴⁴⁶ Alessandro Monsutti (2008) 'Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 27, Issue 1.

⁴⁴⁷ Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul'.

TAKEAWAYS

Across certain areas and communities, local integration and efforts to repatriate refugees have achieved traction in various forms, but how far these experiences can be generalised or scaled up remains limited. The Hazaras face particular difficulties in sustaining their locally led coping strategies due to ubiquitous structural barriers and socio-economic discrimination. Unfortunately, national and international programmes have largely failed to compensate for these shortfalls. The nuanced dispositions, interests and anxieties of different individuals and groups often lost in the search for 'durable solutions', and policy prescriptions risk becoming the superficial confections of external donors rather than the nominal beneficiaries. As a result their objectives typically fail to reflect local realities and create, at best, short-term relief. Afghanistan is an excellent example of this trend: its economic absorption capacity is finite,⁴⁴⁸ and full repatriation is therefore "neither feasible nor desirable".⁴⁴⁹ By pursuing these goals 'at all costs', donors and practitioners risk destabilising the country's 'fragile equilibrium' and inducing negative externalities across the region more broadly.⁴⁵⁰

6. MYANMAR/BANGLADESH AND THE ROHINGYAS

CONTEXT

The current crisis began after Rohingya militants attacked border posts in Rakhine State on 9 October 2016, killing nine police officers.⁴⁵¹ Crackdowns began almost immediately, with reports suggesting the military was attacking Muslim civilians and torching villages, as well as blocking food aid deliveries from the UN World Food Programme.⁴⁵² Over the following weeks and months, violence escalated as army raids on Rohingya villages led to mass killings and displacements. Further clashes broke out in August 2017, after the Arakan Rohingya Salvation Army (ARSA) claimed responsibility for attacks on police and army posts.⁴⁵³ The government declared ARSA a terrorist organization and the military mounted a brutal campaign that destroyed hundreds of Rohingya villages and forced seven hundred thousand Rohingya to leave Myanmar. Though the government insisted it was targeting terrorists, it became increasingly clear that there was a concerted effort to shift the Rohingya community out of Myanmar. The scale of the displacement is highlighted by the fact that as of January 2019, there were 911,000 Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh⁴⁵⁴ – mostly around Cox's Bazar in the country's southeast – set against provisional data from Myanmar's official 2014 census, which estimated 1,090,000 people in northern Rakhine that were not counted, most of these likely being Rohingya who refused to be counted as 'Bengali', the term many in the country use for them in reference to their links to the Chittagong district in Bangladesh.⁴⁵⁵

While the current flight of Rohingyas is the largest, it is only the most recent of numerous waves of displacement from Myanmar to Bangladesh and a product of systemic marginalisation and erosion

⁴⁴⁸ Alpaslan Özerdem & Abdul Hai Sofizada (2006) 'Sustainable reintegration to returning refugees in post-Taliban Afghanistan'.

⁴⁴⁹ Alpaslan Özerdem & Abdul Hai Sofizada (2006) 'Sustainable reintegration to returning refugees in post-Taliban Afghanistan', p. 73.

⁴⁵⁰ Alessandro Monsutti, 'Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem'.

⁴⁵¹ BBC, 'Myanmar policemen killed in Rakhine border attack', 9 October 2016

⁴⁵² *Al Jazeera*, 'UN: Food aid for 80,000 Rohingya blocked by Myanmar', 19 October 2016

⁴⁵³ Lone, Wa and Shoon Naing (2017), 'At least 71 killed in Myanmar as Rohingya insurgents stage major attack', *Reuters*, 25 August 2017

⁴⁵⁴ Inter Sector Coordination Group (ISCG), Situation Report Rohingya Refugee Crisis, January 2019, <https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/en/operations/bangladesh/document/situation-report-rohingya-crisis-coxs-bazar-january-2019>

⁴⁵⁵ Department of Population, Ministry of Immigration and Population (2014), 'The Population and Housing Census of Myanmar, 2014: Summary of the Provisional Results

of civil rights.⁴⁵⁶ The Muslim community, especially the Rohingyas, have suffered persecution at the hands of successive governments since General Ne Win seized power following a coup d'état in 1962, and their social and political position has deteriorated on a number of fronts. In contrast to the parliamentary government period (1948–1962), they hold no important political offices. Few, if any Muslims, are found in the higher ranks of the military. Like all Burmese, they are required to carry identification cards stating their religion, which leaves them vulnerable to official discrimination. In recent years, they have often not been allowed to build new mosques, or even repair old ones, and many mosques have been torn down by the authorities, especially in Rakhine State. Unlike other ethnic minorities, including some Muslim groups, the Rohingyas are not recognized as citizens by the Burmese government, but are considered illegal aliens.⁴⁵⁷

The regimes of Ne Win (1962-1988) and the State Law and Order Restoration Council (SLORC)/State Peace and Development Council (SPDC) (1988-2011) enlisted Rakhine Buddhists in attacks on Rohingya communities, and, after evicting the Muslims, allowed the Rakhine Buddhists to occupy their lands. In a 1978 operation called *Naga Min* ('Dragon King'), the military swept through Rohingya areas in search of illegal aliens, forcing between 200,000 and 300,000 Rohingyas to flee to Bangladesh, where they were housed in refugee camps until largely repatriated under UN auspices. In 1991–1992, a similar operation, *Pyi Thaya* ('Clean and Beautiful Nation'), resulted in the flight of 200,000-300,000 Rohingyas.

Over the years, the authorities in Myanmar have announced different identification regimes for the Rohingya, steadily eroding their rights. In 1989, as part of a citizenship verification programme under the 1982 Citizenship Law, the Rohingya handed over the National Registration Cards they had held since 1951 in exchange for Citizenship Scrutiny Cards. However, these were never issued, and in 1995, they received Temporary Registration Certificates (TRCs) which afforded certain rights, including the right to vote, but did not confer citizenship. In 2015, with a national election approaching, the TRCs were revoked, disenfranchising the 797,504 mostly Rohingya people who held them. The election that year, which brought Aung San Suu Kyi and the National League for Democracy (NLD) to power, was the first since 1948 in which the Rohingya could neither vote nor run.⁴⁵⁸

STRUCTURAL IMPEDIMENTS

Even prior to the current cycle of violence, Rakhine State was the least developed of Myanmar's 14 states and regions. It has been characterised by widespread poverty, weak infrastructure and a lack of opportunities for employment and income generation. This is exacerbated by the state's vulnerability to natural disasters, and prolonged internal displacement as a result of communal violence. A survey of living conditions in 2017 estimated that poverty incidence in Rakhine the second-highest in Myanmar at 41.6 percent, compared with the national average of 24.8 percent.⁴⁵⁹ In 2016, it was estimated that approximately 416,000 people were in urgent need of humanitarian assistance, and access to adequate food security, education, healthcare, clean drinking water, and other basic services was poor. For example, Rakhine had the lowest percentage of households with access to improved sanitation in the country (48 percent compared to a national average of 84 percent), as well as the lowest primary school enrolment rate (71.4 percent compared to a national average of 87.7 percent).⁴⁶⁰

⁴⁵⁶ Ibrahim, Azeem (2016), *The Rohingyas: Inside Myanmar's Hidden Genocide*. London: Hurst & Co.

⁴⁵⁷ Seekins, Donald M (2006), *Historical Dictionary of Burma (Myanmar)* Historical Dictionaries of Asia, Oceania and the Middle East, No. 59.

⁴⁵⁸ UNHRC (2018), 'Oral update of the High Commissioner for Human Rights on Situation of human rights of Rohingya people', 3 July 2018, https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session38/Documents/A_HR_38_CRP.2.docx

⁴⁵⁹ Myanmar Ministry of Planning and Finance, World Bank and UNDP (2019), 'Myanmar Living Conditions Survey 2017', June 2019, <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/921021561058201854/pdf/Myanmar-Living-Condition-Survey-2017-Report-3-Poverty-Report.pdf>

⁴⁶⁰ International Organization for Migration (IOM), 'IOM Appeal (Myanmar/Rakhine State) (April 2016-April 2018)', 15 March 2016 <https://www.iom.int/appeal/iom-appeal-myanmar-rakhine-state-april-2016-april-2018>

This widespread poverty, poor infrastructure, and a lack of employment opportunities in Rakhine State have aggravated the cleavage between Buddhists and Rohingyas, and everyday frictions between Muslims and non-Muslims are made worse by government-encouraged rumour-mongering. This has been exacerbated in recent years by a sudden explosion of internet access.⁴⁶¹ In fact, Facebook acknowledged that until 2018, they 'weren't doing enough to help prevent our platform from being used to foment division and incite offline violence'.⁴⁶² The UN fact-finding mission noted that 'Facebook has been a useful instrument for those seeking to spread hate, in a context where, for most users, Facebook is the Internet'.⁴⁶³

Even if the government wished to take action against the military for violations in Rakhine State, it is hamstrung by provisions in the military-drafted 2008 constitution, which ensures that the home, border affairs and defence ministries are headed by a serving military officer. Moreover, it enshrines the military's political role by reserving 25 percent of the seats in Parliament and specifying that several articles of the constitution can only be amended with the approval of more than 75 percent of all representatives, thus handing the military de facto veto power over any amendments.

This complicates any attempts to amend the 1982 Citizenship Law, which stripped the Rohingyas of their citizenship and is seen as the key obstacle to a durable solution. The law restricts citizenship to 135 constitutionally recognised national races and ethnic groups that were settled in the territory comprising Myanmar prior to 1823. The Burmese government claims the Rohingyas are descended from Bengali residents of Chittagong district who migrated into Rakhine after the British annexed it following the first Anglo-Burmese War in 1824–1826, and thus cannot be recognized as a legitimate Burmese ethnic nationality.⁴⁶⁴ A portion of northern Rakhine was a part of British Bengal until 1937. However, Rohingya spokesmen claim their community is descended from Arabs and other migrants who settled on the Rakhine coast in the ninth century CE.⁴⁶⁵ While there is a provision for naturalised citizenship, it requires 'conclusive evidence' that the person's family lived in Myanmar before 1948 as well as fluency in one of the national languages.⁴⁶⁶ Only a handful of Rohingya are able to satisfy these criteria.

There is no indication from the military that its view on the Rohingyas has changed. Following the release of the international fact-finding mission, which accused the military of 'genocidal intent',⁴⁶⁷ Myanmar's army chief Min Aung Hlaing emphasised Myanmar's sovereignty as well as the 1982 Citizenship Law, suggesting that the Rohingyas must undergo scrutiny as per the law.⁴⁶⁸ The government is now offering a new form of identification to the Rohingyas, the national verification card (NVC), which it suggests is 'a first step for the process of citizenship scrutiny'.⁴⁶⁹ However, the card does not allow the selection of 'Rohingya' as an ethnic identity, only 'Bengali', and requires applicants to indicate the date on which they entered Myanmar. This has been criticised as an

⁴⁶¹ In Myanmar, sim cards went from costing over \$1000 under the military junta to as cheap as \$1.60 in 2014, see Strangio, Sebastian (2014), 'Talk is suddenly cheap in Myanmar – and that could be costly', *Christian Science Monitor*, 15 August 2014; Also see *BBC*, 'The country where Facebook posts whipped up hate', 12 September 2018

⁴⁶² Facebook Newsroom (2018), 'An Independent Assessment of the Human Rights Impact of Facebook in Myanmar', 5 November 2018

⁴⁶³ UNHRC, 'Report of the independent international fact-finding mission on Myanmar', 12 September 2018, p. 14, https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/HRBodies/HRCouncil/FFM-Myanmar/A_HRC_39_64.pdf

⁴⁶⁴ Chan, Aye (2005), 'The Development of a Muslim Enclave in Arakan (Rakhine) State of Burma (Myanmar)', *SOAS Bulletin of Burma Research*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 396-420

⁴⁶⁵ Holloway, Kerrie and Lilianne Fan (2018), 'Dignity and the Displaced Rohingya in Bangladesh: 'Ijot Is A Huge Thing in This World'', Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, August 2018, p. 5

⁴⁶⁶ Aung, Tun Tun (2007), 'An Introduction to Citizenship Card under Myanmar Citizenship Law', *Journal of the Study of Modern Society and Culture*, Vol. 38, p. 276 http://dSPACE.lib.niigata-u.ac.jp/dspace/bitstream/10191/6399/1/01_0053.pdf

⁴⁶⁷ UN Human Rights Council (UNHRC), 'Report of the independent international fact-finding mission on Myanmar', 12 September 2018, p. 16, https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/HRBodies/HRCouncil/FFM-Myanmar/A_HRC_39_64.pdf

