



**Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Personal Services (Army) – PS12(A),**

Telephone
Facsimile

Military
Facsimile

Email Ext
Email Int

ARMY

Reference: D/DPS(A)/25/1/1/PS12(A)




See Distribution

Date: 23 Nov 10

**RECORD OF DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS OF THE 344th MEETING OF THE ARMY
DRESS COMMITTEE HELD IN HEAD QUARTERS LAND FORCES ANDOVER ON
WEDNESDAY 17TH NOVEMBER JULY 2010**





Present	Maj Gen AR Gregory [Redacted]	DG Pers SO1 Log Sp HQLF Female Rep SO1 PS12(A) AcSM RMAS Sec ADC PS12(A)	Chairman Secretary
In attendance	[Redacted]	P & C Mgr, DC Team DC P&CT RM3 DC Team DC P&CT RM Ceremonial DC Team Army Historical Advisor SO2 Mat Sp HQLF WO1 Mat Sp Log Sp HQLF 2ic 18 (SC) Regt R SIGNALS COS DC T	
Apologies	[Redacted]	AD Media & Comm (A) AD Log Sp HQLF	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
Item 1. Welcome	The Chairman welcomed everyone to the 344 th meeting of the Army Dress Committee.	
Item 2. Minutes of 343rd ADC	Approved	
Item 3. Matters Arising	There were no matters arising.	
Item 4. Record of OOC Decisions	Qualification Badges. a. DEODO Badge. The Committee discussed the	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>proposal and justification for the Defence EOD Operators badge and agreed the design below. The badge was approved with funding from HQLF on the following provisos:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It was only to be worn on Combat Uniform (CU) 2. Exceptionally and for operational reasons approved the wearing of the badge by all ranks but only by those who are serving with one of the operational EOD units. The badge was to be removed on posting. <div data-bbox="676 555 874 685" style="text-align: center;">  </div> <p>Decision. Decision ratified. Decision 4228</p> <p>b. Defence HUMINT Handlers Badge. Although the OOC proposal had recommended that this badge was not approved, on presentation of further details, the Committee approved the badge shown below funded by HQLF but worn on parade orders of dress only. It was to be worn according to the conditions in Part 9 of Army Dress Regulations and subject to the limitations detailed in Section 3. It could therefore be worn on posting away from DHU but only by certain ranks.</p> <div data-bbox="802 1151 919 1330" style="text-align: center;">  </div> <p>Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4229</p> <p>Formation Flash. The Committee ratified without further comment the Formation Flash shown below proposed by the Initial Training Group (ITG).</p> <div data-bbox="743 1554 963 1760" style="text-align: center;">  </div> <p>The Formation Flash was not to be provided from public funds.</p> <p>Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4230</p>	<p>EinC DRLC</p> <p>CO DHU</p> <p>HQ ITG</p>
<p>Item 5. [REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED]</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p> <p>[REDACTED]</p>	<p>[REDACTED] HQ SOinC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 6. Gurkha SPS Cap Badge</p>	<p>With the formation of the Gurkha SPS company in Jun 11, the Committee had been asked to approve the design of a new cap badge. The Committee had already seen preliminary designs and had provided guidance and advice. The Committee agreed that the design shown below followed all the advice and noted that the College of Arms was content. The Committee therefore approved the design without further comment and asked the Secretary to pass the design formally to the College of Arms for the approval of The Sovereign.</p> <div data-bbox="769 1451 938 1662" data-label="Image"> </div> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4232</p>	<p>HQ BG</p> <p>HQ AGC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 7. Senior Officers Dress</p>	<p>At the last ADC meeting, the issue of the apparent disregard of General Staff (GS) dress regulations by senior officers of the Army was discussed. The Army Historical Advisor was invited to review what was fundamental to GS Dress Regulations in order to ensure consistency of dress among senior officers and proper use of the various promotion grants. He and the Secretary presented the options which were:</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>a. Do Nothing b. Reinforce existing regulations c. Allow Colonels to continue to wear regimental and corps dress but with GS accoutrements ie forage cap, cap badge and gorgets with an option to wear the GS Mess Kit (at their own expense) or their previous corps mess kit. Brigs and General Officers would wear the full GS uniform according to the existing regulations but would be provided with an adequate promotion grant to cover the essential items.</p> <p>The Committee agreed that Option A was not feasible as many senior officers were drawing the promotion grant but not wearing the correct dress either out of ignorance or because they chose to ignore the regulations. This could lead to accusations of fraud. The Committee also noted that the current promotion grants were totally inadequate and did not match the requirements of dress regulations. Therefore if Option B was selected, additional funding would be required which would be very unlikely to be forthcoming, very difficult to substantiate in the current financial climate and undoubtedly would lead to adverse publicity.</p> <p>The Committee therefore agreed that Option C was both feasible and logical. The Committee noted that promotion grants could be re-aligned to provide the essential items for each rank within the existing funding. If this option was supported by CGS, detailed dress regulations and guidance on dress would be provided to each officer on promotion to and within the General Staff.</p> <p>The Chairman asked the Secretary to provide him with a brief recommending Option C for CGS' endorsement</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 8. R IRISH Stable Belt and TRF</p>	<p>The R IRISH Dress Committee proposed changes to the authorised designs of their stable belt and TRF as shown below. The Committee was reluctant to approve the changes as the Committee felt that recognition of antecedent regiments that were shown in the existing authorised belt had been lost and both the belt and TRF were too focused towards the 1st Bn's role with 16 Air Asslt Bde. The Committee also felt that the belt was too similar to the RIFLES stable belt.</p> <p>The Committee therefore asked to view actual examples of both the belt and the TRF at the next meeting and for the Sec to find out the views of the RIFLES.</p>	<p>RHQ R IRISH</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	 <p>Decision. Not Approved by ADC. Decision 4233</p>	
<p>Item 9. MPGS No 2 Dress Accoutrements</p>	<p>HQ AGC (SPS) had put forward a proposal at a previous meeting for designs for MPGS collar badges and buttons for No 2 Dress. The Committee did not wish to approve designs for accoutrements for an order of dress that the MPGS were not authorised to wear. However the Committee learned that HQLF Log Sp had agreed to sell a pool of 50 No 2 Dress uniforms to HQ AGC (SPS) at non-public expense and to reduce costs, HQ AGC (SPS) had decided that MPGS would wear standard SPS buttons. Consequently members were content to approve the proposed designs of the collar badges shown below (lion facing outwards on each) but not at public expense.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;"> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Figure 2 Proposed MPGS collar badge (left).</p> </div> <div style="text-align: center;">  <p>Figure 3 Proposed MPGS collar badge (right).</p> </div> </div> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4234</p>	<p>HQ AGC</p>
<p>Item 10. AGC AWW TRF & Rank Slides</p>	<p>Army Welfare Workers (AWW) currently wear the AGC(SPS) variation of TRF and rank slide. This has led to some confusion, in particular with patients at RCDM and DMRC Headley Court, in that AWW are being asked Cbt HR Spec type questions which they are unable to answer. HQ AGC (SPS) proposed that AWW wore a different TRF as shown below with the words “AWW” on their rank slides to differentiate them from others. The Committee agreed to the TRF though with green lettering and to the rank slide.</p> <div style="text-align: center;">  </div> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4235</p>	<p>HQ AGC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
<p>Item 11. Household Cavalry Mounted Regiment No 2 Dress Breeches</p>	<p>The Committee heard that the military issued breech for all Mounted Troops Other Ranks has been in service for over 30 years. Many HCMR personnel found them uncomfortable to wear as the fabric became abrasive, change colour and stretched in the seat after washing and continual use. The “comfort fit” of the new FAD No 2 Dress jacket was welcomed but the smarter appearance of the FAD jacket was ruined by troops on parade wearing a multitude of coloured breeches; this was considered unacceptable as troops spend most of their time in this order of dress. HCMR soldiers (up to WO2s) were issued with 2 x pairs of breeches but many were exchanged within 5 months of issue due to shrinkage and colour degradation issues.</p> <p>HCMR proposed a new design of breeches with different material from a number of designs from commercial sources. HCMR had trialled 2 that were the most suitable and found that they showed no colour change or stretching and were far more durable. One particular design had been approved by both CO HCMR and Silver Stick. However, while the Committee noted that King’s Tp RHA were content with the current design, HCMR was the majority user and therefore the Committee approved both the design and the material of the commercial breeches. The Committee stressed that the preferred option for procurement must be by a formal contractual arrangement through DC T.</p> <p>Existing stock levels of the current pattern were sufficient for some 9 months usage. Preliminary discussions to be arranged between Land and DC T to agree/amend DC specification for breeches and formalise commercial approach. Timescales for subsequent supply to be confirmed at next ADC. Once there was sufficient of the new stock, HCMR were to change over to the new design with any remaining stock used up by King’s Tp and Melton Mowbray.</p> <p>The Committee approved the new design of the Other Ranks issued mounted breeches to be used by all regiments and corps when mounted</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4236</p> <p>Afternote: HQLF Log Sp would be required to produce a Statement of User Requirement (SUR) including the confirmation of funding allocation to</p>	<p>HQ LONDIST</p> <p>HQ LF Log Sp DC T</p> <p>HQLF Log Sp</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	start the contractual process.	
<p>Item 12. FAD Update</p>	<p>HQLF Log Sp reported that all sizing packs were now under the control of Divisional G4 staff. To date some 45,000 uniforms (No 2 Dress and barrack dress) had been issued to the Fd Army and a further 21,000 issued by ITG. HQ ITG was now issuing barrack dress to those leaving Phase 1 training. TA units were now appearing in the issue programme with the Regular Army due to be completed by the end of 2011. As a result, the Chairman directed that no old-style No 2 Dress uniforms were to be worn by anyone in the Regular Army after Jan 2012 except for those about to retire or due to be discharged from the Regular Army. The Chairman suggested that such direction should be issued by DGLS&E but asked AD Log Sp for advice.</p> <p>DG Pers announced that he had recently made £30,000 available to start the trial of 300 FAD raincoats provided that the funding could be formally committed within the FY. DC T expressed concern that there was insufficient time to follow the normal commercial procedures but there may be ways to procure a suitable raincoat using existing workwear contracts. While the Committee had previously endorsed a design, colour and material for the FAD raincoat, the Committee felt that such was the need for the trial of a raincoat, that if an existing raincoat could be adapted and procured within the FY, it would be preferable to no raincoat at all. The Secretary was asked to produce an SUR to start the procedure. The Parade and Ceremonial Manager said that while raincoats were not his department's responsibility, he would investigate the best means of procuring the raincoat for the trial.</p>	<p>All Regtl/Corps HQ</p> <p>HQLF Log Sp</p> <p>Sec ADC</p> <p>DC T</p>
<p>Item 13. Items for Discussion</p>	<p>a. Personal Clothing System (PCS). COS DC T briefed the Committee on the introduction of PCS and the associated Personal Loading Carrying (PLC) system and body armour which together made up PECOC. PCS was an evolutionary design from CS95 with Multi Terrain Pattern (MTP) material and would be introduced before the associated PLC. He described the items that made up the PCS which would be available for operations and training from Apr 11. According to the current funding profile, CS95 would be withdrawn from issue by end of FY 2012. Therefore there would be a "mixed fleet" of combat uniforms during 2011/12 although this would not occur at unit level as issues would be on a unit by unit basis.</p> <p>It was noted that uniforms currently worn in Afghanistan are a transitional uniform based on CS95 but fabricated in</p>	<p>All Regtl/Corps HQ</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>MTP material. COS DC T mentioned that MTP was commercially protected by the MOD and no licenses would be issued. As a result, individuals and PRIs would not be able to source personalised items such as MTP rank slides with regimental/Corps abbreviations. Rank slides worn with PCS would therefore be the issued rank slide with no regimental/Corps indicator or a privately purchased rank slide in a contrasting material. There was concern from HQLF that individuals would cut up parts of their issued uniform so that they could source the MTP cloth for other purposes. This was considered a matter for the chain of command to prevent.</p> <p>There was discussion about the angle that badges would be attached to the pocket on the arms of the PCS smock as the pocket is angled to enable easy access. If badges were attached square to the pocket, they may appear at an odd angle to the observer. PS12 (A) and COS DC T were asked to provide appropriate direction.</p> <p>b. Funding of TRFs and Formation Flashes. The Chairman stated that the clothing and ancillary items that was provided in the “black bag” for operations was widely recognised as being of excellent quality and there were no essential items that soldiers felt they had to provide from their own pockets. However TRFs and Formation Flashes were required on all Combat Uniforms but were not provided at public expense. While not expensive, the fact that individual soldiers had to purchase an item of clothing that they had been ordered to wear was becoming a source of increasing irritation. The Chairman asked HQLF Log Sp to investigate any means of providing both at public expense.</p>	<p>All Regtl/Corps HQ</p> <p>PS12(A) DC T</p> <p>HQLF Log Sp</p>
<p>Item 14. Any Other Business</p>	<p>a. Update on OP BRIDGE Clothing. The Secretary stated that all manning tasks for the most man-power intensive OP BRIDGE had now been allocated which allowed those Corps and Regiments concerned to pre-position all uniforms and accoutrements. This allowed for quick reaction and significantly reduced the tailoring effort on HQ LONDIST. As a result, all Army personal on parade for any BRIDGE operation and in the public eye would be dressed in No 1 Dress (and greatcoats during winter months) which had been the previously stated aspiration of the ADC.</p> <p>b. Poppies. After the recent Remembrance Day activities, it had been noticed that poppies worn in support of the Earl Hague Fund and the RBL were being worn by Army personnel in uniform in a variety of ways. The Secretary was asked to produce clear direction in time for next year’s activities.</p> <p>c. The Committee noted that this was the last meeting to be chaired by Maj Gen Gregory as he would be moving appointments by the time of the next meeting. He was</p>	<p>All</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	thanked for his interest and his acknowledgement of the importance and impact that uniforms have on and within the British Army. The Committee wished him well for the future.	
Item 14. Date of the Next Meeting	<p>The date of the next meeting would be late Feb/early Mar 11 – the date and venue to be confirmed.</p> <p>Afternote: The date of the next meeting has been scheduled for 1100 – 1400 hrs Wed 9th March 2011 venue likely to be HQLF Andover.</p>	

{Original Signed}


 Lt Col (Retd)
 Secretary Army Dress Committee
 for Director General Personnel

Distribution:

External:

Action:

SHAPE (for D/UKNMR(A))
 PJHQ (for DACOS J1)
 HQ LAND FORCES (SO1 Log Sp Availability Mgt)
 HQ ARRC (for G1 Ops SO2)
 HQ NI (for 38X G1 Gen Pol SO2, 38X G4 LogSp SO2 & 38X G4 LogSp BOWO)
 HQ 1 (UK) Armd Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 2 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 3 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 4 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 5 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 6 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ UKSC(G) (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 101 Log Bde (for BOWO)
 HQ 102 Log Bde (for G1-G4 SO3)
 HQ LONDIST (for Log Sp Cer SO2)
 HQ BF Gibraltar (for J1 SO2 & JAOOC)
 HQ BF Cyprus (for COMD SO1 J1 & J4)
 HQ BF South Atlantic Islands (for Staff Assistant J1/J4)
 HQ H Div (for Bde Maj)
 HQ DRAC (for SQM)
 RHQ RA (for Regt Col & Regt Pol SO2)
 RHQ RE (for Regt Col, RSME CONST CW SO3 & Corps RSM)
 RHQ R SIGNALS (for C and H SO1, OC 251SigSqn)
 HQ DINF (for G1 PERSOPS SO2 and RSM)
 HQ Foot Guards (for Div Col)
 HQ Queen's Div (for Lt Col)
 HQ King's Div (for SO2)

HQ Prince of Wales's Div (for SO2)
HQ RIFLES (for Lt Col)
HQ PARA (for SO2)
RHQ SCOTS (for Asst Regtl Sec)
RHQ RIFLES (for Regt Sec)
RHQ R IRISH (for Regt Sec)
RHQ PARA (for Regt Sec)
RHQ Brigade of Gurkhas (for Regt Sec)
RHQ AAC (for Regt Sec)
HQ DSF (for JSFSG)
HQ RACHD (for Staff Chaplain & Senior Chaplain Soldier Training)
RHQ RLC (for Regt Col & Corps Adjt)
HQ AMS (for Regt Sec)
RHQ REME (for Pers Pol SO2)
RHQ AGC (for Plans and Co-ord SO2)
HQ SASC (for Lt Col & SMI)
HQ D INT CORPS (for Corps Adjt)
HQ APTC (for ADJT & CRSM)
HQ DCAMUS (for Corps Sec RO2)
HQ RG (for G1 ComdGp COS)
HQ ARTD (for G1, G4 & Plans SQM)
HQ RMAS (for Adjt & QM)

Information:

RN (for CAP LOG SC OPS POL SO1)
RM (for FLEET Asst Corps Sec)
RAF (for UCPSM1 SO1)
National Army Museum ([REDACTED])

Internal:

Action:

All Committee Members and those in attendance.

