

# **Environment Agency**

Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

# Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/AP3630LG
The Operator is: RWE Generation UK Plc
The Installation is: Little Barford Power Station

This Variation Notice number is: EPR/AP3630LG/V006

### What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant published on 17<sup>th</sup> August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions ('BAT Conclusions') for large combustion plant as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the Permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the Permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

This is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the Permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

#### How this document is structured

### Glossary of terms

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- 2.3 Summary of how we considered the responses from public consultation.
- The legal framework
- 4 Key Issues
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to Water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

## Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

APC Air Pollution Control

BAT Best Available Technique(s)

BAT-AEEL BAT Associated Energy Efficiency Level

BAT-AEL BAT Associated Emission Level

BATc BAT conclusion

BREF Best available techniques reference document

CCGT Combined Cycle Gas Turbine
CEM Continuous emissions monitor
CHP Combined heat and power

CV Calorific value

DAA

Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to

allow the principal activity to be carried out

DLN Dry Low NOx burners
DLN-E Dry Low NOx effective

EIONET European environment information and observation network is a partnership

network of the European Environment Agency

ELV Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED

EMS Environmental Management System

EPR Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2016 No.

1154)

EWC European waste catalogue
IC Improvement Condition

IED Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)

IPPCD Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now

superseded by IED

LCP Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED MSUL/MSDL Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load

NOx Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO<sub>2</sub> expressed as NO<sub>2</sub>)

OCGT Open Cycle Gas Turbine
SGN Sector guidance note
TGN Technical guidance note
TNP Transitional National Plan
TOC Total Organic Carbon

WFD Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

#### 1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow it to continue to operate the Installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant Annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our Permit template provides two or more options.

#### 2 How we reached our decision

# 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 1<sup>st</sup> May 2018 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the large combustion plant BAT Conclusions document. The Notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17<sup>th</sup> August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17<sup>th</sup> August 2021, and confirmation of the
  date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an
  explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL) described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 31st October 2018.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review but not that it necessarily contained all the information we would need to complete that review.

# 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

### 3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under Regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

# 4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AELs)
- The review and assessment of the availability of BAT for gas turbines operating <500 hours per year</li>

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

#### 4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was provided by the operator to demonstrate that an alternative limit was more appropriate.
- For gas turbines where the IED specified that limits applied over 70% load and the BAT Conclusions specified that AELs applied when dry low NOx is effective (DLN-E), we have used DLN-E as a default across all monitoring requirements for NOx and CO.

#### The LCPs on site consist of:

- LCP 272 and 273 are 644 MWth engines, operating as a single CCGT module, each venting its waste gases to its own dedicated stack at emission points A1 and A2. The units burn natural gas and gas oil as a standby fuel.
- LCP 394 is a 58 MWth OCGT module, venting its waste gases to an individual stack at emission point A3. The unit burns gas oil for black start and short term operation reserve to the grid.

The plant was put into operation before IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 1of IED Annex V applicable to existing.

The ELVs and AELs are based on the following operating regimes:

- Unlimited hours operation (LCP 272 and LCP 273)
- <500 hours, non-emergency plant (LCP 394)

#### LCP 272 and LCP 273

The following tables outline the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP 272 and LCP 273 where these were derived from and the reference periods at which they apply. The emission limits refer to concentrations, expressed as mass of emitted substance per volume of flue-gas under the following standard conditions: dry gas at a temperature of 273,15 K, pressure of 101,3 kPa and 15% volume reference oxygen concentration if flue gases. The emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit.

Where a natural gas fired plant uses gas oil as a standby fuel for less than 10 days, we have not assessed the site against the BAT Conclusions applicable to that fuel as the use is not considered significant. We expect the site to have demonstrated that the site will be operated in a manner such that use of the standby fuel is minimised.

By the end of the TNP on 30 June 2020, as a minimum plant must meet the limits set out in Annex V of the Industrial Emission Directive subject to BAT assessment and the principle of no backsliding. From the implementation date of the BAT Conclusion in 2021 the relevant AELs will also apply.

	NOx limits (mg/Nm³)								
Averaging	Permit – Existing (non-IED limit)	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring		
Annual	None	None	40	40	BREF	DLN-E			
Monthly	50	50	None	50	Permit/ IED	DLN-E			
Daily	50	55	50	50	Permit/ BREF	DLN-E and MSUL/ MSDL to base load	Continuous		
95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of hr means	100	100	None	100	Permit/ IED	DLN-E			

	CO limits (mg/Nm³)								
Averaging	Permit – Existing (non-IED limit)	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Limits apply	Monitoring		
Annual	None	None	30	30	BREF	DLN-E			
Monthly	100	100	None	100	Permit/ IED	DLN-E			
Daily	100	110	None	100	Permit	DLN-E and MSUL/ MSDL to base load	Continuous		
95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of hr means	200	200	None	200	Permit/ IED	DLN-E			

#### LCP 394

Under Chapter III gas turbines and gas engines operating for less than 500 hours per year were considered to be emergency plant and therefore were not covered by the emission limits set out in IED Annex V. However, for the purposes of the LCP BAT review, plants operated for emergency use may only be defined as plants which operate for the sole purpose of providing power at a site during an onsite emergency and/or during a black start and which do not provide balancing services or demand side response services. As this site runs commercially on an intermittent basis to support the Grid, it is not considered emergency plant and therefore indicative BAT applies.

We have set the indicative limits requiring validation through emission factors based on the principle that we will not require plant to fire up with the sole purpose of performing an emission measurement, as set out the UK Regulators Interpretation Document.

	NOx limits (mg/Nm³) – indicative in <i>italic</i> s								
Averaging IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing		BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Monitoring				
Annual	None	None	None	N/A					
Monthly	None	None	None	N/A					
Daily average or average over the sampling period	None	None	400 <sup>Note 1</sup>	JEP report UTG/18/PMP/774/R Note 1	Concentration by calculation, every 2 years Note 3				
95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of hr means	None	None	None	N/A					

**Note 1:** BATc 38 provides an indicative emission level of 250 mg/Nm³ for combustion of gas oil in dual fuel gas turbines operating less than 500 hours per year. However this indicative figure is not strictly applicable to LCP 394, because gas turbines within LCP 394 are not dual fuel. We have therefore set a benchmark emission level in the revised and consolidated permit notice at 400 mg/m³, based on justification supplied by the Operator and supported by the JEP report UTG/18/PMP/774/R.

**Note 2:** Footnote 2 to BAT conclusion 4 specifies that the monitoring frequency does not apply where plant operation would be for the sole purpose of performing an emission measurement.

BATc 38 provides an indicative emission level of 250 mg/Nm³ for combustion of gas oil in dual fuel gas turbines operating less than 500 hours per year. However this indicative figure is not strictly applicable to LCP 394, because gas turbines within LCP 394 are not dual fuel. We have therefore set a benchmark emission level in the revised and consolidated permit notice at 400 mg/m³, this is based on justification supplied by the Operator and supported by the JEP report UTG/18/PMP/774/R 'BAT assessment for existing natural gas, gas oil and dual fuel fired OCGTS and CCGTs with a thermal rating of 50MWth or greater operating <500 hours per year' dated October 2018. This report gives a NOx level of 400 mg/m³ for the GE frame 5 OCGT when burning gas oil. This

eneration UK Plc	Issued 24/01/2020	EPR/AP3630LG/V006	Page 10 of 45
covers all condition variation in emission	ns under which the gas to on performance between	urbine may operate as well outages.	as the normal

	SO <sub>2</sub> limits (mg/Nm³) – indicative in <i>italic</i> s									
Averaging	Permit – Existing (non-IED limit)	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Monitoring				
Annual	None	None	None	None	N/A					
Monthly	None	None	None	None	N/A					
Daily average or average over the sampling period	None	None	66	66	BREF	Concentration by calculation, every 2 years Notes 1, 2				
95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of hr means	None	None	None	None	N/A					

**Note 1:** Footnote 2 to BAT conclusion 4 specifies that the monitoring frequency does not apply where plant operation would be for the sole purpose of performing an emission measurement.

