

Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and National Grid Reference	Mr R Holliday, Mrs J Holliday and Mr A Holliday T/A JR & J Holliday & Son Elm House Farm, Newton-Upon-Rawcliffe, Pickering, North Yorkshire, YO18 8QA Application Reference EPR/WP3837MP/S003 NGR: SE 81028 90754
---	--

Document reference, date and version of application SCR	Site Condition Report, submitted 17/07/19 for permit surrender. Supporting documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Low Risk Surrender Report • Steps to reduce pollution. Additional supporting information provided on 10/10/19, 11/10/19 and 15/10/19 in response to information request.
---	---

1.0 Site details

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points.

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/WP3837MP/A001; accepted and determined on 20/03/08.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

- Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters.
- Pollution history including:
 - pollution incidents that may have affected land
 - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
 - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
 - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures.
- Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available).
- Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/WP3837MP/A001; accepted and determined on 20/03/08.

3.0 Permitted activities

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
---	---

- Permitted activities
- Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

3.0 Permitted activities	
Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
<i>The site was permitted under Section 6.9 A(1)(a) Rearing of poultry or pigs intensively in an installation with more than: (ii) 2,000 places for production pigs (over 30kg). With the directly associated activity of rearing 880 grower pigs (from 15kg to 30kg).</i>	

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment
The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.
<i>Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/WP3837MP/A001; accepted and determined on 20/03/08.</i>

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?
Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?
<i>Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/WP3837MP/A001; accepted and determined on 20/03/08.</i>
<i>The applicant provided an application site report which was assessed and it was determined that the installation's activities show little likelihood of causing pollution with the exception of fuel. The application identified that the storage of fuel oil is not bunded. The permit was therefore issued with an improvement condition to ensure that this storage would be improved within 6 months of the permit being issued.</i>
For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?
<i>Yes – Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/WP3837MP/A001; accepted and determined on 20/03/08.</i>
<i>Further details above – permit issued with improvement condition.</i>

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/WP3837MP/A001 on 20/03/08
Pollution of land and water is unlikely	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/WP3837MP/A001 on 20/03/08 Improvement condition to ensure fuel storage improvements.
Date and name of reviewer: (05/11/19)	<i>Kirsty Hobbs</i>

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

4.0 Changes to the activities	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Hazardous pollutants" used or produced.	
<i>There have been no changes. An agency initiated variation was undertaken in 2010 to remove two improvement conditions requiring the submission of an emission reduction plan. This was following a survey conducted by Natural England at two nearby conservation sites. .</i>	

5.0 Measures taken to protect land
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?
<i>Records produced by the Environment Agency Regulatory Team throughout the lifetime of the permit and supporting information in the surrender application show that the pollution prevention measures that were in place have protected the environment as far as is practicable.</i>

6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?
<i>The surrender site condition report states that there have been no pollution incidents on site that could have caused harm to land or groundwater. The Environment Agency's records also indicate that no incidents have been recorded during the lifetime of the permit (EPR/WP3837MP).</i>

7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?
<i>N/A – Not required.</i>

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?
<i>Houses 20, 21 and 22 have been decommissioned and all sources of pollution risk removed. This reduction in capacity means that the site will be operating under the permitted threshold of 2,000 production pigs.</i>
<i>The applicant has provided additional information and evidence to confirm that the following has been undertaken with regards to the decommissioned buildings:</i>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>Removal of livestock.</i> • <i>Removal of feed.</i> • <i>Removal of slurry/manure.</i> • <i>Pressure washing the buildings down and removal of wash water.</i> • <i>Disconnecting the power supply.</i> • <i>Disconnecting the water supply.</i> • <i>Disconnecting the feed supply.</i>

9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?
<i>N/A – Low Risk Surrender, therefore no intrusive investigation is required.</i>

10.0 Statement of site condition
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?
<i>The site will be operating under the permitted threshold of 2,000 production pigs due to a reduction in capacity, and therefore no longer requires an Environmental Permit. The operator has confirmed that all pollution risks have been removed from the decommissioned buildings (Houses 20, 21 and 22) and the site has been returned in a satisfactory state.</i>
<i>We the Environment Agency have reviewed the application for surrender made by the Operator and accept the statement of site condition and view it as being returned in a satisfactory state.</i>

Surrender SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed from the decommissioned buildings and that the site is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the permit	✓
Date and name of reviewers:	
Kirsty Hobbs (NPS – Permitting Officer) – 07/11/19	
Laura Gosling (NPS – Permitting Officer) – 12/11/19	