Information:

DPS(A)
PS10 (A) (for SO1 and SO2 Allces Policy)
CGS GS (for ArmyStrat SO1b)
D Manning (A) (for SO1 Diversity)
Def PR(A) (for SO2 Profile)
CIO (for CI CMem Analysis 8)
MOD RQMS



**Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Personal Services (Army) – PS12(A),**

[Redacted]

ARMY

Telephone [Redacted]
Facsimile [Redacted]

Military Facsimile [Redacted]

Email Ext [Redacted]
Email Int [Redacted]

See Distribution

D/DPS(A)/25/1/1/PS12(A)

Date: 27 Feb 09

**RECORD OF DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS OF THE 339th MEETING OF THE
ARMY DRESS COMMITTEE HELD IN TRENCHARD BUILDING UPAVON ON
MONDAY 23 FEBRUARY 2009**


Present	Maj Gen AR Gregory [Redacted]	DGPers AD LF HQLF Female Rep SO1 Log Sp Commodities SO1 PS12(A) RA Corps RSM Sec ADC PS12(A)	Chairman
			Secretary
In attendance	[Redacted]	SCLM DC IPT CLO Army DC IPT DPM 1 DC IPT Hist Adv SO2 FAD PS12(A) Log Sp HQLF	
Apologies	[Redacted]	AD Log Sp HQLF Asst Hist Adv AcSM RMAS	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
Item 1. Introduction	The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting.	
Item 2. Minutes of 338th ADC	<p>a. Minutes as a Record. The minutes of the 338th meeting of the ADC were accepted as accurate.</p> <p>b. Matters arising:</p> <p>Item 2. Army Dress Regulations. The Chairman directed that TA dress regulations in Part 7 should be incorporated into the</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>relevant sections of Part 4 removing any perception of separation of the TA from the Regular Army.</p> <p>Item 2. Female No 1 Dress. Chairman directed that the justification to continue with a female open collared No1 Dress from those Corps that wished to continue to wear it must be received by the next ADC meeting.</p> <p>Item 2. Maternity Wear. It was agreed that PS12(A) was best placed to produce the SUR for the Army's maternity wear. The Chairman placed a date of 1st Apr 09 as the target date. [REDACTED] as the Female Focus agreed to provide any assistance and comment.</p> <p>Item 12b. REME Qualification/Employment Badges. At the last ADC, the Chairman asked for a comparison of the current regulations concerning the wearing of the Hammer and Pincer badge and HQ REME's proposed changes. After a brief discussion, HQ REME's proposals were accepted.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4181</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 3. Record of OOC Decisions</p>	<p>There had been 1 Out of Committee Decision since the last ADC meeting.</p> <p>a. Uniform Grants. The review of Uniform Grants had been completed. It had been circulated out of committee and agreed by the Chairman and all committee members. Subsequently the Chairman had signed a letter to A&SDs and the chain of command outlining the reasons behind the review and the new figures. For the record, a copy of his letter is attached to these minutes at Annex A. There was comment that the non-standard Sam Browne grant of £180 was inadequate for some regiments particularly RIFLES and RGR. After a short discussion, it was agreed that uniform grants could not be split into individual regiments and corps and noted that some other regiments' belts cost significantly less than the grant.</p> <p>b. The figures for all grants were ratified and are reproduced at Annex B to these minutes for the record.</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4182	Sec ADC
Item 4. No 1 Dress Trouser Striping	<p>a. It was noted that all the corps and regiments as well as RMAS who currently wear a broad crimson stripe on Full Dress and No 1 Dress had agreed to move to a 1⁷/₈th inch crimson stripe provided that there would be grandfather rights on the old striping It was noted that RMAS wished to convert the whole Academy at one time. The Committee agreed that the following corps and regiments as well as RMAS would move to a 1⁷/₈th inch crimson stripe on Full Dress and No 1 Dress: RMAS, RA, R SIGS, RE, RRF, AAC, REME, AGC and APTC.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4183</p> <p>b. However DC IPT pointed out that a flat fronted trouser required more tailoring to fit a broad range of sizes and therefore could be more costly than a pleated trouser. All agreed with the Historical Advisor that the flat fronted trouser was historically correct and the committee wished to keep to historical accuracy. However DC IPT was asked to report back if the additional tailoring costs associated with a flat fronted trouser were likely to be greater than the saving from rationalising the size of the crimson striping.</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p> <p>DC IPT</p>
Item 5. Aiguillettes and the Royal Cypher.	<p>a. Sec ADC explained the rationale behind the review of entitlements to wear aiguillettes and the Royal Cypher outlining some principles that he had deduced from a study of the 3 Services current entitlements. Using these principles, he had drawn up a new list of entitlements covering all 3 Services that removed the anomalies. He had articulated these principles and anomalies with recommendations as to how they might be overcome in a draft letter to the Lord Chamberlain's Office. While the Committee agreed to the Army's list of entitlements, it was noted that the RAF in particular might not agree to the loss of rights for senior RAF officer's as Administrators of the Sovereign Base Area Cyprus. Therefore the Chairman directed that formal agreement to the revised lists of entitlements from both the RN and the RAF should be received before the letter was passed to the Lord Chamberlain's Office.</p>	Sec ADC
Item 6. Regimental Crest 5 MI Bn (V)	The Committee discussed at some length the proposed crest for 5 MI Bn (V). The Committee noted that, as the crest was not an item of dress, approval	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>of the crest was not a matter for the ADC but a decision for DGS. In passing the decision to DGS, the Chairman wished the views of the ADC to be known. The Committee considered the crest to be very badly designed; the phoenix was poorly represented, in heraldic terms it is inappropriate to have numbers so prominent and mottos should not be left floating but surrounded by a banner of some sort. Most importantly, it was wrong to have the Sovereign's crown subordinate to all other elements of the design.</p>	Sec ADC
<p>Item 7. Medal Ribbons on No 14 Dress.</p>	<p>a. Sec ADC tabled a paper recommending that medal ribbons could be worn in No 14 Dress (Shirt Sleeve order) but not No 13 (Barrack Dress) because neither Jersey Heavy Weight nor regimental jerseys could support the weight of medal brooches without unsightly sagging.</p> <p>b. In discussion, the recommendation was not supported by any committee member. The Committee noted that the aspiration was to allow our soldiers to display their operational experience and honours and decorations more often than at present. Yet the Committee thought that this could be divisive among peers when one has more or less medals than another. If the aspiration was to show this operational experience to the general public, then opportunities would be small. Among those in the Field Army, it would only be the minority of officers and soldiers who worked in HQs who would have the opportunity as the majority worked on vehicle parks and the like when wearing Barrack Dress would be inappropriate. Indeed the opportunities would be even fewer as short sleeve order is only worn for 4 months of the year. The Committee's view was that with the introduction of the new No 2 Dress, this would be a far more appropriate order of dress on which to display medals and the chain of command needed to be encouraged to wear this more often as the Army had in the past. The other 2 Services had both considered this topic in the recent past and rejected it. While the Army could take a unilateral line, it would be a significant break with the Army's traditions and ethos. Taking these factors into account and particularly the potential divisiveness and the few individuals with the opportunities to wear medal ribbons together with the estimated capital cost of £151,000 and annual operating costs of £80,000, the Committee unanimously decided against supporting the paper.</p>	Sec ADC

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>Decision. Not Supported by ADC. Decision 4184</p> <p>c. RASM stated that he was attending the Corps RSM's convention on Fri 27 Feb 09 at which he would bring up the matter and report views from the convention.</p> <p>d. In noting CGS's interested in this matter, the Chairman directed that the paper be passed to AG together with the ADC's view and the view of the Corps RSM's convention.</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p> <p>RASM</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 8. Head Dress and Cap Badge for 32 (Scottish) Signal Regiment (V)</p>	<p>After a short discussion, the Committee approved:</p> <p>a. All officers and soldiers of 32 (Scottish) Sig Regt (V) to wear the TOS in working dress.</p> <p>b. All officers and soldiers to wear the proposed cap badge on glengarrys in parade orders of dress.</p>  <p>c. Officers only to wear the proposed cap badge in working dress.</p> <p>d. These approvals are subject to the proposed cap badge being approved by Garter and the Sovereign.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4185</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 9. School of Infantry Formation Badge.</p>	<p>Noting that the School of Infantry was a 1* star command and that it was not inappropriate for them to wear a Formation Badge, the Committee approved the design of the proposed badge.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4186</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 10. SCOTS Dress proposals.</p>	<p>Withdrawn</p>	
<p>Item 11. Shirt Sleeve Order in</p>	<p>The Committee briefly discussed the issue noting that shortly a Jersey Light Weight was to be introduced.</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
<p>Office Environment</p>	<p>Nevertheless, the Committee directed that it was entirely a matter for the local chain of command to direct whether shirt sleeves could be worn in office environments during the winter months. Whatever the direction, the Committee made the point that it would be inappropriate to wear shirt sleeve order outside during this period.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4187</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 12. Valete to [REDACTED]</p>	<p>As the Army's Historical Advisor's had announced that he wished to retire and this would be his last meeting, SO1 PS12 outlined the Army Historical Advisor's 35 year link with the ADC coming to the post from the NAM. Over the years he had offered proper balanced advice which had nearly always been taken by the Committee. He had bought his first uniform at the age of 11 and his fine collection had been the product of many year's research, work and money but he had taken on the role of Historical Advisor not for remuneration but rather for the love of uniforms and the desire to maintain the long tradition of Army's uniforms. On behalf of past chairman and the many committee members past and present, the SO1 warmly thanked him for his efforts which was endorsed by all Committee members. The Chairman also offered his thanks for his invaluable knowledge and advice. While acknowledging the depth of background and knowledge of the incoming advisor, the Chairman stated that he had real concerns for the future without [REDACTED] guiding hand.</p>	
<p>Item 13. AOB</p>	<p>a. Welsh Guards. The Army Historical Advisor gave advanced notice of proposals shortly to be submitted to the ADC concerning dress aspects of WG WOs to bring their dress into line with other Ft Gd WOs. The Committee were sympathetic to the proposals which could be taken out of committee once they had been formally submitted to the Secretary.</p> <p>Afternote: The Secretary had received the formal proposals and would be submitting them out of committee shortly.</p> <p>b. RMAS Cadre. RASM highlighted an issue concerning the issue of drill boots to those SNCOs attending the RMAS cadre. Current scalings do not allow these SNCOs to be issued with drill boots until they had successfully completed the cadre forcing them to purchase drill boots at the start of the course.</p>	<p>HQLF Log Sp</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>The Committee were of the view that this was a scalings issue and should be resolved by HQLF Log Sp.</p> <p>c. Response from [REDACTED]. A transcript of his response is at Annex C.</p>	
<p>Item 14. Date of the Next Meeting</p>	<p>The next meeting will be held on 16 Jun 09 at 1000 hrs in the Trenchard Room, Upavon.</p> <p>Afternote: The FAD Programme Board will no longer be a separate meeting as FAD policy decisions will now be taken by the ADC. Chair of the FAD Implementation Group will be transferred to HQLF.</p>	

{Original Signed}

[REDACTED]
 Lt Col
 Sec ADC

Annexes:

- A. DG Pers letter ref Initial Officers' Uniform Grants (AG/HQ/1-6-1 dated 19 Feb 09)
- B. Clothing Grant Rates for 2009/10

Distribution:

External:

Action:

SHAPE (for D/UKNMR(A))
 PJHQ (for DACOS J1)
 HQ LAND FORCES (AD Log Sp & Log Sp Mat S02)
 HQ ARRC (for G1 Ops SO2)
 HQ NI (for NI38X G1 Gen Pol SO2, CSS Sup SO2 & NI38X G4 CSS Sup Log Sp BOWO)
 HQ 1 (UK) Armd Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 2 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 3 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 4 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 5 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ UKSC(G) (for G1 & G4 Log Sp Mat DOWO)
 HQ 101 Log Bde (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 102 Log Bde (for G1-G4 SO3)

HQ LONDIST (for Log Sp Cer SO2)
HQ BF Gibraltar (for J1 SO2 & JAOOC)
HQ BF Cyprus (for J1 & J4)
HQ BF South Atlantic Islands (for Staff Assistant J1/J4)
HQ H Div (for Bde Maj)
HQ DRAC (for SQM)
RHQ RA (for Regt Col & Regt Pol SO2)
RHQ RE (for Regt Col & RSME CONST CW SO3)
RHQ R SIGNALS (for Proj S02, OC 251SigSqn)
HQ DINF (for G1 RO2 and RSM)
HQ Foot Guards (for Lt Col)
HQ Queen's Div (for Lt Col)
HQ King's Div (for Lt Col)
HQ Prince of Wales's Div (for Lt Col)
HQ RIFLES (for Lt Col)
HQ PARA (for Lt Col)
RHQ SCOTS (for Asst Regtl Sec)
RHQ R IRISH (for Regt Sec)
RHQ PARA (for Regt Sec)
RHQ Brigade of Gurkhas (for Regt Sec)
RHQ AAC (for Regt Sec)
HQ DSF (for SRR by post & JSFSG)
HQ RACHD
RHQ RLC (for Regt Col & Corps Adjt)
HQ AMS (for Regt Sec)
RHQ REME (for Pers Pol SO2)
RHQ AGC (for Plans and Co-ord SO2 & Regt Sec)
HQ SASC (for CI & SMI)
HQ D INT CORPS (for Corps Adjt)
HQ APTC (for DCIPT CST CLO PAT, HQ APTC SO3-P&P & CRSM)
HQ DCAMUS (for Corps Sec RO2)
HQ RG (for G1 ComdGp COS)
HQ ARTD (for G1 & G4)
HQ RMAS (for AcSM, Adjt & QM)
HQ School of Infantry

Information:

FSPO RN Supply Organisation (for RFA CPO SASFM)
HQ RM (for SO3 RM Band)
RAF (for PTC-AOA-SO1UCPSM1)
National Army Museum (for [REDACTED])
Military Historical Society (for [REDACTED])

Internal:

Action:

All Committee Members and those in attendance.
DPS(A)
PS10 (A) (for SO2 Allces Policy)

Information:

DGS (for Pol SO1b)

DM(A) (for SO2 Diversity)

Def PR(A) (for SO2 Profile)

Info (Exp) Analysis Branch (for Info-CMem3 & Info-CMem11)

MOD RQMS (By post to: [REDACTED])



Headquarters Land Forces



Telephone:
Military Network:
Facsimile:
DII:



See Distribution

Reference: AG/HQ/1-6-1

19 Feb 09

INITIAL OFFICERS' UNIFORM GRANTS – RATE CHANGES

References:

- A. Regulations for Army Allowances and Charges Chapter 15 Annex A.
- B. D/DPS(A)/25/1/1/PS12(A) dated 25 Nov 08.
- C. D/DPS(A)/25/03/00(PS12(A)) dated 8 Jan 09.

Issue

- 1. The development of a number of changes with regard to uniform provision within the Army.

Officers' Uniform Grants

- 2. The introduction of a new all ranks Number 2 Dress has catalysed a review of officers' uniform allowances, some of which have not been updated for many years. The extant authority to pay officers' uniform allowances remains Reference A which will be re-written in the next month. However, I need to inform you of the changes to the initial grants now in order to synchronise them with the release of the new issued Number 2 Dress to the Royal Military Academy Sandhurst (RMAS).

- 3. The new rates, which the Army Dress Committee approved at References B and C, reflect a number of factors:

- a. Direct Entry (DE) Officer Cadets entering RMAS from April 2009 will be issued with the new Number 2 Dress uniform, thereby removing the requirement for them to purchase Number 2 Dress from their Initial Officers' Uniform Allowance.

- b. Future Infantry Structures have resulted in amalgamations of regiments and consequent changes to uniforms. Concurrent with this, the range of uniform available to be issued, negating the requirement for private purchase, has increased. This is particularly true for the Royal Regiment of Scotland.

- c. Most significantly, the original Initial Officers' Uniform Allowance has not increased since 1994. The rate has been insufficient to fund the uniform required of a newly commissioned officer for some time. The results have been that most young officers have incurred significant personal expense. Even when the Number 2 Dress

requirement is removed, the revised Initial Officers' Uniform Grant (see para 4a) will only just cover the privately purchased uniform requirements of a newly commissioned officer.

4. **Revised Grants.** The initial grants payable on commissioning will now be:

a. **Initial Officers' Uniform Grant.** The Initial Officers' Uniform Grant replaces the former Initial Officer's Uniform Allowance; its name is designed to reflect the one-off nature of payment. It will be paid tax free on commissioning to all Regular Army Officers, DE and Late Entry (LE) alike. For most officers the grant will be £2122. Officers commissioned into regiments which do not require the purchase of a peaked forage cap will receive slightly less¹. Officers commissioned into the SCOTS will receive a unique rate because their uniform requirements differ significantly from all other cap-badges. Annex A to this letter shows the new rates for the Initial Officers Uniform Grant.

b. **Non-Standard Sam Browne Grant.** Whilst the issued Sam Browne will be suitable for most regiments and corps, certain cap-badges require a non-standard Sam Browne. Officers commissioned into the cap-badges listed at Annex B to this letter will be entitled to claim an additional grant of £180 to contribute to the purchase of a non-standard Sam Browne.

5. **Timing.** The revised Initial Officers' Uniform Grant and Non-Standard Sam Browne Grant will become effective as follows:

a. **DE Officers.** Officer Cadets and Professionally Qualified Officers (PQOs) entering RMAS from 1st April 2009 will be entitled to the revised rates of Initial Officers Uniform Grant. Those who entered RMAS before 1st April 2009 will remain on the old rates of Initial Officers' Uniform Allowance.

b. **LE Officers.** LE Officers commissioned from 1st April 2009 will be entitled to the revised Initial Officers' Uniform Grant.

While Officers are at RMAS on both the old and new rates, there could be occasions where individuals commissioning together will have received different sums. While this is not ideal, having examined all the transition arrangements, I am clear this is the best option.

SNCO's Mess Dress Grant

6. A grant for the purchase of SNCO Mess Kit will be available for all newly promoted Sergeants with effect 1st April 2009

7. The grant will be paid as a one-off grant for all Corporals promoted to acting or substantive Sergeant. It will also include Lance Corporals of Horse and Lance Sergeants when appointed to the Warrant Officers and Sergeants' Mess in Household Division units. The grant is for regular Service personnel only and does not include the Territorial Army. There will be 3 different rates as follows:

a.	HCav and RAC	£835.
b.	SCOTS	£550.

¹ The reduced grant for non peaked-cap regiments does include the cost of an additional beret/headdress.

c. All others

£455.

8. While it would be desirable to have some retrospective element to this new grant, that is not possible. Nevertheless, the provision of this grant for all those promoted after 1 Apr 09 is a considerable improvement to previous conditions of service and is a key part of the strategy to re-enfranchise middle-management. It will be the subject of an IC Campaign once the final points of detail have been agreed.