**Note 2:** Footnote 8 to BAT conclusion 4 specifies that, as an alternative to the continuous measurement, in the case of plants combusting oil with a known sulphur content and where there is no flue- gas desulphurisation system, periodic measurements at least once every three months and/or other procedures ensuring the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality may be used to determine the SO<sub>2</sub> emissions.

	Dust limits (mg/Nm³) – indicative in <i>italics</i>									
Averaging	Permit – Existing (non-IED limit)	IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing	BREF	Expected permit limits	Basis	Monitoring				
Annual	None	None	None	None	N/A					
Monthly	None	None	None	None	N/A					
Daily average or average over the sampling period	None	None	10	10	BREF	Concentration by calculation, every 2 years				
95 <sup>th</sup> %ile of hr means	None	None	None	None	N/A					

**Note 1:** Footnote 2 to BAT conclusion 4 specifies that the monitoring frequency does not apply where plant operation would be for the sole purpose of performing an emission measurement.

We have specified in the revised permit monitoring and reporting requirements for LCP 394 based on calculation of emissions according to the agreed protocol established in JEP Report JEP17EMG02/UTG/18/ERG/CT/773/R 'Maintaining the Emissions

Performance of Open Cycle Gas Turbines that operate for less than 500 hours per year', October 2018. This is because we consider footnote 2 to BAT conclusion 4 to be relevant, this footnote specifies that the monitoring frequency does not apply where plant operation would be for the sole purpose of performing an emission measurement.

# 4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

For LCP 394, Table 21 of the BAT Conclusions specifies that the AEELs for this type of plant are not applicable to plant operating less than 1500 hours per year. We have therefore not assessed this operational aspect of the plant for LPC 394. We have however included a process monitoring requirement in table S3.4 of the consolidated variation notice. This is required to demonstrate that efficiency levels are maintained following any significant overhauls of equipment in order to fulfil the requirement of BAT Conclusion 2. For <500 hour plant we have specified that the assessment of efficiency can be based on calculation. This is because we will not require plant to fire up with the sole purpose of carrying out an assessment of efficiency.

For LCP 272 and LCP 273, the table below sets out the BAT-AEELs specified in the LCP BAT Conclusions for the large combustion plant on the site and the energy efficiency levels confirmed through the Regulation 61 notice response. A full load performance test was undertaken in October 2017. The Operator confirmed that the test was carried out according to the appropriate standards, including ASME PTX 46, ISO2314 and IEC953-2. We consider this plant is BAT in relation to the AEELs.

	BAT AEELs (%)		Plant efficiency (%)				
Net electrical efficiency	Net total fuel utilisation	Net mechanical efficiency	Net electrical efficiency				
	LCF	272: CCGT >= 600	0 MWth – existing	unit			
50 - 60	None	None	55.4	NA	NA		
	LCF	273: CCGT >= 600	0 MWth – existing	unit			
50 - 60	None	None 55.4 NA					

# 4.3 The review and assessment of BAT for gas turbines operating < 500 hours per year – LCP 394

Joint Environmental Programme (JEP) produced a document 'BAT Assessment for Existing Gas and Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs and Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year' dated October 2018.

The content of this document has been agreed in principle by the Environment Agency and we have therefore taken the document into account during our determination of this variation.

In order to prevent or reduce NOx emissions to air from the combustion of gas oil in gas turbines, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 37. The Operator has considered the following techniques as those potentially applicable to gas turbines firing gas oil and operating less than 500 hours per year:

- a. Water/Steam injection;
- b. Use of Dry Low NOx burners.

The Operator has concluded that the use of Dry Low NOx burners is not available for LCP 394 and that the currently permitted performance, along with continued appropriate maintenance, are BAT to prevent or reduce emissions of NOx from these gas turbines.

The JEP cost benefit analysis (Appendix A to *BAT Assessment for Existing Gas and Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs and Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year)* concludes that retrofitting Dry Low NOx (DLN) or Wet Low Emissions (WLE) to existing OCGTs firing natural gas or gas oil, and operating for less than 500 hour per year, is not justified from a cost-benefit perspective. Meaning there is insufficient environmental benefit in reducing the already low annual mass emission, when considering the high conversion costs.

We agree that the techniques reported under BAT conclusion 37 are not applicable to LCP 394.

In all cases, the minimum BAT requirements are considered to be: i) the continued compliance with any permit requirements already in place to protect air quality and ii) the demonstration of an appropriate maintenance regime to maintain plant emissions performance.

## 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant, were published by the European Commission on 17<sup>th</sup> August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Variation Notice.

The conditions in the permit through which the relevant BAT Conclusions are implemented include but are not limited to the following:

BAT Conclusion	Permit condition(s)	Permit table(s)
requirement topic		
Environmental	1.1.1	S1.2
Management System		
BAT AELs	3.1.1 and 3.5.1	S3.1a
Monitoring	2.3, 3.5 and 3.6	S1.2, S1.4, S1.5, S3.1a
Energy efficiency	1.2 and 2.3	S3.4
Noise	3.4 and 2.3	S1.2
Other operating	2.3	S1.2
techniques		

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

- NA Not Applicable
- **CC** Currently Compliant
- FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT conclusions)
- NC Not Compliant
- PC Partially Compliant

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
General			
1	In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management, size (IRS) that incorporates all of the following features: i. commitment of the management, including senior management; ii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management; iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; iv. implementation of procedures  (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; vii. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; ix. application of sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis. Etc - see BAT Conclusions	CC	An EMS is in place which the Operator has confirmed is compliant with the requirements listed in BAT 1. The station operates a local EMS which is fully integrated with the RWE Generation UK EMS which is certified to ISO14001: 2015.

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion re	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement			
		rel of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. sure, scale and complexity of the installation				
2	BAT is to determine the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the gasification, IGCC and/or combustion units by carrying out a performance test at full load (1), according to EN standards, after the commissioning of the unit and after each modification that could significantly affect the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the unit. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality.				For LCP 272 and LCP 273, a full load performance test was carried out in October 2017. This demonstrated that the main module (LCP 272 and LCP 273 operating as designed in combined cycle mode with a single steam turbine) has an efficiency of 55.4%.  For LCP 394, the Operator provided an efficiency level based on the name plate. We consider this to be sufficient for this type of plant. Name plate efficiency of LCP 394 is 28.4 % and the Operator confirms that operational data demonstrates that this has been maintained.  For LCP 394, the BAT-AEELs are not applicable to plant operating <1500 hours.  A process monitoring requirement has been set in table S3.4 which requires energy efficiency monitoring after an overhaul.	
3	BAT is to monitor key process parameters relevant for emissions to air and water including those				Flow is calculated based on fuel	
	given below. Stream	Parameter(s)	Monitoring		consumption and the calculation is validated annually. We are satisfied	
	Flue-gas	Flow	Periodic or continuous determination		that continuous determination with	
		Oxygen content, temperature, and pressure Periodic or continuous measurement			validation is appropriate. The	

