Case No: 2402611/2019



# **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimant: Miss J Noon

Respondent: Rakesh Ishwar Daryanani

## CERTIFICATE OF CORRECTION

### **Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013**

Under the provisions of Rule 69, the liability judgment sent to the parties on 10 June 2019, is corrected as set out in block type to show the claimant's representative as Mr **T** Sutherland.

Employment Judge Horne

Date: 29 November 2019

SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

5 December 2019

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

#### Important note to parties:

Any dates for the filing of appeals or reviews are not changed by this certificate of correction and corrected judgment. These time limits still run from the date of the original judgment, or original judgment with reasons, when appealing.

Case No: 2402611/2019



# **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimant: Miss J Noon

**Respondent:** Rakesh Ishwar Daryanani

**HELD AT:** Liverpool **ON:** 3 June 2019

**BEFORE:** Employment Judge Horne

#### REPRESENTATION:

Claimant: Mr T Sutherland, solicitor

**Respondent:** Did not attend and was not represented, participation

restricted under rule 21 of the Employment Tribunal

Rules of Procedure 2013

# **JUDGMENT**

- 1. The claimant was unfairly dismissed by the respondent.
- 2. The respondent breached the claimant's contract of employment by failing to give notice of termination.
- 3. The respondent, as employer, is liable for unlawful discrimination against the claimant because of maternity.
- 4. Had the tribunal found that the claimant was employed by Crosby Enterprises (North West) Limited and not by the respondent, the tribunal would have held the respondent liable under section 110 of the Equality Act 2010 for unlawful discrimination because of maternity, but would have dismissed the other complaints.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case No: 2402611/2019

**Employment Judge Horne** 

Original version signed on 3 June 2019 Corrected version signed on 29 November 2019

SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

10 June 2019

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Information about written reasons for the judgment is set out in the case management order separately sent to the parties.