The Future

9. The rationalisation of the officer allowances into the Initial Officers' Uniform Grant has brought a logic and robustness to an area where this was previously lacking. The provision of a grant to reflect the cost of purchasing Mess Dress on promotion to SNCO rights a long standing issue. There is more work being undertaken on, for example, TA uniform grants; this will be promulgated shortly.



A R Gregory
Maj Gen
DG Pers

Annexes:

- A. Initial Officers' Uniform Grant Rates.
- B. Non Standard Sam Browne Grant.

Distribution:

GOC 1 (UK) Armd Div	GOC LONDIST	DG ART
GOC 2 Div	GOC HQ NI & 38 Bde	COS RF
GOC 3 (UK) Div	GOC Th Tps	COS Fd Army
GOC 4 Div	Comd JHC	LF List G – A&SD
GOC 5 Div	DGTS	
GOC 6 Div	ACOS J1/J4 PJHQ	
GOC UKSC(G)	Comdt RMAS	

Copy to:

MA2/CGS	MA/CRF	DG LS&E
MA/CinC	MA/CFA	DG Sec
MA/AG	MA/CM(BM)	DG Res
MA/COM(L)	COS LF	

Initial officer's Uniform Grant Rates

Officer Cadets entering RMAS from 1st April 2009 will be entitled to the following grants for the purchase of Number 10 (Mess) Dress and regimental accoutrements. These rates will also apply to Late Entry Officers commissioned from 1st April 2009.

Ser	Category	On first being commissioned and on being recommissioned after a break of 4 years or more
(a)	(b)	(c)
1	Non-peaked cap Regiments/Corps: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • RTR • AAC • RRF • PARA • SAS • Int Corps 	£1900
2	SCOTS	£2000
3	All others Including PQO	£2122

The grant will be for the full amount and the presentation of receipts will not be required, although this is advisable for insurance purposes. This grant can only be claimed once by any individual during his or her service.

Non-Standard Sam Browne Grant

Where the wearing of a non-standard Sam Browne or equivalent has been approved by the Army Dress Committee, a grant of £180 may be claimed by officers commissioned into the following:

Ser (a)	Regt/Corps (b)
1	Household Cavalry
2	1 st The Queen's Dragoon Guards
3	The Royal Scots Dragoon Guards
4	The Royal Dragoon Guards
5	The Queen's Royal Hussars
6	9 th /12 th Royal Lancers
7	The King's Royal Hussars
8	The Light Dragoons
9	The Queen's Royal Lancers
10	The Royal Regiment of Artillery
11	The Royal Regiment of Scotland
12	The Princess of Wales Royal Regiment
13	The Royal Irish Regiment
14	The Royal Gurkha Rifles
15	The Rifles
16	The Royal Army Chaplains Department
17	Queen's Gurkha Officers

The grant will be for the full amount and the presentation of receipts will not be required, although this is advisable for insurance purposes. This grant can only be claimed once by any individual during his or her service.

PUBLIC DUTIES – FULL MOUNTED GRANT

Officers of the Household Cavalry and King's Troop RHA on first posting to Full Mounted Duty and being supplied with, or having to ride, a horse as part of their duties (not having previously drawn an allowance or grant).

2 prs Riding Boots + trees	£1800
No 1 Dress Jacket x 2	£ 950
No 1 Dress Overalls x 1	£ 150
Breeches x 4	£ 800
Spurs x 2 prs	£ 100
No 2 Dress Jacket	Free Issue
Misc	£ 200
TOTAL	£4000

Notes:

1. Misc includes brogues for HCMR, whips for both and a supplement for King's Tp to purchase a heavy weight No 2 Dress jacket.

PUBLIC DUTIES – FULL DISMOUNTED GRANT

No1 Dress Jacket	£ 475
2 nd No 2 Dress	Free Issue
TOTAL	£ 475

PUBLIC DUTIES – LIMITED MOUNTED GRANT

1 pr Riding Boots and Trees	£900
2 nd No 2 Dress Jacket	Free Issue
1 pr Breeches	£200
Hard Riding Hat	£100
Misc (whip, spurs, gloves etc)	£150
TOTAL	£ 1350

Notes:

1. Costs are taken as an average from current suppliers as at 1 Jan 09 and include VAT at 15%.

INITIAL OUTFIT GRANT – 2009/10

Required by All Officers (less Bereted Corps & Regiments and SCOTS)	
Mess Kit (average cost including jacket, waistcoat and trousers/overalls)	£1000
Beret and Badge	£ 55
Khaki Shirts & tie x 2	£100
Brown/Black Shoes	£100
No 1 Dress Cap and Badge	£140
SD Cap and Badge	£170
Mess Wellingtons	£235
Spurs	£ 42
Marcella Shirt (x2) & Bow Tie	£140
Misc	£140
TOTAL	£2122

Required by All Officers – Bereted Corps & Regiments	
Mess Kit (average cost including jacket, waistcoat and trousers/overalls)	£1000
Beret and Badge x 3	£165
Khaki Shirts & tie x 2	£100
Brown/Black Shoes	£100
Mess Wellingtons	£235
Spurs	£ 42
Marcella Shirt (x2) & Bow Tie	£140
Misc	£118
TOTAL	£1900

Required by Officers of The Royal Regiment of Scotland	
Mess Kit (jacket and waistcoat)	£830
No 15 Dress Jacket	£500
Ball Hose	£95
Marcella Shirt (x2) & Bow Tie	£140
Highland Buckle Brogues	£99
Sgean Dubh	£70
Tam O'Shanter x 2	£100
Misc Items	£116
SCOTS TOTAL	£2000

Notes:

1. The list does not include any optional regimental items such as whips and canes, 2nd pr of SD trousers for barrack wear, No 1 Dress Jackets, sidehats, blazers, regimental jerseys etc
2. Prices have been taken from Goldings and other tailors/suppliers as at 1 Jan 09 and include VAT at 15%.

██████████: remarks upon standing down as Historical Adviser at ADC meeting 23 Feb. 2009

I have been advising this committee for 35 years, slightly over half my lifetime. When I was first asked to do this, the Army Dress Committee had never previously had regular historical advice and, I can tell you, there were occasionally some fascinatingly far-fetched assertions from regiments pressing for what they wanted!

I am very grateful to the ADC for listening to, or reading, my advice over these many years and particularly for quite often deciding to accept the case I was making. On many occasions we have together been able to avert threats to important historical features.

To me the British Army's uniquely fine historic heritage of uniforms and insignia is a valuable, if small, part of our National Heritage, fully equal to a group of Grade I Star Listed buildings.

I wish I could say that our traditions are as well protected as those of the U.S. Marine Corps. I wish I could at least say that the major traditions are safe. Instead I feel the final service I can do is to draw your attention, in an entirely helpful spirit, to what serious harm to the heritage is sometimes allowed to come through the Army's systems. If I may, I'll mention just two fairly recent examples:-

Obviously no one would deny Grade I Star Listed status to the kilt. Within the army itself the black buttons of Rifles must be regarded as equally sacred. So, too, should be the hugely historical emblems of mounted status. Mounted status is the visible essence of cavalry, artillery and a few corps. The keynote items are: overalls and box spurs and blue cloaks (as opposed to infantry grey greatcoats).

A short while ago I saw a party of Royal Artillery doing public duties in Windsor in No. 1 Dress. They were led by an officer with drawn sword, gold pouch belt, and flapping trousers in lieu of overalls!

Yet Dress Regulations are peppered with crystal clear statements of the obvious, namely instructions that Cavalry, Artillery and mounted corps officers are to wear overalls and box spurs in No. 1 Dress.

Regarding the other shock, we have of course discussed cloaks and greatcoats in the Army Dress Committee and I would fully, if sadly, acknowledge that the ruthless timing pressures of Bridges mean correctness must be ruled out for such a large and temporary demand.

However, I had believed that at least the bands, as permanent units known for their splendid and cherished full dress uniforms, could be preserved as beacons of correctness, to represent each of the major elements of the Army properly.

So you can imagine my shock two years ago on seeing the Royal Logistic Corps band in Full Dress at a Sovereign's Parade wearing infantry grey greatcoats in place of dark blue, their correct colour, which they had worn until shortly before.

In the days of khaki greatcoats for everyone the problem did not arise. However, I cannot exaggerate how deeply, almost offensively, wrong it is, in terms of tradition, for any of the handful of bands representing Cavalry, Artillery and mounted corps to wear the grey greatcoat of infantry in lieu of the dark blue cloak that is a key part of their own historic "mounted" image.

The principle of moving from the (at least broadly) correct to the painfully incorrect horrified me, because it shows that even fundamentals are not secure.

So, in standing down, may I leave with you a plea for the army to create some watertight system that can avoid all such possible serious losses to the Army's heritage.

Turning from "shop" matters to personal, I must say how extraordinarily and outstandingly kind all the people I have worked with here have been. Perhaps the most consistent feature of my long time with the ADC has been the very affable and friendly attitude of everyone. Thank you all, including of course [REDACTED] and [REDACTED], for your kindness over the years.



ARMY

Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Personal Services (Army) – PS12(A),

Telephone
Facsimile

Military
Facsimile

Email Ext
Email Int

Reference: D/DPS(A)/25/1/1/PS12(A)

See Distribution

Date: 10 Jul 09

**RECORD OF DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS OF THE 340th MEETING OF THE
ARMY DRESS COMMITTEE HELD IN THE BAGNALL ROOM HQLF UPAVON ON
TUESDAY 16 JUNE 2009**

Present	Maj Gen AR Gregory [Redacted]	DG Pers AD Log Sp HQLF Col ITrg DTrg(A) HQLF SO1 PS12(A) AcSM RMAS Sec ADC PS12(A)	Chairman Female Rep Secretary
In Attendance	[Redacted]	Asst Historical Adviser CRM DC T P&C Manager DC T STM (P&C) DC T SO2 FAD PS12(A) SO2 Mat Log Sp HQLF WO1 FAD Log Sp HQLF	
Apologies	[Redacted]	AD RF HQLF SO1 Avail Mngt HQLF	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
1. Introduction	The Chairman welcomed everyone to the meeting.	
2. Minutes of 339th ADC	a. Minutes as a Record. The minutes of the 339 th meeting of the ADC were accepted as accurate. b. Matters arising: Item 2a. Maternity Wear. The 3 Services had agreed to a draft SUR for a common set of garments differing only in colour to suit each	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>Service. DC T were arranging a trial of certain garments to find the most suitable designs.</p> <p>Afternote: DC T required £4000 to produce the trail garments and HQLF had agreed to provide this funding.</p> <p>Item 2b Female No 1 Dress. While AGC (RMP) had agreed to switch to the high collar No1 Dress jacket, the Chairman agreed that RMAS could continue with the open collar style of jacket for female OCdts with the justification that it made them stand out during the Sovereign's Parade providing useful publicity for females joining the Army.</p> <p>Item 2c Aiguillettes. While the RAF had agreed to the draft letter to the Lord Chamberlain's office, NavSec had yet to agree. The Chairman directed that the Royal Navy was to be hastened for a response.</p> <p>Afternote: NavSec had agreed to the draft which will now be sent to the Lord Chamberlain's Office.</p> <p>Item 2d. Medal Ribbons. The RN had asked the other 2 Services for their views on wearing medal ribbons in Barrack Dress as the Navy was considering the proposal. The Committee agreed not to reverse the Committee's previous decision which had been against wearing medal ribbons and the Chairman directed that PS12 draft a response for him to send to NavSec.</p>	<p>RMAS DC T</p> <p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>3. Record of OOC Decisions</p>	<p>There had been 2 Out of Committee Decisions since the last ADC meeting.</p> <p>a. Welsh Guards. The WG had asked for 3 changes to their parade orders of dress – a feathered plume for regimental staff, an enamelled buckle for WOs and a silver cap badge also for WOs. The Committee ratified the decision to agree to all 3 changes with the proviso that only the plume was to be publicly funded on the understanding that while the plume had been authorised for regimental staff in line with some of the other Ft Gd regiments, WG wished the plume only to be worn by WOs.</p> <p>Decision: Approved. Decision 4188</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>b. Ciphers, Mottos and Emblems. The Committee had been asked by ACGS to take on delegated responsibility to approve new and revised ciphers, mottos and emblems. The Committee agreed directing that the ADC TORs are revised and PS12(A) to promulgate this change stressing that all ciphers, mottos and emblems are to be submitted for approval to the ADC before submission to the College of Arms.</p> <p>Decision. Approved. Decision 4189</p>	Sec ADC
<p>4. ADC Revised TORs</p>	<p>a. ADC Terms of reference (TORs). Draft revised TORs were circulated and agreed although the titles for those representing DC T would need to be corrected.</p> <p>Decision. Approved. Decision 4190</p> <p>Afternote: A copy of the revised TORs with amended titles is at Annex A.</p>	Sec ADC
<p>5. Future Army Dress Issues</p>	<p>Minutes of the FAD issues are at Annex B.</p>	
<p>6. Infantry Formation Badge</p>	<p>HQ Infantry had proposed a Formation Badge for military staff on the established strength of HQ Inf, ITDU and Inf Recruiting Team. After some discussion it was agreed that the Badge should not be approved. CGS' intent when he approved Formation Badges was for them to be worn by operational 1* commands. HQ Inf was an Arms and Service Directorate (A&SD) and approving this badge would encourage other A&SD to propose their own badges which would be contrary to CGS' intent.</p> <p>Decision. Not Approved. Decision 4191</p>	HQ Inf
<p>7. Royal Yeomanry No 2 Dress</p>	<p>In consultation with the Army Historical Advisor, the Royal Yeomanry (RY) had proposed a single set of accoutrements to be worn in No 2 Dress in place of each squadron having their own which had reflected their antecedent regiments. The Committee agreed to the proposal the key changes of which were a plain rifle green forage cap, silver fern leaf arm badge and silver accoutrements. The Committee directed that all accoutrements should be silver including the shoulder titles and buckles on the Cavalry Sam Browne belt. However the Committee noted that the Review of the Reserves could result in redesignation of some of the squadrons which could result in the</p>	HQ DRAC

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>total loss of some or all of the individual squadrons' uniform distinctions. While the Committee agreed to the proposal, it stated that it would be look favourably on proposals for individual squadrons' No 2 Dress if further redesignations resulted from the Review.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4192</p>	
<p>8. Head Dress of the Band of the POW Division.</p>	<p>The Band of the POW Div currently wear a blue beret while all the regiments in the Div wear Khaki. HQ Inf proposed that the Band change to a khaki beret in line with the regiments. The Committee agreed stressing that the beret should only be worn in Barrack Dress.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4193</p>	<p>HQ Inf</p>
<p>9. The LONDONS rank Star and Emblem.</p>	<p>The London Regiment (LONDONS) had proposed a unique rank star to be worn on rank slides in CS95 and a new emblem for letterheads and unit signage. The new rank star was a unique design based on the Bath Star with the intent of being a unique LONDONS item of dress as each company wore different accoutrements in CS95. The Committee felt that as no new rank star had been introduced into the Army since the Eversleigh Star in the 19th century, there was insufficient justification to introduce a new one now. The Committee intimated that it would be content for the individual LONDONS companies to follow the Guards Div and wear Garter, Thistle or St Patrick's Stars if the Maj Gen Household Div and the relevant Regimental Councils were also content. The overall design of the emblem was approved although the Committee wished the wording to follow normal convention and read in a clockwise fashion. The design will also require approval from the Army Brand Manager.</p> <p>Afternote: LONDONS are having the emblem redrawn and will resubmit in due course.</p> <p>Decision. Emblem provisionally approved. Decision 4194</p>	<p>HQ LONDIST</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>10. MSSG Formation and Flag Design</p>	<p>The Military Stabilisation and Support Group (MSSG) – previously Jt CIMIC Gp had proposed revised designs for their Formation Badge and unit flag. While the Committee agreed that justification for this unit-sized organisation to have a Formation Badge remained, it felt that this justification was lost with the use of the Joint Services emblem. The Committee felt</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>that a badge based on the proposed colours but rectangular and with the letters MSSG would be more suitable. The Committee approved the unit flag provided the Army Brand Manager was content with the use of the Joint Services emblem.</p> <p>Decision. Flag approved. Decision 4195</p>	
<p>11. QARANC & Int Corps Rank and Badge Backing</p>	<p>a. QARANC. QARANC proposed that qualification badges worn on No1 and No 2 Dress should have scarlet backing in line with the existing rank badges. While the Committee agreed to the proposal in principle, it was conscious of the additional costs involved as the Committee did not wish individual soldiers to have to buy their own badges. QARANC were to be asked for approximate annual usage of badges with DC T providing costs so that the Committee had visibility of additional funding commitments for HQLF.</p> <p>Decision. Provisionally approved. Decision 4196</p> <p>b. Int Corps. Int Corps proposed that all rank and qualification badges to be worn on No1 and No 2 Dress should have a Lincoln Green backing. The Committee agreed to all badges in No 1 Dress having a Lincoln Green backing but in line with all but the RIFLES, badges in No 2 Dress were to have the FAD melton khaki backing. The green surrounding the Int Corps WO1 badge should also be in Lincoln Green.</p> <p>Decision. Approved No 1 Dress only. Decision 4197</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>12. RLC Head Dress in No1 and No 2 Dress</p>	<p>The RLC had proposed that RLC Ptes – SSgt should wear Forage Caps in place of the current beret in No 1 and No 2 Dress as the decision to wear the beret was an expediency when the RLC was formed. While the Committee noted that it would be entirely correct historically for all in the RLC to wear Forage Caps, neither the Committee nor HQLF could authorise the expenditure of £400k to provide Forage Caps. Therefore the proposal while supported by the Committee could not be authorised.</p> <p>Decision. Not authorised. Decision 4198</p> <p>Afternote: It has subsequently been suggested that authority is given for RLC soldiers to wear Forage Caps on full ceremonial occasions only to be provided from a centrally held pool controlled by RHQ RLC.</p>	<p>HQ DRLC</p> <p>HQ DRLC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	RLC are considering this suggestion.	
<p>13. Items for Discussion.</p>	<p>a. RACHD Sash. RACHD had intimated that they wished to revert to chaplains wearing a black sash in No 1 Dress. During discussion, it was pointed out that sash colour is based on the Sovereign's colour which historically for England has always been scarlet. Historically therefore it would be incorrect to wear any other colour than scarlet and the Committee's view was the RACHD should be discouraged from proposing the black sash.</p> <p>Afternote: It has been suggested that chaplains below the rank of Colonel drop the sash entirely and, in No 1 Dress, wear the black leather pouchbelt already worn in No2 Dress. Those of Colonel rank and above would wear the General Staff sash and accoutrements without the pouchbelt. This would be in line with RIFLE regiments which are very similar in style to the chaplains' accoutrements.</p> <p>b. PARA Shoulder Flash. RHQ PARA has indicated that they would wish to have a "Parachute Regiment" shoulder flash to be worn on No 2 Dress in a very similar style and colour to the shoulder flash they wore in Battle Dress. Shoulder flashes were universally replaced by metal shoulder titles when Battle Dress was discontinued in the late 1950s. Only recently have the Household Div been authorised to wear shoulder flashes in No 2 Dress and only because metal shoulder titles interfere with arms drill. RHQ PARA are to be discouraged from pursuing this proposal.</p> <p>c. AGC(RMP) No 1 Dress Scales. AGC(RMP) have indicated that they no longer consider it necessary for every officer and soldier to have No 1 Dress provided that there is access to a pool of No 1 Dress when required for specific events. As both scales and the pool of No 1 Dress are controlled by Log Sp Branch HQLF, this is a matter for RHQ AGC and HQLF.</p>	<p>RACHD</p> <p>RHQ PARA</p> <p>RHQ AGC HQLF</p>
<p>14. AOB</p>	<p>a. Ceremonial Duties. It was mentioned that a number of Corps and Regiments when part of the Public Duties Reinforcement Teams (PDRT) were not wearing correct dress - examples were RLC soldiers in Forage Caps, QRL soldiers in straight trousers, mounted Corps wearing grey greatcoats in place of cloaks. Sec ADC was directed to speak to LONDIST to find the reasons and correct as necessary. DGPers offered to write if so required.</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>b. Inspector of Regimental Colours. The Garter King of Arms is also the Inspector for Regimental Colours. SO1 PS12 stated that the Garter is shortly to retire but the SO1 suggested that the Garter be invited to continue in his role as Inspector for Regimental Colours for two years to allow his successor as Garter King of Arms to settle. The Committee agreed and the Chairman asked PS12 for a draft letter to send to the current Garter inviting him to continue.</p> <p>Afternote: The Earl Marshall wishes the incoming Garter to take on the responsibilities as Inspector for Regimental Colours immediately. There is therefore no requirement for any letter.</p>	<p>PS12</p> <p>PS12</p>
<p>15. Date of the Next Meeting</p>	<p>The next meeting will be held in mid-Oct 09 – date to be confirmed.</p>	<p>All</p>