⁴⁶⁸ *Reuters* (2018), 'Myanmar army chief says 'no right to interfere' as U.N. weighs Rohingya crisis', 24 September 2018

⁴⁶⁹ State Councillor's Office (2017), Republic of the Union of Myanmar, National Verification Card-NVC Process Stepped Up In Maungtaw', 6 November 2017, <https://www.statecounsellor.gov.mm/en/node/1233>

attempt to formalise the status of the Rohingya community as outsiders and to reinforce barriers towards reintegration.⁴⁷⁰

As noted earlier, Oxfam's account of post-conflict fragility in Chad found that states are the only actors that can restore authority and the rule of law in their own territory over the longer term.⁴⁷¹ In Myanmar, owing to the large role played by the military in both governance and security provision, this is a key factor. The challenge here, however, is less about state capacity and more about political will. Even though Rakhine State as a whole is one of the poorest in the country, the Rohingya crisis itself stems from issues around ethnicity and a perceived security threat from Rohingya militant groups like ARSA, though there are contesting accounts of the group's size and capabilities.⁴⁷² Therefore, without a change in behaviour from the government, external interventions aimed at facilitating return and reintegration are unlikely to succeed, as international organisations and NGOs cannot substitute or reproduce the trust necessary for communities to commit themselves to reintegration.⁴⁷³ This is compounded by the fact that the operational space for international NGOs in Rakhine State is often restricted.⁴⁷⁴

REPATRIATION

In November 2017, Myanmar and Bangladesh signed the Arrangement on Return of Displaced Persons from Rakhine State, intended to facilitate the return of those Rohingya refugees who had been displaced as part of the latest conflict. The arrangement recognised 'the need for sustainable and durable solutions' and of 'voluntary return in safety, security and dignity'.⁴⁷⁵ However, in his July 2018 oral update on the situation of human rights of the Rohingya people, the UN High Commissioner for Human Rights noted that of the 8,032 names given by the government of Bangladesh to the government of Myanmar for verification and return, none were consulted on the process, nor did they apply for voluntary return to Myanmar. Moreover, Myanmar had only verified 1,387 names.⁴⁷⁶

Though preparations were being made in November 2018 for the repatriation of an initial batch of 2,260 Rohingya to Myanmar under the above arrangement, the plan stalled after it was opposed by the Rohingya in the camps in Bangladesh, UNHCR and aid groups, who remained unconvinced as there were no guarantees of safety, citizenship, land rights and freedom of movement considered essential for repatriation to begin.⁴⁷⁷ The situation had not changed in August 2019,⁴⁷⁸ with Myanmar and Bangladesh blaming each other for the inaction.⁴⁷⁹ UNHCR has stated that the conditions in

⁴⁷⁰ UNHRC (2019), 'Situation of human rights of Rohingya in Rakhine State, Myanmar; Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights', 11 March 2019, https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session40/Documents/A_HRC_40_37.docx

⁴⁷¹ Oxfam (2012) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad', Joint Briefing Paper.

⁴⁷² International Crisis Group (2016), 'Myanmar: A New Muslim Insurgency in Rakhine State', 15 December 2016; Fair, C Christine (2018), 'Arakan Rohingya Salvation Army: Not the Jihadis You Might Expect', *Lawfare*, 9 December 2018

⁴⁷³ Oxfam (2012) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad', Joint Briefing Paper.

⁴⁷⁴ Maw, Zeyar (2019), 'Rakhine State government bans NGO visits to conflict areas', *Mizzima*, 12 January 2019; International Rescue Committee (2017), 'International NGOs call for immediate humanitarian access in Rakhine State', 27 September 2017, <https://www.rescue.org/press-release/international-ngos-call-immediate-humanitarian-access-rakhine-state>

⁴⁷⁵ Arrangement on Return of Displaced Persons from Rakhine State between the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh and the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, 23 November 2017, http://www.theindependentbd.com/assets/images/banner/linked_file/20171125094240.pdf

⁴⁷⁶ UNHRC, 'Oral update of the High Commissioner for Human Rights on Situation of human rights of Rohingya people', 3 July 2018, https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session38/Documents/A_HR_38_CRP.2.docx

⁴⁷⁷ Alsaafin, Linah (2018), 'Rohingya crisis: One year on, do they want to return to Myanmar?', *Al Jazeera*, 25 August 2018; McPherson, Popy (2018), 'U.N. will not help Myanmar with long-term camps for Rohingya – document', *Reuters*, 13 November 2018

⁴⁷⁸ Ellis-Petersen, Hannah and Shaikh Azizur Rahman (2019), 'Rohingya refugees refuse to return to Myanmar without rights guarantee', *The Guardian*, 21 August 2019

⁴⁷⁹ Ministry of Information, Republic of the Union of Myanmar, 'Repatriation of Displaced Persons from Bangladesh did not occur as scheduled', 23 August 2019, <https://www.moi.gov.mm/moi:eng/?q=announcement/23/08/2019/id-18845;>

Myanmar were not conducive for returns to be 'safe, dignified, and sustainable', and stressed that the responsibility for creating such conditions remains with the Myanmar authorities and that it entails more than preparation of physical infrastructure to facilitate logistical arrangements.⁴⁸⁰

In fact, Burmese government officials have said that many of those proposed for repatriation were 'involved in terrorism' and that 'if they are sent back to Myanmar, we have to take action against them according to the law'.⁴⁸¹ Given the sweeping nature of such allegations against members of the Rohingya community, these statements are only likely to diminish the prospects of repatriation.

The problematic nature of the agreement, drafted without input from the affected community, the UN or UNHCR, and containing no enforcement mechanism to ensure compliance from the government of Myanmar following the return of refugees, was reflected in the Bangladesh Refugee Commissioner's acknowledgement that no one was willing to return and that officials could not force people to do so.⁴⁸²

There was also concern that the reception centres for returning refugees in Taung Pyo Letwe and Nga Khu Yar, intended to be temporary, may become permanent, and that they will be unable to process the number of people displaced. Reportedly, the two centres are able to process 300 returnees a day, five days a week, vastly insufficient given that there are now over 900,000 Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh.⁴⁸³ These fears are exacerbated by conditions in existing IDP camps in central Rakhine State, which host over 128,000 people displaced following communal violence in 2012.⁴⁸⁴ While Rakhine Buddhists displaced by that episode of violence have been permitted to return to their places of origin or have been relocated to resettlement sites, and although some camps housing Muslims have been closed since 2012, the remaining Muslim IDPs have been confined to camps for six years. In April 2018, the Assistant Secretary-General for Humanitarian Affairs described the conditions of these camps as being 'beyond the dignity of any people', without any freedom of movement, access to sufficient food, adequate health care, education and livelihoods.⁴⁸⁵

Prospects of the Rohingya ever returning to their places of origin are also dropping, as the areas where they lived in Rakhine State before displacement are being transformed. The villages in which the Rohingya resided were burned, flattened and scraped by bulldozers, and hundreds of new houses are being built, occupied mainly by Buddhists, some from other parts of Rakhine. The security forces are also building new facilities in these areas.⁴⁸⁶ Myanmar's Social Welfare Minister Win Myat Aye confirmed fears that the villages left behind by Rohingyas who fled to Bangladesh would not be returned to them, stating that 'burnt land becomes government-managed land'.⁴⁸⁷ Further, an official

Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Government of Bangladesh, 'In view of Myanmar's repeated claim that they are prepared to receive the displaced persons and the recent interactions of a high-level delegation from Myanmar with the representatives of displaced people at camps in Cox's Bazar on 27-28 July 2019 to convince the displaced people to return, Bangladesh agreed to facilitate the commencement of repatriation on 22 August 2019', 25 August 2019, https://mofa.gov.bd/site/press_release/9a082f55-d146-4af9-a403-9a6a3063d73a

⁴⁸⁰ UNHCR, Bangladesh, UNHCR agree on voluntary returns framework when Rohingya refugees decide conditions are right', 13 April 2018, <https://www.unhcr.ca/news/bangladesh-unhcr-voluntary-returns-rohingya-refugees/>

⁴⁸¹ *Radio Free Asia* (2018), Dozens of 'Terrorists' Among Rohingyas Slated For Repatriation, Myanmar Official Says', 8 November 2018

⁴⁸² Alam, Julhas and Emily Schmall (2018), 'Bangladesh scraps Rohingya return, says no one wants to go', *AP News*, 16 November 2018

⁴⁸³ ISCG, Situation Report Rohingya Refugee Crisis, January 2019, <https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/en/operations/bangladesh/document/situation-report-rohingya-crisis-coxs-bazar-january-2019>

⁴⁸⁴ *BBC*, 'Burma violence: 20,000 displaced in Rakhine state', 28 October 2012

⁴⁸⁵ UNHCR, 'Oral update of the High Commissioner for Human Rights on Situation of human rights of Rohingya people', 3 July 2018, https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session38/Documents/A_HR_38_CRP.2.docx

⁴⁸⁶ McPherson, Poppy, Simon Lewis, Thu Thu Aung, Shoon Naing and Zeba Siddiqui (2018), 'Erasing The Rohingya: Point of No Return', *Reuters Investigates*, 18 December 2018; Australian Strategic Policy Institute (2019), 'Mapping conditions in Rakhine State', 24 July 2019, <https://pageflow.aspi.org.au/rakhine-state#211793>

⁴⁸⁷ Lewis, Simon (2017), 'Government will take over burned Myanmar land – minister', *Reuters*, 27 September 2017

at the Ministry of Agriculture, Livestock and Irrigation indicated that since Rohingya aren't citizens in Myanmar, they would have to negotiate with local authorities to recover their land or receive compensation.⁴⁸⁸

Repatriation was undertaken following the previous two mass displacements as well, in 1978 and 1992. Unlike in the current case, steps were taken at the time to force refugees to return to Myanmar. Even in 1978, the refugees were reluctant to return as they felt they had not received any solid guarantees from the Burmese government that they would not face the same kind of persecution once they returned. However, serious shortages and delays in food delivery as well as restrictions that confined the refugees to the camps exerted pressure on the refugees to make them go back.⁴⁸⁹ Similarly, in 1992, while UNHCR persuaded the Bangladeshi authorities to limit restrictions on the provision of assistance, it agreed in May 1993 to 'assist in the smooth repatriation of refugees who opt to return on the basis of their own judgement' as well as 'undertake promotional activities to motivate refugees to return home'. By August 1994, following MoUs with the governments of Bangladesh and Myanmar, UNHCR embarked on a mass repatriation registration exercise, with the onus being on refugees to decline to register if they did not wish to return in spite of civil society apprehension that refugees were not being provided information that they needed to make an informed choice.⁴⁹⁰

While a repeat of the above events appears unlikely, the previous cases serve to highlight the pitfalls of focusing on repatriation as an end goal, as discussed in the conceptual section, without the willingness to ensure sustainable reintegration, which would require significantly more investment in resources as well as time. Further, Human Rights Watch has noted that there will be no durable solution to the Rohingya refugee problem until Myanmar complies with its obligations under international law and respects the basic rights of its Rohingya minority. To this end, it recommends that the international community, including the UN and the Association of South East Asian Nations (ASEAN), must press the Burmese government to undertake fundamental reforms in its treatment of the Rohingya, and also supply the funds necessary to ensure conditions for full reintegration.⁴⁹¹

LOCAL INTEGRATION IN BANGLADESH

Bangladesh has repeatedly promoted repatriation and resettlement as a long-term solution, as opposed to local integration, which implies a sense of permanence. While Bangladesh has been an able host and has been cooperative in the provision of humanitarian assistance, the rapid influx of refugees has stretched resources and capacity in the country. To prevent integration, the government has prohibited registrars from officiating marriages with Bangladeshi nationals and between Rohingya couples, arguing that they were being abused to obtain Bangladeshi citizenship.⁴⁹² The government of Bangladesh bars formal education in the Rohingya camps,⁴⁹³ and ensures that children are not taught Bangla, limiting their ability to interact with locals.⁴⁹⁴ UNICEF learning centres

⁴⁸⁸ McPherson, Poppy, Simon Lewis, Thu Thu Aung, Shoon Naing and Zeba Siddiqui (2018), 'Erasing The Rohingya: Point of No Return', *Reuters Investigates*, 18 December 2018

⁴⁸⁹ Lindquist, Alan C. (1979), 'Report on the 1978-79 Bangladesh Refugee Relief Operation', Institute for Development Studies, University of Sussex, June 1979, http://www.ibiblio.org/obl/docs/LINDQUIST_REPORT.htm