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion re	quirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Waste water from flue-gas treatment	Water vapour content (3) Flow, pH, and temperature	Continuous measurement		relevant standard for flow rate determination is EN ISO 16911.  The station continuously monitors flue gas emissions from LCP 272 and LCP 272 for oxygen content, temperature and pressure as required by BAT 3.  Water vapour content is not monitored as the sample is dried prior to analysis. This is in line with footnote 1 to BAT 3.  Monitoring of the parameters listed under BAT 3 are not required where there is no periodic or continuous monitoring specified in the permit. Emissions monitoring is not carried out on LCP 394 and emissions are instead determined through emissions factors based on fuel consumption, fuel sulphur content and results from commissioning tests.  BAT 3 specifies monitoring of process water only applicable to waste water from flue gas treatment. This is not applicable to the installation as no flue gas treatment is undertaken on site.
4		with at least the frequency given below ar BAT is to use ISO, national or other intescientific quality.		CC	The site monitors CO and NO <sub>X</sub> emissions from LCP 272 and 273 as required by BAT 4 for natural gas

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of	BAT Conclusion requireme	nt				Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Substance/ Parameter	Fuel/Process/Type of combustion plant	Combustion plant total rated thermal input	Standard(s)_(¹)	Minimum monitoring frequency_( <sup>5</sup> )	Monitoring associated with		fired turbines. Monitoring is carried out continuously in accordance with EN14181.
	NH <sub>3</sub>	When SCR and/or SNCR is used	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous_(6)_(7)	BAT 7		The monitoring frequencies described in BAT 4 do not apply
	NOx	<ul> <li>Coal and/or lignite including waste co-incineration</li> <li>Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co-incineration</li> <li>HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines</li> <li>Gas-oil-fired gas turbines</li> <li>Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines</li> <li>Iron and steel process gases</li> <li>Process fuels from the chemical industry</li> <li>IGCC plants</li> </ul>	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous_(6)_(8)	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 32 BAT 37 BAT 41 BAT 42 BAT 43 BAT 47 BAT 48 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73		where plant operation would be for the sole purpose of performing an emission measurement. Therefore we consider that monitoring is not required for LCP 272 and LCP 273 when burning gas oil as an emergency back-up fuel or LCP 394 which operates less than 500 hours per year.
		Combustion plants on offshore platforms	All sizes	EN 14792	Once every year (9)	BAT 53		
	N <sub>2</sub> O	Coal and/or lignite in circulating fluidised bed boilers      Solid biomass and/or peat in circulating fluidised bed	All sizes	EN 21258	Once every year (10)	BAT 20 BAT 24		
	СО	boilers  — Coal and/or lignite including waste co-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous_(6)_(8)	BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 33 BAT 38 BAT 44		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of	of BAT Conclusion requirement							Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
		_	Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co-incineration				BAT 49 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65		
		_	HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines				BAT 73		
		_	Gas-oil-fired gas turbines						
		_	Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines						
		_	Iron and steel process gases						
		_	Process fuels from the chemical industry						
		_	IGCC plants						
		_	Combustion plants on offshore platforms	All sizes	EN 15058	Once every year (9)	BAT 54		
	SO <sub>2</sub>	_	Coal and/or lignite incl waste co-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards and	Continuous_(6)_(11)(12)_	BAT 21 BAT 25		
		_	Solid biomass and/or peat incl waste co-incineration		EN 14791		BAT 29 BAT 34 BAT 39		
		_	HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers				BAT 50 BAT 57 BAT 66		
		_	HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines				BAT 66 BAT 67 BAT 74		
		_	Gas-oil-fired gas turbines						
		_	Iron and steel process gases						
		_	Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers						
		_	IGCC plants						
	SO <sub>3</sub>	_	When SCR is used	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every year	_		
	Gaseous chlorides,	_	Coal and/or lignite	All sizes	EN 1911	Once every three months (6) (13) (14)	BAT 21 BAT 57		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of I	BAT Conclusion requirement	nt	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement			
	expressed as HCI	Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers						
		Solid biomass and/or peat	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous (15) (16)	BAT 25		
		Waste co-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous (6) (16)	BAT 66 BAT 67		
	HF	Coal and/or lignite     Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every three months 6 (13) (14)	BAT 21 BAT 57		
		Solid biomass and/or peat	All sizes	No EN standard available	Once every year	BAT 25		
		Waste co-incineration	All sizes	Generic EN standards	Continuous (6) (16)	BAT 66 BAT 67		
	Dust	<ul> <li>Coal and/or lignite</li> <li>Solid biomass and/or peat</li> <li>HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers</li> <li>Iron and steel process gases</li> <li>Process fuels from the chemical industry in boilers</li> <li>IGCC plants</li> <li>HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired engines</li> <li>Gas-oil-fired gas turbines</li> <li>Waste co-incineration</li> </ul>	All sizes	Generic EN standards and EN 13284-1 and EN 13284-2 Generic EN standards and EN 13284-2	Continuous (6) (17)	BAT 22 BAT 26 BAT 30 BAT 35 BAT 39 BAT 51 BAT 58 BAT 75		
5	in accordanc	nitor emissions to water from e with EN standards. If EN s standards that ensure the pro	standards are	not available, BA	T is to use ISO, na		NA	The site does not carry out flue-gas treatment.

BAT Concn. Number	Sun	nmary of BAT Co	onclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement		
6	air	of CO and unbu		combustion plants and to reduce emissions to nised combustion and to use an appropriate	СС	The station optimises the environmental performance of LCP 272 and LCP 273 through the	
		Technique	Description	Applicability		following techniques:  - Maintenance of the combustion	
	a.	Fuel blending and mixing	Ensure stable combustion conditions and/or reduce the emission of pollutants by mixing different qualities of the same fuel type	Generally applicable		<ul> <li>- Maintenance of the combustion system (b)</li> <li>- Advanced control system (c)</li> <li>- Good design of the combustion</li> </ul>	
	b.	Maintenance of the combustion system	Regular planned maintenance according to suppliers' recommendations			equipment (d) and - Fuel choice (e) There is no requirement to blend or mix fuels. The plant has a contractual agreement to receive	
	C.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.1	The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system			
	d.	Good design of the combustion equipment	Good design of furnace, combustion chambers, burners and associated devices	Generally applicable to new combustion plants		natural gas from the National Transmission System (NTS), which requires the gas to comply with specified quality criteria	
	e.	Fuel choice	Select or switch totally or partially to another fuel(s) with a better environmental profile (e.g. with low sulphur and/or mercury content) amongst the available fuels, including in start-up situations or when back-up fuels are used	Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of suitable types of fuel with a better environmental profile as a whole, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State, or by the integrated site's fuel balance in the case of combustion of industrial process fuels.  For existing combustion plants, the type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant		The performance of LCP 394 is optimised through techniques b (Maintenance of the combustion system) and d (Good design of the combustion equipment).	

