Case Number: 2408488/15 (presented 30 October 2015) & 2400171/19



## 2408488/15 (presented on 30 October 2015):<br/>Mr D P HoppeRespondent:H M Revenue and Customs2400171/19:<br/>Claimant:Mr D P HoppeRespondents:1) H M Revenue and Customs<br/>2) MyCSP<br/>3) Health Management Ltd<br/>4) Cabinet Office<br/>5) Minister for Civil Service

## JUDGMENT ON APPLICATION FOR RECONSIDERATION

The claimant's application for reconsideration dated 13 January 2020 is refused because there is no reasonable prospect of the Judgment on Preliminary Hearing being varied or revoked.

## REASONS

- 1. The claimant has written to the Tribunal by email dated 13 January 2020, making an Application for Review and Clarification" of the order dated 3 January 2020. This is in effect an application for reconsideration of the Judgment at a Preliminary Hearing sent to the parties on 3 January 2020.
- 2. No representations have been received or sought from the respondents.
- 3. The grounds for reconsideration are only those set out in Rule 70 of the Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013, namely that it is necessary in the interests of justice to do so.
- 4. The claimant's application extends to 75 paragraphs and covers over 13 pages in dealing with the 2 sets of proceedings. It amounts to a major criticism disputing the conclusions of the Tribunal and repeatedly seeking further explanation, justification and clarification of many of the decisions reached in it and takes issue with the measures the Tribunal sought to put in

## Case Number: 2408488/15 (presented 30 October 2015) & 2400171/19

place to enable the claimant's engagement at the Preliminary Hearing. However, the purpose of Rule 70 is not to afford another opportunity for a party to present its arguments, but to enable a review in the sense of the Tribunal giving reconsideration in appropriate circumstances where the interests of justice genuinely do demand it. Rule 70 is not a substitute for the procedure whereby any party may appeal to the Employment Appeal Tribunal in cases where there are arguable errors of law.

5. Accordingly, pursuant to Rule 72(1), the application for reconsideration is refused because there is no reasonable prospect of the Judgment being varied or revoked.

Regional Employment Judge Parkin

Dated 5 February 2020

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

12 February 2020

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE