# Control of diphtheria: guidance for consultants in communicable disease control

# JM Bonnet, NT Begg

**Summary:** These guidelines for the control and management of diphtheria are intended for consultants in communicable disease control and regional epidemiologists in England and Wales. They are intended to complement existing guidance from the World Health Organization. The guidelines cover the immediate steps to be taken following identification of a case, what is required to confirm the diagnosis, steps to be taken to minimise the likelihood of further linked cases, and what should be done to disseminate information after a case.

Commun Dis Public Health 1999; 2: 242-9.

# Introduction

Current guidelines for the management of diphtheria in Europe were prepared by the World Health Organization (WHO) European Region in response to the re-emergence of diphtheria in the former Soviet Union<sup>1</sup>. A recent incident in London, in which a laboratory diagnosed a case of diphtheria in error and caused a false alarm<sup>2</sup>, led us to review the guideline and revise them to apply more specifically b circumstances in England and Wales.

The aim of these guidelines is to prove the rationale and recommendations for control of diphtheria in England and Wales. They cover four main topics:

- What immediate steps should be tken following identification of a case
- What is required to confirm the diagnosis
- What steps should be taken to minimise the likelihood of further link it cases
- What should be done disseminate information after a case didentified

Bint an Harrow Health Authority

S Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre

#### Address for correspondence:

Dr Norman Begg Immunisation Division PHLS Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre 61 Colindale Avenue London NW9 5EQ tel: 0208 200 6868 ext 4437 fax: 0208 200 7868 email: nbegg@phls.nhs.uk

# Background Microbiology and chaical aspects of diphtheria

Key word

communicable di

Pharynge taneous diphtheria is caused by as of Corynebacterium diphtheriae and toxige y C. ulcerans. C. diphtheriae is a ing, unencapslated, non motile Gram ye bacillus<sup>3</sup>. Both C. diphtheriae and C. ulcerans oduce an exotoxin that causes local tissue an crosis and, when absorbed into the bloodstream, uses toxaemia and systemic complications including paralysis due to demyelinating peripheral neuritis and cardiac failure due to myocarditis. The structural gene of the diphtheria toxin, tox, is carried by a family of corynebacteriophages. It is a 535 residue, 58 kDa exotoxin whose active form consists of two polypeptide chains linked by a disulphide bond<sup>4</sup>. Four biotypes of C. diphtheriae can be distinguished on biochemical testing: gravis, intermedius, mitis, and belfanti<sup>5</sup>. Most infections in recent years have been caused by gravis or mitis biotypes, but the clinical and public health management is identical for all toxigenic strains.

Diphtheria is no longer easily diagnosed on clinical grounds. Mild cases of the disease resemble streptococcal pharyngitis and the classical pseudomembrane of the pharynx may not develop, particularly in people who have been vaccinated. As the disease is rare, many clinicians may never encounter a case and therefore miss the clinical diagnosis<sup>6</sup>. Not all laboratories routinely culture throat swabs for *C. diphtheriae*, further increasing the potential for missed or delayed diagnosis<sup>7</sup>.

Classical respiratory diphtheria is characterised by the insidious onset of membranous pharyngitis with fever, enlarged anterior cervical lymph nodes, and oedema of the surrounding soft tissue, which gives rise to a 'bull neck' appearance. Although not always present, the membrane is typically grey, thick, fibrinous, and firmly adherent. Laryngeal diphtheria is characterised by gradually increasing hoarseness and stridor and most commonly occurs as an extension of pharyngeal involvement in children<sup>8</sup>. Nasal diphtheria, usually mild and chronic, is marked by uni- or bilateral nasal discharge, which is initially clear and later becomes bloody<sup>1</sup>. Cutaneous diphtheria usually appears on exposed parts, especially the legs. The lesions start as vesicles and quickly form small, clearly demarcated, and sometimes multiple ulcers<sup>9</sup>.

## Transmission and carriage of diphtheria

The incubation period for diphtheria is usually two to five days, but may be longer<sup>10</sup>. The commonest mode of transmission is by infected droplet spread through contact with an infected person. Sources of infection include discharges from the nose, throat, or eye, or skin in the case of cutaneous diphtheria.

Asymptomatic carriage of *C. diphtheriae* may occur during the incubation period of diphtheria, during convalescence, or in healthy people. Patients convalescing from diphtheria may harbour *C. diphtheriae* in the throat or nose for many weeks<sup>4</sup>. Carriage can be eradicated by antibiotic treatment: erythromycin, clarithromycin, azithromycin, and penicillin are all effective (see section on antibiotic treatment, below).

In countries where diphtheria is endemic, between 3% and 5% of healthy individuals may harbour the organism in their throats<sup>11</sup>. In the West, where the disease has become very uncommon, isolation of the organism from healthy individuals has become extremely rare.

Cutaneous diphtheria is problematic in tropreacountries and the lesions may act as reservoirs for transmission and spread of pharyns al diphtheria<sup>5</sup>. Two cases of cutaneous diphtheria were reported in Bristol within seven weeks in 1.92, both cases had recently returned from abroad

Closeness and duration ct are important of the disease. Prolonged in determining the spre close contact is normally uired for transmission. In a classic study of dip theria, children sleeping in downitory were at greater risk than the same school ntact during working hours<sup>13</sup>. those in casual c e spread to close contacts by droplets Infection may from obv pus clinical case, from a patient in the of the disease or with a mild tag d attack, or from throat or nasal carriers. ely, contact with articles soiled with ges from lesions of infected people may play a scha in transmission<sup>10</sup>.

# Diphtheria in England and Wales

Diphtheria was made a notifiable disease under the *Infectious Disease (Notification) Act 1889.* All forms of diphtheria, including cutaneous diphtheria, are notifiable. Doctors in England and Wales have a statutory duty to notify a 'proper officer' of the local authority (usually the consultant in communicable disease control (CCDC)) of all cases. It is equally

important to de-notify a case that is later found to have been incorrectly identified. In 1914 there were 59324 cases and 5863 deaths due to diphtheria in England and Wales<sup>14</sup>. Mass immunisation was introduced in 1942 and by 1957 there were only 37 cases and four deaths.

In a review of diphtheria in England and Wales, covering the period 1970 to 1987, 92 cases were notified, 21 of which were acquired overseas o through contact with a case who had acqu infection overseas<sup>15</sup>. A microbiological relie identified 19 reports of toxigenic C. dipht from 1990 to 1996. Twelve reports were of peop nad e wł acquired infection abroad; five had people who had recently returned fro h cơ tries where C. diphtheriae is prevalent; the other isolates tw were from separate incidents in which no ely source of infection was identified<sup>16,17</sup>.

he 215 confirmed Seventy-six per cent ( ectived by the PHLS isolates of corynebacter ference Unit (SDRU) Streptococcus and Di 19 3 between 1986 were non-toxigenic C. diphtheriae, 1 re toxigenic C. diphtheriae, C. ulcerans, and 2% (5) were 6% (13) were oxigenic non-toxiger c C. *lcerans*. The numbers of isolates of nd C. ulcerans confirmed each year were C. diphthe simila A 1990 when the numbers of non-toxigenic isolates started to increase. Biotyping *leri* eve led that the increase was mainly among nonnic *C. diphtheriae* var gravis<sup>18</sup>. tox

# on-toxigenic C. diphtheriae

The clinical and epidemiological significance of nontoxigenic C. diphtheriae is unclear. Most microorganisms that colonise the body, including those thought not to be pathogenic, can cause disease under predisposing circumstances<sup>19</sup>. It is known that the ability to produce toxin is mediated by infection of the bacterium by a bacteriophage and is unrelated to the biotype<sup>20</sup>, but the mechanism of pathogenicity of non-toxigenic strains of *C. diphtheriae* is not known. Two cases who accidentally ingested non-toxigenic C. diphtheriae var mitis in a laboratory developed sore throat with tonsillar membrane<sup>21</sup>. In Australia, seven cases of endocarditis due to non-toxigenic C. diphtheriae var gravis have been reported<sup>22</sup>. The infection was aggressive: four patients suffered major vascular complications, and one died<sup>22</sup>.

The number of isolates of non-toxigenic *C. diphtheriae* from throat swabs of children and young adults with sore throats in England and Wales (confirmed by SDRU) rose from 17 in 1990 to 135 in 1995. These numbers underestimate the incidence because not all laboratories screen throat swabs for *C. diphtheriae*<sup>23</sup>; some of the rise observed since 1990 may be due to greater laboratory ascertainment. Enhanced surveillance has shown that no other pathogen was isolated in 66% of cases and that viral cultures were rarely attempted<sup>23</sup>. This may be important as most cases of acute pharyngitis are caused by viral infections<sup>24,25</sup>. Even if obtained and

processed in ideal circumstances, throat culture cannot reliably differentiate acute infection from chronic carriage<sup>24</sup>.

Four reports<sup>26-29</sup> have looked at the occurrence of non-toxigenic *C. diphtheriae* in throat swabs. From these studies it was not possible to state if non-toxigenic *C. diphtheriae* was the cause of pharyngitis, or whether it was a mere coloniser, especially in the absence of a control group.