⁴⁹⁰ Abrar, CR (1995), 'Repatriation of Rohingya refugees', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford; Crisp, Jeff (2018), 'Primitive people': the untold story of UNHCR's historical engagement with Rohingya refugees', *Humanitarian Practice Network*, October 2018, <https://odihpn.org/magazine/primitive-people-the-untold-story-of-unhcrs-historical-engagement-with-rohingya-refugees/>

⁴⁹¹ Human Rights Watch (2000), 'Burma/Bangladesh - Burmese Refugees in Bangladesh: Still No Durable Solution', May 2000, https://www.hrw.org/reports/2000/burma/burm005-05.htm#P262_83426

⁴⁹² BBC (2018), 'Bangladesh court upholds Myanmar Rohingya marriage ban', 8 January 2018

⁴⁹³ Patinkin, Jason (2018), 'A lost generation: No education, no dreams for Rohingya refugee children', *The New Humanitarian*, 28 May 2018; McPherson, Poppy and Ruma Paul (2019), 'Rohingya 'lost generation' struggle to study in Bangladesh camps', *Reuters*, 18 March 2019

⁴⁹⁴ Mahmud, Tarek (2018), 'Repatriation delay jeopardizes future of 100,000 Rohingya schoolchildren', *Dhaka Tribune*, 18 February 2018

provide education in basic literacy, numeracy and life skills, in addition to the English and Burmese languages.⁴⁹⁵ Overcrowding – in what is now the world’s largest refugee camp – as well as increased competition for resources has also resulted in a gradual increase in anti-refugee sentiment in host communities.⁴⁹⁶ This has led to plans to relocate the Rohingyas to an island off the coast of Bangladesh that civil society groups note is prone to flooding and cyclones.⁴⁹⁷

As highlighted earlier, local integration does not necessarily prevent return as it can equip refugees with the education, skills and resources for eventual repatriation.⁴⁹⁸ While in the case of the Rohingyas, this depends to a large extent on steps taken by the government to create environment that facilitates return, many refugees have indicated a willingness to return if they are able to gain citizenship, have their land returned, and obtain safeguards against persecution.

International programming has largely been focused on improving conditions for the Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh, with the key coordinating body indicating that while international humanitarian actors are ready to contribute to the reintegration effort when required, the ‘obligation to create conditions conducive to such return rests with the Government of Myanmar’.⁴⁹⁹ Further, with access being restricted for international humanitarian actors in Rakhine State, they have been unable to undertake adequate needs assessments and aid activities within Rakhine State.⁵⁰⁰

As the literature highlights, to facilitate inclusion in the host community, it is important to distribute assistance beyond displaced populations, including host societies, as these are suggested to establish economic resilience; accelerate poverty reduction; and shorten the life cycle and resource consumption of humanitarian aid operations.⁵⁰¹ As the example of the World Bank’s 2016 concessional multi-year financing model indicates, such projects could support host communities alongside refugee populations, helping reduce social friction and stimulate more holistic economic development while also lending greater weight to external advocacy efforts.⁵⁰²

TAKEAWAYS

The repeated cycles of Rohingya displacement reflect a failure to identify a sustainable solution. In the past, efforts have been geared towards repatriating refugees from Bangladesh to Myanmar. As shown by the broader literature, however, when this exercise is undertaken without addressing structural issues – in the case of the Rohingya, disenfranchisement and systemic marginalisation, as well as widespread poverty and unemployment across Rakhine State which exacerbate the schism between Rohingyas and the Buddhist majority – the violence and associated displacement are likely

⁴⁹⁵ UNICEF (2019), ‘More than 145,000 Rohingya refugee children return to school in Bangladesh refugee camps as new school year starts’, 24 January 2019, <https://www.unicef.org/press-releases/more-145000-rohingya-refugee-children-return-school-bangladesh-refugee-camps-new>

⁴⁹⁶ Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2018), ‘Bangladesh Rohingya Refugee Crisis - Briefing note’, 16 March 2018, <http://www.fao.org/3/i8776en/i8776EN.pdf>

⁴⁹⁷ Marsh, Sarah (2019), Bangladesh prepares to move Rohingya to island at risk of floods and cyclones’, *The Guardian*, 19 July 2019; *Reuters Graphics* (2018), ‘A remote home for the Rohingya’, 31 December 2018, <http://fingfx.thomsonreuters.com/gfx/rngs/MYANMAR-ROHINGYA/010060Z21XP/index.html>

⁴⁹⁸ Niels Harild, Asger Christensen and Roger Zetter. (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement’.

⁴⁹⁹ Strategic Executive Group (2019), 2019 Joint Response Plan for Rohingya Humanitarian Crisis - January to December

⁵⁰⁰ UNHRC (2019), ‘Situation of human rights of Rohingya in Rakhine State, Myanmar; Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights’, 11 March 2019, https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session40/Documents/A_HRC_40_37.docx

⁵⁰¹ The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks’, a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017

⁵⁰² Miliband, David (2019), ‘A Battle Plan for the World Bank’, *Foreign Affairs*, 19 February 2019; World Bank (2016), ‘Concessional Financing for Refugees and Host Communities in Middle Income Countries’, Case Study, <http://pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/802571523387514211/case-study-Financial-Products-concessional-financing-for-refugees-and-host-communities.pdf>

to repeat. Unlike in previous instances, arrangements for the return of Rohingyas are being actively resisted by the refugees and the international community, and the destruction and repurposing of traditional Rohingya land in Myanmar have diminished the prospects and desirability of return in the near future. As a result, in this case, it may be useful to create pathways for sustainable integration both within Bangladesh and elsewhere. In order to mitigate anti-refugee sentiments, it will be important to align strategies with the host country's economic and development objectives and bring in members of local and refugee communities from the early stages of decision making.

7. INDIA AND THE KASHMIRI PANDITS

CONTEXT

Following allegations of electoral malpractice in 1987, the militant Kashmiri group Jammu Kashmir Liberation Front (JKLF) launched its armed campaign for independence from India in July 1988. Fuelled by material support from and training camps in Pakistan, the security situation in the Kashmir Valley rapidly deteriorated.⁵⁰³ As the violence intensified, Muslim–Hindu relations in Kashmir came under strain, particularly given the militants' targeting of Hindu officials. During the course of 1989, civil disobedience and political violence by Kashmiri Muslims gathered pace, and the Indian government imposed central governor's rule in January 1990. Due to the breakdown of law and order, a series of assassinations and violent attacks against Kashmiri Pandits, and threats to the community's security and livelihoods, most Kashmiri Pandits fled their homes, relocating to the city of Jammu in the southern part of the state and different parts of north India.⁵⁰⁴ The movement of Kashmiri Pandits out of the Valley continued as attacks on the community persisted throughout the 1990s and early 2000s.⁵⁰⁵

Though there are varying estimates on the number of Kashmiri Pandits displaced as a result of violence and intimidation in Kashmir, official Indian figures state that 57,000 families (primarily Kashmiri Pandits, but also including Sikhs and Muslims) fled from the region. As of 2018, more than 40,000 registered Kashmiri migrant families were residing in Jammu; about 19,000 registered Kashmiri migrant families were living in Delhi and about 2000 families were settled elsewhere in India.⁵⁰⁶ Of the over 300,000 Kashmiri Pandits in the Kashmir Valley before the exodus, only 3000–5000 remain.

In Jammu, 6,036 families had been accommodated in transit camps since the beginning of their displacement. These camp colonies were important centres of the migrant community until residents were relocated to a new township in the outskirts of the city in 2011. Datta refers to the Pandits as constituting 'a significant presence in the city, becoming a part of the urban landscape and citizenry'.⁵⁰⁷

NATIONAL INITIATIVES AND OBSTACLES

Transitioning from the 'temporary' policies that keep the displaced communities intact in 'safe zones' to policies that aim to secure long-term solutions presents moral and political dilemmas for policymakers, given the context of the broader Jammu and Kashmir dispute. The government of India

⁵⁰³ Widmalm, Sten (1997), 'The Rise and Fall of Democracy in Jammu and Kashmir', *Asian Survey*, Vol. 37, No. 11, pp. 1005–1030; Ganguly, Sumit (1996), 'Explaining the Kashmir Insurgency: Political Mobilization and Institutional Decay', *International Security*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 76–107

⁵⁰⁴ Evans, Alexander (2002), 'A departure from history: Kashmiri Pandits, 1990–2001', *Contemporary South Asia*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 19–37; Datta, Ankur (2016), 'Dealing with dislocation: Migration, place and home among displaced Kashmiri Pandits in Jammu and Kashmir', *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, Vol. 50, No. 1, pp. 52–79

⁵⁰⁵ BBC, 'Villagers massacred in Kashmir', 26 January 1998; *New York Times*, '24 Hindus Are Shot Dead in Kashmiri Village', 24 March 2003

⁵⁰⁶ Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India (MHA) (2018), Annual Report 2017–18, pp. 17–18

⁵⁰⁷ Datta, Ankur (2016), 'Dealing with dislocation: Migration, place and home among displaced Kashmiri Pandits in Jammu and Kashmir', *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, Vol. 50, No. 1, pp. 52–79

has in the past stated that its policies with regard to the Kashmiri Pandits are geared towards their repatriation 'as soon as conditions reasonably conducive for their return are created', and that 'the permanent rehabilitation of the migrants outside the State is not envisaged'.⁵⁰⁸ Often the policies formulated to address the crisis is an outcome of labelling the Kashmiri Pandits as 'migrants'.⁵⁰⁹ However, the displacement of the community has now extended to nearly three decades, and there is an entire generation of youth born and educated outside Kashmir. Raina points out that 'migration' as a term signifies movement for 'the better', wherein people migrate in search of jobs and better lifestyles. She suggests that it would be more appropriate to refer to the Kashmiri Pandits as IDPs, indicating they left their homes because of persecution, conflict, or human rights violations.⁵¹⁰ This raises concerns about the utility, as well as the false promise, of the 'temporary' policies implicit in the initial positions of the policymakers – designed to serve the 'transitional needs' of those displaced.⁵¹¹

The official response to what was called the 'temporary disturbance' was to provide the Kashmiri Pandits with township-like settlements outside the Kashmir Valley as a safe haven and a 'close to home-like experience'. Consistent with the official position that saw this displacement as a temporary crisis, the government retains ownership of the townships, allowing the residents to stay till a hypothetical normality returns to the Kashmir Valley. The transitional nature of this accommodation does nothing to address the community's deeper concerns of restoring a sense of normality and providing long-term security for the Kashmiri Pandits.

Unemployment, under-employment and deterioration of income have been a chronic problem among the displaced Kashmiri Pandit community, long after their physical relocation. In addition, people became alienated as they lost their cultural space as well as their homes.⁵¹² To alleviate economic pressures, the policy package for the families included the temporary use of shops made available for their use in the host communities. In the short term, this allowed families to partially regain their sense of dignity and economic well-being; however, the government retains the ownership of the shops and prohibits expansion.⁵¹³ The dilemma faced by policymakers in this situation is that a shift from temporary to more durable housing or income generation arrangements may be perceived as tacit recognition that the displacement is more than temporary. While this is of course the case – the Kashmiri Pandits have been displaced for nearly three decades – it is difficult to acknowledge given the hyper-politicised nature and religious dynamics of the broader Kashmir issue. The Indian government currently provides cash relief up to a monthly ceiling of Rs. 13,000 (about \$185) per eligible family in Jammu and Delhi, with those in Jammu also receiving dry ration including rice, flour and sugar.⁵¹⁴

While the townships arranged by the government had a crucial role to play in the initial years of displacement, over the years of protracted displacement these townships created a cultural and societal divide between the local communities in Kashmir and those that were displaced. Further, despite being surrounded by members of their own community, with temples, schools and shops, displaced Kashmiri Pandit families have limited economic opportunities or political space.⁵¹⁵ In 2011,

⁵⁰⁸ Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India (2004), 'Annual Report 2003-04' p. 28

⁵⁰⁹ Government of Jammu & Kashmir, Relief and Rehabilitation (Migrants) Department, <http://jkmigranrelief.nic.in/>

⁵¹⁰ Raina, Neelam (2009), 'Women, Craft and the Post Conflict Reconstruction of Kashmir', PhD thesis, De Montfort University, available at <https://www.dora.dmu.ac.uk/xmlui/handle/2086/8122>

⁵¹¹ Rajput, Sudha G (2016), 'Transitional policies and durable solutions for displaced Kashmiri Pandits', *Forced Migration Review*, Vol. 52, May 2016

⁵¹² Thussu, Mahima (2014) 'Frozen displacement: Kashmiri Pandits in India', *Forced Migration Review*, Vol. 48, November 2014