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requ	irement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
7	selective non-catalytic reduction (SN and/or operation of SCR and/or SNC distribution and optimum size of the <b>BAT-associated emission levels</b> The BAT-associated emission level (is < 3–10 mg/Nm³ as a yearly average be achieved when using SCR and the wet abatement techniques. In the ca	onia to air from the use of selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or CR) for the abatement of NO <sub>X</sub> emissions, BAT is to optimise the design R (e.g. optimised reagent to NO <sub>X</sub> ratio, homogeneous reagent reagent drops).  BAT-AEL) for emissions of NH <sub>3</sub> to air from the use of SCR and/or SNCR go or average over the sampling period. The lower end of the range can be upper end of the range can be achieved when using SNCR without se of plants combusting biomass and operating at variable loads as well g HFO and/or gas oil, the higher end of the BAT-AEL range is	NA	Not applicable - no SCR or SNCR on site.
8		ons to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by aintenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal	NA	Not applicable - the LCPs are not fitted with emissions abatement.
9	In order to improve the general envireduce emissions to air, BAT is to programmes for all the fuels used, as (i) Initial full characterisation of the further standards. ISO, national or other in an equivalent scientific quality;  (ii) Regular testing of the fuel quality plant design specifications. The first the variability of the fuel and an a gas treatment employed);  (iii) Subsequent adjustment of the procharacterisation and control in the characterisation and regular tell performed by the supplier, the full is specification and/or guarantee.  Fuel(s)  Biomass/peat	CC	Natural gas is the primary fuel for LCP 272 and 273. This gas has to meet a nationally agreed specification for all the parameters listed. We consider that for plant which burns natural gas from the National Grid as a fuel it is not necessary for the operator to replicate the testing carried out by the National Grid.  LCP 394 is run on gas oil. LCP 272 and LCP 273 can also utilise gas oil for back-up when natural gas is not available. The Operator has stated that an analysis of the gas oil is carried out for a range of parameters including ash, N, C and S at the time of each delivery	
	Biomass/peat	<ul><li>LHV</li><li>moisture</li></ul>		S at the time of each delivery.

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requ	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement							
	Coal/lignite	<ul> <li>Ash</li> <li>C, CI, F, N, S, K, Na</li> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Hg, Pb, Zn)</li> <li>LHV</li> <li>Moisture</li> <li>Volatiles, ash, fixed carbon, C, H, N, O, S</li> <li>Br, CI, F</li> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)</li> </ul>		Table S2.1 of the permit limits the sulphur content of gas oil to 0.1% w/w.					
	HFO	- Ash - C, S, N, Ni, V							
	Gas oil	— Ash — N, C, S							
	Natural gas	— LHV — CH <sub>4</sub> , C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>6</sub> , C <sub>3</sub> , C <sub>4</sub> +, CO <sub>2</sub> , N <sub>2</sub> , Wobbe index							
	Process fuels from the chemical industry (27)	<ul> <li>Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S</li> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)</li> </ul>							
	Iron and steel process gases	<ul> <li>LHV, CH<sub>4</sub> (for COG), C<sub>X</sub>H<sub>Y</sub> (for COG), CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>, total sulphur, dust, Wobbe index</li> </ul>							
	Waste_(28)	<ul> <li>LHV</li> <li>Moisture</li> <li>Volatiles, ash, Br, C, Cl, F, H, N, O, S</li> <li>Metals and metalloids (As, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Tl, V, Zn)</li> </ul>							
10	BAT is to set up and implement a n	and/or to water during other than normal operating conditions (OTNOC), nanagement plan as part of the environmental management system (see vance of potential pollutant releases, that includes the following elements:	СС	The requirements of BAT11 are met by existing site documentation, for example;					

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	<ul> <li>appropriate design of the systems considered relevant in causing OTNOC that may have an impact on emissions to air, water and/or soil (e.g. low-load design concepts for reducing the minimum start-up and shutdown loads for stable generation in gas turbines),</li> <li>set-up and implementation of a specific preventive maintenance plan for these relevant systems,</li> <li>review and recording of emissions caused by OTNOC and associated circumstances and implementation of corrective actions if necessary,</li> <li>periodic assessment of the overall emissions during OTNOC (e.g. frequency of events, duration, emissions quantification/estimation) and implementation of corrective actions if necessary.</li> </ul>		<ul> <li>gas turbine starts are optimised based on plant condition (.i.e. warmth category) to minimise emissions during start-up.</li> <li>All plant components are included within the site specific preventative maintenance programmes. The frequency of maintenance is dependent on component duty.</li> <li>Emissions during start-up and shutdown operations are monitored and reviewed to identify if corrective actions are required.</li> <li>Emissions to air and water are assessed as part of the annual environmental performance report.</li> <li>In the event of an accident or environmental incident, we would review the emissions, cause etc. as part of our incident investigation process and ensure any relevant corrective and / or preventive action is implemented.</li> </ul>
11	BAT is to appropriately monitor emissions to air and/or to water during OTNOC.  Description  The monitoring can be carried out by direct measurement of emissions or by monitoring of surrogate parameters if this proves to be of equal or better scientific quality than the direct measurement of emissions. Emissions during start-up and shutdown (SU/SD) may be assessed based on a detailed emission measurement carried out for a typical SU/SD procedure at least once every year, and using the results of this measurement to estimate the emissions for each and every SU/SD throughout the year.	СС	The monitoring equipment for emissions to air and water is fully operable when the stations is discharging to the environment and is not affected by OTNOC events.

BAT Concn. Number	Sur	nmary of BAT Cond	clusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement			
12			energy efficiency of combustion, gasification oriate combination of the techniques given be		CC	The station uses the following techniques to increase the energy		
		Technique	Description Applicability			efficiency of combustion:		
	a.	Combustion optimisation	See description in Section 8.2. Optimising the combustion minimises the content of unburnt substances in the flue-gases and in solid combustion residues	Generally applicable		<ul> <li>Combustion optimisation (a)</li> <li>Optimisation of the working medium conditions (b)</li> <li>Optimisation of the steam cycle</li> </ul>		
	b.	Optimisation of the working medium conditions	Operate at the highest possible pressure and temperature of the working medium gas or steam, within the constraints associated with, for example, the control of $NO_X$ emissions or the characteristics of energy demanded			(c) - Minimisation of energy consumption (d) - Fuel preheating (f) and - Advanced control system (g)		
	C.	Optimisation of the steam cycle	Operate with lower turbine exhaust pressure by utilisation of the lowest possible temperature of the condenser cooling water, within the design conditions			The efficiency of LCP 272 and LCP 273 when burning gas is 55.4%.		
	d.	Minimisation of energy consumption	Minimising the internal energy consumption (e.g. greater efficiency of the feed-water pump)			BAT 12 is not applicable to LCP 394 – as only applicable to plant which operates more than 1500 hours per year.		
	e.	Preheating of combustion air	Reuse of part of the heat recovered from the combustion flue-gas to preheat the air used in combustion	Generally applicable within the constraints related to the need to control NO <sub>x</sub> emissions				
	f.	Fuel preheating	Preheating of fuel using recovered heat	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the boiler design and the need to control NO <sub>x</sub> emissions				
	g.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.2. Computerised control of the main combustion parameters enables the combustion efficiency to be improved	Generally applicable to new units. The applicability to old units may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system				
	h.	h. Feed-water preheating using recovered heat Preheat water coming out of the steam condenser with recovered heat, before reusing it in the boiler		Only applicable to steam circuits and not to hot boilers. Applicability to existing units may be limited due to constraints associated with the plant configuration and the amount of recoverable heat				
	i.	Heat recovery by cogeneration (CHP)	Recovery of heat (mainly from the steam system) for producing hot water/steam to be	Applicable within the constraints associated with the local heat and power demand.				

BAT Concn. Number	Sun	nmary of BAT Con	clusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
			used in industrial processes/activities or in a public network for district heating. Additional heat recovery is possible from:  — flue-gas — grate cooling — circulating fluidised bed	The applicability may be limited in the case of gas compressors with an unpredictable operational heat profile		
	j.	CHP readiness	See description in Section 8.2.	Only applicable to new units where there is a realistic potential for the future use of heat in the vicinity of the unit		
	k.	Flue-gas condenser	See description in Section 8.2.	Generally applicable to CHP units provided there is enough demand for low-temperature heat		
	I.	Heat accumulation	Heat accumulation storage in CHP mode	Only applicable to CHP plants. The applicability may be limited in the case of low heat load demand		
	m.	Wet stack	See description in Section 8.2.	Generally applicable to new and existing units fitted with wet FGD		
	n.	Cooling tower discharge	The release of emissions to air through a cooling tower and not via a dedicated stack	Only applicable to units fitted with wet FGD where reheating of the flue-gas is necessary before release, and where the unit cooling system is a cooling tower		
	0.	Fuel pre-drying	The reduction of fuel moisture content before combustion to improve combustion conditions	Applicable to the combustion of biomass and/or peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain).  The retrofit of existing plants may be restricted by the extra calorific value that can be obtained from the drying operation and by the limited retrofit possibilities offered by some boiler designs or plant configurations		
	p.	Minimisation of heat losses	Minimising residual heat losses, e.g. those that occur via the slag or those that can be reduced by insulating radiating sources	Only applicable to solid-fuel-fired combustion units and to gasification/IGCC units		

BAT Concn. Number	Sui	mmary of BAT	Cond	clusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement		
	q.	1		Use of advanced materials proven to be capable of withstanding high operating temperatures and pressures and thus to achieve increased steam/combustion process efficiencies	Only applicable to new plants			
	r.			This includes techniques such as increasing the temperature and pressure of medium-pressure steam, addition of a low-pressure turbine, and modifications to the geometry of the turbine rotor blades	The applicability may be restricted by demand, steam conditions and/or limited plant lifetime			
	s.	Supercritical ar ultra-supercritic steam condition	cal	Use of a steam circuit, including steam reheating systems, in which steam can reach pressures above 220,6 bar and temperatures above 374 °C in the case of supercritical conditions, and above 250 – 300 bar and temperatures above 580 – 600 °C in the case of ultrasupercritical conditions	Only applicable to new units of ≥ 600 MW <sub>th</sub> operated > 4 000 h/yr. Not applicable when the purpose of the unit is to produce low steam temperatures and/or pressures in process industries. Not applicable to gas turbines and engines generating steam in CHP mode. For units combusting biomass, the applicability may be constrained by high-temperature corrosion in the case of certain biomasses			
13	bot	h of the techniq				CC	The Operator states that water used within the cooling water system is	
	a.	fron deg requ		Description  dual aqueous streams, including run-off water, the plant are reused for other purposes. The ee of recycling is limited by the quality irements of the recipient water stream and the er balance of the plant	Applicability  Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present		not of suitable quality to be re-used in other processes on site. The quality of the water recovered would have an adverse impact on the operation of the water treatment plant and may lead to increased	
	b.	b. Dry bottom ash handling		hot bottom ash falls from the furnace onto a hanical conveyor system and is cooled down by ient air. No water is used in the process.	Only applicable to plants combusting solid fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants		chemical and energy usage.  However, water usage is optimised and minimised where plant design allows. Process water usage including boiler feedwater is optimised through minimisation of	
							blowdown from the water steam cycle.	

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Con	clusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
					Almost all drainage on site including blow down from the water steam cycle is reused within the cooling water system as it has lower quality requirements.
					As the primary fuel used is natural gas, no ash is generated. Therefore, no associated wastewater is generated at the site.
14	is to segregate waste w <b>Description</b> Waste water streams th waste water from flue-g <b>Applicability</b>	contamination of uncontaminated waste water a ater streams and to treat them separately, depe at are typically segregated and treated include s as treatment.  Deerestricted in the case of existing plants due	ending on the pollutant content. urface run-off water, cooling water, and	CC	All waste water streams are segregated, treated and where necessary monitored separately prior to discharge.
15		sions to water from flue-gas treatment, BAT is to T 15, and to use secondary techniques as clos		N/A	Not applicable - the site does not have flue gas treatment.
16	abatement techniques, account life-cycle thinki (a) waste prevention, (b) waste preparation (c) waste recycling (d) other waste rec	e.g. maximise the proportion of residues which for reuse, e.g. according to the specific reques	CC	Specific techniques of BAT16 are not applicable to the installation because no waste is produced as a by-product of combustion and there is no flue gas treatment used on site. Other waste arising from site activities are dealt with according the waste hierarchy.	

BAT Concn. Number	Su	mmary of BAT Con	clusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
	a. Generation of gypsum as a by-product		Quality optimisation of the calcium-based reaction residues generated by the wet FGD so that they can be used as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g. as raw material in the plasterboard industry). The quality of limestone used in the wet FGD influences the purity of the gypsum produced	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	b.	Recycling or recovery of residues in the construction sector	Recycling or recovery of residues (e.g. from semi- dry desulphurisation processes, fly ash, bottom ash) as a construction material (e.g. in road building, to replace sand in concrete production, or in the cement industry)	Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required material quality (e.g. physical properties, content of harmful substances) associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions		
	C.	Energy recovery by using waste in the fuel mix	The residual energy content of carbon-rich ash and sludges generated by the combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be recovered for example by mixing with the fuel	Generally applicable where plants can accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the combustion chamber		
	d.	Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse	Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme	The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO <sub>X</sub> and NH <sub>3</sub> emissions		
17	<u>In c</u>	order to reduce noise	e emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination	of the techniques given below.	СС	Noise emissions are reduced by the
		Technique	Description	Applicability		application of techniques a, b, c, d
	a.	Operational measures	These include:  — improved inspection and maintenance of equipment  — closing of doors and windows of enclosed areas, if possible	Generally applicable		and e.  Additional noise attenuation barriers were added during the 2012 upgrade to the site.
			<ul> <li>equipment operated by experienced staff</li> <li>avoidance of noisy activities at night, if possible</li> <li>provisions for noise control during maintenance activities</li> </ul>			

BAT Concn. Number						Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement			
	b.	Low-noise equipment	This potentially includisks	ides compressors, pum	ips and	Generally app				
	C.	Noise attenuation	obstacles between t	can be reduced by inser the emitter and the rece es include protection was buildings	eiver.	Generally app the case of ex of obstacles m space	isting plants			
	d.									
	e.	Appropriate location of equipment and buildings		reduced by increasing the e emitter and the receiver and s noise screens  Generally applicable to new plant						
Combusti	on o	f liquid fuels								
Table 13	_			els (BAT-AEELs) for l				boilers	N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines
		Type of combus	Net electrical	Net electrical efficienc		ncy (%) Net total fuel utilisation (%)_(101)				
				New unit Exis		sting unit	New unit	Existin g unit		
	Н	FO- and/or gas-oil-fire	ed boiler	> 36,4			80–96			
28		order to prevent or re O and/or gas oil in bo							N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement					Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
29		ent or reduce SO <sub>x</sub> , HC o use one or a combina		air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas oil in s given in BAT 29.	N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines	
30				ons to air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas niques given in BAT 30.	N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines	
31		ase the energy efficienate combination of the		oil combustion in reciprocating engines, BAT is to AT 12 and BAT 31.	N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines	
32	In order to prevent or reduce NO <sub>x</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas oil in reciprocat engines, BAT is to use one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 32.					Not applicable to gas turbines	
33	In order to prevent or reduce emissions of CO and volatile organic compounds to air from the combustion HFO and/or gas oil in reciprocating engines, BAT is to use one or both of the techniques given in BAT 33.					Not applicable to gas turbines	
34				air from the combustion of HFO and/or gas oil in f the techniques given in BAT 34.	N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines	
35				tal emissions from the combustion of HFO and/or bination of the techniques given in BAT 35.	N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines	
36	In order to increase the energy efficiency of gas oil combustion in gas turbines, BAT is to use an appropri combination of the techniques given in BAT 12 and below.					LCP 272 and 273 only operate in combined cycle mode. For LCP	
	Technique  a. Combined cycle	Description See description in Section 8.2	Applicable to existing cycle design and the	Applicability  to new units operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr.  units within the constraints associated with the steam space availability.  sting units operated < 1 500 h/yr	394, combined cycle is not applicable to existing units operated less than 1,500 hours per year.  For LCP 394, BAT 12 is not applicable to plant which operates		
	BAT-a	associated energy eff Type of combustion u		AEELs) for gas-oil-fired gas turbines  BAT-AEELs (132)		less than 1500 hours per year. However the Operator confirms that technique 1 (combustion	

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conc	lusion requirement			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
			Net electi	ical efficiency (%) (133)		optimisation) is used to maintain the
			New unit	Existing unit		efficiency of all three LCPs on site.
	Gas-oil-fired open-cycle ga	as turbine	> 33	25–35,7		The station uses techniques a, b, c,
	Gas-oil-fired combined cyc	le gas turbine	> 40	33–44		d, f and g given in BAT 12 for LCP
						272 and LCP 273.
						For LCP 272 and LCP 273, the primary fuel is natural gas, with gas oil being used only as supplementary/ emergency fuel. The operator has confirmed that the efficiency when burning gas oil is 51.4% and therefore above the BAT-AEEL range for existing combined cycle gas turbines burning gas oil.
						LCP 394 operates for <500 hours a year and in accordance with BAT conclusion 36 footnote 1 the BAT-AEEL does not apply. However LCP 394 has an efficiency of 28.4% which is within the BAT range for existing open cycle gas turbines.
		uce NOx emissions t	o air from the combustion of gas	oil in gas turbines, BAT is to use	CC	For LCP 272 and LCP 273, the
37					1	1
37	one or a combination of t	he techniques given				primary fuel is natural gas, with gas
37	one or a combination of t	he techniques given  Description	Applica	-		oil being used only as
37	one or a combination of t	he techniques given		to water availability		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Cond	clusion requirement		Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	c. Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)		Not applicable to combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr. Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space		injection (reducing flame temperature) when firing on gas oil.  LCP 394: We consider that there are currently no NOx emission reduction options available for the LCP 394. In making this assessment, we have considered the Joint Environmental Programme (JEP) report UTG/18/PMP/774/R, 'BAT Assessment for Existing Gas & Liquid Fuel Fired OCGTs, CCGTs and Dual-fuel GTs with a Thermal Input Rating of 50 MWth or Greater Operating <500 Hours Per Year'.
38	In order to prevent or use one or a combinati		to air from the combustion of gas oil in gas turbines, BAT is to given below.	СС	For LCP 272 and LCP 273, the primary fuel is natural gas, with gas
	Technique	Description	Applicability		oil being used only as supplementary/ emergency fuel.
	a. Combustion optimisation b. Oxidation catalysts	See description in Section 8.3	Generally applicable  Not applicable to combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space		However, the operator has confirmed that combustion optimisation is utilised on all three LCP to minimise carbon monoxide
		use operated < 500	emissions to air from the combustion of gas oil in dual fuel gas h/yr will generally be 145–250 mg/Nm³ as a daily average or		emissions.  BAT 38 provides an indicative NOx emission level of 250mg/Nm³ for combustion of gas oil in dual fuel gas turbines operating less than 500 hours per year. However, this indicative figure is not strictly applicable to LCP 394, because it is not a dual fuel gas turbine.  Therefore this figure is considered

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of I	BAT Conclusion req	uirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
					to be a benchmark figure and for guidance only.  We accept that the current NOx emission levels, along with appropriate maintenance of the gas turbines, is BAT for LCP394.  Accordingly, we have set an indicative emission level of 400 mg/m³ in the varied and consolidated permit. this is based on justification supplied by the Operator and supported by the JEP report UTG/18/PMP/774/R 'BAT assessment for existing natural gas, gas oil and dual fuel fired OCGTS and CCGTs with a thermal rating of 50MWth or greater operating <500 hours per year' dated October 2018. This report gives a NOx level of 400 mg/m³ for the GE frame 5 OCGT when burning gas oil. This covers all conditions under which the gas turbine may operate as well as the normal variation in emission performance between outages.
39		vent or reduce SO <sub>X</sub> are echnique given below	nd dust emissions to air from the combustion of gas oil in gas turbines, BAT		The installation implements 'fuel choice' as a technique to prevent
	a. Fuel	Description See description in	Applicability  Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of different types		and reduce emissions of SOx and dust from combustion of liquid fuel.
	BAT-associa		of fuel, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State  for SO <sub>2</sub> and dust emissions to air from the combustion of gas oil in urbines, including dual fuel gas turbines  BAT-AELs (mg/Nm³)		LCP 394 is permitted to operate for no more than 500 hours per year. The yearly BAT-AELs for SO <sub>2</sub> and dust are not applicable to existing

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT	nmary of BAT Conclusion requirement					Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	Type of combustion plant  New and existing plants	Yearly average (134)	SO <sub>2</sub> Daily average or average over the sampling period (1.35) 50–66	Yearly average (134)	Dust Daily average or average over the sampling period (135) 2–10		plants operating for less than 1500 hours per year.  The daily (or average over sampling period) BAT-AELs for SO <sub>2</sub> and dust are indicative for existing plants operating for less than 500 hours
							per year.  In their Reg 61 response the operator confirms that the sulphur content of gas oil is regulated to <0.1% by mass, equivalent to 55 mg/m³ in the flue gas for LCP 394 (JEP report UTG/18/ERG/773/R).  Dust emissions for LCP 394 are quantified in JEP report UTG/18/ERG/773/R and the levels are less than the indicative BAT AEL.
Combusti	on of gaseous fuels	<b>3</b>					
40	In order to increase of the techniques g			ion, BAT is to use	an appropriate combination	СС	The station uses techniques a, b, c, d, f and g given in BAT 12 for LCP
	Technique	Description		Applicability			272 and LCP 273.
	cycle S	ee description in ection 8.2	< 1 500 h/yr.  Applicable to existing gas turbines and engines within the constraints associated with the steam cycle design and the space availability.  Not applicable to existing gas turbines and engines operated < 1 500 h/yr.  Not applicable to mechanical drive gas turbines operated in discontinuous mode with extended load variations and frequent start-ups and shutdowns.  Not applicable to boilers			LCP 272 and 273 only operate in combined cycle mode.  The BAT-AEEL range for net electrical efficiency applicable to the CCGTs is 50-60%. The Operator has confirmed that the efficiency	
	BAT-associated e	nergy etticiency	levels (BAT-AEELs) for th BAT-AE	e combustion o	f natural gas		when burning natural gas is 55.4% and therefore above the BAT-AEEL

BAT Concn. Number					Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement			
	Type	of combustion		lectrical ency (%)	Net total fuel utilisation (%) (138) (139)		hanical energy cy (% <u>) (<sup>139</sup>) (<sup>140</sup>)</u>		range for existing combined cycle gas turbines.
		unit	New unit	Existing unit		New unit	Existing unit		LCP394 burns gas oil, therefore
	Gas en	gine	39,5– 44 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u>	35–44 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u>	56–85 <u>(<sup>141</sup>)</u>	No BAT-AEE	L.		BAT 40 is not applicable.
	Gas-fire	ed boiler	39–42,5	38–40	78–95	No BAT-AEE	L.		
	Open cy 50 MWt	ycle gas turbine, ≥ th	36–41,5	33–41,5	No BAT-AEEL	36,5–41	33,5–41		
	1		C	combined cy	cle gas turbine (CCG	Γ)		1	
	CCGT, 50–600 MW <sub>th</sub> CCGT, ≥ 600 MW <sub>th</sub>		53–58,5	46–54	No BAT-AEEL	No BAT-AEE	L	1	
			57-60,5	50–60	No BAT-AEEL	No BAT-AEE	L	1	
	CHP C	CGT, 50–600 MW	h 53–58,5	46–54	65–95	No BAT-AEE	L		
	CHP C	CGT, ≥ 600 MW <sub>th</sub>	57–60,5	50–60	65–95	No BAT-AEE	L		
42	In order to prevent or reduce NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in boilers, BAT is to us one or a combination of the techniques given in BAT 41.  In order to prevent or reduce NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in gas turbines, BAT to use one or a combination of the techniques given below.							Not Applicable to gas turbines  In order to prevent or reduce NOx emissions to air, the following	
		hnique		Description		Appl	cability	1	combination of techniques from BAT
	control system This tech		See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr			The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system			42 Table are implemented:  - Advanced control system (a),  - Dry-low NOx (DLN) burners (c),  - Low NOx burners (e)
			e description	in Section 8.3		The applicability r	nay be limited due to		The proposed E-DLN operating
		low-NO <sub>X</sub> ners (DLN)				The applicability r case of turbines v package is not av water/steam addi installed	ailable or when		point for both LCP 272 and LCP 273 is defined as 120 MWe (equivalent to 50% of individual GT Base Load). The effective DLN is quoted as a percentage of individual GT load rather than module load due to the

BAT Concn. Number	Su	mmary of BAT (	/			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
	d.	Low-load design concept			The applicability may be limited by the gas turbine design		2+1 configuration (2 gas turbines and one steam turbine).  LCP394 burns gas oil, therefore BAT 42 is not applicable.
	e.	Low-NO <sub>X</sub> burners (LNB)	See description in Section 8.3	description in Section 8.3			2711 12 18 1181 applicasion
	f.	Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)			Not applicable in the case of combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr. Not generally applicable to existing combustion plants of < 100 MW <sub>th</sub> . Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space. There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and 1 500 h/yr		
43			or reduce NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air from the conation of the techniques given below.	ombustio	n of natural gas in engines, BAT is to	N/A	Not applicable to gas turbines
		Technique	Description		Applicability		
	a.	Advanced control system	See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr	The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system			
	b.	Lean-burn concept	See description in Section 8.3. Generally used in combination with SCR	Only app	licable to new gas-fired engines		
	C.	Advanced lean- burn concept	See descriptions in Section 8.3	Only app	licable to new spark plug ignited engines		
	d.	Selective catalytic reduction (SCR)		constrair	ng existing combustion plants may be need by the availability of sufficient space. It is cable to combustion plants operated yr.		

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	nt			Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement	
		f		and economic restrictions ombustion plants operated 500 h/yr			
44	In order to prevent or reduce CO emissic optimised combustion and/or to use oxidation Description - See descriptions in Section BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-A	on catalysts. n 8.3. ELs) for NO <sub>X</sub> emissi gas in gas turbines	ons to air from the	combustion of natural	CC	CO emissions are reduced as far as possible by optimising combustion.  For LCP 272 and LCP 273, the Operator confirms that the CO indicating against 120	
	Type of combustion plant	Combustion plant total rated thermal input (MW <sub>th</sub> )	Yearly average (144) (145)	Daily average or average over the sampling period		indicative yearly average of 30 mg/Nm³ for existing CCGTs will be met above the E-DLN.	
	Open-cycl	e gas turbines (OCGTs	S) (146) (147)	annipring passes		As an existing CCGT plant, operating with unlimited hours, with	
	New OCGT	≥ 50	15–35	25–50		a thermal input >600 MWth and a	
	Existing OCGT (excluding turbines for mechanical drive applications) — All but plants operated < 500 h/yr	≥ 50	15–50	25–55 <u>(<sup>148</sup>)</u>		net fuel utilisation of <75% the applicable NOx BAT-AELs are 40 mg/m³ (annually) and 50 mg/m³	
	Combined-c	ycle gas turbines (CCG	GTs) <u>(<sup>146</sup>) (<sup>149</sup>)</u>		(daily). These limits are applicable when the DLN system is fully		
	New CCGT	≥ 50	10–30	15–40		effective.	
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 %	≥ 600	10–40	18–50		The existing permit also sets	
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 %	≥ 600	10–50	18–55 <u>(<sup>150</sup>)</u>		monthly, daily and hourly average emission limits for CO and NOx.	
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 %	50–600	10–45	35–55		Under the principal of "no backsliding", the current emission limits will be retained unless tighter	
	Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 %	50–600 25–50 <u>(151)</u> 35–55 <u>(152)</u>		35–55 <u>(<sup>152</sup>)</u>		limits are set by the BREF.	
	Open- an	d combined-cycle gas	turbines			LCP394 burns gas oil, therefore	
	Gas turbine put into operation no later than 27 November 2003, or existing gas turbine for emergency use and operated < 500 h/yr	≥ 50	No BAT-AEL	60–140 (153) (154)		BAT 44 is not applicable.	

<ul> <li>≥ 1 500 h/yr and for each to the second of t</li></ul>	ly average CO type of new cor h: < 5–40 mg/Nm o the higher end hcy or net mechan two with (excluding to be 80 mg/Nm if or plants that of h: < 5–30 mg/Nm	mbustion plant w 3. For plants with a of this range, con nical energy efficie urbines for mechar the case of existic perate at low load.	vill generally be as for net electrical efficiency rresponding to [higher ency of the plant determined drive applications] ng plants that cannot be	r (EE) greater than 39 %, a correct of the condition of t	ction e net s. nd of NO <sub>x</sub>	
<ul> <li>≥ 1 500 h/yr and for each to the second of t</li></ul>	type of new cor h: < 5–40 mg/Nm <sup>2</sup> o the higher end ncy or net mechan vlWth (excluding to be 80 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> in or plants that of h: < 5–30 mg/Nm <sup>2</sup>	mbustion plant w 3. For plants with a of this range, con nical energy efficie urbines for mechar the case of existic perate at low load.	vill generally be as for net electrical efficiency rresponding to [higher ency of the plant determined drive applications] ng plants that cannot be	ollows:  (EE) greater than 39 %, a correct end] × EE/39, where EE is the strength of the stren	ction e net s. nd of NO <sub>x</sub>	
this range will generally reduction, or 50 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> — New CCGT of ≥ 50 MW <sub>tt</sub> factor may be applied to	be 80 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> in for plants that op h: < 5–30 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup>	the case of existing perate at low load.	ng plants that cannot b	be fitted with dry techniques fo	NO <sub>x</sub>	
factor may be applied to	h: < 5–30 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup>	3. For plants with a			1	1
electrical energy efficien	ncy of the plant de	of the range, cor	net electrical efficiency rresponding to [higher paseload conditions.	r (EE) greater than 55 %, a corrected where EE is the corrected are the corrected by the corrected with the corrected are the corrected ar	ction e net	
<ul> <li>Existing CCGT of ≥ 50 N operate at low load.</li> </ul>	$MW_{th}$ : < 5–30 mg/	s that				
			olications: < 5-40 mg/N	lm <sup>3</sup> . The higher end of the rang	e will	
operation is effective.	on levels (BAT	-AELs) for NO <sub>X</sub>	emissions to air fr	•		
Type of combustion	ľ		BAT-AELs (mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> )			
plant	Yearly a	verage <u>(<sup>157</sup>)</u>	Daily average of	or average over the sampling period		
	New plant	Existing plant (1 <sup>58</sup> )	New plant	Existing plant (159)		
Boiler	10–60	50–100	30–85	85–110		
Engine (160)	20–75	20–100	55–85	55–110 <u>(161)</u>		
- < 5–40 mg/Nm <sup>3</sup> for	existing boilers	operated ≥ 1 50	•			
	operate at low load.  Existing gas turbines of generally be 50 mg/Nm³ n the case of a gas turbine operation is effective.  BAT-associated emission  Type of combustion plant  Boiler  Engine (160)  As an indication, the yearl    - < 5–40 mg/Nm³ for    < 5–15 mg/Nm³	operate at low load.  — Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW <sub>th</sub> for me generally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants open the case of a gas turbine equipped with operation is effective.  BAT-associated emission levels (BAT)  Type of combustion plant  Pearly a  New plant  Boiler  10–60  Engine (160)  As an indication, the yearly average CO e < 5–40 mg/Nm³ for existing boilers < 5–15 mg/Nm³ for new boilers	operate at low load.  — Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW <sub>th</sub> for mechanical drive apprenderally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants operate at low load.  In the case of a gas turbine equipped with DLN burners, operation is effective.  BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO <sub>X</sub> gas in boilers a  Type of combustion plant  Yearly average (157)  New Existing plant (158)  Boiler 10-60 50-100  Engine (160) 20-75 20-100  As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels of the complex of the compl	operate at low load.  — Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW <sub>th</sub> for mechanical drive applications: < 5–40 mg/N generally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants operate at low load.  In the case of a gas turbine equipped with DLN burners, these indicative leveloperation is effective.  BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air fings in boilers and engines  Type of combustion Plant  Type of combustion Plant  Yearly average (157)  New Existing New plant Plant (158)  Boiler 10–60 50–100 30–85  Engine (160)  As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels will generally be:  — < 5–40 mg/Nm³ for existing boilers operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr,  — < 5–15 mg/Nm³ for new boilers,	operate at low load.  — Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW <sub>th</sub> for mechanical drive applications: < 5–40 mg/Nm³. The higher end of the range generally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants operate at low load.  In the case of a gas turbine equipped with DLN burners, these indicative levels correspond to when the operation is effective.  BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO <sub>x</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of nate gas in boilers and engines  Type of combustion plant  Type of combustion plant  New Existing Plant (157)  New Plant  Plant (158)  Boiler 10–60 50–100 30–85 85–110  Engine (160) 20–75 20–100 55–85 55–110 (161)  As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels will generally be:	Existing gas turbines of ≥ 50 MW <sub>th</sub> for mechanical drive applications: < 5–40 mg/Nm³. The higher end of the range will generally be 50 mg/Nm³ when plants operate at low load.  In the case of a gas turbine equipped with DLN burners, these indicative levels correspond to when the DLN operation is effective.  BAT-associated emission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO <sub>X</sub> emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in boilers and engines  Type of combustion  Plant  Yearly average (157)  New Existing New plant  Plant (159)  Boiler  10–60 50–100 30–85 85–110  Engine (160)  20–75 20–100 55–85 55–110 (161)  As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels will generally be:  - < 5–40 mg/Nm³ for existing boilers operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr,  < 5–15 mg/Nm³ for new boilers,

BAT Concn. Number	Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement	Status NA/ CC / FC / NC	Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement
45	In order to reduce non-methane volatile organic compounds (NMVOC) and methane (CH <sub>4</sub> ) emissions to air from the combustion of natural gas in spark-ignited lean-burn gas engines, BAT is to ensure optimised combustion and/or to use oxidation catalysts.	N/A	Not applicable

#### 6. Emissions to Water

The consolidated permit incorporates the existing surface water discharges to controlled waters identified as RD1 to RD3 on site plan in schedule 7 of the permit. Emission to the River Great Ouse.

There are no BAT AELs specified in the BAT Conclusions for this type of plant. There are also no additional treatment options identified as BAT for the installation. We have therefore not carried out any additional assessment of the emissions to water as part of this review.

### 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements:

#### Plant efficiency:

The BAT for balancing plant guidance ((working draft version 1.0, August 2018) sets out additional restrictions on hours for <1500 hour non-emergency plant which are low efficiency. Table 1 of the guidance sets out categories for LCP peaking plant.

LCP 394 falls into category B because it's NOx emissions are below 500mg/m<sup>3</sup> and its efficiency, at 28.4%, is above that set out in table 2 of the guidance for this type of plant. Table 1 therefore confirms that there are no additional restrictions applied to the hours of operation.

#### Black start:

In the event of a black out National Grid would call on combustion plant to operate and may require them to do so outside their permitted conditions. We have dedicated black start plant and they are permitted to run as such but this scenario is relevant to the rest of the large combustion plant which could be called depending on the circumstances.

A risk assessment will be carried out by Energy UK/Joint Environmental Programme on behalf of Large Combustion Plant connected to the National Transmission System. Air emissions modelling will be based on generic black start scenarios to establish whether they have the potential to have local impact on the environment or not (on a national basis). If the modelling demonstrates that no significant impacts are likely, the plant can operate under condition 2.3.9. This conditions allows the hourly ELVs for plants operating under a black start instruction to be discounted for the purpose of reporting. We would also require there to be a procedure in place for minimisation of emissions in the case of a black start event and for reporting in the event of a black start. This modelling and the procedures have not been agreed in advance of the issue of the permit review and therefore a condition linking back to an improvement condition has been included in the permit.

# 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice.

Aspect considered	Decision
Receipt of application	
Confidential information	A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made.
Identifying confidential information	We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential.
	The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance on confidentiality.
The site	
Biodiversity, heritage, landscape and nature conservation	The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat.
	A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the site(s)/species/habitat has not been carried out as part of the permit review process. We consider that the review will not affect the features of the site(s)/species/habitat as the conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.
	We have not consulted Natural England on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance.
Operating techniques	
General operating techniques	We have reviewed the techniques used by the operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes.
	The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions. The ELVs deliver compliance with the BAT-AELs.
Permit conditions	
Updating permit conditions during consolidation	We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit.

Aspect considered	Decision
Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation	We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice.
Improvement programme	We have removed the completed improvement conditions from the permit improvement conditions 1 to 14 (IC1 to IC14).
	We have added Improvement Condition 15 (IC15), this condition concerns black start operation. See section 8 for further information.
Emission limits	We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit.
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.
	It is considered that the ELVs/equivalent parameters or technical measures described above will ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured.
Monitoring	We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified.
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.
	Table S3.4 Process monitoring requirements was amended to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency after overhauls on site in line with BAT2.
	Based on the information in the application we are [not fully] satisfied that the operator's techniques, personnel and equipment have either MCERTS certification or MCERTS accreditation as appropriate.
Reporting	We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters:
	<ul><li>Nitrogen dioxide</li><li>Carbon monoxide</li><li>Sulphur dioxide</li><li>Dust</li></ul>
	These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document.

Aspect considered	Decision
Operator competence	
Management system	There is no known reason to consider that the operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions.
Growth Duty	
Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 - Growth duty	We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit.
	Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says:  "The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation."
	We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise noncompliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections.
	We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards.