

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Case No 3325693/2017				
Claimant			Respondents	
Mr A Tayel	v	 (1) East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust (formerly (1) Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust (2) & Colchester Hospital University NHS Foundation Trust) (3) West Suffolk Hospital NHS Foundation Trust (4) Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust 		
Case No 3305873/2018 Claimant Respondents				
Mr A Tayel		v	(1) East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust (formerly (1) Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust) (2) Public Health England	
Heard at:	Bury St Edmunds		On: 22 November 2019	
Before:	Employment Judge Laidler			
Appearances For the Claima	ant:	In person.		

For the Respondents: Ms R Tuck, Counsel.

CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013

Under the provisions of Rule 69 the Reserved Judgment sent to the parties on the 13 December 2019 is corrected to show that:

- 1. Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust and Colchester University NHS Foundation Trust have merged into one organisation called East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust, and
- 2. The award in case number **3305873/2018** was against Ipswich Hospital now East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust.

RESERVED JUDGMENT ON THE RESPONDENTS' APPLICATION FOR COSTS

- 1. The claimant has acted vexatiously, abusively, disruptively or otherwise unreasonably in either the bringing and conducting of the proceedings and/or the claims had no reasonable prospect of success.
- 2. **Case No 3325693/2017 -** The claimant is ordered to pay two thirds of the respondents' costs as calculated on a detailed assessment.
- **3. Case No 3305873/2018 –** the claimant is ordered to pay the costs of East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust (formerly Ipswich Hospital NHS Trust) assessed at £10,000.

Employment Judge Laidler

Date:24/1/2020

Sent to the parties on: 28/1/2020

For the Tribunal Office

Important note to parties

Any dates for the filing of appeals or reviews are not changed by this certificate of correction and the corrected judgment. These time limits still run from the date of the original judgment, or original judgment with reasons when appealing.