⁵¹³ Rajput, Sudha G (2016), 'Transitional policies and durable solutions for displaced Kashmiri Pandits', *Forced Migration Review*, Vol. 52, May 2016

⁵¹⁴ MHA (2019), Annual Report 2018-19, p. 17

⁵¹⁵ Rajput, Sudha G (2016), 'Transitional policies and durable solutions for displaced Kashmiri Pandits', *Forced Migration Review*, Vol. 52, May 2016

the government shut the camps across Jammu and moved the Kashmiri Pandits to what it called two-room tenements (TRT) on the outskirts of the city. Each family was allocated a TRT consisting of two rooms with an attached bathroom and kitchen. The township was a large complex of two-storied apartment buildings.⁵¹⁶

While this was seemingly done to offer better living conditions to the Kashmiri Pandits, Datta argues that it is 'the challenge of imagining a possible future in Jammu on mundane but crucial matters that affect the Pandits, such as finding fulfilling and gainful employment and socio-economic security'. As a result, whatever the intentions of moving the community to the TRTs, there is persistent fear among Pandits of being forced to move again that shapes their attachment to a place.⁵¹⁷

India's ruling Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) has repeatedly stated its objective of creating separate townships for the rehabilitation of displaced Kashmiri Pandits in the Kashmir Valley.⁵¹⁸ When forming a coalition government in the state of Jammu and Kashmir in 2015 with a Kashmiri political party, the People's Democratic Party, its 'agenda for alliance' mentioned 'protecting and fostering ethnic and religious diversity by ensuring the return of Kashmiri Pandits with dignity based on their rights as state subjects and reintegrating as well as absorbing them in the Kashmiri milieu'.⁵¹⁹ In 2014, the government expanded a rehabilitation and relocation package announced by the previous government to provide up to Rs. 2 million (\$33,315) per family for reconstructing homes.⁵²⁰ However, it was reported in 2017 that only two families had availed of this scheme since its inception in 2008, and only one had returned to live in Kashmir.⁵²¹ This in spite of the fact that security threats in Kashmir reduced between 2008 and 2015.⁵²² Further, across the different rehabilitation packages, 6000 state government jobs have been created and reserved for Kashmiri Pandit youths and 6000 transit accommodations as well as 200 flats have been constructed in the Kashmir Valley for those who take up these jobs.⁵²³

These initiatives continue to demonstrate the focus on returning the displaced community to its place of origin without much consideration of what comes after. As has been demonstrated in other cases as well, this has been a stumbling block; one that is exacerbated in the current context, as the security situation in the Valley has been deteriorating since the death of the popular militant Burhan Wani in 2016.⁵²⁴ There is uncertainty over the community's safety upon any future return. This is not improved by numerous Indian governments' blunt handling of the issue and the inability to bring about a genuine political solution.

In August 2019, the Indian government revoked Jammu and Kashmir's special privileges and divided the autonomous state into two centrally governed union territories.⁵²⁵ While the government cited

⁵¹⁶ *Outlook* (2010), 'J&K Govt to Allot 4,200 Flats to Kashmiri Pandit Migrants', 15 October 2010

⁵¹⁷ Datta, Ankur (2016), 'Dealing with dislocation: Migration, place and home among displaced Kashmiri Pandits in Jammu and Kashmir', *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, Vol. 50, No. 1, pp. 52-79

⁵¹⁸ *Indian Express* (2019), 'Govt to set up townships for rehabilitation of Kashmiri Pandits in J&K: Ram Madhav', 20 January 2019

⁵¹⁹ *DNA*, 'Agenda for Alliance: Full text of the agreement between PDP and BJP', 1 March 2015

⁵²⁰ For details of the Prime Minister's 2008 Package for the rehabilitation of Kashmiri Pandits, see MHA (2009), Annual Report 2008-09, p. 167. For details of the 2015 update, see MHA (2018), Annual Report 2017-18, p. 18

⁵²¹ Mir Liyaqat Ali (2017), 'One Pandit family has returned to Kashmir since govt announced rehabilitation package in 2008', *Kashmir Reader*, 19 May 2017

⁵²² South Asia Terrorism Portal (SATP), Yearly Fatalities, Datasheet - Jammu & Kashmir, <https://www.satp.org/datasheet-terrorist-attack/fatalities/india-jammukashmir>

⁵²³ MHA (2019), Annual Report 2018-19, pp. 17-18; Press Information Bureau of India (2014), 'Rehabilitation of Kashmiri Pandits', 15 July 2014, <http://pib.nic.in/newsite/PrintRelease.aspx?relid=106628>

⁵²⁴ Parjanya Bhatt, 'Burhan's shadow looms large in Kashmir', *India Matters*, Observer Research Foundation, 24 May 2019; *BBC*, 'Why the death of militant Burhan Wani has Kashmiris up in arms', 11 July 2016

⁵²⁵ Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India (2019), The Jammu and Kashmir Reorganisation Act, 2019, 9 August 2019, <http://egazette.nic.in/WriteReadData/2019/210407.pdf>. For an explanation of what the special provisions were, see Mustafa, Faizan (2019), 'Explained: What are Articles 370 and 35A?', *Indian Express*, 6 August 2019

security, socioeconomic and development concerns as reasons for implementing this move,⁵²⁶ observers have noted that the unilateral nature of the decision and the communications shutdown and political detentions that accompanied it may exacerbate tensions in the Kashmir Valley.⁵²⁷ While a large number of Kashmiri Pandits welcomed the government's move, some have highlighted that it does not improve their chances for rehabilitation and that the way in which it was undertaken could deepen the rift between Kashmiri Muslims and Pandits.⁵²⁸

There is increasingly a desire among some Kashmiri Pandits to leave the city of Jammu and the state in search of employment and education. One of the ways by which young people hope to leave is through quotas for Kashmiri Pandits in institutes of professional education. These quotas were first established in Maharashtra before being extended to other Indian states, and have been utilised by Kashmiri Pandit families to enhance their opportunities for education and employment. However, the utility of reservations has been questioned by poor Kashmiri Pandits, who point out that the quotas are usually taken advantage of well-to-do families who are better equipped financially and better exposed to the range of opportunities outside Jammu and Kashmir.⁵²⁹

Observers have noted that even though Pandits appear to have adjusted to life away from Kashmir and have ostensibly remade life in Jammu, the connection or sense of attachment to place seems fleeting.⁵³⁰ On one hand, many Kashmiri Pandits have placed themselves in Jammu and observe everyday life to varying degrees of success and failure. Yet, even when there is apparent settlement, there is a sense of unease or an inability to connect to a place and to imagine a future home in that or any other place.⁵³¹

Thus, even though examples from the broader literature prioritise the practical delivery of 'durable solutions' by assessing interventions through an economic lens, this case highlights the need to remain conscious of cultural issues, identity politics, as well as notions of belonging and other dynamics that influence integration processes.⁵³²

TAKEAWAYS

While there is general agreement among political decision makers in India that Kashmiri Pandits should be repatriated, successive governments' insistence on temporary measures for relief and support, largely for political reasons, has prevented the emergence of a sustainable solution. Transitional policies obscure the fact that the displacement is protracted and that entire generations from the community have never lived in Kashmir. A disaggregated survey would enable an understanding of different interests within the community, facilitating targeted interventions aimed at either return or integration outside Kashmir. Currently, the limited assistance provided to Kashmiri Pandits for opportunities in other parts of India are accessible only to a small percentage of the community, generally those that are better placed socioeconomically. Beyond questions of economic prospects, however, cultural identity and the notion of 'home' is key, as in spite of security issues and prolonged periods away from Kashmir, many Pandits continue to harbour a desire to return. In order for this to be possible in a sustainable manner, the concept of Kashmiri identity both within Kashmir

⁵²⁶ *India Today* (2019), 'In first speech on Article 370, PM Modi talks development in J&K', 9 August 2019

⁵²⁷ Mirchandani, Maya (2019), 'The Kashmir gambit: Economic empowerment, political disempowerment?', *India Matters*, Observer Research Foundation, 16 September 2019; Shah, Khalid (2019), 'Kashmir: The situation is abnormally "normal"', *India Matters*, Observer Research Foundation, 17 September 2019

⁵²⁸ *India Today* (2019), Striking down Article 370 tipping point, say Kashmiri Pandits', 14 August 2019

⁵²⁹ Datta, Ankur (2016), 'Dealing with dislocation: Migration, place and home among displaced Kashmiri Pandits in Jammu and Kashmir', *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, Vol. 50, No. 1, pp. 52-79

⁵³⁰ *Ibid.*

⁵³¹ *Ibid.*

⁵³² Jeff Crisp (2010) Forced Displacement In Africa: Dimensions, Difficulties, And Policy Directions, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 29, Issue 3.

and across India needs to be considered. Given the polarising effect of the ongoing conflict, interventions are unlikely to succeed unless they emphasise an inclusive Kashmiri identity and recreate the cultural space for the Kashmiri Pandit community within it.

8. SRI LANKA AND THE TAMILS

CONTEXT

The decades-long civil war between the government of Sri Lanka and armed Tamil groups, most prominently the Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE, also known as the Tamil Tigers), resulted in widespread displacement among the civilian population, mostly from the ethnic Tamil and Muslim minority populations but also including some Sinhalese. Many were displaced more than once. Causes include the deliberate targeting of members of a particular ethnic or religious group with the aim of driving them from their homes, as was the case with the anti-Tamil riots in 1983 and the LTTE's expulsion of the Muslim community from Northern province in 1990. Hundreds of thousands also fled fighting in or near their home areas between the LTTE, other Tamil armed opposition groups, and government and paramilitary forces.

One of the first waves of conflict-related displacement followed the anti-Tamil riots of 1983, known as Black July,⁵³³ after which over 100,000 Tamils fled to India while others sought asylum in other countries overseas. Throughout the mid-1980s the fighting between Tamil armed groups and the Sri Lankan security forces continued to displace significant numbers of people from their homes. Levels of displacement escalated following the departure of the Indian Peacekeeping Force and the resumption of hostilities in 1990, when thousands of people fled to escape the violence. While the majority of those displaced were Tamils, in October 1990 over 70,000 Muslims were driven out of the north by the LTTE.⁵³⁴

Levels of displacement peaked at over one million in 1995 following the breakdown of peace negotiations between the LTTE and the Sri Lankan government and the resumption of hostilities. Hundreds of thousands of people fled Jaffna in advance of its capture by the Sri Lankan military in 1995, and high levels of displacement continued throughout the 1990s and into the 2000s.⁵³⁵

ROLE OF THE STATE

During the civil war, government forces occupied territory and established military bases for operations, demarcating certain areas as High Security Zones (HSZs). The LTTE, too, had de-facto administrative control over large areas across several districts and were involved in forcibly displacing people, including a mass eviction of the Muslim community. By the end of the war, territory controlled by the military had expanded to include the areas previously held by the LTTE. While the Mahinda Rajapaksa administration initiated the release of land back to original owners, the military retained control over large areas, which they used for both military and non-military purposes. The military consolidated its position and control, including shifting from de facto occupation to legal acquisition. It not only established barracks, but also used the land for agriculture, tourism, and other commercial ventures.⁵³⁶ In 2014, it was estimated that at least 160,000 largely Sinhalese soldiers were stationed in the north, creating a ratio of one army member for every six civilians, despite the official end of hostilities in 2009.⁵³⁷

⁵³³ *BBC* (2013), 'Remembering Sri Lanka's Black July', 23 July 2013

⁵³⁴ International Crisis Group (2007), 'Sri Lanka's Muslims: Caught in the Crossfire', Asia Report, No. 134, 29 May 2007

⁵³⁵ Amnesty International (2006), 'Sri Lanka: Waiting to Go Home - The Plight of the Internally Displaced', 28 June 2006

⁵³⁶ Human Rights Watch (2018), "'Why Can't We Go Home?': Military Occupation of Land in Sri Lanka', 9 October 2018

⁵³⁷ The Oakland Institute (2016), 'Waiting to Return Home: Continued Plight of the IDPs in Post-War Sri Lanka'

In October 2015, at the UNHRC, Sri Lanka cosponsored a resolution that encouraged the government to 'accelerate the return of land to its rightful civilian owners' as well as to end military involvement in civilian activities.⁵³⁸ The government has since stated that it has returned nearly 80 to 85 percent of the land held since the war ended and will give up control in all areas without compromising on national security.⁵³⁹ Civil society groups, however, argue that there has been no transparency in the process, and many affected communities dispute the government's claims. While the government has released land in a number of sites across the north and east, the process has been delayed with other sites.⁵⁴⁰ In October 2018, Sri Lankan President Maithripala Sirisena directed officials to release all civilian land held by the state in the northern and eastern provinces before the end of the year.⁵⁴¹ This was further pushed back to 25 January,⁵⁴² however, this deadline also does not seem to have been met,⁵⁴³ and observers have noted that land being used for military bases may never be returned.⁵⁴⁴