{Original Signed}


 Lt Col
 Sec ADC

Annexes:

- A. Revised TORs.
- B. Minutes of the FAD Issues discussion.

Distribution:

External:

Action:

- SHAPE (for D/UKNMR(A))
- PJHQ (for DACOS J1)
- HQ LAND FORCES (SO1 Avail Mngt, Log Sp Mat S02 & WO1 FAD Log Sp)
- HQ ARRC (for G1 Ops SO2)
- HQ NI (for 38X G1 Gen Pol SO2, 38X G4 LogSp SO2 & 38X G4 LogSp BOWO)
- HQ 1 (UK) Armd Div (for G1 & G4)
- HQ 2 Div (for G1 & G4)
- HQ 3 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
- HQ 4 Div (for G1 & G4)
- HQ 5 Div (for G1 & G4)
- HQ 6 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)

HQ UKSC(G) (for G1 & G4)
HQ 101 Log Bde (for BOWO)
HQ 102 Log Bde (for G1-G4 SO3)
HQ LONDIST (for Log Sp Cer SO2)
HQ BF Gibraltar (for J1 SO2 & JAOOC)
HQ BF Cyprus (for J1 & J4)
HQ BF South Atlantic Islands (for Staff Assistant J1/J4)
HQ H Div (for Bde Maj)
HQ DRAC (for SQM)
RHQ RA (for Regt Col & Regt Pol SO2)
RHQ RE (for Regt Col, RSME CONST CW SO3 & Corps RSM)
RHQ R SIGNALS (for C and H SO1, OC 251SigSqn)
HQ DINF (for G1 PERSOPS SO2 and RSM)
HQ Foot Guards (for Div Col)
HQ Queen's Div (for Lt Col)
HQ King's Div (for SO2)
HQ Prince of Wales's Div (for SO2)
HQ RIFLES (for Lt Col)
HQ PARA (for SO2)
RHQ SCOTS (for Asst Regtl Sec)
RHQ RIFLES (for Regt Sec)
RHQ R IRISH (for Regt Sec)
RHQ PARA (for Regt Sec)
RHQ Brigade of Gurkhas (for Regt Sec)
RHQ AAC (for Regt Sec)
HQ DSF (for JSFSG)
HQ RACHD (for Staff Chaplain & 12X Padre)
RHQ RLC (for Regt Col & Corps Adjt)
HQ AMS (for Regt Sec)
RHQ REME (for Pers Pol SO2)
RHQ AGC (for Plans and Co-ord SO2)
HQ SASC (for Lt Col & SMI)
HQ D INT CORPS (for Corps Adjt)
HQ APTC (for DCIPT CST CLO PAT, ADJT & CRSM)
HQ DCAMUS (for Corps Sec RO2)
HQ RG (for G1 ComdGp COS)
HQ ARTD (for G1 & G4)
HQ RMAS (for Adjt & QM)

Information:

RN (for FLEET CAP LOG SPT CM SO1)
RM (for FLEET Asst Corps Sec)
RAF (for UCPSM1 SO1)
National Army Museum (■■■■■ ■■■■■)

Internal:

Action:

All Committee Members and those in attendance.
PS10 (A) (for SO1 and SO2 Allces Policy)

Information:

DPS(A)

DGS (for Pol SO1b)

D Manning (A) (for SO1 Diversity)

Def PR(A) (for SO2 Profile)

CIO (for CI CMem Analysis 8)

MOD RQMS (By post to: [REDACTED])

ARMY DRESS COMMITTEE (ADC)

TERMS OF REFERENCE

1. The Committee considers and may approve any proposal to introduce or alter the State, full, mess, parade, walking out and barrack dress and ceremonial accoutrements of the Army; and the badges, insignia and embellishments to be worn with all forms of dress, and submits recommendations to ECAB when necessary. The Committee implements overall policy on Army Dress as directed by CGS, and examines and makes decisions on any detailed aspect, change or modification to all non-operational Army dress on behalf of AG and CGS. The Committee also considers and may approve any proposal for a badge, cipher or motto to be used on any unit or formation letterhead, flag, website or unit sign.
2. The Committee takes into account all cost implications of proposals considered by it and identifies TLB funding.
3. Detailed tasks include:
 - a. Maintain close liaison with DC IPT on the development and supply of Army Dress – both in-scale and new to service (NTS).
 - b. ADC representation on:
 - i. The Defence Clothing Customer Advisory Group (DC CAG).
 - ii. The Public Duties Quarterly Review.
 - iii. Home Service Clothing Review
 - iv. Equine Clothing Review
 - c. Sponsor uniform clothing pamphlets in appropriate single and joint service publications and Army Dress Regulations.

COMPOSITION

- | | | | |
|----|----|----------------------|--|
| 4. | a. | <u>Chairman</u> | DG Pers |
| | b. | <u>Members</u> | AD RF HQLF
AD Log Sp HQLF
Female Army Rep
SO1 PS12(A)
AcSM RMAS
ADC Secretary |
| | c. | <u>Secretary</u> | ADC Sec PS12(A) |
| | d. | <u>In attendance</u> | Pde & Cer Manager DES DC T
CST CLO Army DES DC T
STM (Pde & Cer) DES DC T |

Army Historical Advisor
SO2 Mat Sp Log Sp Branch HQ LF
WO1 FAD Log Sp HQLF
Rep National Army Museum (Annually)

FREQUENCY

5. Three times a year, or as required.

STANDARD AGENDA POINTS

6. The agenda usually covers:
 - a. New dress proposals or concerns.
 - b. New requirements.

**Maj Gen AR Gregory
DG Pers**

16th June 2009

RECORD OF FAD ISSUES DISCUSSION

ITEM	DISCUSSION/DECISIONS	ACTION
1. Mins of previous meeting	The Minutes of the previous meeting were approved and any outstanding issues were to be covered by the agenda items.	
2. Supply of No2 Dress	<p>The DC T Ceremonial and Parade Wear Manager gave an update on the situation on the supply and delivery of No 2 Dress. Stock of the new uniforms continued to be delivered on time and was now accumulating in stores. This build-up of stock was as a result of the delay in getting the new sizing packs to units. Due to this delay it was considered that the programme was now 6 months behind with issues. This was an additional 2 months above that which had been predicted at the previous meeting. The initial packs had been put together from stock and prepared by DC T staff manually. DC T had only just raised warrants to order the remaining packs. These were to be supplied by the contractor and were expected to be delivered during Jul 09. Once packs were in circulation again it was felt that a certain amount of time may be regained. The Chairman was advised that the estimated cost of the faulty uniforms was £900,000 and had been written off at a loss to the MOD. This money was not directly from the Army budget.</p> <p>AD Log Sp raised a point over dress policy and the Chairman agreed that he would write to the A&SD and CofC to sound out views as to the direction he was proposing to give. A draft letter was to be prepared. This related to the wearing of Barrack Dress and Combat clothing particularly in light of the new Combat clothing due into service possibly within two years. The Chairman also asked for a letter to A&SD for their views on the shirt to be worn by officers in No 2 Dress.</p>	<p>All</p> <p>PS12(A)</p> <p>PS12(A)</p>
3. Badges	The new cloth badges were all in stock with the exception of some rank chevrons which were due for delivery imminently.	

ITEM	DISCUSSION/DECISIONS	ACTION
	<p>Post Meeting note: HQ LF had proposed to return to cotter pin fittings to attach metal badges to uniforms. This was as a result of the pins on collar badges breaking when attached through the buckram of No1 Dress. On further investigation this would appear to be incorrect. Due to the large clutch that fitted over the pin point it was very uncomfortable to wear when the collar was closed. A large number of non Ft Gd units had been tasked for Public duties. The Master Tailor responsible for fitting units on London Public Duties had been bending the pins to create a much flusher attachment. He reported no problem with penetrating the buckram. It appears that a more bendable pin was required.</p>	
<p>4. Ancillary items</p>	<p>There was nothing to report on stock over ancillary items.</p>	
<p>5. Barrack Dress</p>	<p>The new trousers and skirts were on schedule for delivery during Jul 09. Those units already in receipt of the No 2 Dress would receive these items as a matter of course as would RMAS as the priority user followed by HQ LF.</p>	
<p>6. Raincoat</p>	<p>A letter was being drafted to CRF from DG Pers on behalf on the GOC LONDIST asking for his agreement for LONDIST to fund the trial.</p>	<p>PS12(A)</p>
<p>7. Jersey</p>	<p>The production process will take some 12 months before new jerseys will be available.</p> <p>Post Meeting Note. Funding was required by DC T to enable samples in the approved weight and sizes to be made.</p>	<p>HQLF</p>
<p>8. Communications</p>	<p>The Chairman was content with the limited amount of communications released about the new uniforms particularly in the light of the problems encountered and the financial situation.</p>	
<p>9. AOB</p>	<p>The Chairman highlighted the event of the reburial of those British and Australian WWI soldiers who fell at Fromelles. They will be reburied in a new Commonwealth War Graves site the final ceremony being in Jul 10. Elements from 1 RRF and 4 RIFLES will be involved and DGPers wished them to wear new No 2 Dress. HQ LF were to ensure that arrangements were in hand to ensure they had well fitted uniforms in</p>	<p>HQLF</p>

ITEM	DISCUSSION/DECISIONS	ACTION
	<p>time for the first event in Jan 10.</p> <p>The Chairman felt that the detachments from every Corps and regiment participating in the Op Telic service and parade in Oct 09 required to be dressed in the new uniform. It will be a high profile event with extensive media coverage. HQLF was to look at how providing new uniforms to all on parade was to be achieved.</p>	<p>HQLF</p>



**Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Personal Services (Army) – PS12(A),**

[Redacted]

ARMY

Telephone [Redacted]
Facsimile [Redacted]

Military Facsimile [Redacted]

Email Ext [Redacted]
Email Int [Redacted]

Reference: D/DPS(A)/25/1/1/PS12(A)

See Distribution

Date: 8 Dec 09

**RECORD OF DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS OF THE 341st MEETING OF THE
ARMY DRESS COMMITTEE HELD IN TRENCHARD BUILDING UPAVON ON
WEDNESDAY 18 NOVEMBER 2009**

Present	Maj Gen AR Gregory [Redacted]	DGPers AD Media & Comm HQLF Female Rep AD Log Sp HQLF RA Corps RSM Sec ADC PS12(A)	Chairman Secretary
In attendance	[Redacted]	CRM DC Team CLO Army DC Team Hist Adv SO2 Mat Sp, Log Sp HQLF WO1 FAD Log Sp HQLF SO1 Eng Pol & Stds HQDEME	
Apologies	[Redacted]	SO1 PS12(A) AcSM RMAS P & C Mgr, DC Team DPM 1 DC Team	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
Item 1. Minutes of 340TH ADC	Approved	
Item 2. Matters Arising	a. HQ Inf Badge. Following the last meeting at which HD DInf's proposal for a Formation Flash was refused, DInf wrote personally to the Chairman asking for reconsideration. After careful thought and discussion during the meeting, the Committee approved the Flash shown below reversing Decision 4191.	


Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<div data-bbox="683 226 1015 555" data-label="Image"> </div> <p data-bbox="469 591 1230 734">The Formation Flash is to conform to all the guidelines for other Formation Flashes and is to be worn only by those on the established strength of HQ Inf, ITDU and Inf Recruiting Team.</p> <p data-bbox="469 775 1121 808">Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4199</p> <p data-bbox="469 848 1230 1211">b. LONDONS Rank Star. At the previous ADC Meeting, CO LONDONS proposed a unique rank star to wear on embroidered slides in CS 95. The ADC turned down this proposal but suggested that the Regt wear one of the established stars within the Guards Div. CO LONDONS elected to wear the Garter Star which was supported by all Regimental Councils and by Maj Gen Household Division. The wearing of the Garter Star on CS95 by officers of the LONDONS was therefore approved.</p> <p data-bbox="469 1252 1121 1285">Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4200</p> <p data-bbox="469 1326 1230 1800">c. QARANC Badge Backing. RHQ QARANC had proposed that the backing to qualification badges worn on No 1 and No 2 Dress should match the scarlet backing already authorised for rank badges – grey on scarlet backing. At the previous meeting, the Committee was concerned about the additional costs incurred by approving this proposal. Since the meeting RHQ QARANC had confirmed that numbers were very small with a likely capital cost of £60 and annual costs of £15 - £20. The Committee were content to accept these costs and approved the wearing of grey on scarlet backing qualification badges for both QARANC No 1 and No 2 Dress.</p> <p data-bbox="469 1841 1121 1910">Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4196 confirmed</p> <p data-bbox="469 1951 1230 2056">d. RLC No 1 Head Dress. HQ DRLC had proposed at the previous meeting that the historical anomaly of SSgts and below wearing berets in No 1 and No 2</p>	<p data-bbox="1267 595 1362 629">HQ Inf</p> <p data-bbox="1267 1077 1465 1146">LONDONS HQ LONDIST</p> <p data-bbox="1267 1626 1406 1695">RHQ QARANC</p> <p data-bbox="1267 1736 1406 1769">DC Team</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>Dress should be corrected with a forage cap. The Committee noted that the additional cost of approving such a proposal would involve a capital cost of £450k and, while very sympathetic to the proposal, could not approve the additional costs. Since then, HQ DRLC has proposed that the forage cap is authorised for No 1 Dress ceremonial only using the pool created by HQ LONDIST for RLC PDRTs. The Committee agreed to this proposal leaving approval for No 2 Dress until the financial climate improves.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4201</p> <p>e. Maternity Wear. The Secretary reported that a joint SUR had been approved by the 3 Services and that the trial garments produced had satisfied the new SUR. There would be a meeting shortly called by DC Team to establish the guidelines for the trial and a timetable for the availability of the new garments. The Committee noted the report.</p> <p>f. Aiguilletes. The Committee was briefed on the decision taken by the Secretary in conjunction with the other 2 Services to authorise silver aiguilletes to be worn by the Lord Mayor of London's ADCs. The aiguilletes are to be worn on the left shoulder by Adult Cadet Instructors of London ACF only in parade orders of dress.</p> <p>Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4202</p> <p>PS12(A) is to ensure that this decision is reflected in Cadet Dress Regulations.</p>	<p>HQ DRLC HQ LONDIST</p> <p>DC Team</p> <p>PS12(A)</p>
<p>Item 3. Record of OOC Decisions</p>	<p>a. YORKS Regtl Jersey and No 11 Dress. These proposals reproduced at Annex A had been circulated out of committee and approved by the Chairman. It was reiterated that neither the jersey nor the No 11 Dress were to be provided at public expense.</p> <p>Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4203</p> <p>b. Full Dress for Band of the YORKS. RHQ YORKS had proposed designs for the Full Dress for the Band of the YORKS. These are reproduced at Annex B. These had been drawn up in close consultation with the Army Historical Advisor. They had been approved by all Committee members and the Chairman also approved the designs noting that as a TA Band, no item of dress was to be provided at public expense.</p>	<p>D Inf</p> <p>D Inf</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4204</p>	
<p>Item 4. Standardisation of Turbans in the Army</p>	<p>Standardisation of Turbans in the Army. With increasing numbers of men and women joining regiments and corps from the Sikh community, there was a feeling that the style of turbans should be standardised either within each regiment and corps or across the Army. ██████████ - the senior serving Sikh officer - briefed the Committee on the various issues. He pointed out that there are 2 basic styles termed Indian Style and East African Style and showed photos of Sikhs currently serving in the 3 Services wearing the different styles. In addition there are variations within each style. He also pointed out that Sikhs serving in Sikh regiments of the Indian Army are all taught and made to tie their turbans in an identical style. He indicated that there are no particular religious sensitivities with the style of turban but it was tied according to the cultural, family and community background of each individual.</p> <p>After some discussion over styles, cap badges and whether beards should be tied up, the Committee decided that there should be standardisation. Beards are to be tied up for H&S and operational reasons and cap badges are to be worn at the front of turbans. The colour of the turban is to match the individuals regimental or corps forage cap/beret colour. This reiterates that which is already stated in AGAI Vol 2 Ch 59.</p> <p>However with regard to style of turban the Committee felt that there is to be standardisation across the Regular and Reserve Army (but not Army Cadets) and, as ██████████ had indicated that as the majority of the Sikhs in the Army wear the Indian style, the Army style should be the Indian Style. The Chairman asked PS12(A) to draft a letter in conjunction with ██████████, the Sikh Religious Advisor and appropriate PS branches for his signature that directed the style of turban to be worn. This directive was also to be incorporated into AGAI Vol 2 Ch 59.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4205</p> <p>The Chairman thanked ██████████ for attending and for providing his invaluable advice and guidance.</p>	<p>PS12(A)</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
<p>Item 5. FAD Update</p>	<p>a. Delay to Issue Programme. AD Log Sp HQLF provided the background to the £2.5M HQLF In Year Savings Measures (IYSM) on clothing that has delayed the issues of FAD No 2 and Barrack Dress until Apr 10, ABN 57-09 refers. Initial delays to the roll out programme were due to technical problems with the original uniforms and the delay in the obtaining sizing packs. Combined, these technical problems contributed to an eighteen month delay to the planned roll out. The HQLF IYSM had further delayed the issue of approximately 10,000 uniforms, due to be issued between Oct 09 – Mar 10. As a result of these combined delays, it was now necessary to re-profile the FAD roll out and HQLF Log Sp will re-issue the revised programme shortly. ITG units, including RMAS, were unaffected by the IYSM and had retained the ability to demand and issue the FAD ensemble as required. Similarly, FAD will continue to be issued where there is an urgent requirement or high profile event, such as 1 RRF’s participation in the Fromelles ceremony.</p> <p>b. Shirts. While the Chairman had directed that the standard FAD shirt was to be worn by all officers and soldiers across the Army, there had been representation from the Guards Div and R WELSH for their officers to continue their regimental tradition of wearing a darker shirt. After discussion, the Committee was unanimous in reinforcing the Chairman’s decision that the standard shirt was to be worn in No 2 Dress across the Army. PS12(A) was to provide the Chairman with draft responses to the various letters he had received.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4206</p> <p>c. WO1 FAD. AD Log Sp indicated that the post of WO1 FAD was an FTRS appointment lified until Jun 10. AD Log Sp asked for and received the Chairman’s support in seeking an extension to this appointment considered vital for the success of the FAD issue programme especially with the delay caused by the savings measure. The Chairman said that while he had no control over that particular Budget, he would support the case.</p> <p>d. Raincoat. While HQ LONDIST had offered to fund the trial of some 300 raincoats, HQLF had stopped any further expenditure. As a result, the trial could not take place and the development of the raincoat had stalled. All recognised the need for the</p>	<p>PS12(A)</p> <p>AD Log Sp DC Team</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	raincoat but funding for it has to be measured against other requirements. The Chairman therefore asked AD Log Sp to present an option for PR11 with costs and timetables provided by DC Team.	
Item 6. Royal Yeomanry Mess Kit	<p>Royal Yeomanry (RY) No 10 Dress (Mess Kit). In the final major part of the RY 's uniform rationalisation, DRAC proposed the design of a new Mess Kit to be worn throughout the regiment. This design had been developed in close consultation with both the current and past Historical Advisors and was supported by DRAC and by all the Honorary Colonels. Noting that the Mess Kit was not to be provided from public funding, the Committee approved the design as detailed at Annex C.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4207</p>	HQ DRAC
Item 7. Emblems	<p>The Committee considered 2 emblems.</p> <p>a. Armour Centre Emblem. The Armour Centre, supported by DRAC, proposed an emblem for use on letterheads, digital media and unit signs that would distinguish the Armour Centre from HQ DRAC. Def DPR(A), the Army Brand Manager and Corporate Memory all approved the design shown below which was also approved by the Committee.</p> <div data-bbox="751 1227 954 1473" data-label="Image"> </div> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4208</p> <p>b. 104 Force Support Battalion REME Emblem and Motto. 104 (FS) Bn REME, supported by DGEME, proposed an emblem and motto for use on letterheads, digital media and unit signs that would provide a corporate identity for this newly formed unit. Def DPR(A), the Army Brand Manager and Corporate Memory all approved the design shown below which was also approved by the Committee.</p>	<p>HQ DRAC</p> <p>HQ DGEME</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	 <p data-bbox="655 414 1059 450"><i>“That all the force may fight”</i></p> <p data-bbox="469 481 1121 517">Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4209</p>	
<p data-bbox="165 1272 419 1339">Item 8. Items for Discussion</p>	<p data-bbox="474 562 1238 1137">a. Comd Sgt Major’s Badge. With the trial of Comd Sgt Majors posts to HQs 1 (UK), 3 (UK) Div and Theatre Troops having just started, the Committee considered how these appointments could or should be distinguished from other WO1 appointments. It was agreed that they should be distinguishable and after consideration of the various options, the Committee agreed that they are to wear the Corps/Senior RSMs badge recently approved by the Committee. The Committee made the point that this badge was to take precedent over any other previously-worn WO1 badge (e.g. Guards Div RSM’s badge) but, as this badge was a badge of appointment, the Comd Sgt Major’s badge was to be removed once an individual had completed his appointment.</p> <p data-bbox="469 1178 1121 1214">Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4210</p> <p data-bbox="474 1254 1238 1615">b. Army Technical Foundation College. The Committee discussed the formation of the Technical Foundation College. It noted that the course to be run at Winchester is not a technical course at all but a shortened version of the Phase 1 training conducted at Harrogate. The Committee was of the view that those Phase 1 trainees at Winchester should wear the same cap badge and accoutrements as those in Harrogate. The Secretary agreed to pass this to HQ ITG.</p> <p data-bbox="474 1657 1177 1834">Afternote: In subsequent discussion, the Sec learned that ITG had decided against a new cap badge. However ATR Winchester wanted a unit emblem for unit signs and letterheads designs for which would be circulated in due course.</p> <p data-bbox="474 1877 1230 2051">c. Gurkha SPS. The Committee learned that the Gurkha career path was to be expanded with Gurkha clerks as part of the AG (SPS). HQ BG was in the process of designing a cap badge for these individuals. Apart from stating that the badge should</p>	<p data-bbox="1267 999 1406 1066">DC Team Sec ADC</p> <p data-bbox="1267 1440 1401 1507">HQ ITG Sec ADC</p> <p data-bbox="1267 1843 1401 1910">HQ BG Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	include the crossed kukris as part of the design following the badges of the Gurkha Engineers, Signals and Logistics, the Committee looked forward to seeing the proposed cap badge in due course.	
Item 9. AOB	<p>a. The Elizabeth Cross. The Chairman raised the issue of the wearing of the Elizabeth Cross in uniform. There had been a few cases where the recipient of the Elizabeth Cross was currently serving but the current regulations did not permit the wearing of the Cross in uniform. The Committee considered this a shame. Although it was an emblem, it is presented to the recipient on behalf of The Sovereign (and on occasions by The Sovereign Herself). As such, the Committee felt that it could be worn in uniform. The Committee considered how it might be worn concluding that the full size brooch should only be worn in ceremonial orders of dress (ie when other full size medals are worn) and worn on the upper left chest centrally above a medal bar. The miniature was not to be worn in Mess Kit. PS12(A) was tasked with drafting a letter to DS Sec from the Chairman setting out the Army's views.</p> <p>b. Wearing of other peoples' medals. The Chairman had received a letter from a serving father whose son had been killed in Afghanistan with a request for the father to wear his son's medals on the right side of his uniform. The Committee was unanimous in supporting the current regulations which permit an individual to wear only those honours, awards and decorations awarded to that individual and not to others. While there appeared to be an increasing custom to wear others' medals on the right side of suits and coats during Remembrance Day parades etc, this was not something that the Services or Central Chancellery could control or condone.</p> <p>c. Female Focus. The Female Focus on the Committee – ██████████ stated that she was retiring from the Army shortly. She had identified a suitable replacement though not at OF5 level. She would probably be a Lt Col. The Chairman looked forward to her nomination and thanked ██████████ ██████████ for her sensible and measured contribution to the Committee's deliberations.</p> <p>d. Multi-Terrain Pattern (MTP) Combats. AD Log Sp briefed the Committee on the introduction of PECOC. The full introduction had been delayed but</p>	<p>PS12(A)</p> <p>Female Focus</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	one of the key sub-systems was to be introduced shortly - the new pattern of clothing termed Multi-Terrain Pattern (MTP) Combats which would replace CS95. Those deploying on OP HERRICK in Apr 10 would be issued the new MTP combats with the rest of the Army receiving it from Apr 11. The remaining systems of PECOC would be introduced in due course. HQLF would be publishing an ABN early in 2010.	
Item 10. Date of the Next Meeting	The date of the next meeting was provisionally set for Wed 24 Feb 10 at 1400hrs.	

{Original Signed}



Lt Col
Sec ADC

Annexes:

- A. YORKS Authorised Regtl Jersey and No 11 Dress.
- B. Authorised Full Dress for the Band of the YORKS.
- C. Authorised No 10 (Mess Kit) for Royal Yeomanry.

Distribution:

External:

Action:

SHAPE (for D/UKNMR(A))
 PJHQ (for DACOS J1)
 HQ LAND FORCES (SO1 Avail Mngt, Log Sp Mat SO2 & WO1 FAD Log Sp)
 HQ ARRC (for G1 Ops SO2)
 HQ NI (for 38X G1 Gen Pol SO2, 38X G4 LogSp SO2 & 38X G4 LogSp BOWO)
 HQ 1 (UK) Armd Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 2 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 3 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 4 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 5 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 6 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ UKSC(G) (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 101 Log Bde (for BOWO)
 HQ 102 Log Bde (for G1-G4 SO3)

HQ LONDIST (for Log Sp Cer SO2)
HQ BF Gibraltar (for J1 SO2 & JAOOC)
HQ BF Cyprus (for J1 & J4)
HQ BF South Atlantic Islands (for Staff Assistant J1/J4)
HQ H Div (for Bde Maj)
HQ DRAC (for SQM)
RHQ RA (for Regt Col & Regt Pol SO2)
RHQ RE (for Regt Col, RSME CONST CW SO3 & Corps RSM)
RHQ R SIGNALS (for C and H SO1, OC 251SigSqn)
HQ DINF (for G1 PERSOPS SO2 and RSM)
HQ Foot Guards (for Div Col)
HQ Queen's Div (for Lt Col)
HQ King's Div (for SO2)
HQ Prince of Wales's Div (for SO2)
HQ RIFLES (for Lt Col)
HQ PARA (for SO2)
RHQ SCOTS (for Asst Regtl Sec)
RHQ RIFLES (for Regt Sec)
RHQ R IRISH (for Regt Sec)
RHQ PARA (for Regt Sec)
RHQ Brigade of Gurkhas (for Regt Sec)
RHQ AAC (for Regt Sec)
HQ DSF (for JSFSG)
HQ RACHD (for Staff Chaplain & 12X Padre)
RHQ RLC (for Regt Col & Corps Adjt)
HQ AMS (for Regt Sec)
RHQ REME (for Pers Pol SO2)
RHQ AGC (for Plans and Co-ord SO2)
HQ SASC (for Lt Col & SMI)
HQ D INT CORPS (for Corps Adjt)
HQ APTC (for DCIPT CST CLO PAT, ADJT & CRSM)
HQ DCAMUS (for Corps Sec RO2)
HQ RG (for G1 ComdGp COS)
HQ ARTD (for G1 & G4)
HQ RMAS (for Adjt & QM)

Information:

RN (for FLEET CAP LOG SPT CM SO1)
RM (for FLEET Asst Corps Sec)
RAF (for UCPSM1 SO1)
National Army Museum (for ██████████)

Internal:

Action:

All Committee Members and those in attendance.

Information:

DPS(A)

PS10 (A) (for SO1 and SO2 Allces Policy)
DGS (for Pol SO1b)
D Manning (A) (for SO1 Diversity)
Def PR(A) (for SO2 Profile)
CIO (for CI CMem Analysis 8)
MOD RQMS

PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE AUTHORISED YORKS REGIMENTAL JERSEY



DSCF4738.JPG



DSCF4739.JPG



DSCF4740.JPG



DSCF4741.JPG

THE YORKSHIRE REGIMENT
(14TH/15TH, 19TH, and 33RD/76TH Foot)

AUTHORISED No. 11 Dress (Tropical Mess Uniform)

No. 11 Dress is the Mess uniform of the British Army in warm weather zones worn by Officers, SNCOs and Corporals at their own expense.

Headdress

The caps which may be worn with No. 11 Dress are:

- Forage Cap (No. 1). This is the normal headdress.
- Side Hat. This may be worn informally and at the Commanding Officer's discretion.

Mess Jacket

All ranks wear a white cotton drill jacket, with a white roll collar, and white infantry pattern cuffs to the same design as officers' No. 10 Dress. The inner ends of the white drill shoulder straps are to be stitched down. There are no buttons worn on this jacket.

Rank Badges

Officers wear gilt or anodized badges of rank on the shoulder straps. WOs/NCOs wear gold lace chevrons and/or embroidered gold crown or Royal Arms on green backing.

Collar Badges

All ranks wear an inward facing pair of embroidered Regimental cap badges on the lapels.

Cummerbund

A Brunswick Green silk cummerbund is worn by all ranks.

Trousers

All ranks wear the appropriate No. 10 Dress trousers or overalls - Infantry Pattern. In especially hot climates, trousers of white cotton drill may be worn on the direction of the Commanding Officer.

Shirt

Officers and WO1s wear a piqué fronted white dress shirt.
WO2s/NCOs wear a plain white shirt.

Tie

A black, silk, double-ended tie of medium width is worn for all formal functions.

Footwear

Officers and WO1s should wear Mess Wellingtons (boxed for spurs).
Spurs should be worn by Field Officers and Adjutants.
NCOs wear George boots.

THE BAND OF THE YORKSHIRE REGIMENT

AUTHORISED DRESS REGULATIONS FOR FULL DRESS

OFFICERS

1. Helmet. Infantry Officer Universal Home Pattern cloth helmet, with gilt metal fittings, as per Dress Regulations for the Army, 1934, Para 739. Cork covered with very fine dark blue cloth made with four seams (two on each side); above the peaks and encircling lower part of helmet a blue cloth band $\frac{3}{4}$ inch wide; pointed front peak, with gilt metal edging; a convex bar of gilt metal down centre of rear of helmet; back peak, slightly squared off, with narrow edging of patent leather or similar; on either side is a rose boss 1 $\frac{1}{8}$ " in diameter to which is attached (extending from the near side) the curb-chain chinstrap, the gilt metal chain links $\frac{5}{8}$ " wide and sewn onto black patent leather and lined with black velvet, worn around the helmet; on the top, a gilt metal spike $2\frac{3}{4}$ " high screwed into a metal cross-piece mounting with hook at rear.

2. Helmet Plate. Gilt plate as per Dress Regulations for the Army, 1934, Para 740, consisting of an eight-pointed star with top point displaced by the St. Edward's Crown bearing a circular laurel-wreath; within the wreath a gilt Garter inscribed "HONI SOIT QUI MAL Y PENSE" See note 2. Within the Garter, regimental white rose on a green velvet cloth ground. On the bottom of the wreath is mounted a narrow silvered scroll bearing the title of the regiment. The bottom point of the plate comes halfway over the cloth band of the helmet.

3. Director of Music Tunic. Officer pattern. Scarlet cloth, with Brunswick Green facings. The collar 2-2 $\frac{1}{4}$ " high ornamented with $\frac{5}{8}$ " gold Rose pattern lace along the top and ends, and $\frac{3}{16}$ " gold Russia braid along the bottom, with embroidered Regimental No 1 Dress collar badges positioned with their centres 2" from the ends the of collar. The cuffs pointed, trimmed with $\frac{5}{8}$ " gold Rose lace round the top which extends to $7\frac{1}{2}$ " from the lower edge of the cuff at the point (note 2) and $2\frac{1}{2}$ " at the back inclusive of lace. There is a tracing of $\frac{3}{16}$ " gold Russia braid $\frac{1}{8}$ " clear above and below the lace. The upper tracing forms an Austrian knot at the top (the whole ornament extending to $9\frac{1}{2}$ " from the

bottom of the sleeve) and the lower tracing forms a small upward eye onto the point of the Rose lace. Seven 40 ligne gilt buttons of regimental pattern down the front, plus one flat button in or just below the waist-seam, which is covered by the waist-sash. Two similar buttons at the back at the intersection of the waist-seam with the back-seams. Two almost vertical (slightly diverging) pleats that extend down to the bottom of the skirt. A skirt slash extends inwards from each pleat, each slash forming two points below and bearing a 40 ligne gilt button at each point. The skirt closed behind. The closing seam, edged with white cloth, is midway between the two slashes, with the points of the slashes almost touching it. The tunic-fronts and skirt-slashes (except adjoining the pleats) are also edged with white cloth, all such edging showing not more than $\frac{1}{4}$ " wide. The collar is edged (outside the lace), with slightly narrower white cloth edging. The skirt lined with white cloth. Three ply twisted round gold shoulder cords with a black trace, lined with scarlet cloth and fastened at the top with a screw fitting 22 ligne regimental button. Silver embroidered Bath Stars/Crowns $\frac{3}{4}$ " size with coloured tops. See Note 3.

4. Overalls. Dark blue cloth with a raised $\frac{1}{4}$ " scarlet welt down each side-seam and black leather foot straps.

5. Boots. George boots. (Preferably patent leather). Plain nickel-plated box-spurs of the standard swan-neck pattern are worn by Field Officers.

6. Sash. Infantry Pattern. Crimson silk net waist sash without pleats, backed with leather ($2\frac{3}{4}$ " wide) with cage bow and tassels worn at left side and extending 4" below the tunic.

7. Sword slings. Suspended from a concealed web waistbelt, slings of red morocco leather 1" wide, faced with gold lace $\frac{7}{8}$ " Infantry pattern gold lace with thin crimson central line; gilt billet studs and oval gilt wire buckles. A hook on the eye at top of the front sling for hooking-up the sword.

8. Sword and Scabbard. Infantry Officer's pattern, with nickel-plated

scabbard, worn hooked up.

9. Sword knot. Gold lace with acorn tassel, the knot bound tight to the guard with the end of the knot on the outside pointing towards the sword tip.

10. Gloves. White cotton wrist.

11. Greatcoat. Grey, double breasted [Foot Guards officer's pattern] with regimental buttons. Badges of rank in gold embroidery.

12. Cape. Grey, of length to reach to the knuckles, with regimental buttons and with dull red lining.

13. Frock Coat. Universal pattern, plain dark blue double breasted with matching shoulder straps; 40 ligne buttons and collar badges. Gold wire embroidered Bath Stars/Crowns with coloured tops. Note 4

BANDMASTER (WARRANT OFFICER CLASS 1)

14. Helmet and Helmet Plate. As for Officer (See Paras 1 and 2)

15. WO1 Bandmaster Tunic. As for Officer (See Para 3 and Note 3) except for rank insignia. Musicians lyre (in gold embroidery on scarlet backing) is worn on right forearm above the braid Austrian knot, with the WO1's badge of rank (in gold embroidery on scarlet backing), above it.

16. Other Items. As for Officer (See Paras 4-12) but greatcoat sleeve insignia colouring as for Foot Guards WO1 (BM) greatcoat.

BAND SERGEANT-MAJOR (WARRANT OFFICER CLASS 2)

17. Helmet. Universal (Home Service) pattern cloth helmet with gilt/gilding metal fittings to the pattern described in Dress Regulations for Warrant and Non-commissioned Officers and Men of the Army 1902, Para 12(a), as subsequently modified: the covering of fine very dark blue cloth

made with four seams (two on each side) and with a $\frac{3}{4}$ " band of self material encircling lower part of helmet; the front peak rounded, the whole lower edge of helmet bound with patent leather or a plastic substitute. On either side is a rose boss ($1\frac{1}{16}$ " in diameter) to which is attached (extending from the near side) the curb-chain chinstrap, the links $\frac{5}{8}$ " wide and sewn onto black leather. Top ornament consists of a spike ($2\frac{3}{4}$ " high) screwed into a metal cross-piece mounting with hook at rear. See Notes 1 and 5.

18. Helmet Plate. Universal Pattern gilt metal plate consisting of an eight-pointed star with its top point displaced by the St. Edward's Crown and bearing a circular laurel-wreath; within this is attached the Helmet Plate Centre consisting of a matching circlet inscribed with the regimental title, 'The Yorkshire Regiment' within the circlet the regimental white rose on a green cloth ground.

19. WO2 (BSM) Tunic. Scarlet cloth, with Brunswick green cloth collar, cuffs and shoulder-straps. The collar, approx. $1\frac{7}{8}$ " high and cut square, is ornamented with $\frac{1}{2}$ " gold "Bias & Stand" (Universal NCOs' pattern) lace along the top and ends, and $\frac{3}{16}$ " gold Russia braid at the bottom, with regimental cloth collar badges fixed with their centres 2" from the ends of the collar. The cuffs pointed, bearing $\frac{1}{2}$ " gold bias and stand lace around the top extending to 6" from the bottom of the sleeve at the point (inclusive of the lace) and $2\frac{5}{8}$ " at back of cuff. Seven 40 ligne gilt metal regimental buttons down the front (six above the belt and one below it). The skirt with matching lining and closed behind, edged with white cloth not more than $\frac{1}{4}$ " wide on closing seam, down centre, with a skirt slash at each side extending inwards from two nearly vertical (very slightly diverging) pleats that extend down to bottom of skirt (each slash forming two points below the waist); two 40 ligne buttons at the waist behind and one more at each point of each slash below, the waist buttons being each located at the top of one of the pleats, the centres of these two waist buttons approximately $3\frac{1}{2}$ " (not more than about 4" apart. The whole tunic front and slashes (but not adjoining the pleats) are edged (piped) with white cloth not more than $\frac{1}{4}$ " wide, the points of the slashes being approximately $\frac{1}{4}$ " clear from the white cloth edging of the closing seam, and the bottom of the slashes being about $\frac{1}{4}$ " clear above the lower edge of the tunic. A brass belt-hook in waist-seam on each side. Shoulder-straps $2\frac{1}{2}$ " wide at outer end, tapering to 2" level with buttonhole, with end angled to a

point, are edged with 3/16" gold Russia braid on the sides and around the point, and fastened with a 30 ligne regimental button. Scarlet cloth wings, edged all round with 1/2" gold lace (of "Bias and Stand" pattern as described for collar) and crossed with five diagonally-placed bars of the same lace (running inwards and to the rear). See note 3.

20. Trousers. Substantial dark blue cloth with a scarlet cloth welt 1/4" wide down each side-seam. Pattern similar to Foot Guards ORs Full Dress, well shaped at the ankle, cut without pleats at waist and fitted with braces-buttons. See note 6

21. Boots. George boots.

22. Sash (Shoulder). Crimson cotton (WO's pattern) with tassels (worn over right shoulder, the lower end of the tassels level with bottom edge of tunic).

23. Sword and Scabbard. Sword, Infantry, Warrant Officer, with nickel-plated scabbard.

24. Sword knot. White matt plastic strap and acorn.

25. Sword-belt. Heavy, solid (not woven), white matt plastic (to resemble buff leather) 1 3/4" wide regimental clasp. All metal furniture, including hook and adjustment buckle, to be brass or gilt metal or similar. Sword-slings of the same material as the belt, 1" wide, with metal billet studs. (The longer sword-sling slides on the belt, its upper end being at centre back between the two waist buttons, on which the belt edge rests). See Note 7

26. Waistbelt-Locket. Regimental Locket. Gilt or Brass, male/female clasp, with the Yorkshire Rose in the centre and Yorkshire Regiment around the circumference of the female fitting. See note 8

27. Gloves. White.

28. Greatcoat. Grey, single breasted [former Foot Guards pattern, blue-grey] with regimental buttons. Rank insignia above right cuff in scarlet embroidery on

blue cloth. See Note 9

29. Cape. Grey, [blue-grey] of length to reach to the knuckles, unlined, with regimental buttons.

DRUM MAJOR

30. Uniform, Sword and Accoutrements. All items except insignia are as described for WO2 (see Paras. 16 - 28 above) but with the following different or additional features:-

31. Insignia. The appropriate regulation insignia are worn on the right forearm of the tunic commencing 1/2" clear above the cuff lace, the chevrons (about 6" across with point up) being of 1/2" gold "Bias and Stand" lace and the drum of gold wire embroidery etc., all on scarlet cloth backing. The Lyre badge is not to be worn. On the greatcoat, equivalent insignia are worn above the right cuff, the chevrons being of 1/2" dark blue worsted lace about 6" across on scarlet cloth backing, point up, and the drum in scarlet embroidery on dark blue cloth. See Note 9.

32. Drum Major's Embroidered Shoulder-Belt (or "Sash"), Staff (or "Mace") and Cane. All of customary patterns. The Embroidered Shoulder-Belt (widely known as the Drum Major's Sash) is worn over the left shoulder. It is traditionally of cloth of the regimental facing-colour edged with gold lace, generally fitted with two token drumsticks and embellished with Crown and Royal Cypher, regimental insignia, title-scrolls and battle-honour scrolls etc. in wire embroidery, the lower ends edged with gold fringe and joined together.

33. Gloves. White cotton

BAND COLOUR SERGEANT to MUSICIAN

34. Helmet and Helmet Plate. As described for WO 2. See Paras 16 and 17 and Notes 1, 2 and 5.

35. Musn – C/Sgt Tunic. As described for WO2 (See Para 18 above) but without the trimming of gold lace and gold braid. Only the scarlet cloth Musicians wings are trimmed (to the same design) but with ½” white worsted chevron-weave lace. Apart from the collar badge, the collar and cuffs are entirely plain. See note 3

36. Chevrons and Badges of Rank and Employment. Insignia, as per regulations, worn on right upper arm, all on scarlet cloth backing, the chevrons point-down and measuring approx. 6” across, as follows:-

a. Sergeant and above: Chevrons of ½” gold “Bias and Stand” (Universal NCOs’ pattern) lace. Any accompanying badges in gold wire embroidery.

b. Corporal and Lance Corporal. Chevrons of ½” white worsted “chevron weave” lace (as on Bandsman’s wings) with the standard Lyre badge in regulation (white etc.) worsted embroidery.

c. Musician. Lyre badge as for Corporal but no chevrons.

37. Sash (Shoulder), (Sergeant and above only). Scarlet polyester worsted webbing with tassels (worn over right shoulder, the lower end of the tassels level with bottom edge of tunic).

38. Trousers, Boots and Gloves. As described for WO (See Paras 19, 20 and 26 and Note 6).

39. Waistbelt. Heavy, solid (not woven), white matt plastic (to resemble buff leather) 1¾” wide with regimental locket and two separate brass or gilt metal adjustment buckles. (When worn, the lower edge of the waistbelt rests on the shanks of the pair of waist buttons at back of tunic). See Note 7.

40. Waist belt-Locket. Regimental Locket. Gilt or Brass, male/female clasp, with the Yorkshire Rose in the centre and Yorkshire Regiment around the circumference of the female fitting See Note 8.

41. Music Pouch. Matt white, all of same substantial material as the waist belt,

and with gilt/gilding metal/brass fittings throughout (including plain single-prong buckle, tip and slide on the two-piece belt, which is approx. 2¼ in. wide), the flap of the deep-form pouch (pouch very approx. 7 in. high x 8½ in. wide) may be fitted with a regimental device. Alternatively, a Music Card Case of similar material may be worn on the waist belt.

42. Greatcoat and Cape. As described for WO2 (Paras 27 and 28) except the insignia on the greatcoat. Rank chevrons (point down) of ½” dark blue worsted lace about 6” across on scarlet cloth backing are worn 1” clear above the right cuff, crowns being in scarlet embroidery on blue cloth. See Note 9.

NOTES

Note 1. The shape of an officer’s cloth helmet, which is pointed at the front peak and slightly squared off at the back, differs considerably from the OR pattern. The correct Full Dress headdress for the Drum Major and any WO (excluding Bandmaster) as well as the Musicians is the Other Rank’s Universal pattern Home Service cloth helmet. The cloth covering is extremely dark blue.

Note 2. The small silvered title scroll (located low down on the face of officer’s pattern helmet plates) is not considered essential and may be omitted, if necessary, to avoid further expense.

Note 3. Pointed cuffs should be cut so that the edge curves to a point (with concave sides to the point of the cuff) as opposed to being simply angled to a point. The Bandmaster’s shoulder cords are to be identical to those worn by officers.

Note 4. The Frock Coat for Infantry of the Line is of the usual Universal pattern: double-breasted with 40lign gilt buttons, it is made of plain dark blue cloth and has matching shoulder-straps. It has collar badges but it bears no cord, braid or lace ornamentation whatever. The officer’s rank badges are of gold embroidery. The Bandmaster’s insignia are of gold wire

embroidery on dark blue cloth backing. The Frock Coat is to be worn with the peaked forage cap but all the other items are as for Full Dress (except re medals).

- Note 5.** For all categories covered by these regulations the peaked forage cap is the only undress headdress that may be worn with Full Dress. Although a peaked forage cap is not a Full Dress headdress, it is occasionally worn temporarily with Full Dress for some limited purpose (e.g. for a Bandstand Concert). This practice does not extend to Directors of Music and WO1 Bandmasters in possession of frock coats, who are to wear the Frock coat (with the peaked forage cap) when soldiers are wearing their forage caps with Full Dress tunics.
- Note 6.** No. 1 Dress trousers are significantly different (in cut and texture as well as the scarlet stripe) and would not be correct for wear in Full Dress.
- Note 7.** Serious replicas of proper Full Dress belts etc. made of solid matt white plastic are a reasonable substitute for the historic whitened buff leather. However, woven belts are not correct for Full Dress.
- Note 8.** Regimental locket. In Brass or gilt if possible as opposed to the silver clasp worn in No2 Dress.
- Note 9.** Note that for greatcoats the chevrons are of the same width of lace ($\frac{1}{2}$ ") as most army chevrons and not of Foot Guards width (nor of similar construction). The badges, however, are similar to Foot Guards pattern, being of scarlet embroidery on dark blue cloth.

AUTHORISED SPECIFICATION FOR NO 10 DRESS (REGIMENTAL MESS KIT)
FOR THE ROYAL YEOMANRY

OFFICERS

1. **Jacket.** Blue cloth, scarlet collar and cuffs. Upright collar, not less than 2 inches nor more than 2½ inches high, cut square at the ends. A loop of narrow silver braid at the base of the collar on the left, attached to a hook on the right hand side, to fasten the jacket across the waistcoat. The jacket edged all round, including the collar, with 1 inch silver Vandyke lace, which forms a dummy at the base of each backseam. The base of the collar trimmed with a row of silver chain gimp, terminating at each end under the lace edging of the jacket. A row of white metal studs down the left hand side of the front of the jacket. The cuffs pointed, edged with silver lace as on the jacket, 6 inches deep (including the lace) at the point and 2½ inches deep at the rear. The lace is padded where it forms a point, crimped below the point and folded at both sides of the backseam, terminating at the base of the cuff. Two small plain white metal half-ball buttons on the outer side of the backseam, above the lace. Shoulder-cords of plaited silver chain gimp, with a small half-ball button at top, lined with blue cloth, bearing full-size badges of rank in gold embroidery.

2. **Waistcoat.** Scarlet cloth; upright collar, approximately quarter of an inch lower than that of the jacket, cut square at the ends and fastened with two hooks and eyes, with a black silk tab behind. The waistcoat fastened down the front with hooks and eyes. A row of white metal studs down the left hand front edge. The waistcoat edged around the collar, down the fronts and along the bottom edges to the side-seams, with ¾ inch silver Vandyke lace. Within the lace, a row of silver Russia braid, forming a row of eyes, and a crow's foot in the lower angles. A light of scarlet cloth, 1/8th of an inch wide, between the lace and the Russia. The pockets edged all round with silver Russia forming three eyes above and below, and a crow's foot at each end.

3. **Netherwear.** Blue overalls, with a double stripe of ¾ inch silver Vandyke lace as on waistcoat. Wellingtons, or George boots, with white metal box spurs. Spurs may be swan-necked or straight-necked, according to squadron custom.

WOs and SNCOs

4. **Jacket.** Blue cloth, scarlet collar and cuffs. Upright collar, not less than 2 inches nor more than 2½ inches high, cut square at the ends. A loop of narrow silver braid at the base of the collar on the left, attached to a hook on the right hand side, to fasten the jacket across the waistcoat. The jacket edged all round, including the collar, with 3/8 inch silver Russia braid, which forms a figure of eight at the base of each backseam. The base of the collar trimmed with a row similar Russia braid, terminating at each end under the braid edging the jacket. A row of white metal studs down the left hand side of the front of the jacket. The cuffs pointed, 4¼ inches deep at the point and 2 inches at the rear, edged with silver Russia braid, which forms a crow's foot extending 1½ inches above the point of the cuff, and is folded at both sides of the backseam, terminating at the base of the cuff. Two small plain half-ball buttons on the outer side of the backseam, above the braid. A plain loop of narrow silver round cord, fastened by a small white metal half-ball button, on each shoulder. Badges of rank on right sleeve, in silver embroidery on scarlet backing, with Sqn badge above.

5. **Waistcoat.** Scarlet cloth; standing collar, approximately quarter of an inch lower than that of the jacket, cut square at the ends and fastened with two hooks and eyes, with a black silk tab behind. The waistcoat fastened down the front with hooks and eyes. A row of white metal studs down the left hand front edge. The waistcoat edged around the collar, down the fronts and along the bottom edges to the side-seams, with $\frac{1}{2}$ inch silver "Bias and Stand" lace, the pockets all round with silver Russia forming a loop at each end.

6. **Netherwear.** Blue overalls, with a double stripe of $\frac{3}{4}$ inch scarlet cloth. Wellington or George boots, with white metal swan-necked box spurs.



**Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Personal Services (Army) – PS12(A),**

[Redacted]

ARMY

Telephone [Redacted]
Facsimile [Redacted]

Military Facsimile [Redacted]

Email Ext [Redacted]
Email Int [Redacted]

Reference: D/DPS(A)/25/1/1/PS12(A)



See Distribution


Date: 25 Mar 10

**RECORD OF DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS OF THE 342nd MEETING OF THE
ARMY DRESS COMMITTEE HELD IN HEADQUARTERS LAND FORCES,
WILTON ON TUESDAY 9TH MARCH 2010**


Present	[Redacted]	AD Log Sp HQLF Female Rep SO1 PS12(A) AcSM RMAS Sec ADC PS12(A)	A/Chairman Secretary
In attendance	[Redacted]	DC Team CM1 DC T DC Team RM3 DC T Army Hist Adv WO1 FAD Log Sp HQLF Comd Mat Sp WO HQLF	
Apologies	[Redacted]	DGPers AD Media & Comm HQLF P & C Mgr, DC Team DPM 1 DC Team	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
Item 1. Welcome	The Acting Chairman passed on DG Pers' apologies for his absence. The Acting Chairman welcomed [Redacted] to her first meeting as the new Female representative.	
Item 2. Minutes of 341TH ADC	Approved	
Item 3. Matters Arising	a. Maternity Wear. The Secretary updated the committee on the introduction of a new range of	


Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>maternity wear across all 3 Services. At a recent tri-Service Working Group (WG) meeting, revised designs for maternity slacks were discussed and examples shown to WG members who have been asked for feed back on which designs were to be trialled. Changes to the cardigan design and maternity dress material were agreed. Once DC T knew which maternity slacks to produce for the trial, a limited quantity of all items would be produced to be trialled across the 3 Services. On receipt of the trial results the selected designs would be produced and stocked in the 3 Services' colours. DC T explained that with the move to Bath and shortage of staff it would be some months before any work could begin on this project and a date for the final range of maternity wear to be available could not be forecasted (likely to be late 2011/early 2012).</p> <p>b. Elizabeth Cross. DG Pers had written to the Defence Services Secretary (DS Sec) asking for agreement for the Elizabeth Cross to be worn in uniform. His letter had been copied to both the Navy Sec and Air Sec who had both supported the general principle. Because the ADC recommended that only the full size cross should be worn in uniform but the Navy wished to wear only the miniature, the recommendation to DS Sec was that the Cross was to be worn according to single Service regulations. DS Sec supported the proposal but in staffing it to the Chiefs of Service committee, the issue was combined with other more contentious medal issues and a decision on the Elizabeth Cross would be delayed as a consequence.</p>	
<p>Item 4. Record of OOC Decisions</p>	<p>a. Queen's Division Jersey. The Queen's Div proposed a single divisional jersey to be worn by all officers and warrant officers in the Queen's Division differing only in the shoulder titles and badges of rank between each regiment. The jersey was to be privately purchased with no use of public funding.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;">   </div>	<p>D Inf</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>While the proposal had unanimous agreement, the acting Chairman wished PS12(A) to draft a letter on behalf of the Chairman to the Col Comdt expressing the concerns of the Committee of undue pressure on warrant officers to purchase items of clothing. Particularly as this may be the second or third “regimental” jersey some warrant officers may have had to have bought in recent years.</p> <p>Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4211</p> <p>b. Edinburgh Garrison Emblem. HQ Edinburgh Garrison proposed an emblem for the HQ to use on garrison signage, stationary and electronic media.</p>  <p>The Committee approved the emblem without caveat.</p> <p>Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4212</p>	<p>PS12(A)</p> <p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Edinburgh Garrison</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 5. Retired Senior Officers' Uniform Grant</p>	<p>The Secretary explained that in 2000, former CDS, VCDS and Chiefs of Service were granted revised terms and conditions of service (T&CS) on the demise of the rank of Field Marshal. An element of these T&CS was a uniform maintenance allowance to support their ongoing representational duties. However over time, the amount of this allowance and the mechanism by which it was paid had been lost. DCDS Pers Allowances had agreed that it was a single Service matter and therefore the Secretary was recommending that the amount should be tied to the actual cash benefit received by a serving senior officer through his income tax relief for uniform maintenance. Currently the senior officer income tax relief stands at £749.89 which provides a cash benefit of £299.96 (40% of £749.89). The Committee agreed.</p> <p>It was also agreed that the mechanism by which it was paid should be through the SPVA in conjunction with each individual's pension. The Committee asked the Secretary when contacting the SPVA, to ensure that the allowance was shown clearly in the payment statements received by each individual.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4213</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
<p>Item 6. FAD Update</p>	<p>a. FAD Uniforms and issue programme. SO2 FAD HQLF stated that to date, 12,000 FAD No 2 Dress uniforms had been issued to the Field Army and 15,000 to ITG. While the issue programme had been put on hold until 1 Apr 10, HQLF had the opportunity to reprofile the issue programme and resolve some of the issues with the contract and the delivery mechanisms. HQLF would be re-issuing the programme shortly the end date of which had now been pushed to mid 2013. Sizing packs had continued to circulate around units which would mean that there would be no delays to issues once stocks were released. The AcSM RMAS stated how pleased he was with the uniforms that he had seen around the Academy. SO2 FAD stated there were still misunderstandings particularly among officers over the concept of the uniform which was not a tailored uniform but a comfort fit.</p> <p>b. Raincoat. There was still no further progress on the trial or general introduction of the raincoat. It had been intended to bid for the funding required for the trial to PR11. However in discussion, everyone understood that there was no chance of success of obtaining funding and indeed trying to obtain funding could compromise future opportunities. It was therefore agreed to withdraw any bid for funding to PR11.</p> <p>c. [REDACTED]. Because further funding for the FTRS post of SO2 FAD was not available, this would be WO1 Radford's last meeting. FAD lead would now fall to [REDACTED] – [REDACTED]. The Committee thanked [REDACTED] for all his work over the last 18 months and wished him well for the future.</p>	
<p>Item 7. Queen's Own Gurkha Logistic Regiment Mess Dress</p>	<p>Queen's Own Gurkha Logistic Regiment (QOGLR) Mess Dress. QOGLR were again proposing a change from a silver crossed kukri worn on the arm of officers' mess kit to an embroidered version. QOGLR had proposed this at a previous meeting but the ADC had not approved it. This was because the proposal was coupled to a change in TRF which the ADC did not approve either. The proposal for an embroidered badge did not receive due consideration because the focus of the previous discussion was on the TRF. Now that the Committee could look at the proposal for an embroidered badge in isolation and with clear support from Col BG, the proposal was approved.</p>	<p>QOGLR HQ BG</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	 <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4214</p> <p>Although not formally presented to the Committee, it was known that QOGLR had also requested that officers' cross belts were provided from public funds. After a short discussion, it was unanimously agreed that it was inappropriate to provide accoutrements from public funds to officers who join QGE, QG SIGNALS and QOGLR for a 2/3 year attachment.</p>	<p>QOGLR HQ BG</p>
<p>Item 8. Retired Officers wearing Uniform</p>	<p>The Secretary briefed the Committee on a recent occasion when an officer who had retired over 10 years ago had been photographed in a political publication wearing full No 2 Dress ceremonial. Not only did the photograph give the impression that he was still serving but also the use of his rank underneath the photographs lacked any suffix after his rank (ie Retd) furthering the impression.</p> <p>The Secretary explained that the existing regulations listed very specific occasions when a retired Maj or below could wear uniform. However the same regulations allowed retired Lt Cols and above to wear uniform at their discretion. While no Service document could have any legal authority over a retired individual, the Secretary recommended that the differentiation between Maj's and below and Lt Cols and above be removed which would mirror RN and RAF regulations. In addition the Secretary recommended that an additional sub-paragraph was added that provided guidelines on when the use of the word "retired" was required after a rank. The Committee agreed both recommendations and the suggested wording. In addition, the Committee wished the revised rules on wearing uniform by retired individuals to be promulgated through an appropriate means and suggested an ABN. Further the Committee wished the revised rules to be included and highlighted within the Service Leavers pack. The Secretary was to arrange this through SPVA.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4215</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
<p>Item 9. Dress for 30 Sig Regt Drummers</p>	<p>██████████ representing SOinC presented a proposal for the drummers of 30 Sig Regt to wear Ogilvy tartan trews to comply with a previous ADC decision that the drummers could not wear kilts. The Historical Advisor explained that drummers' full dress was the same as that worn by the rank and file of the regiment. In the case of 30 Sig Regt, drummers should not wear trews but standard R SIGNALS No 1 Dress trousers. However in the discussion, it became clear that 30 Sig Regt drummers were not the only drummers within the Army to be wearing different uniforms. Before the Committee could arrive at a firm decision, the Secretary was asked to conduct a survey of all Pipes & Drums platoons to find out the extent of this break with historic precedent and to report back at the next meeting. In the meantime, SOinC was advised not to spend further resources on procuring trews in case the Committee ruled against them. The Committee was conscious that at the direction of the ADC at the 312nd meeting in 2002, drummers of 30 Sigs Regt as well as those of 105 Regt RA (V) and 71 Engr Regt (V) had until 2012 to change from kilts.</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p> <p>SOinC</p>
<p>Item 10. Items for Discussion</p>	<p>a. FAD Footwear. HQLF highlighted a future issue over appropriate footwear to be worn with FAD No 2 Dress. Currently ORs wore the same design of boot on parade as they did in combat. While most of the current range of combat boots did not look out of place on parade, the new boot to be procured as part of the PECOC range would be total unsuitable. After some discussion it was decided that with the exception of Public Duties, shoes would be worn in No 2 Dress for all other parades. The change to shoes would be effective for each unit once they had been issued with FAD.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4216</p> <p>b. MPGS No 2 Dress Accoutrements. HQ AGC had requested views of the ADC on proposed designs for MPGS collar dogs and buttons to be worn on No 2 Dress. After some discussion, the Committee's view was that it was inappropriate to approve designs for collar dogs and buttons for a uniform that MPGS were not authorised to wear. As this is a scaling issue, the first step was for HQ AGC to obtain authority from HQLF Log Sp for the issue of No 2 Dress.</p> <p>c. Gurkha SPS Cap badge. HQ BG had produced 4 draft designs for the proposed cap badge of Gurkha</p>	<p>A&SDs</p> <p>HQ ARTD</p> <p>HQ AGC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>SPS. The Committee's view was that the cap badge should be similar in design principle to the existing badges of QGE, QG SIGNALS and QOGLR.</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;">  </div> <p>The 2 proposed designs favoured by the Committee are shown above .</p>	HQ BG
Item 11. AOB	<p>a. New Clothing Solutions (NCS). AD Log Sp HQLF provided some details of the progress of the introduction of NCS. 2800 soldiers were now using NCS as part of the initial trial and 72 companies had expressed an interest when NCS was offered for contract. Progress to main gate was going well and NCS would save money in the long term.</p> <p>b. New No 1 Dress Jackets. The new contract for ORs male No 1 Dress jackets and trousers and female No 1 Dress jackets and skirts had been let. The major difference between the old and new No 1 Dress was the change of material from serge to barathea. DC T asked whether the Committee would accept a mixed fleet of material as barathea was phased into the Army. This would apply particularly to OP BRIDGES. The Committee viewed 2 jackets together one of barathea and one of serge and while the difference was noticeable on close inspection, there was no visible difference from a short distance. The Committee therefore re-affirmed its previous decision that a mixed fleet on No 1 Dress uniforms was acceptable.</p> <p>c. Female No 1 Dress jacket Design. The Committee made clear that its intent was that females of all ranks were to wear the high neck collared version of the No 1 Dress jacket the only exception being female cadets at RMAS. While a number of regiments and corps dress regulations showed that female officers' had an open neck design, these were to be phased out so that all female jackets mirrored the male design.</p> <p>d. The AcSM announced that this would be his last meeting as he leaves the Army shortly. The Committee thanked him for his wise advice and counsel since his first meeting in Nov 07.</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
Item 12. Date of the Next Meeting	The date of the next meeting would be late Jun/early Jul 10 – the date and venue to be confirmed.	

{Original Signed}


 Lt Col
 Sec ADC

Distribution:

External:

Action:

SHAPE (for D/UKNMR(A))
 PJHQ (for DACOS J1)
 HQ LAND FORCES (SO1 Avail Mngt, Log Sp Mat SO2 & WO1 FAD Log Sp)
 HQ ARRC (for G1 Ops SO2)
 HQ NI (for 38X G1 Gen Pol SO2, 38X G4 LogSp SO2 & 38X G4 LogSp BOWO)
 HQ 1 (UK) Armd Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 2 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 3 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 4 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 5 Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 6 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
 HQ UKSC(G) (for G1 & G4)
 HQ 101 Log Bde (for BOWO)
 HQ 102 Log Bde (for G1-G4 SO3)
 HQ LONDIST (for Log Sp Cer SO2)
 HQ BF Gibraltar (for J1 SO2 & JAOOC)
 HQ BF Cyprus (for J1 & J4)
 HQ BF South Atlantic Islands (for Staff Assistant J1/J4)
 HQ H Div (for Bde Maj)
 HQ DRAC (for SQM)
 RHQ RA (for Regt Col & Regt Pol SO2)
 RHQ RE (for Regt Col, RSME CONST CW SO3 & Corps RSM)
 RHQ R SIGNALS (for C and H SO1, OC 251SigSqn)
 HQ DINF (for G1 PERSOPS SO2 and RSM)
 HQ Foot Guards (for Div Col)
 HQ Queen's Div (for Lt Col)
 HQ King's Div (for SO2)
 HQ Prince of Wales's Div (for SO2)
 HQ RIFLES (for Lt Col)
 HQ PARA (for SO2)
 RHQ SCOTS (for Asst Regtl Sec)
 RHQ RIFLES (for Regt Sec)

RHQ R IRISH (for Regt Sec)
RHQ PARA (for Regt Sec)
RHQ Brigade of Gurkhas (for Regt Sec)
RHQ AAC (for Regt Sec)
HQ DSF (for JSFSG)
HQ RACHD (for Staff Chaplain & 12X Padre)
RHQ RLC (for Regt Col & Corps Adjt)
HQ AMS (for Regt Sec)
RHQ REME (for Pers Pol SO2)
RHQ AGC (for Plans and Co-ord SO2)
HQ SASC (for Lt Col & SMI)
HQ D INT CORPS (for Corps Adjt)
HQ APTC (for DCIPT CST CLO PAT, ADJT & CRSM)
HQ DCAMUS (for Corps Sec RO2)
HQ RG (for G1 ComdGp COS)
HQ ARTD (for G1, G4 & Plans SQM)
HQ RMAS (for Adjt & QM)

Information:

RN (for FLEET CAP LOG SPT CM SO1)
RM (for FLEET Asst Corps Sec)
RAF (for UCPSM1 SO1)
National Army Museum ([REDACTED])

Internal:

Action:

All Committee Members and those in attendance.

Information:

DPS(A)
PS10 (A) (for SO1 and SO2 Allces Policy)
DGS (for Pol SO1b)
D Manning (A) (for SO1 Diversity)
Def PR(A) (for SO2 Profile)
CIO (for CI CMem Analysis 8)
MOD RQMS



**Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Personal Services (Army) – PS12(A),**

Telephone
Facsimile

Military
Facsimile

Email Ext
Email Int

ARMY

Reference: D/DPS(A)/25/1/1/PS12(A)

See Distribution

Date: 18 Aug 10

**RECORD OF DISCUSSIONS AND DECISIONS OF THE 343rd MEETING OF THE ARMY
DRESS COMMITTEE HELD IN MOD MAIN BUILDING LONDON ON WEDNESDAY 28th
JULY 2010**


Present	Maj Gen AR Gregory [REDACTED]	DG Pers AD Media & Comm (A) SO1 Log Sp Availability Mgt HQLF Female Rep SO1 PS12(A) AcSM RMAS Sec ADC PS12(A)	Chairman Secretary
In attendance	[REDACTED]	DC P&CT RM3 DC Team DC P&CT RM Ceremonial DC Team Army Historical Advisor SO2 Mat Sp HQLF WO1 Mat Sp Log Sp HQLF SO1 PS12(A) Des	
Apologies	[REDACTED]	P & C Mgr, DC Team DC P&CT STM DC Team	



Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
Item 1. Welcome	The Chairman welcomed [REDACTED] and [REDACTED] to their first meeting.	
Item 2. Minutes of 342nd ADC	Approved	
Item 3. Matters Arising	a. Aiguillettes. The Secretary briefed the Committee on the progress of the review of aiguillettes stating that at a recent meeting with the Lord Chamberlain's office, it was agreed: (1) Aiguillettes worn on the left shoulder were a single Service issue and, to achieve consistency	



Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>across the 3 Services, the Defence Services Secretary (DS Sec) would be providing some guiding principles in the near future.</p> <p>(2) To maintain the long standing tradition that members of the Defence and Single Service Boards who were not Principal ADCs were to continue to wear their single Service Aiguillettes on the right shoulder.</p> <p>(3) There were still some concerns over entitlements to the No 1 (or Royal) Aiguillettes which had yet to be resolved.</p> <p>b. Elizabeth Cross. The Secretary explained that DS Sec had staffed the proposal to wear the Elizabeth Cross in uniform to the Chiefs of Service on 26 Jul who had accepted the following recommendations:</p> <p>(1) The recipient should have the discretion to decide whether or not to wear the Elizabeth Cross. There should be no element of compunction as there is with medals.</p> <p>(2) It may be worn only on orders of uniform upon which medals are worn.</p> <p>(3) It should only be worn when medals are ordered.</p> <p>(4) The full size Elizabeth Cross should be worn when full-size medals are worn. When miniature medals are worn then the miniature Cross may be worn</p> <p>(5) The Elizabeth Cross should be worn on the right chest.</p> <p>The recommendations would require the approval of the Palace and DS Sec would be promulgating the decision once the Palace had agreed.</p> <p>c. Turbans. The Secretary briefed the Committee on discussions that he had had with the Sikh Chaplain to the Forces who was of the strong view that to standardise the style of turban to be worn by Sikhs in the Army would be extremely insensitive, difficult to enforce and a disincentive for young Sikhs to join the Army. The Committee therefore agreed that there should be no change to the existing policy as articulated in Annex B to AGAI 59 and this issue was now closed.</p> <p>Decision. Decision 4217</p> <p>d. 30 Sig Regt Drummers. The Secretary reported that drummers in all Regular Army Pipes and Drums Platoons</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>(P&D) conformed to the regulation that drummers wear the same nether wear as the rank and file of the regiment or corps. However most Territorial Army drummers were incorrect by wearing kilts and/or trews. The Committee therefore ruled that:</p> <p>(1) 30 Sig Regt drummers were to wear R SIGNALS No 1 Dress trousers.</p> <p>(2) Those P&D that were incorrectly dressed were to conform to the regulation but were to be given a period of grace in which to make the transition.</p> <p>(3) The period of grace was to be synchronised with the results of the Strategic Defence and Security Review (SDSR) in order to avoid nugatory expense.</p> <p>Decision. Decision 4218</p> <p>Afternote. It has been suggested that the period of grace is 2 years i.e. until Aug 2012 though this could be extended if there was still uncertainty over the future of a particular TA regiment. The Sec will offer this suggested period to the regiments and corps concerned.</p>	<p>SOinC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p> <p>Sec ADC</p>
<p>Item 4. Record of OOC Decisions</p>	<p>a. Emblem of the LONDONS. The LONDONS had conformed to the Committee's request for the writing on the proposed emblem to be in a clockwise direction around the emblem. The LONDONS had resubmitted the design below which was approved by the Committee for use only on letterheads, electronic media and unit signage.</p> <div data-bbox="746 1240 959 1473" data-label="Image"> </div> <p>Decision. Decision ratified. Decision 4219</p> <p>b. Emblem and Motto for 643 (SC) Tp R SIGNALS. Although the Committee were reluctant to approve emblems for sub-units of this size, it recognised the unique situation of this Tp which worked directly to a Bde HQ and was independent of any R SIGNALS Sqn or Regt. The design and motto below was therefore approved for use only on letterheads, electronic media and unit signage.</p>	<p>LONDONS</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<div data-bbox="769 190 938 427" data-label="Image"> </div> <p data-bbox="592 461 1114 495" style="text-align: center;">‘Umbra Sumus - we are but shadows’</p> <p data-bbox="469 528 1040 562">Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4220</p> <p data-bbox="469 595 1230 696">c. Emblem for Army Technical Foundation College (Winchester). ATFC(W) proposed an emblem for the HQ to use on unit signage, stationary and electronic media.</p> <div data-bbox="678 712 1029 1144" data-label="Image"> </div> <p data-bbox="469 1178 1166 1211">The Committee approved the emblem without caveat.</p> <p data-bbox="469 1245 1040 1279">Decision. Ratified by ADC. Decision 4221</p>	<p data-bbox="1267 528 1406 562">HQ SOinC</p> <p data-bbox="1267 1200 1369 1234">HQ ITG</p>
Item 5.	This item was withdrawn before the meeting.	
Item 6. SNCO Mess Dress for RGR	<p data-bbox="469 1424 1238 2049">With the introduction of an allowance for SNCO Mess Dress the RGR Regimental Council agreed that a smarter version should be considered. An example has now been produced and agreed by both Battalions and the Colonel of the Regiment. The result is similar to the existing version but of a more substantial quality and includes a waistcoat for wear in a temperate climate and mirrors the existing design worn by the officers. The Committee noted that the revised design was significantly more expensive at £595 which was £140 more than the basic SNCO Mess Dress Grant. However it also noted that both Battalions had agreed to the increased cost. The Committee discussed whether to recommend the higher grant for RGR but noted that the SNCO Mess Dress Grant was under scrutiny from Her Majesty’s Revenue and Customs (HMRC) and decided to maintain RGR at the basic level of Grant pending the review from HMRC. The Committee suggested that to avoid young SNCOs having to pay to be promoted, a subsidy could be found for them; perhaps</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>from RHQ RGR.</p> <p>The design shown below was discussed. The Army Historical Advisor was content but noted that there should be a break in the braiding at the base of the waistcoat as the design of all waistcoats indicated that they could be unbuttoned at the front. The Committee agreed.</p>  <p>The design was therefore approved with the break in the braiding.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4222</p>	<p>HQ BG</p>
<p>Item 7. Update on FAD.</p>	<p>FAD Uniform. HQLF reported that to date 32,000 sets of FAD uniform had been issued to the Field Army and a further 17000 sets to those leaving Phase 1 training. This represented 37% of the total planned issue of FAD and included the Territorial Army. Maintenance stocks had started to be issued mainly to those who had recently left Phase 1 training as they matured and put on weight. Their old uniforms were being retained at QM level and reissued as required.</p> <p>HQLF also reported that DSDA was holding excessive stock of FAD due mainly to the temporary freeze on issues imposed by HQLF last FY.</p> <p>Sizing packs were now with each of the DOWOs and under their control within their divisional areas with a further pack controlled by HQLF.</p> <p>Shirts. The issue of whether officers of the Bde of Guards, R WELSH and SCOTS could continue to wear regimental shirts in No 2 Dress was still not resolved. After some discussion, the Chairman ruled that they could continue to wear their regimental shirts but he stated that it was regrettable that young officers were being told to buy shirts when there was a perfectly adequate free issue. The Chairman asked the Secretary to draft him letters for GOC LONDIST and Col R WELSH and for the Sec to inform</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>RHQ SCOTS.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4223</p>	
<p>Item 8. Hackles for 6 and 7 SCOTS</p>	<p>Individual companies within the 2 Territorial Army bns of the SCOTS (6 and 7 SCOTS) currently wear one of the Regular Army Bns' hackles depending on the geographical location of each Company HQ. RHQ SCOTS now wish to provide individual and unique hackles for the 2 TA Bns to provide unit identity and cohesion and the proposed colours are a grey, Fusilier type hackle (same shape as worn by 1 SCOTS) and a purple Highlander fan type hackle (same shape as worn by 4 SCOTS).</p> <p>After a brief discussion, the Committee agreed to the grey and purple hackles as shown below:</p> <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around; align-items: center;">   </div> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4224</p>	<p>Sec ADC RHQ SCOTS</p>
<p>Item 9. Medal Ribbons</p>	<p>The Secretary briefed the Committee on how 3 recent factors had caused the way that some individual's medal ribbons appeared to look awkward and unbalanced. The 3 factors were:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The number of NATO and UN medals that can be worn as each NATO and UN medal is some 5mm wider than the standard British ribbon. b. The overall number of medals awarded in recent years has resulted in many officers and soldiers now moving into 2 and 3 rows of ribbons. c. The new FAD No 2 Dress has a much greater range of uniform sizes which has resulted in a much greater variation of pocket width. <p>The Secretary showed photographs of examples one of which is shown below</p>	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	 <p>The ribbons were sewn onto the uniform according to current regulations but the uneven inside edge made the ribbons appear unbalanced. The Secretary put forward a solution that required 2 minor amendments to the Regulations as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. The bottom row of ribbons is not to exceed the width of the breast pocket and each complete subsequent row is to be of the same number as the bottom row. b. Each row of ribbons is to be centred above the breast pocket button. <p>If these amendments are applied to the uniform above, the medal ribbons would appear as shown below:</p>  <p>After a brief discussion and hearing that the Central Chancery were content that the issue was an internal one to the MOD, the Committee agreed to the 2 amendments having been assured by the Secretary that the decision would not impact on RN or RAF policy.</p> <p>The Chairman asked the Secretary to draft letters for DPS(A) to inform A&SDs with the advice that changes were to be made as a matter of routine i.e. when issuing FAD or when new ribbons are required to be sewn onto an individual's uniform. The change would therefore be gradual. The Secretary was asked to write to all military and civilian tailors informing them of the changes.</p>	<p>Sec ADC</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4225	Sec ADC
Item 10. QOY Buttons	<p>Squadrons of the Queen’s Own Yeomanry (QOY) currently wear “squadron” uniforms reflecting their historical regiment antecedents but there was no unifying item of dress within the regiment. In conjunction with the introduction of the new No 2 Dress, the regiment wished to replace all the individual squadron buttons and shoulder titles with QOY items on No 2 Dress. The Regiment proposed to replace all the varying buttons on No 2 Dress with a silver “yeomanry” half ball style button and the shoulder title with a silver QOY. HQLF had already agreed to fund these items.</p> <p>The Committee agreed to the proposal but the Army Historical Advisor pointed out that if the QOY were moving to silver buttons and shoulder titles, then all metal accoutrements should also be silver. This particularly applied to the metal furniture on Sam Brownes and ORs belt buckles. the Committee agreed to the proposal.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4226</p>	HQ DRAC
Item 11. Central Band of the ACF	<p>The Central Band of the ACF was periodically formed from the best musicians from around the ACF. Previously these musicians had performed both nationally and internationally each wearing their affiliated regiment/corps uniform. To provide a much more powerful impact during these performances and to promote the ACF, the ACFA, through HQLF, proposed a No 1 Dress uniform specifically for the Central Band. The uniform was based on a non-Royal infantry pattern uniform.</p> <p>The Committee agreed on the uniform as detailed below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. <u>Forage Cap</u> – Plain blue, blue band, plain peak, scarlet piping around crown seam. b. <u>Cap Badge</u> – The ACF badge (authorised badge) c. <u>Jacket</u> – Based on standard Army Blues jacket with ¼ in scarlet welt around base of collar, plain blue shoulder boards and detachable wings. d. <u>Accoutrements</u>: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (1). Collar badges – Miniature form of the ACF badge. (2) Buttons – flat buttons with ACF crest. (3) Shoulder Titles – ACF in gilt colour (4) Dress Cords – Drummers and Buglers only (5) Belt and Buckle – White belt with gilt clasp buckle with “Central Band” around circler and ACF badge in centre. 	

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
	<p>e. <u>Trousers</u> – Standard pattern with 1” in scarlet stripe</p> <p>f. <u>Shoes</u>. Black shoes.</p> <p>g. <u>Female Uniform</u>. The female uniform is the same with the exception of a female cut jacket without breast pockets. Female will wear the same Forage Cap.</p> <p>This differed in 2 respects from the design proposed by the ACFA: it would be inappropriate for dress cords to be worn by all musicians and secondly all dismounted bands wore wings on the shoulders of No 1 Dress and the Central Band of the ACF should do the same.</p> <p>Decision. Approved by ADC. Decision 4227</p>	<p>HQLF Cadet Branch</p>
<p>Item 12. Items for Discussion</p>	<p>a. Issued No 1 Dress. The Committee spent some time discussing the potential benefits from issuing a No 1 Dress jacket to officers in place of the No 1 Dress Grant. The Committee concluded by deciding that the potential benefits were small and were outweighed by the drop in standards of appearance particularly for those officers in representational and high visibility appointments (e.g. senior officers and attaches). The Committee therefore decided not to pursue this.</p> <p>b. General Staff Dress Regulations. The Secretary briefed the Committee on how most individuals of the General Staff (ie Colonels and above) were not following the General Staff dress regulations, most of them through ignorance citing as examples senior officers wearing regimental/corps head dress, buttons and rank insignia in No 1 and No 2 Dress. The Chairman asked the Army Historical Advisor to provide a précis of the Dress Regulations together with his view of what he considered to be sacrosanct with a view to the Chairman writing to CGS with a recommendation. This recommendation would be either a reminder to the CofC to follow the Dress Regulations as stated or what items of regimental/corps accoutrements could be retained.</p> <p>c. SNCO Mess Dress Grant. The Secretary stated that HMRC were reviewing the three bands of the SNCO Mess Dress as it was their initial view that individuals in too many regiments and corps were getting a Grant that was more than the cost of the Mess Dress. This therefore became a benefit and as such should be taxable. PS10(A) are negotiating with HMRC. The 2 possible solutions were either to provide more bands or even to provide individual regimental/corps grants both of which would avoid the Grant becoming taxable. The Committee would be informed of the outcome.</p> <p>d. PECOC. DCT provided an update on PECOS which is reproduced at Annex A. The Committee noted the update.</p>	<p>Army Historical Advisor</p>

Item	Discussion/Decision	Action
Item 13 AOB	<p>a. Female General Staff Mess Dress. The Female Focus on the Committee – [REDACTED] informed the Committee that she had been approached by a senior female officer with a view to changing the current design of the female General Staff Mess Dress as firstly there was some confusion over the design and secondly some thought that a bow tie was inappropriate. During discussion, it soon became clear that the design as stated in Part 2 of ADRs was incorrect and had not been updated since the Committee made a previous decision. The authorised design was a bow tie and white shirt over dark blue dress with a scarlet jacket following the general principle that all female dress was to mirror that of their male counterparts as closely as practicable. The Secretary was asked to update ADRs. The Army Historical Advisor was asked to provide a recommendation in conjunction with [REDACTED] at the next meeting.</p> <p>b. [REDACTED]. The Chairman bade farewell to [REDACTED] who as SO1 PS12(A) had been in post since 2003 and had been very influential particularly in the introduction of the complete range of new uniforms under FAD. The Chairman thanked him for his contribution and wished him well in his retirement.</p>	Sec ADC
Item 14. Date of the Next Meeting	The date of the next meeting would be late Oct/Nov 10 – the date and venue to be confirmed.	

{Original Signed}

[REDACTED]
Lt Col
Sec ADC

Annexes:

A. Update from DCT on PECOC

Distribution:

External:

Action:

SHAPE (for D/UKNMR(A))
PJHQ (for DACOS J1)
HQ LAND FORCES (SO1 Log Sp Availability Mgt)
HQ ARRC (for G1 Ops SO2)
HQ NI (for 38X G1 Gen Pol SO2, 38X G4 LogSp SO2 & 38X G4 LogSp BOWO)
HQ 1 (UK) Armd Div (for G1 & G4)
HQ 2 Div (for G1 & G4)

HQ 3 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
HQ 4 Div (for G1 & G4)
HQ 5 Div (for G1 & G4)
HQ 6 (UK) Div (for G1 & G4)
HQ UKSC(G) (for G1 & G4)
HQ 101 Log Bde (for BOWO)
HQ 102 Log Bde (for G1-G4 SO3)
HQ LONDIST (for Log Sp Cer SO2)
HQ BF Gibraltar (for J1 SO2 & JAOOC)
HQ BF Cyprus (for COMD SO1 J1 & J4)
HQ BF South Atlantic Islands (for Staff Assistant J1/J4)
HQ H Div (for Bde Maj)
HQ DRAC (for SQM)
RHQ RA (for Regt Col & Regt Pol SO2)
RHQ RE (for Regt Col, RSME CONST CW SO3 & Corps RSM)
RHQ R SIGNALS (for C and H SO1, OC 251SigSqn)
HQ DINF (for G1 PERSOPS SO2 and RSM)
HQ Foot Guards (for Div Col)
HQ Queen's Div (for Lt Col)
HQ King's Div (for SO2)
HQ Prince of Wales's Div (for SO2)
HQ RIFLES (for Lt Col)
HQ PARA (for SO2)
RHQ SCOTS (for Asst Regtl Sec)
RHQ RIFLES (for Regt Sec)
RHQ R IRISH (for Regt Sec)
RHQ PARA (for Regt Sec)
RHQ Brigade of Gurkhas (for Regt Sec)
RHQ AAC (for Regt Sec)
HQ DSF (for JSFSG)
HQ RACHD (for Staff Chaplain & 12X Padre)
RHQ RLC (for Regt Col & Corps Adjnt)
HQ AMS (for Regt Sec)
RHQ REME (for Pers Pol SO2)
RHQ AGC (for Plans and Co-ord SO2)
HQ SASC (for Lt Col & SMI)
HQ D INT CORPS (for Corps Adjnt)
HQ APTC (for DCIPT CST CLO PAT, ADJT & CRSM)
HQ DCAMUS (for Corps Sec RO2)
HQ RG (for G1 ComdGp COS)
HQ ARTD (for G1, G4 & Plans SQM)
HQ RMAS (for Adjnt & QM)

Information:

RN (for CAP LOG SC OPS POL SO1)
RM (for FLEET Asst Corps Sec)
RAF (for UCPSM1 SO1)
National Army Museum (for ██████████)

Internal:

Action:

All Committee Members and those in attendance.

Information:

DPS(A)
PS10 (A) (for SO1 and SO2 Allces Policy)
CGS GS (for ArmyStrat SO1b)
D Manning (A) (for SO1 Diversity)
Def PR(A) (for SO2 Profile)
CIO (for CI CMem Analysis 8)
MOD RQMS

UPDATE ON PERSONAL OPERATIONAL COMBAT CLOTHING (PECOC)

1. PECOC clothing represents an evolution rather than a radical change from CS95. Weights of cloth will be the same. The notable features are:
 - Trousers – as CS95 but with angled map pockets on the thighs and a reinforced seat. Some minor “tweaking” but nothing fundamental.
 - Lightweight Jacket/Shirt – Removal of Breast Pockets and Buttons in order to allow integration with Body Armour. Buttons replaced with Velcro to prevent chafing; pockets replaced with internal Map Pockets. Notched collar replaced with cowl collar that can be done up around the neck to prevent chafing of the neck by body armour. Sleeves to have UBACS style sleeves (ie pockets for forearm and elbow padding/protection and bicep pockets to allow storage of small items/1st aid when chest pockets cannot be accessed. Velcro to Bicep Pocket to allow interchange of TRFs/Qualification Badges etc with Combat ID. Bicep Pockets positioned to allow RM/RAF Regt Shoulder Titles. Velcro on Bicep Pockets allows correct positioning of qualification badges and TRFs.
 - Smock – no significant change to current CS95 Windproof but with additional technical features such as underarm zips, shrouded buttons, handwarmer pockets etc. Will also have Bicep Pockets as per Shirt. (NB Aim is for commonality of stowage no matter whether UBACs, Lightweight Jacket/Shirt or Smock are being worn.
 - All will be in MTP
2. These items were briefed to the LF Command Board and were accepted. There was some discussion with “should shirts be in our out?”. The LF Command Board view was that Shirts Out was the preferred option although they recognised that ADC and the Chains of Command would have a view. It is our intent to subsequently adjust the spec of the Lightweight Jacket/Shirt so that it is more tailored, making it look smarter when worn out.