#### Corynebacterium ulcerans

*C. ulcerans* was first described in 1926, when the organism was isolated from human throat lesions<sup>30</sup>. *C. ulcerans* is known to be able to produce diphtheria toxin. It has been associated with classical diphtheria<sup>31,32</sup> as well as with milder symptoms<sup>33-37</sup>. At least one death has been attributed to such infection<sup>38</sup>.

*C. ulcerans* may infect the bovine udder and an association between human *C. ulcerans* infection and drinking raw milk has been observed<sup>33,34</sup>. The organism has been also been report to cause illness in wild squirrels in the United States (US)<sup>39</sup>. Person to person spread has never been documented<sup>32</sup>, and swabs from close contacts have been negative<sup>32,33,35</sup>, but the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention – in a recent report of a case of membranous pharyngitis caused by toxigenic *C. ulcerans* – has recommended that people exposed to the index case should be treated along similar lines to cases exposed to *C. diphtheriae*. This advice was given because it was considered that there was inadequate information about human b human transmission<sup>40</sup>.

Although there is no direct evidence, i possible that person to person spread may o two out of 12 cases with isolates of C. ulcerans erred 97 had drunk to the SDRU between 1995 and June raw milk. For six cases there was app rent source of infection and two of these trop tes were from siblings, raising the possibili person to person spread (M Ramsay, person nication). Many cases may be unrecogn l, as otentially toxigenic corvnebacteria infecti na rarely included in the sis f haryngitis. It may be differential d iagn ret the presence of diphtheroids inappropriate to nte as representing co cident commensals<sup>37</sup>.

# Immediate action required after a case or suspected case of diphtheria is identified

Inclients of diphtheria are rare and it would be inusual for a CCDC to have personal experience of maging a case. The identification of non-toxigenic strains has increased since PHLS Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs), which recommend routine screening of *C. diphtheriae* in laboratories, were implemented. This has increased expectations of CCDCs to provide advice on the basis of preliminary microbiological findings<sup>41</sup>. Delay in starting treatment could prove fatal for the case; wider spread of the agent could occur in the community if control measures are not promptly initiated.

## **Recommendations: immediate action required**

All cases, whether suspected or confirmed, should be notified immediately to the local CCDC. CCDCs must ensure that general practitioners and hospital doctors are aware of the need to notify. Good communication between the microbiology team and the CCDC is vital. Microbiological advice should be sought from SDRU (0208 200 4400 ext 4289) early, and the public health management of the case should be discussed with the Immunisation Division at PHLS Communicable Disease Surveillance Centre (CDSC) (0208 200 6666)

CCDCs should ask the advice of a co infectious disease if the diagnosis of a sus pecte ase is in doubt. The decision whether control measures before the resul s of testing are available should be based on t elihood that the patient is infected with a toxig c strain<sup>12</sup>. (See recommendations concerning confirming the diagnosis below). No precautions are necessary during transfer Control measures

- Isolation and treat period the index case
- Tracing and taking nose and throat swabs from close contact
- Providing prophylactic antibiotics and booster va cine don for close contacts

If a case of diphtheria is confirmed an incident control tear should be convened and the Department of health should be informed. Membership of the team will vary depending on local circumstances, but would typically include:

- CCDC
- consultant microbiologist
- regional epidemiologist
- infection control nurse
- press officer

# Confirming the diagnosis Rationale

The management of cases and contacts depends on confirmation of the identity of the causative organism. The diagnosis may be delayed, especially in a mild case, in whom the diagnosis is considered unlikely. The hint of the diagnosis may come from the microbiology laboratory, reporting the presence of the organism in a throat swab.

## **Recommendations: confirming the diagnosis**

Details of the microbiological identification of *C. diphtheriae* and *C. ulcerans* are described elsewhere in this journal<sup>42</sup>. All laboratory isolates should be submitted for toxigenicity testing and strain confirmation to SDRU at the PHLS Central Public Health Laboratory (tel 0208 200 4400 ext 4289). The service is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Cultures should be submitted to the laboratory by courier, after contacting the laboratory to inform staff that a culture is on the way<sup>43</sup>.

**TABLE** Dosage of antitoxin recommended for various types of diphtheria<sup>44</sup>

Type of diphtheria	Dosage (units)	Route
Nasal Tonsillar	10 000 - 20 000 15 000 - 25 000	Intramuscular (IM) IM or intravenous (IV)
Pharyngeal or laryngeal	20000 - 40000	IM/IV
Combined types or delayed diagnosis	40 000 - 60 000	IV
Severe diphtheria – for example, with extensive membrane and/or		
severe oedema (bull-neck diphtheria)	40 000 - 100 000	IV or part IV and part IM

Rapid methods such as polymerase chain reaction (PCR) have improved the identification of diphtheria toxin<sup>42</sup>. In the absence of typical symptoms or history of exposure to a case (for example, travel to an endemic region or a laboratory worker exposed to the organism) it is appropriate to withhold control measures while toxigenicity testing is undertaken<sup>15</sup>.

# Management of the index case

The patient should be barrier nursed until two cultures from both nose and throat (and skin lesions in cutaneous diphtheria) taken over 24 hours after stopping antimicrobial chemotherapy, and at least 24 hours apart, have failed to show diphtheria bacilli<sup>10</sup>. Treatment of cutaneous diphtheria includes thorough cleansing of the lesion with soap and water. Follow up cultures should be taken at least two weeks fte completion of treatment<sup>20</sup>.

Specific treatment will normally be provi d unde the direction of a consultant in infectious ease. Depending on the clinical condition the patient, tramuscularly or diphtheria antitoxin may be given intravenously without waiting for confirmation. Take a serum tor annound antitoxin. The dose of antitoxin acteriological gree of toxicity, and the depends on the site, t duration of the illne Antitoxin is derived from horse set here tests with a trial dose to ım 🌢 exclude hype vity should precede its use. Patients mus be a about known allergy first and of 1: 10 dilution of diphtheria tested led onto the conjunctiva or 0.02mL of linè 0 dilution injected intradermally (enough 1: hall intradermal wheal), with adrenaline va ble for immediate administration<sup>3</sup>. Antitoxin is obably of no value for cutaneous disease, although he authorities advise giving 20000 to 40000 units because toxic sequelae have been reported<sup>20</sup>. If acute anaphylaxis develops, give adrenaline quickly.

Diphtheria antitoxin is supplied in vials containing 1000 IU per mL. Manufactured by Pasteur Merieux MSD Ltd and distributed in the United Kingdom by CDSC (tel 0208 200 6868). In Northern Ireland the source of diphtheria antitoxin is the Public Health Laboratory, Belfast City Hospital, Lisburn Road, Belfast (tel 01232 329241).

## Antibiotic treatment

Antibiotic treatment is needed to eliminate the organism and prevent spread; it is not a substitute for antitoxin treatment. The antibiotics of choice are erythromycin, azithromycin, clarithromycin, or penicillin, all of which are active in vitro against *C. diphtheriae*<sup>45</sup>. Compliance with erythromycin may be poor because of gastrointestinal side effects. All specimens should be collected before antibiotic treatment is started. The recommended dose regimens for erythromycin and benzylpenicillin are as follows:

## Parenteral erythromycin

40-50mg/kg/day (maximum 2g/day) actil be patent can swallow comfortably, when ery pronvcin the four divided doses (or alternative macrolide) or or al denicillin (125mg-250mg four times daily) may be substituted

# Benzylpenicillin

Children IM 25000-50000 mits/kg/day in two divided doses Adults IM 1.2 million unit/day in two divided doses

Antibiotic treatment should be continued for 14 days. Elimination are e organism should be confirmed after antibiotic finatment has been completed, by obtaining nasophary ageal swabs for culture. An additional 10 day, course of antibiotics should be prescribed if cultures are positive.

# mmenisation

In tients should be immunised in the convalescent stage their disease because clinical infection does not always induce adequate levels of antitoxin. Individuals should be given a complete course or a reinforcing dose according to their age and immunisation history as follows<sup>44</sup>. (NB A booster is not required if the last dose was given less than 12 months earlier):

## Immunised children up to 10 years of age

one injection of adsorbed diphtheria vaccine (D)

# Immunised children aged 10 years and over, and adults

one injection of adsorbed low dose diphtheria vaccine for adults (d) or adsorbed tetanus/low dose diphtheria vaccine for adults (Td)

#### Unimmunised children under 10 years of age

three injections of D (or adsorbed diphtheria/tetanus/ pertussis (DTP) and polio vaccines if appropriate) at monthly intervals

# Unimmunised children aged 10 years and over, and adults

three injections of d or Td at monthly intervals

### Immunisation status unknown

Obtain a blood specimen for diphtheria antitoxin testing then give one injection of adsorbed vaccine (D or d, depending on age). Complete the course of three injections if antitoxin is not detected in the prevaccination specimen. SDRU undertake testing for diphtheria antitoxin.

# Reducing the risk of linked cases Rationale

Diphtheria contacts are given prophylaxis for two reasons: firstly, to treat incubating disease in recently exposed contacts and, secondly, to eliminate carriage and thereby reduce the risk of exposure to other susceptible contacts.

Anyone who has been in close contact with a case of diphtheria caused by toxigenic *C. diphtheriae* or *C. ulcerans* (whatever the clinical presentation) in the previous seven days should be considered as potentially at risk. Contacts of cases due to nontoxigenic *C. diphtheriae* or *C. ulcerans* are not at risk. The risk of infection is directly related to the closeness and duration of contact. It is important to identify any asymptomatic carriers as they may transmit the organism. The search for infected carriers should be limited to circumstances in which intimate respiratory or physical contact may have occurred. Ask contacts about recent travel, as the contact may be the source of the patient's infection.

Contact with a case on public transport is likely to carry a low risk. Experience of other droplet spread infectious diseases<sup>46</sup> suggests that the risk of transmission of disease on an aircraft is low, especially if contact with the affected person is for less than eight hours. Close proximity may be defined as being seated or working in the same cabin section as the infected passenger, depending on the aircraft design. Those at greatest risk will be:

- those sleeping in the same household as the case
- kissing/sexual contacts of the index cas
- health care workers who have given month to mouth resuscitation to the indepense or have dressed the wounds of a cutaneous care

Students in a hall of residence in the same corridor and/or sharing kitchen facilities or a childminder looking after one or more children for many hours daily should be regarded a household contacts.

The risk of dise be in other types of contacts will depend on the lura ion or contact and immunisation status of the coron a contact with the index case. Examples of mese types of contact would include:

friends, reactions, and caretakers who regularly
wit the home

cho l'elassroom contacts

th se who share the same room at work

other health care staff who have had contact with the index case

The occurrence of a single case provides an opportunity to check the vaccination status of contacts as defined above. If it is suspected or shown that a group is not fully immunised against diphtheria, it may be necessary to treat that group as a close contact group. Advice may be sought from the Immunisation Division at CDSC (tel 0208 200 6868) in such cases.

# Recommendations: reducing the risk of linked cases

# **Clinical surveillance**

Current guidelines suggest that close contacts should be assessed and monitored for signs/symptoms of diphtheria for at least seven days<sup>1,8</sup>. An alternative recommended approach (self-surveillance)<sup>15</sup> is to explain the symptoms of diphtheria, asking close contacts to seek urgent medical attention if necessary If this approach is adopted the contacts' get ral practitioners should also be informed, using - per la - a letter based on the one shown in the endix Assess the ability of the contact to understand the implications of self-surveillance and ihoo of compliance. For those for whom s lf-su e is veil not suitable, daily active follow up (e her by telephone or visit) is required. T whose occupations involve handling bod, especially milk, or close association with nin nunised children, should be excluded iro that work until bacteriological exami rms that they are not carriers<sup>10</sup>.

# Laboratory investigation

agear swabs should be obtained for Nasal and n nar culture and vabs should taken from any wounds or for a before starting chemoprophylaxis. Close skin l are found to be carriers of a toxigenic need to be isolated and treated, taking tra measures as described for a case. The contact ould be barrier nursed until two cultures from both se and throat (and skin lesions in cutaneous diphtheria) taken over 24 hours after stopping antimicrobial chemotherapy, and at least 24 hours apart, fail to show diphtheria bacilli<sup>10</sup>.

# Antibiotics

The recommended regimen for use in close contacts is either

a single dose of IM benzylpenicillin 600000 units for children <6 years of age

1.2M units for anyone  $\geq 6$  years of age

or

a seven day course of erythromycin 125mg every 6 hours for children under 2 years of age 250mg every 6 hours for children aged 2 to 8 years 250-500mg every 6 hours for anyone over

8 years of age

Erythromycin eradicates *C. diphtheriae* from the nose and throat of carriers in an average of three days<sup>47</sup>. Other macrolide antibiotics such as azithromycin or clarithromycin may also be used.

Elimination of the organism should be confirmed after antibiotic treatment has been completed, by obtaining nasopharyngeal swabs for culture. A further 10 day course of antibiotics should be prescribed if cultures are positive.

# Immunisation

Close contacts should be offered immunisation according to the schedule outlined above. Immunisation is not required if the most recent dose was given less than 12 months earlier.

# Management of toxigenic C. ulcerans infections

#### Rationale

Sporadic cases of diphtheria caused by toxigenic *C. ulcerans* have been reported in humans. Human to human transmission has not been reported, but this is an area in which there is limited information<sup>38</sup>.

# Recommendation: management of toxigenic C. ulcerans infections

Ask about consumption of raw milk. If it seems that a case may be connected with an animal source seek advice from the senior veterinary investigation officer at the local Veterinary Investigation Centre (P Gayford, personal communication).

It is prudent to advise the same management for close contacts of toxigenic *C. ulcerans* as recommended for people exposed to cases of diphtheria caused by *C. diphtheriae*<sup>38</sup>. The additional public health impact of such measures is likely to be minimal.

# Management of non-toxigenic C. diphtheriae

#### Rationale

Non-toxigenic C. diphtheriae has been associate invasive disease, but it is often impossible to know causes illness in cases of pharyngitis or wh mere coloniser. Non-toxigenic C. diphthe identified in swabs from the nasopharynx and from skin lesions in outbreaks among alcoholic in eattle<sup>48</sup>. The analysis of a carrier survey conducted after a 10 week old child developed membra illitis in 1977 tor showed that non-toxigenic ae had converted di to a toxigenic strain through genic conversion by rea by a healthy carrier<sup>49</sup>. corynephage brought into t

# Recommendation, management of non-toxigenic C. diphtheria

Non-toxigent *C. whitheriae*, whenever identified, should be negated as a potential pathogen. If the patient as synptoms, start treatment with penicillin or eightreacycin for seven days. Investigate for the presence of other pathogenic organisms. There is no seed to carry out clearance swabs or to trace contacts a these individuals.

# Disseminating information Rationale

Disseminating information promptly will aid understanding and prevent the spread of anxiety and rumours in the affected community. The provision of information about the symptoms and signs of the disease safeguards contacts who are not among those being monitored closely.

# **Recommendation: disseminating information**

Information about diphtheria should be widely and quickly distributed after a case has occurred. Written information (for example, see appendix 2) should be given to household or other contacts whether or not they are given prophylaxis.

If a case has been identified in a nursery, playgroup, or school the CCDC or other public health professional should liaise closely with the manager or headteacher to inform parents that:

- a case has occurred
- the chance of another case is very sma
- close classroom contacts are to have ose and throat swabs taken and to be given antibiotes as a precaution
- the vaccination status of close classroom contacts will be checked and re-vaccination will be offered if necessary

The CCDC may use this opportunity to emphasise the general importance i immunisation in the prevention of disease. General practitioners of the case should be informed. Sometimes the press know of cases before the rubby health department; have a press statement ready.

# **Acconvelogements**

We hank the following for their helpful comments: Anaroulla Efstratiou, Robert George, Mary Ramsay, he Public Health Medicine Environmental Group, the DHLS Standing Scientific Committee on Vaccination and Immunisation, PHLS Standing Scientific Committee on Systemic Infections, and regional epidemiologists.

#### References

- 1. Begg NT. Manual for the management and control of diphtheria in the European Region. Copenhagen: World Health Organisation, 1994.
- 2. CDSC. Misdiagnosis of diphtheria: laboratory errors due to toxigenic control strains of Corynebacterium diphtheriae. *Commun Dis Rep CDR Wkly* 1997; 7: 65, 68.
- MacGregor RR. Corynebacterium diphtheriae. In: Mandell GL, Bennett JE, Dolin R, editors. Principles and practice of infectious diseases. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 1995.
- Clarridge J, Popovic T, Inzana T. Diphtheria and other corynebacterial and coryneform infections. In: Collier L, Balows A, Sussman M, editors. *Topley and Wilson's microbiology and microbial infections*. London: Arnold, 1998: p348-52.
- 5. Efstratiou A, George RC. Microbiology and epidemiology of diphtheria. *Rev Med Microbiol* 1996; 7: 31-42.
- Bowler ICJ, Mandal BK, Schlecht, Riordan T. Diphtheria the continuing hazard. Arch Dis Child 1988; 63: 194-210.
- 7. Begg N Balraj V. Diphtheria: are we ready for it? *Arch Dis Child* 1995; **73**: 570.
- Farizo KM, Strebel PM, Chen RT, Kimbler A, Cleary TJ, Cochi SL. Fatal respiratory disease due to Corynebacterium diphtheriae: case report and review of guidelines for management, investigation and control. *Clin Infect Dis* 1993; 16: 59-68.
- 9. Skin conditions. In: Maegraith B (editor). *Clinical tropical diseases*. 9th edition. Oxford: Blackwell Scientific Publications, 1989.

- 11. 10.Benenson AS, editor. Control of communicable diseases manual. Washington DC: American Public Health Association, 1995.
- Lipsky BA, Goldberger AC, Tomkins LS, Piorde JJ. Infections 1982; 4: 1220-35 caused by non-diphtheria corynebacteria. Rev Infect Dis
- 12. Gamlin C, Stewart GH. Cutaneous diphtheria in Bristol. Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev 1994; 4: R83-4.
- 13. Dudley SF. The spread of droplet infection in semi-isolated communities: diphtheria. London: HMSO, 1926. Medical Research Council special report No 111
- Registrar General. Seventy-seventh annual report of the
- egistrar general of births, deaths and marriages in England and Wales (1914). London: HMSO, 1916. Wales (1914). London: HMSO, 1916. Begg, NT. Imported diphtheria, England and Wales: 1970-Begg, NT. In: teffen R, Lobel HO, Haworth J, Bradley DJ, editors. ona divine (proceedings of the first conference on avel medicine). London: Springer-Verlag,
- 16. Engla C pmmun Dis Corynebacterium diphtheriae acquired in n Dis Rep CDR Wkly 1996; 6: 231. ia in the United Kingdom – two recent
- 17. incidents. CDSC Comm phtheria i PR Wkly 1993; 3:149.
- 18. Microbiology Digest 19 Efstratiou A, editor European Laboratory ernational meeting of the oup on Diphtheria. PHLS
- 19. Stokes EJ, Ridgeway London: Arnold, 1993 M Clinical microbiology.
- 20. Report of the Committee on Infe 1997: 191-5 Elk Grove Village, IL: Amer an (The Red Book) aediatrics,
- 21. and lysogeny in Corynebacterium diphtheri *the New York Academy of Sciences* 1960; 88: 109 Tiley SM, Kociuba KR, Heron LG, Munro Barksdale L, Garmise L, Horibata K. Vii
- 22 diphtheriae: report of seven cases and review. *Clin Dis* 1993; **16**: 271-5. endocarditis due to non-toxigenic Coryneba
- 23 CDSC Corynebacterium diphtheriae infections. Commun Dis Rep CDR Wkły 1996; 6: 29, 32. Enhanced surveillance of non-toxigeni
- 24. Bisno AL. Acute J Paediatrics 1996; 97: Acute pharyngitis: etiology and diagnosis. 949-54
- 25 Nord CE. The role of anaerobic bacteria in recurrent episodes of sinusitis and tonsillitis. Clin Infect Dis 1995; 20:1512-24.
- 26. 1992; 339: 998. Wilson APR, Corynebacterium diphtheriae in homosexual men. Bingham J, Ridgway GL, et al. Efstratiou A, Weaver E, Allason-Jones E, Unusual non-toxigenic Lancet
- 27. Colman G, Cookson B. Routine screening for Corynebacterium diphtheriae. *Lancet* 1990; **336**:1119. Jephcott AE, Gillespie EH, Davenport C. Non-toxigenic Wilson APR, Ridgway GL, Gruneberg RN, Efstratiou A,
- 28 1975; 1: 1025-6. Corynebacterium diphtheriae in a boarding school. Lancet
- 29 Sloss JM, Faithfull-Davies DN. Non-toxigenic Corynebacterium diphtheriae in military personnel. *Lancet* 1993; **341**: 1021. Gilbert R, Stewart FC. Corynebacterium ulcerans: a
- 30. Clin Med 1926; 12: 756-61 pathogenic micro-organism resembling C. diphtheriae. J Lab

- 31. Fakes RW, Downham M. Toxic reaction to Corynebacterium ulcerans. Lancet 1970; i: 298.
- 32. Meers PD. A case of classical diphtheria, and other infections due to Corynebacterium ulcerans. J Infect 1979; 1: 139-42
- 34. 33. Hart RJC. Bostock in North Devon. Journal of Hygiene 1984; 92:161-4 AD, . Corynebacterium ulcerans in humans and cattle Gilbert FR, Lewis D, Smith DCM
- 39 Pers C untreated milk. J Infect 1984; 9: 286-8. Corynebacterium ulcerans infection associated with Infection due to Corynebacterium ulcerans
- 36. *et Immunologica Scandinavica* 1987; **95**: 361-2. Barrett NJ. Communicable disease associated with milk and dairy producing diphtheria toxin. Acta Pathologica Microbiologica
- 37. Kisely SR, Price S, Ward T. Corynebacterium ulcerans: 1986;12: 265-72. potential cause of diphtheria. Commun Dis Rep CDR Rev products in England and Wales: 1983-1984. J Infect
- 88 Leek MD, Sivaloganathan S, Devaraj SK, Zamiri I, Griffiths GD, Green MA. Diphtheria with a difference – a rare Corynebacterium fatality with associated apoptotic cell 1994; 4: R63-4.
- 39 in Richardson ground squirrels. J Am Vet Med Assoc 1988; Olson ME, 193: 367-8. Gangrenous dermatitis caused by Corynebacterium ulcerans death. Histopathology 1990; 16: 187-9. Goemans I, Bolingbroke D, Lundbers S
- 40. CDC. Respiratory diphtheria caused by Corynebacterium Wkly Rep 1997; 46; 330-2 ulcerans -Terre Haute, Indiana, 1996. MMWR Morb Mortal
- 41. Djemal KK, Bevan VM. PHLS Standard Operating Procedure for the investigation of throat swabs (B.SOP 9). PHLS Microbiology Digest 1996;13: 230-5.
- 42. Efstratiou A, George RC. Laboratory guidelines for the diagnosis of infections caused by Corynebacterium Efstratiou A, diphtheriae and C. ulcerans. Commun Dis Public Health 1999; 250-7
- Public Health Laboratory Service. Identification and genicity testing of Corynebacterium diphtheriae and fr potentially toxigenic corynebacteria. CPHL Respiratory Sysmic Infection Laboratory e mic Infection Laboratory – user manual. London: Health Laboratory Service, 1995. Iment of Health, Welsh Office, Scottish Office
- 45. . Engler KH, t and 3647 and sev Abstract, 38th mmunisat partme rtment Health, *infectious disease*. London: HMSO, 1996. to activity of ketolides HMR 3004 tibiotics against C. diphtheriae. DHSS conference on antimicrobia (Northern Ireland)
- 46. CDC Mycobacterium tubercu agents and chemoth Exposure Diego, 24-27 September 1998. Rep 195; 44: 137-40.
- 47. 1995. Beach MW, Erythromycin in the treatment MMWR Morb Morta Gamble WB, Jenkins MQ nd diphtheria
- carrier state. *Pediatrics* 1955; **16**: 3. Harnisch JP, Tronca E, Nolan CN Diphtheria among alcoholic urban lmes KK

48

49. Pappenheimer AM, Murphy JR. Studies on epidemiology of diphtheria. Lancet 1983; ii: 1989; 111: 71-82.

248

# uidelines

# Appendix I

Suggested model letter to be given to the general practitioner and contacts of the index case

Date

Dear doctor Re: patient (name) date of birth address

This patient has been in close contact with a case or carrier of diphtheria. The last contact was on (date). If he/she becomes unwell during the seven days following this last contact please consider the diagnosis of diphtheria. Typical symptoms include sore throat, fever, swollen neck glands, and a grey membrane on the back of the throat (this may not be present). If you suspect diphtheria, please do the following:

- If possible, take a throat swab, informing the laboratory of the contact history.
- Inform the local consultant in communicable disease control (telephone number)
- Consider admission of the patient to the local infectious disease unit with a copy of this letter.

Yours sincerely

Dr (name of CCDC) Consultant in Communicable Disease Control

Copy to patient

# Appendix 2

Information sheet for contacts of a case

#### What is diphtheria?

Diphtheria is an uncommon infection which is caused by a bacterium (germ) and may affect the throat or nose, and sometimes the eyes or skin. Some diphtheria germs are more dangerous than others and can cause serious illness.

#### Who can get diphtheria?

Anyone can get diphtheria, but it is less likely to cause a problem if you have been fully vaccinated. Diphtheria is more common in some countries, especially the forme Soviet Union, so it is most important to make sub your vaccinations are up to date if you are travelling the

## How is the germ spread?

The germ is spread by being in very close contact over a period of time with someone who is frown to have the illness or is a carrier of the germ. It is occasionally caused by drinking unpasteurised milk.

# What are the symptoms doupht eria?

This depends on where the feature is. The illness may start with a sore through and fager. There may be a hoarse voice or cough. The sine is effected there may be an ulcer that does not heal. The illness may be more serious in infants and youn children. If you suspect you or a member of your family has eightheria it is important to seek medical advicement of the set of th

#### g a person infectious?

erson is no longer infectious after they have received a ourse of treatment, which is usually given in hospital.

How long does it take for the illness to develop? The illness may develop up to seven days after contact with the germ.

#### Should I receive preventive treatment?

You should receive preventive treatment if you are a close contact of the person who has diphtheria. A close contact is typically someone who has slept in the same household or has had sexual contact with the affected person in the previous week. School classroom contacts and those who share the same the room at work are not normally considered to be close contacts. A doctor or nurse will take a swab test from your nose and throat and you will be given a prescription for a course of antibiotics. It is important to finish the whole course of treatment. You will also receive a booster vaccination if required.

*Ugian*i