RETURN VS REINTEGRATION

Thiranagama writes that home among communities affected by violence and conflict is not just about relationships to the past but also about the possibilities of finding a future in which 'one can flourish personally and collectively'.⁵⁴⁵ In July 2015, IDMC found that of the more than 794,000 IDPs registered as having returned to their places of origin in Northern and Eastern provinces, the situation of tens of thousands remains a cause for concern. While official IDP numbers have fallen since the end of conflict as the government has deregistered IDPs, no comprehensive assessment has been carried out to determine whether returnees had achieved a durable solution.⁵⁴⁶

In April 2017, the Sri Lankan navy announced that it would release 100 acres of land occupied by the security forces since 2007 to the general public in the Mullikulam area and return the houses in the area to the original owners.⁵⁴⁷ However, in August 2018, the houses had not been returned, forcing the locals to live in semi-permanent shelters with limited livelihood options.⁵⁴⁸

Even in other cases where land is said to have been released, there are issues. For instance, a portion of the land in Palaly HSZ in Northern Province that was offered to IDPs for resettlement in February 2015 was previously considered unacceptable, as people wanted to return to their original lands and because traditional farming families were being resettled at the site of former stone quarries or on land that was infertile. In contrast, the military continued to hold fertile land and operated luxury resorts, golf courses and other non-military enterprises on land taken from IDPs. In the case of Sampur HSZ in Eastern Province, 60 acres of land were released in 2015, but people were unable to

⁵³⁸ UNHRC (2015), 'Resolution adopted by the Human Rights Council on 1 October 2015 - 30/1. Promoting reconciliation, accountability and human rights in Sri Lanka', 14 October 2015, <http://daccess-ods.un.org/access.nsf/Get?Open&DS=A/HRC/RES/30/1&Lang=E>

⁵³⁹ Shanika Sriyananda (2018), 'Army to release over 263 acres of north east land to owners before 31 Dec', *Daily Financial Times*, 19 December 2018

⁵⁴⁰ Human Rights Watch (2018), "'Why Can't We Go Home?': Military Occupation of Land in Sri Lanka', 9 October 2018

⁵⁴¹ *Sunday Times* (2018), 'President instruct officials to ensure return of civilian lands in N&E by Dec 1', 4 October 2018

⁵⁴² Chandran, Rina (2019), 'A decade after war ends, Sri Lankan Tamils to "occupy" land held by army', *Reuters*, 25 January 2019

⁵⁴³ *Colombo Gazette* (2019), 'Tight security as Keppapilavu residents protest near army camp', 26 January 2019

⁵⁴⁴ Chandran, Rina (2019), 'Ten years after war ended, Sri Lankan Tamils may never get back land', *Reuters*, 17 May 2019

⁵⁴⁵ Thiranagama, Sharika (2009), 'A New Morning? Reoccupying Home in the Aftermath of Violence in Sri Lanka', in *Struggles for Home: Violence, Hope and the Movement of People*, eds. Stef Jansen and Staffan Lofving, pp. 129–48 (Oxford: Berghahn Books)

⁵⁴⁶ Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre (IDMC) (2015) 'Time for a new approach: Ending protracted displacement in Sri Lanka', 1 July 2015, <http://www.internal-displacement.org/sites/default/files/publications/documents/201507-ap-sri-lanka-time-for-a-new-approach-en.pdf>

⁵⁴⁷ Sri Lanka Navy (2017), 'Navy assures to release approx 100 acres of land for the Mullikulam public', 29 April 2017, <https://news.navy.lk/eventnews/2017/04/29/201704291945/>

⁵⁴⁸ Fernando, Ruki (2018), 'Mullikulam: A step closer to regain Navy occupied lands and houses', *Sunday Observer*, 5 August 2018; Human Rights Watch (2018), "'Why Can't We Go Home?': Military Occupation of Land in Sri Lanka', 9 October 2018

resettle due to continued encroachment by the naval camp that had been relocated from the HSZ to adjacent territory. In an exercise of agency on the part of the IDPs and the Tamil community, protests and demonstrations as well as political pressure from Tamil MPs led to the remaining land from the HSZ being released in March 2016. However, the navy camp was relocated to an area close to the old site, which also includes land owned by the community. Moreover, having a navy camp in close proximity to the village is a security concern for the locals, who faced harassment and abuse at the hands of the Sri Lankan Army during the civil war.⁵⁴⁹

Land access is thus a key barrier to reintegration in Sri Lanka. As noted earlier, this has been the case in other regions as well, where the appropriation of property by the state has exacerbated segregation along ethnic and sectarian lines.⁵⁵⁰ In this context, the previously highlighted case of Burundi's VRI programme may serve as an example.⁵⁵¹ While in that case, issues such as poor infrastructure and distance from market towns as well as resource shortages undermined the objectives of the programme, lessons could be drawn to refine a similar programme in northern Sri Lanka. Critical to these efforts would be an understanding of the local context and culture as well as coordination between development agencies and humanitarian organisations.⁵⁵² As Zeender and McCallin recommend, such a programme must adopt a participatory, bottom-up approach, with external activities aligning with national strategies for development, peacebuilding and land planning.⁵⁵³ Nevertheless, any adaptation of this or similar programmes must consider the central role of the state, which was a key implementer in Burundi. While the government of Sri Lanka has stated that it will return IDP land, it has been slow in doing so, citing national security concerns,⁵⁵⁴ something that external actors may have limited influence over.

TAKEAWAYS

The case of the Tamils in Sri Lanka presents a current example of resettlement being insufficient as a long-term solution for displacement. While this is the stage at which national government and international attention tends to dwindle, resettlement policies that ignore economic realities create the possibility of further displacement. Resettlement of members of the Tamil community on infertile land and in the shadow of the military, who may have caused their displacement in the first place, means that although statistics reflect a decreasing number of IDPs, a comprehensive examination is required to assess the impact of this policy. While the agency of the community has been exercised through demonstrations backed by local political leaders, this case also points to the requirement of having bottom-up, inclusive processes for planning and designing reintegration interventions from their initial stages. Models such as Burundi's 'villagization' scheme can be seen as lessons in this regard, as in spite of having shortcomings, it provided the foundations of an inclusive approach towards generating livelihoods and facilitating return for displaced communities.

⁵⁴⁹ The Oakland Institute (2016), 'Waiting to Return Home: Continued Plight of the IDPs in Post-War Sri Lanka'

⁵⁵⁰ Majidi, Nassim (2013), 'Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan', *Revue des mondes musulmans et de la Méditerranée*, <http://journals.openedition.org/remmm/8098>; Hammond, Laura (2014), 'History, Overview, Trends and Issues in Major Somali Refugee Displacements in the Near Region (Djibouti, Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda and Yemen)'; Weiss Fagen, Patricia (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs after Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home'

⁵⁵¹ Jean-Benoît Falisse, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the 'Uprooted' Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3.

⁵⁵² Fransen Sonja and Katie Kuschminder (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages'

⁵⁵³ Greta Zeender and Barbara McCallin (2013) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons in Burundi Within Reach'

⁵⁵⁴ Human Rights Watch (2018), 'Sri Lanka: Government Slow to Return Land', 9 October 2018

9. RECOMMENDATIONS

As the evidence base attests, there is no panacea or silver bullet solution to the issue of protracted displacement, and both practitioners and policy makers need to confront the uncomfortable reality that they may only be able to manage rather than resolve these issues.

The literature prescribes a litany of technocratic recommendations to improve how stakeholders design and deliver reintegration programming, usually prioritising an economically oriented analytical lens. There is little indication these lessons have been learned and applied by local, national or international institutions. Across various case studies the same mistakes are repeated and many of the assumptions framing paradigmatic approaches to reintegration remain flawed. Conflating reintegration with 'return' and offering restorative models as a preferred response to displacement ignores the aspirations of refugees and IDPs themselves, and the practical realities of what 'return' implies on the ground.

Actionable recommendations have therefore been designed to help strengthen on-going policy and programming. The authors caveat their suggestions with the acknowledgement that these measures will only have a cosmetic or moderate impact within a narrow set of parameters, as the problems disrupting reintegration are ultimately political, rather than technical.

Responses need to evolve from a rigorous assessment of need and contextual analysis:

- This should include a political economy review of conflict, displacement and host community dynamics (such as informal authority structures, grey economies and grass-root networks) alongside operational, stakeholder and historical migratory mappings. Policy-makers need to appreciate they are not operating in passive or static spaces, and time can have a visceral impact on the logic of displacement. Similarly, there are no 'benign activities', even piloted and experimental interventions create unintended consequences and negative externalities. Fluctuating needs, interests and dynamics of displacement situation must be tracked and responded to as they develop and persist.
- Categories of displaced people need to be disaggregated in relation to gender, socioeconomic background, and interests so programmes can more accurately gauge their interests, preferences, challenges and coping mechanisms.

Ensure a participatory and/or consultative approach, particularly in the preliminary stages:

- This encourages local buy-in and delivers granular feedback regarding conditions on the ground, which are often fluid and require constant monitoring. They are also essential for programme design and delivery. Interventions need to be synchronised with locally led autonomous strategies where possible, as this can mitigate the problem of secondary displacement and channel resources into community-created mechanisms that have authenticity and legitimacy but lack the faculties to sustain themselves independently.

Holistic, multi-agency interventions are critical:

- This is important when controlling for both macro and micro level variables, allowing a spectrum of actors to exploit comparative advantages and available synergies. This includes not only creating space for grass-root voices and host communities but also building the capacity of local agencies so they can substantively contribute to, and negotiate, complex processes and therefore any tokenism or latent paternalism. Hybrid programming should not be siloed between different disciplines but incorporate a spectrum of humanitarian, development, peacebuilding and transitional justice strategies, with the necessary coordination, coherence and sequencing to the exploit any mutual reinforcement between activities.

Local and transnational dynamics need to be understood and leveraged where possible:

- This involves using regional organisations, development banks, municipal governments and unconventional agents (such as churches and traditional grass-root brokers) as sources of investment, political support and legitimacy. This creates greater leverage for negotiating the hurdles associated with state-centrism, mobilising resources, and encouraging flexibility. International donors and humanitarian organisations also need to safeguard against states co-opting multilateral platforms for their own interests.
- This also involves considering how societal and economic configurations of operational environments are often orientated around patronage and kinship affiliations. International organisations, as impartial mediators, should monitor any external funding flows and enforce a rigorous accountability regime that enforces an inclusive dispensation empowering recipients, irrespective of social identity. Corruption in this context is difficult to navigate. At a local level it can expedite integration, lower barriers to commercial/professional networks and ‘grease the wheel’ of municipal administration. The legal and ethnical integrity of interventions are critical but corruption is a nuanced dynamic that programmers need to be sensitive to, particularly when it is embedded in organically created coping mechanisms. While deleterious in the long term and antithetical to the ethical parameters of any intervention, its benefits need to be understood and if possible substituted or compensated for.

Spontaneous returns must be anticipated and factored into formal reintegration programmes:

- These need to be considered alongside a myriad of alternative solutions including continual trans-border migration streams that allow households to gather information and assess conditions at home. This requires donor and governmental flexibility to not only install reactive mechanisms capable of delivering welfare, vocational and security support to sudden influxes but leasing a degree of autonomy for displaced people to settle where they choose. Formal programming can help consolidate the benefits and manage the costs of locally led processes, particularly in terms of compensating host communities to negate any immediate tensions and allow social relations to mature over time.
 - Empowering local agents with autonomy, discretion and dignity is essential, although the authors concede this often conflicts with state-centric interests, exposing a fault-line the literature has yet to fully grapple with.

The realities of urbanisation should to be recognised and reflected in programming for both refugees and IDPs:

- This includes the need for urban planning and investment from municipal and national authorities to stabilise, regulate and gradually integrate illicit settlements such as peri-urban slums, in line with the sensitivities of host communities and relevant labour exigencies. Government engagement can be supplemented with international support to strengthen livelihood assistance packages and improve access to basic services, infrastructure and commercial markets.

Transforming new arrivals into positive net contributors cultivates societal goodwill and forestalls the vulnerabilities associated with the grey economy:

- Any exercise in emancipation or capacity building will need to be holistic, requiring the inclusion of the urban poor and pre-existing city residents, and should be supplemented by economic initiatives in rural areas to diversify vocational opportunities and manage internal migration flows. This necessitates systemic interventions that nest capacity building efforts in the longer-term regeneration of critical infrastructure, services and government institutions to ensure recipient populations have access to markets, a viable consumer base and are plugged into the wider economy.

Learn from experimental models already in operation (e.g. Kenya):

- Across a number of countries cited in this study, the emancipatory logic of cash funding has been enhanced by the use of voucher and virtual currencies to help mitigate negative externalities and limit opportunities for corruption. These innovative strategies help empower refugees and diminish aid dependency, providing a dynamic medium for supporting displaced populations as they develop self-sufficient economies. Such arrangements can foster platform for enmeshing IDPs and refugees into wider host societies.
- Any commercial schemes cannot be conducted in isolation and must respond to the sensitivities relating to wider esoteric issues of identity and belonging. Consulting and/or partnering with local authorities and informal agents may help navigate these difficulties. The evidence base shows creative solutions are feasible when synchronised with the surrounding environment.

It is important to furnish realistic expectations not only for displaced populations but also among host communities, governments and donors.

- Grievances can be partially managed through restitution, compensation and the mechanics of transitional justice, but only when working in tandem with broader security, peacebuilding and developmental measures that deliver attractive alternatives for victims rather than leaving them in limbo. These realities may not necessarily live up to the idealised visions of home often promoted by donors and practitioners, and the raising of unattainable aspirations must be avoided as they are likely to be frustrated.
- Crucially, the envisaged “end point” of the return cycle need to be replaced with a sophisticated understanding of what reintegration means. Donors, host governments and countries of origin should therefore lower their own expectations and work pragmatically to help displaced people navigate the difficult choices available, factoring in input from refugees and IDPs themselves to understand what they interpret as ‘success’

Monitoring and evaluation need to be improved, particularly in terms of collating robust indicators, disaggregating data and sharing findings between stakeholders.

- Metrics should prioritise process rather than outcome and acknowledge the continual mobility of participants is not necessarily a sign of failure.

10. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Abbasi-Shavazi, M J and D Glazebrook (2006) 'Continued Protection, Sustainable Reintegration: Afghan Refugees and Migrants in Iran', Briefing Paper, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).
- Abbasi-Shavazi, Mohammad Jalal, Diana Glazebrook, Gholamreza Jamshidiha, Hossein Mahmoudian and Rasoul Sadeghi (2005) 'Return To Afghanistan? A Study of Afghans Living in Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran', Case Study Series, Afghanistan Research and Evaluation Unit (AREU).
- Abrar, CR (1995), 'Repatriation of Rohingya refugees', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford
- Adili, Ali Yawar and Martine van Bijlert (2018) 'Taleban Attacks on Khas Uruzgan, Jaghori and malestan', *Afghanistan Analysts Networks*. Available at: <www.afghanistan-analysts.org/taleban-attacks-on-khas-uruzgan-jaghori-and-malestan-i-a-new-and-violent-push-into-hazara-areas/>.
- Afghanistan, Fact Sheet, UNHCR, July 2018.
- Ahimbisibwe, Frank (2014) 'The Self Reliance Strategy and Refugee Livelihoods: Evidence from Oruchinga Refugee Settlement, South Western Uganda', *International Research Journal of Social Sciences*
- Ahmadi, Belquis and Sadaf Lakhani (2017) 'The Afghan Refugee Crisis in 2016', Peace Brief 220, *United States Institute of Peace*.
- Alsaafin, Linah (2018), 'Rohingya crisis: One year on, do they want to return to Myanmar?', *Al Jazeera*, 25 August 2018
- Arrangement on Return of Displaced Persons from Rakhine State between the Government of the People's Republic of Bangladesh and the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, 23 November 2017, http://www.theindependentbd.com/assets/images/banner/linked_file/20171125094240.pdf
- Aung, Tun (2007), 'An Introduction to Citizenship Card under Myanmar Citizenship Law', *Journal of the Study of Modern Society and Culture*, Vol. 38, pp. 265-290, http://dspace.lib.niigata-u.ac.jp/dspace/bitstream/10191/6399/1/01_0053.pdf
- Australian Strategic Policy Institute (2019), 'Mapping conditions in Rakhine State', 24 July 2019, <https://pageflow.aspi.org.au/rakhine-state#211793>
- Averbuch, M and Kinosian, S 'Pay to Stay? Why US Aid to Central America has Not Eased the Flow of Migrants', *Foreign Policy*, December 2018.
- Ayoobi, Eisa (2018) 'The Afghan Government is Failing to Deliver on its Promises', *Al Jazeera*, 25 September 2018
- BBC News*, 'Villagers massacred in Kashmir', 26 January 1998
- BBC News*, 'Kashmir: Outrage Over Settlements for Displaced Hindus', 15 June 2016.
- BBC News*, 'Remembering Sri Lanka's Black July', 23 July 2013
- BBC News*, 'Why the death of militant Burhan Wani has Kashmiris up in arms', 11 July 2016.
- BBC News*, 'Myanmar policemen killed in Rakhine border attack', 9 October 2016
- BBC News*, 'Bangladesh court upholds Myanmar Rohingya marriage ban', 8 January 2018
- BBC News*, 'The country where Facebook posts whipped up hate', 12 September 2018
- Betts, A (2018) 'Refuge, Reformed: Kenya has Found a Way to Make Refugee Camps Benefit Host Communities. Other Countries Should Follow its Lead', *Foreign Policy*.

Betts, A, Imane Chaara, Naohiko Omata and Olivier Sterck (2019) 'Uganda's Self Reliance Model: Does it Work?', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

Bezhan, Frud (2018) 'Under Threat, Kabul's Hazara Make Call to Arms', *Radio Free Europe*. Available at: <www.rferl.org/a/under-threat-kabul-s-hazara-make-call-for-arms/29500669.html>.

Bhatt, P (2019) 'Burhan's shadow looms large in Kashmir', *India Matters*, Observer Research Foundation.

Bradley, M (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement: Central America's Success Story Reconsidered', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4.

Bradley, M (2012) 'Displacement, Transitional Justice and Reconciliation: Assumptions, Challenges and Lessons', Refugees Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

Bradley, M (2013) *Refugee Repatriation: Justice, Responsibility and Redress*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Bradley, M (2018) 'Durable Solutions and the Right of Return for IDPs: Evolving Interpretations', *International Journal of Refugee Law*, Volume 30, Issue 2.

Brigitte, R (2015) 'Refugee Return in Protracted Refugee Situations', GSDRC.

Chan, Aye (2005), 'The Development of a Muslim Enclave in Arakan (Rakhine) State of Burma (Myanmar)', *SOAS Bulletin of Burma Research*, Vol. 3, No. 2, pp. 396-420

Chandran, Rina (2019), 'A decade after war ends, Sri Lankan Tamils to "occupy" land held by army', *Reuters*, 25 January 2019

Chandran, Rina (2019), 'Ten years after war ended, Sri Lankan Tamils may never get back land', *Reuters*, 17 May 2019

Chaudhuri, Shubham (2017) 'A Roadmap to Reintegrate Displaced and Refugee Afghans', World Bank. Available at: <www.blogs.worldbank.org/endpovertyinsouthasia/roadmap-reintegrate-displaced-and-refugee-afghans>.

Christian Dennys and Idrees Zaman (2009) 'Trends in Local Afghan Conflicts: Synthesis Paper', Cooperation for Peace and Unity. Available at: <www.cpau.org.af/manimages/publications/Trends_in_local_Afghan_conflicts_Synthesis_June09_Final.pdf>.

Clements, Franks and Ludwig Adamec (2003) *Conflict in Afghanistan: A Historical Encyclopedia*, ABC-CLIO.

Cohen, R (2000) 'Reintegrating Refugees and Internally Displaced Women', On the Record, Brookings

Colombo Gazette (2019), 'Tight security as Keppapilavu residents protest near army camp', 26 January 2019

Crisp, J (2010) Forced Displacement In Africa: Dimensions, Difficulties, And Policy Directions, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 29, Issue 3.

Curtis, D (2012) 'The International Peacebuilding Paradox: Power Sharing and Post Conflict Governance in Burundi', *African Affairs* 112

Cvejic, S (2015) 'Survey on the Needs of Returnees in the Republic of Serbia', International Organisation for Migration, Geneva.

Dabo, A (2012) 'In The Presence of Absence: Truth Telling and Displacement in Liberia', ICTJ/Brookings, Washington DC.

Daley, P (2013) 'Refugees, IDPs and Citizenship Rights: The Perils of Humanitarianism in the African Great Lake Region, *Third World Quarterly*, Volume 34, 893-912.

Datta, Ankur (2016), 'Dealing with dislocation: Migration, place and home among displaced Kashmiri Pandits in Jammu and Kashmir', *Contributions to Indian Sociology*, Vol. 50, No. 1, pp. 52-79

DNA, 'Agenda for Alliance: Full text of the agreement between PDP and BJP', 1 March 2015.

Duthie, Roger (2013) 'Contributing to Durable Solutions: Transitional Justice and the Integration and Reintegration of Displaced Persons', International Centre for Transitional Justice.

EASO Country of Origin Information Report: Afghanistan: Key Socioeconomic Indicators, State Protection and Mobility in Kabul City, Mazar-e Sharif and Herat City', August 2017. Available at: <www.easo.europa.eu/sites/default/files/publications/EASO-COI-Afghanistan-IPA-August-2017_o.pdf>.

Ellis-Petersen, Hannah and Shaikh Azizur Rahman (2019), 'Rohingya refugees refuse to return to Myanmar without rights guarantee', *The Guardian*, 21 August 2019

Ensor, M (2013) 'Displaced Youth's Role in Sustainable Return: Lessons from South Sudan', *International Organisation for Migration*.

Evans, Alexander (2002), 'A departure from history: Kashmiri Pandits, 1990-2001', *Contemporary South Asia*, Vol. 11, No. 1, pp. 19-37

Facebook Newsroom (2018), 'An Independent Assessment of the Human Rights Impact of Facebook in Myanmar', 5 November 2018

Fair, C Christine (2018), 'Arakan Rohingya Salvation Army: Not the Jihadis You Might Expect', *Lawfare*, 9 December 2018

Falisse, Jean-Benoît, René Claude Niyonkuru (2015) Social Engineering for Reintegration: Peace Villages for the 'Uprooted' Returnees in Burundi, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 3.

Fernando, Ruki (2018), 'Mullikulam: A step closer to regain Navy occupied lands and houses', *Sunday Observer*, 5 August 2018

Fonseca, A, Hart, L and Klink, S (2015) 'Reintegration: Effective Approaches', *International Organisation for Migration*.

Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO) (2018), 'Bangladesh Rohingya Refugee Crisis - Briefing note', 16 March 2018, <http://www.fao.org/3/i8776en/l8776EN.pdf>

Frantzell, Annika (2011) 'Human Security, Peacebuilding and the Hazara Minority of Afghanistan: A Study of the Importance of Improving the Community Security of Marginalized Groups in Peacebuilding Efforts in Non-Western Societies', Thesis, Lund University.

Fresia, M (2014) 'Performing Repatriation? The Role of Refugee Aid in Shaping New Beginnings in Mauritania', *Development and Change*, Volume 45.

Frud Bezhan (2018) 'Under Threat, Kabul's Hazara Make Call to Arms'.

Ganguly, Sumit (1996), 'Explaining the Kashmir Insurgency: Political Mobilization and Institutional Decay', *International Security*, Vol. 21, No. 2, pp. 76-107

Gebremedhin, Yohannes (2005) 'Preliminary Assessment of Informal Settlements in Kabul City', USAID/LTERA Project, Kabul. Available at: <www.pdf.semanticscholar.org/f4e8/ocd2f8832ce50bc6442e2885695936a3b283.pdf>.

Ghufran, Nasreen (2011) The Role of UNHCR and Afghan Refugees in Pakistan, *Strategic Analysis*, 35:6.

Giustozzi, Antonio (2018) *The Islamic State in Khorasan: Afghanistan, Pakistan and the New Central Asian Jihad*. Hurst: London.

Glazebrook, Diana & Mohammad Jalal Abbasi-Shavazi (2007) Being Neighbors to Imam Reza: Pilgrimage Practices and Return Intentions of Hazara Afghans Living in Mashhad, Iran, *Iranian Studies*, 40:2.

Going Home to Displacement: Afghanistan's Returnee-IDPs, The Invisible Majority Thematic Series. Internal Displacement Monitoring Centre, Samuel Hall and Norwegian Refugee Council, 2017.

Gottwald, M (2012) 'Back to the Future: The Concept of Comprehensive Solutions', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 31, Issue 3.

Government of Jammu & Kashmir, Relief and Rehabilitation (Migrants) Department, <http://jkmigranrelief.nic.in/>

Hammond, Laura (1999) 'The Discourse of Repatriation', in BLACK Richard and KOSER Khalid (eds), *The End of the Refugee Cycle?: Refugee repatriation and reconstruction*, New York, Oxford, Berghahn Books.

Hammond, Laura (2014) 'History, Overview, Trends and Issues in Major Somali Refugee Displacements in the Near Region (Djibouti, Ethiopia, Kenya, Uganda and Yemen). *Bildhaan: An International Journal of Somali Studies*, 13.

Harild, N, Christensen, A and Zetter, R (2015) Sustainable Refugee Return: Triggers, Constraints, and Lessons on Addressing the Development Challenges of Forced Displacement. GPDF issue note series. World Bank Group, Washington, DC.

Harild, Niels; Christensen, Asger (2010) 'The Development Challenge of Finding Durable Solutions for Refugees and Internally Displaced People', World Development Report Background Note, World Bank.

Holloway, Kerrie and Lilianne Fan (2018), 'Dignity and the Displaced Rohingya in Bangladesh: 'Ijot Is A Huge Thing in This World', Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, August 2018

Home Office, 'Afghanistan: Afghans Perceived as Westernised', Country Policy and Information Note, January 2018.

Home Office, 'Afghanistan: Hazaras', Country Policy and Information Note. August 2018. Available at:

Human Rights Watch (1997), Rohingya Refugees in Bangladesh: The Search for a Lasting Solution, <https://www.hrw.org/report/1997/08/01/rohingya-refugees-bangladesh/search-lasting-solution#>

Human Rights Watch (1998) 'Afghanistan: The Massacre in Mazar-I Sharif'. Available at: <www.hrw.org/report/1998/11/01/afghanistan-massacre-mazar-i-sharif#>;

Human Rights Watch (2000), 'Burma/Bangladesh - Burmese Refugees in Bangladesh: Still No Durable Solution', May 2000, https://www.hrw.org/reports/2000/burma/burm005-05.htm#P262_83426

Human Rights Watch (2001) 'Massacres of Hazaras in Afghanistan'; Available at: <www.hrw.org/report/2001/02/01/massacres-hazaras-afghanistan#>.

Human Rights Watch (2018), 'Sri Lanka: Government Slow to Return Land', 9 October 2018, <https://www.hrw.org/news/2018/10/09/sri-lanka-government-slow-return-land>

Ibrahim, Azeem (2016), *The Rohingyas: Inside Myanmar's Hidden Genocide*. London: Hurst & Co.

Ibrahimi, N (2009) 'The Dissipation of Political Capital Among Afghanistan's Hazaras: 2001-2009', Crisis States Working Papers Series No. 2. London School of Economics.

India Today (2019), 'In first speech on Article 370, PM Modi talks development in J&K', 9 August 2019

Indian Express (2019), 'Govt to set up townships for rehabilitation of Kashmiri Pandits in J&K: Ram Madhav', 20 January 2019

Inter Sector Coordination Group (2019), Situation Report Rohingya Refugee Crisis, January 2019, <https://www.humanitarianresponse.info/en/operations/bangladesh/document/situation-report-rohingya-crisis-coxs-bazar-january-2019>

International Crisis Group (2007), 'Sri Lanka's Muslims: Caught in the Crossfire', Asia Report, No. 134, 29 May 2007

International Crisis Group (2016), 'Myanmar: A New Muslim Insurgency in Rakhine State', 15 December 2016

International Crisis Group (2018), 'Bangladesh-Myanmar: The Danger of Forced Rohingya Repatriation', 12 November 2018

International Crisis Group, 'Reforming Afghanistan's Broken Judiciary', November 2010.

International Organization for Migration (2016), 'IOM Appeal (Myanmar/Rakhine State) (April 2016-April 2018)', 15 March 2016 <https://www.iom.int/appeal/iom-appeal-myanmar-rakhine-state-april-2016-april-2018>

International Rescue Committee (2017), 'International NGOs call for immediate humanitarian access in Rakhine State', 27 September 2017, <https://www.rescue.org/press-release/international-ngos-call-immediate-humanitarian-access-rakhine-state>

International Refugee Rights Initiative (IRRI) (2013) 'From Refugee to Returnee to Asylum Seeker: Burundian Refugees Struggle to Find Protection in the Great Lakes Region. IRRI.

Isaksson, A (2011) 'Manipulate the Rural Landscape: Villagisation and Income Generation in Rwanda', *Journal of African Economies*, 22:3.

Iyodu, B (2011) 'Kenyan Refugees Included in Transitional Justice Processes', *Forced Migration Review*, Volume 38.

Jackson, Ashley (2018) 'Life Under the Taliban Shadow Government', *Overseas Development Institute*. Available at: <www.odi.org/sites/odi.org.uk/files/resource-documents/12269.pdf>.

Jacobsen, Karen (2002) 'Can Refugee Benefit the State? Refugee Resources and African Statebuilding', *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, Vol. 40, No. 4.

Kaun, A (2008) 'When Displaced Return: Challenges to Reintegration in Angola', UNHCR, New Issues in Refugee Research, Paper 152, Geneva: UNHCR.

Kim, Hyuk (2017), 'A Complex Crisis: The Twisted Roots of Myanmar's Rohingya Conflict', *Global Asia*, https://www.globalasia.org/v12no3/focus/a-complex-crisis-the-twisted-roots-of-myanmars-rohingya-conflict_hyuk-kim

Koch, A (2014) 'The Politics and Discourse of Migrant Return: The Role of UNHCR and IOM in the Governance of Return', *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, Volume 40, Issue 6.

Lewis, Simon (2017), 'Government will take over burned Myanmar land – minister', *Reuters*, 27 September 2017

Lietaert, I, (2017) Transnational knowledge in social work programs: Challenges and strategies within assisted voluntary return and reintegration support, *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 2.

Lindley, A and Haslie, A (2011) 'Unlocking Protracted Displacement', Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

Lindquist, Alan C. (1979), 'Report on the 1978-79 Bangladesh Refugee Relief Operation', Institute for Development Studies, University of Sussex, June 1979, http://www.ibiblio.org/obl/docs/LINDQUIST_REPORT.htm

Lischer, Sarah (2007) 'Causes and Consequences of Conflict-Induced Displacement', *Civil Wars*, Vol. 9 No. 2

Long, K (2010) 'Home Alone? A Review of the Relationship Between Repatriation, Mobility and Durable Solutions for Refugees. UNHCR, Policy Development Evaluation Services (PDES) Evaluation Report, Geneva.

Long, K (2011) 'Permanent Crises? Unlocking the Protracted Displacement of Refugees and International Displaced Persons', *Refugee Studies Centre*, University of Oxford.

MacDonald, I (2011) 'Landlessness and Insecurity: Obstacles to Reintegration in Afghanistan', MEI-FRS, Washington DC.

Mahmud, Tarek (2018), 'Repatriation delay jeopardizes future of 100,000 Rohingya schoolchildren', *Dhaka Tribune*, 18 February 2018

Majidi, Nassim (2010) Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan, Remmm.

Marsh, Sarah (2019), Bangladesh prepares to move Rohingya to island at risk of floods and cyclones', *The Guardian*, 19 July 2019;

Matthew Willner-Reid (2017) 'Afghanistan: Displacement Challenges in a Country on the Move', Migration Policy Institute. Available at: <www.migrationpolicy.org/article/afghanistan-displacement-challenges-country-move>.

Maw, Zeyar (2019), 'Rakhine State government bans NGO visits to conflict areas', *Mizzima*, 12 January 2019

McPherson, Poppy (2018), 'U.N. will not help Myanmar with long-term camps for Rohingya – document', *Reuters*, 13 November 2018

McPherson, Poppy and Ruma Paul (2019), 'Rohingya 'lost generation' struggle to study in Bangladesh camps', *Reuters*, 18 March 2019

McPherson, Poppy, Simon Lewis, Thu Thu Aung, Shoon Naing and Zeba Siddiqui (2018), 'Erasing The Rohingya: Point of No Return', *Reuters Investigates*, 18 December 2018

Metcalfe, V et al (2011): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Nairobi', Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, London.

Metcalfe, V et al (2012): Sanctuary in the City? Urban Displacement and Vulnerability in Kabul', Humanitarian Policy Group, ODI, Working Paper, London.

Miliband, D (2019) 'A Battle Plan for the World Bank', *Foreign Affairs*.

Milner, I and Loescher, G (2011) 'Responding to Protracted Refugee Situations: Lessons from a Decade of Discussion', Forced Migration Policy Briefing 6, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

Milner, J (2014) 'Can Global Refugee Policy Leverage Durable Solutions? Lessons from Tanzania's Naturalization of Burundian Refugees', *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 27, Issue 4.

Milner, James and Gil Loescher (2011) 'Responding to Protracted Refugee Situations: Lessons from a Decade of Discussion', Forced Migration Policy Briefing 6, Refugee Studies Centre, University of Oxford.

Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Government of Bangladesh, 'In view of Myanmar's repeated claim that they are prepared to receive the displaced persons and the recent interactions of a high-level delegation from Myanmar with the representatives of displaced people at camps in Cox's Bazar on 27-28 July 2019 to convince the

displaced people to return, Bangladesh agreed to facilitate the commencement of repatriation on 22 August 2019', 25 August 2019, https://mofa.gov.bd/site/press_release/gao82f55-d146-4af9-a403-9a6a3063d73a

Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India (2004), Annual Report 2003-04.

Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India (2009), Annual Report 2008-09.

Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India (2018), Annual Report 2017-18.

Ministry of Home Affairs, Government of India (2019), Annual Report 2018-19.

Ministry of Information, Republic of the Union of Myanmar, 'Repatriation of Displaced Persons from Bangladesh did not occur as scheduled', 23 August 2019, <https://www.moi.gov.mm/moi:eng/?q=announcement/23/08/2019/id-18845>

Ministry of Law and Justice, Government of India (2019), The Jammu and Kashmir Reorganisation Act, 2019, 9 August 2019, <http://egazette.nic.in/WriteReadData/2019/210407.pdf>

Minority Rights Group International, *World Directory of Minorities and Indigenous Peoples - Afghanistan: Hazaras*, 2008, available at: <www.refworld.org/docid/49749d693d.html>.

Mir Liyaqat Ali (2017), 'One Pandit family has returned to Kashmir since govt announced rehabilitation package in 2008', *Kashmir Reader*, 19 May 2017

Mirchandani, Maya (2019), 'The Kashmir gambit: Economic empowerment, political disempowerment?', *India Matters*, Observer Research Foundation, 16 September 2019

Monsutti, Alessandro (2008) 'Afghan Migratory Strategies and the Three Solutions to the Refugee Problem', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 27, Issue 1.

Mustafa, Faizan (2019), 'Explained: What are Articles 370 and 35A?', *Indian Express*, 6 August 2019

Myanmar Ministry of Planning and Finance, World Bank and UNDP (2019), 'Myanmar Living Conditions Survey 2017', June 2019, <http://documents.worldbank.org/curated/en/921021561058201854/pdf/Myanmar-Living-Condition-Survey-2017-Report-3-Poverty-Report.pdf>

Nassim Majidi (2010) Home sweet home! Repatriation, reintegration and land allocation in Afghanistan, Remmm. Available at: <www.journals.openedition.org/remmm/8098>.

Newhouse, L (2012) 'Urban Attractions: Returnee Youth, Mobility and the Search for a Future in South Sudan's Regional Towns', *New Issues in Refugee Research Paper*, No. 232. UNHCR Geneva.

New York Times, '24 Hindus Are Shot Dead in Kashmiri Village', 24 March 2003.

Norwegian Refugee Council (2012) Challenges of IDP Protection: Research study on the protection of internally displaced persons in Afghanistan.

Olivier-Mensah, C and Scholl-Schneider, S (2016) 'Transnational Return? On the Interrelation of Family, Remigration and Transnationality – An Introduction', *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 6.

Omata, N (2011) 'Repatriation is Not for Everyone: The Life and Livelihoods of Former Refugees in Liberia', *New Issues in Refugee Research Working Paper*, No. 213. UNCHR/PDES, Geneva.

Omata, N and Takahashi, N (2018) 'Promoting the Economic Reintegration of Returnees Through Vocational Training: Lessons from Liberia', *Development in Practice*, Volume 28, Issue 8.

Omata, Naohiko (2013) Repatriation and Integration of Liberian Refugees from Ghana: the Importance of Personal Networks in the Country of Origin, *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 26, Issue 2.

Osasumwen Olanrewaju, F, Femi Omotoso and Joshua Olaniyi Alabi (2018) Datasets on the challenges of forced displacement and coping strategies among displaced women in selected Internally Displaced Persons' (IDPs)camps in Nigeria, Data in Brief 20.

Outlook (2010), 'J&K Govt to Allot 4,200 Flats to Kashmiri Pandit Migrants', 15 October 2010

Oxfam (2012) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons: Challenges in Eastern Chad', Joint Briefing Paper

Oxfam (2018) *Returning to Fragility: Exploring the Link between Conflict and Returnees in Afghanistan*, Oxfam Research Report.

Özerdem, Alpaslan & Abdul Hai Sofizada (2006) 'Sustainable reintegration to returning refugees in post-Taliban Afghanistan: land-related challenges', *Conflict, Security & Development*, 6:1.

Patinkin, Jason (2018), 'A lost generation: No education, no dreams for Rohingya refugee children', *The New Humanitarian*, 28 May 2018

Press Information Bureau of India (2014), 'Rehabilitation of Kashmiri Pandits', 15 July 2014, <http://pib.nic.in/newsite/PrintRelease.aspx?relid=106628>

Protection Problems in Kabul Informal Settlements (KIS), Danish Refugee Council, October 2012.

Prunier, Gerald (2011) *Africa's World War: Congo, the Rwandan Genocide, and the Making of a Continental Catastrophe*. Oxford University Press: New York.

Purkey, A (2016) Justice, Reconciliation, and Ending Displacement: Legal Empowerment and Refugee Engagement in Transitional Processes, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 35, Issue 4.

Raina, Neelam (2009), 'Women, Craft and the Post Conflict Reconstruction of Kashmir', PhD thesis, De Montfort University, available at <https://www.dora.dmu.ac.uk/xmlui/handle/2086/8122>.

Rajput, Sudha G (2016), 'Transitional policies and durable solutions for displaced Kashmiri Pandits', *Forced Migration Review*, Vol. 52, May 2016

Reed, S (2009) 'Land and Property: Challenges and Opportunities for Returnees and Internally Displaced People in Afghanistan', prepared for the Norwegian Refugee Council.

Religious Literacy Project, 'The Rohingya', Harvard Divinity School, <https://rlp.hds.harvard.edu/faq/rohingya>

Reuters (2018), 'Myanmar army chief says 'no right to interfere' as U.N. weighs Rohingya crisis', 24 September 2018

Reuters Graphics (2018), 'A remote home for the Rohingya', 31 December 2018, <http://fingfx.thomsonreuters.com/gfx/rngs/MYANMAR-ROHINGYA/010060Z21XP/index.html>

Ruiz, I, Melissa Siegel Carlos Vargas-Silva, *Forced Up or Down? The Impact of Forced Migration on Social Status* (2015) *Journal of Refugee Studies*, Volume 28, Issue 2.

Sarabi, Humayun (2006) 'Politics and Modern History of Hazaras: Sectarian Politics in Afghanistan', The Fletchers School, Tufts University.

Schmeidl, S (2009) 'Repatriation to Afghanistan: Durable Solution or Responsibility Shifting?', *Forced Migration Review*, 33.

Schuster, Liza (2017) *Risks on Return of Hazara Asylum Seekers*. Anonymised report prepared for Immigration Tribunal, City University of London.

Schuster, Liza, and Nassim Majidi. 2015. "Deportation Stigma and Re-migration," *Journal of Ethnic and Migration Studies*, 41: 4.

Seekins, Donald M (2006) *Historical Dictionary of Burma (Myanmar)* Historical Dictionaries of Asia, Oceania and the Middle East, No. 59.

Shah, Khalid (2019), 'Kashmir: The situation is abnormally "normal"', *India Matters*, Observer Research Foundation, 17 September 2019

Sharan, Timor (2016) 'Why Europe's Plan to Send Afghan Refugees Back Won't Work', *Politico*. Available at: <www.politico.eu/article/europe-afghan-refugees-back-repatriation-timor-sharan-icg/>.

Situation of IDPs and returnees in Afghanistan: UNHCR Asst. Representative, Churches Commission for Migrants in Europe and Protestant Church in the Netherlands, based on presentations at the EASO Practical Conference on Afghanistan (2-3 December 2015).

Slodkowski, Antoni, Wa Lone, Simon Lewis and Krishna Das (2017), 'How a two-week army crackdown reignited Myanmar's Rohingya crisis', *Reuters Investigates*, 25 April 2017

Sonja, F and Kuschminder, K (2014) 'Lessons Learned from Refugee Return Settlement Policies: A Case Study on Burundi's Rural Integrated Villages', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 33, Issue 1.

South Asia Terrorism Portal (SATP), Yearly Fatalities, Datasheet - Jammu & Kashmir, <https://www.satp.org/datasheet-terrorist-attack/fatalities/india-jammukashmir>.

Spink, Pete (2004) 'A Closing Window? Are Afghanistan's IDPs being Forgotten?', *FMR* 21.

Sri Lanka Navy (2017), 'Navy assures to release approx 100 acres of land for the Mullikulam public', 29 April 2017, <https://news.navy.lk/eventnews/2017/04/29/201704291945/>

Sriyananda, Shanika (2018), 'Army to release over 263 acres of north east land to owners before 31 Dec', *Daily Financial Times*, 19 December 2018

Starup, K (2014) 'Key Drivers for Displacement'. Roundtable on Solutions.

State Councillor's Office (2017), Republic of the Union of Myanmar, National Verification Card-NVC Process Stepped Up In Maungta', 6 November 2017, <https://www.statecounsellor.gov.mm/en/node/1233>

Strangio, Sebastian (2014), 'Talk is suddenly cheap in Myanmar – and that could be costly', *Christian Science Monitor*, 15 August 2014

Strategic Executive Group (2019), 2019 Joint Response Plan for Rohingya Humanitarian Crisis - January to December

Sunday Times (2018), 'President instruct officials to ensure return of civilian lands in N&E by Dec 1', 4 October 2018

Syprose, A, Solomon, A, Cenerini, C and di Grazia, A (2014) How to Deal with People in Post Displacement – Reintegration: The Welcoming Capacity Approach, Land and Water Division Working Paper 7, Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

The Economist (2007) "Coming up from the bottom." Vol. 382, issue 8516.

The Forced Displacement Crisis: A Joint Paper by Multilateral Development Banks', a report written by a group of multilateral development banks, 2017.

Thiranagama, Sharika (2009), 'A New Morning? Reoccupying Home in the Aftermath of Violence in Sri Lanka', in *Struggles for Home: Violence, Hope and the Movement of People*, eds. Stef Jansen and Staffan Lofving, pp. 129–48 (Oxford: Berghahn Books)

Thussu, Mahima 'Frozen displacement: Kashmiri Pandits in India', *Forced Migration Review*, Vol. 48, November 2014

Tuathail, G and John O'Loughlin (2009) 'After Ethnic Cleansing: Return Outcomes in Bosnia- Herzegovina a Decade Beyond War', *Annals of the Association of American Geographers* 99, No. 5.

Turton, D and P Marsden (2002) 'Taking Refugees for a Ride? The Politics of Refugee Return to Afghanistan', AREU, Kabul.

UN (2011) Durable Solutions: Follow-up to the Secretary General's 2009 Report on Peacebuilding.

UNHCR (2013) 'Assessment of Livelihood Opportunities for Returnees/Internally Displaced Persons and Host Communities in Afghanistan', IOM.

UNHCR (2017) Global Trends: Forced Displacement in 2017.

UNHCR (2017), 'Tough Choices for Afghan Refugees Returning Home After Years in Exile', February 2017. Available at: <www.unhcr.org/news/briefing/2017/2/589453557/tough-choices-afghan-refugees-returning-home-years-exile.html>.

UNHCR (2018), 'Solutions Strategy for Afghan Refugees: Enhancing Co-Existence through Greater Responsibility Sharing: 2018-2019, October 2018.

UNHRC (2010) Framework on Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons, Addendum to the Report of the Representative of the Secretary-General on the human rights of internally displaced persons, Walter Kälin, Thirteenth Session.

UNHRC (2012) 'The State of the World's Refugees: In Search for Solidarity'.

UNHRC (2015), 'Resolution adopted by the Human Rights Council on 1 October 2015 - 30/1. Promoting reconciliation, accountability and human rights in Sri Lanka', 14 October 2015, <http://daccess-ods.un.org/access.nsf/Get?Open&DS=A/HRC/RES/30/1&Lang=E>

UNHRC (2018), 'Oral update of the High Commissioner for Human Rights on Situation of human rights of Rohingya people', 3 July 2018, https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session38/Documents/A_HR_38_CRP.2.docx

UNHRC (2018), 'Report of the independent international fact-finding mission on Myanmar', 12 September 2018, https://www.ohchr.org/Documents/HRBodies/HRCouncil/FFM-Myanmar/A_HRC_39_64.pdf

UNICEF (2019), 'More than 145,000 Rohingya refugee children return to school in Bangladesh refugee camps as new school year starts', 24 January 2019, <https://www.unicef.org/press-releases/more-145000-rohingya-refugee-children-return-school-bangladesh-refugee-camps-new>

UNHRC (2019), 'Situation of human rights of Rohingya in Rakhine State, Myanmar; Report of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights', 11 March 2019, https://www.ohchr.org/EN/HRBodies/HRC/RegularSessions/Session40/Documents/A_HRC_40_37.docx

United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA) 'Civilian Deaths from Afghan Conflict in 2018 at Highest Recorded Level', February 2019. Available at: <www.unama.unmissions.org/sites/default/files/24_february_2019_-_civilian_deaths_from_afghan_conflict_in_2018_at_highest_recorded_level_-_un_report_english.pdf>.

United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), 'Afghanistan Annual Report on Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict: 2016', February 2017.

United Nations Assistance Mission in Afghanistan (UNAMA), 'Quarterly Report on the Protection of Civilians in Armed Conflict: 1 January To 30 September 2017', October 2017.

UNOCHA, 'Rohingya Refugee Crisis', <https://www.unocha.org/rohingya-refugee-crisis>

US Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor, 'Country Reports on Human Rights Practices for 2017: Afghanistan', US State Department, <https://www.state.gov/j/drl/rls/hrrpt/humanrightsreport/index.htm?year=2017&dliid=277275#wrapper>

Weima, Y (2017) 'Refugee Repatriation and Ongoing Transnationalisms', *Transnational Social Review*, Volume 7, Issue 1.

Weiss Fagen, Patricia (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs after Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home', Special Report 268, *United States Institute of Peace*.

- Weiss, P (2011) 'Refugees and IDPs After Conflict: Why They Do Not Go Home', Special Report 268, *United States Institute of Peace*.
- Widmalm, Sten (1997), 'The Rise and Fall of Democracy in Jammu and Kashmir', *Asian Survey*, Vol. 37, No. 11, pp. 1005-1030;
- Winterbotham, Emily (2011) Healing Complexes and Moving Forward in Ghazni Province, AREU, Kabul. Available at: <www.areu.org.af/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/1126E-Legacies-of-Conflict-Ghazni-CS-2011.pdf>.
- Winterbotham, Emily with Fauzia Rahimi (2011), 'Legacies of Conflict: Healing Complexes and Moving Forwards in Bamiyan Province', AREU Case Study Series, October 2011, <https://areu.org.af/wp-content/uploads/2016/02/1125E-Legacies-of-Conflict-Bamiyan-CS-2011.pdf>
- World Bank, 'Concessional Financing for Refugees and Host Communities in Middle Income Countries', Case Study, 2016. Available at: <www.pubdocs.worldbank.org/en/802571523387514211/case-study-Financial-Products-concessional-financing-for-refugees-and-host-communities.pdf>.
- Yacob-Haliso, O (2016) 'Intersectionality and Durable Solutions for Refugee Women in Africa', *Journal of Peacebuilding and Development*, Volume 11.
- Yarnell, M and A Thomas (2014) 'Between A Rock and A Hard Place: Somali Refugees in Kenya', *Refugees International*.
- Zabriskie, Phil (2008) 'Hazaras: Afghanistan's Outsiders.' *National Geographic*.
- Zeender, G and McCallin, B (2013) 'Durable Solutions for Internally Displaced Persons in Burundi Within Reach', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 32, Issue 1.
- Zetter, R (2011) 'Unlocking the protracted Displacement of Refugees and Internally Displaced Persons: An Overview', *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 30, Issue 4.