

Environment Agency

Review of an Environmental Permit for an Installation subject to Chapter II of the Industrial Emissions Directive under the Environmental Permitting (England & Wales) Regulations 2016

Decision document recording our decision-making process following review of a permit

The Permit number is: EPR/AP3633BL
The Operator is: EP Langage Limited
The Installation is: Langage Energy Centre

This Variation Notice number is: EPR/AP3633BL/V005

What this document is about

Article 21(3) of the Industrial Emissions Directive (IED) requires the Environment Agency to review conditions in permits that it has issued and to ensure that the permit delivers compliance with relevant standards, within four years of the publication of updated decisions on best available techniques (BAT) conclusions.

We have reviewed the permit for this installation against the revised BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant (LCP) published on 17th August 2017. This is our decision document, which explains the reasoning for the consolidated variation notice that we are issuing.

It explains how we have reviewed and considered the techniques used by the Operator in the operation and control of the plant and activities of the installation. This review has been undertaken with reference to the decision made by the European Commission establishing best available techniques (BAT) conclusions ('BAT Conclusions') for large combustion plant as detailed in document reference IEDC-7-1. It is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position. It also provides a justification for the inclusion of any specific conditions in the permit that are in addition to those included in our generic permit template.

As well as considering the review of the operating techniques used by the Operator for the operation of the plant and activities of the installation, the consolidated variation notice takes into account and brings together in a single document all previous variations that relate to the original permit issued. It also modernises the entire permit to reflect the conditions contained in our current generic permit template.

The introduction of new template conditions makes the permit consistent with our current general approach and philosophy and with other permits issued to installations in this sector. Although the wording of some conditions has changed, while others have been removed because of the new regulatory approach, it does not reduce the level of environmental protection achieved by the permit in any way. In this document we therefore address only our determination of substantive issues relating to the new BAT Conclusions.

This is our record of our decision-making process and shows how we have taken into account all relevant factors in reaching our position.

Throughout this document we will use a number of expressions. These are as referred to in the glossary and have the same meaning as described in "Schedule 6 Interpretation" of the permit.

We try to explain our decision as accurately, comprehensively and plainly as possible. We would welcome any feedback as to how we might improve our decision documents in future. A lot of technical terms and acronyms are inevitable in a document of this nature: we provide a glossary of acronyms near the front of the document, for ease of reference.

How this document is structured

Glossary of terms

- 1 Our decision
- 2 How we reached our decision
- 2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant
- 2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document
- 3 The legal framework
- 4 Key Issues
- 5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions
- Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value
- 7 Emissions to Water
- 8 Additional IED Chapter II requirements
- 9 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

Glossary of acronyms used in this document

(Please note that this glossary is standard for our decision documents and therefore not all these acronyms are necessarily used in this document.)

AEEL Associated Energy Efficiency Levels

APC Air Pollution Control

BAT-AEL BAT Associated Emission Level

BATc BAT conclusion

BREF Best available techniques reference document

CEM Continuous emissions monitor
CHP Combined heat and power

CV Calorific value

DAA

Directly associated activity – Additional activities necessary to be carried out to

allow the principal activity to be carried out

DLN Dry Low NOx

DLN-E Dry Low NOx effective

EIONET European environment information and observation network is a partnership

network of the European Environment Agency

ELV Emission limit value derived under BAT or an emission limit value set out in IED

EMS Environmental Management System

EPR Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (SI 2016 No.

1154)

EWC European waste catalogue
IC Improvement Condition

IED Industrial Emissions Directive (2010/75/EU)

IPPCD Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control Directive (2008/1/EC) – now

superseded by IED

LCP Large Combustion Plant subject to Chapter III of IED

MSUL/MSDL Minimum start up load/minimum shut-down load

NOx Oxides of nitrogen (NO plus NO₂ expressed as NO₂)

NPV Net Present Value

PPS Public participation statement

SGN Sector guidance note
TGN Technical guidance note
TOC Total Organic Carbon

WFD Water Framework Directive (2000/60/EC)

1 Our decision

We have decided to issue the consolidated variation notice to the Operator. This will allow it to continue to operate the installation, subject to the conditions in the consolidated variation notice.

We consider that, in reaching that decision, we have taken into account all relevant considerations and legal requirements and that the varied permit will ensure that a high level of protection is provided for the environment and human health.

The consolidated variation notice contains many conditions taken from our standard Environmental Permit template including the relevant Annexes. We developed these conditions in consultation with industry, having regard to the legal requirements of the Environmental Permitting Regulations and other relevant legislation. This document does not therefore include an explanation for these standard conditions. Where they are included in the Notice, we have considered the techniques identified by the Operator for the operation of their installation, and have accepted that the details are sufficient and satisfactory to make those standard conditions appropriate. This document does, however, provide an explanation of our use of "tailor-made" or installation-specific conditions, or where our permit template provides two or more options.

2 How we reached our decision

2.1 Requesting information to demonstrate compliance with BAT Conclusions for Large Combustion Plant

We issued a Notice under Regulation 61(1) of the Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) Regulations 2016 (a Regulation 61 Notice) on 1st May 2018 requiring the Operator to provide information to demonstrate how the operation of their installation currently meets, or will subsequently meet, the revised standards described in the large combustion plant BAT Conclusions document. The Notice also required that where the revised standards are not currently met, the Operator should provide information that:

- Describes the techniques that will be implemented before 17th August 2021, which will then ensure that operations meet the revised standard, or
- Justifies why standards will not be met by 17th August 2021, and confirmation of the date when the operation of those processes will cease within the installation or an explanation of why the revised BAT standard is not applicable to those processes, or
- Justifies why an alternative technique will achieve the same level of environmental protection equivalent to the revised standard described in the BAT Conclusions.

Where the Operator proposed that they were not intending to meet a BAT standard that also included a BAT Associated Emission Level (BAT AEL)

EP Langage Issued 16/10/2019 EPR/AP3633BL/V005 Page 5 of 33 Permit Review DD

described in the BAT Conclusions Document, the Regulation 61 Notice requested that the Operator make a formal request for derogation from compliance with that AEL (as provisioned by Article 15(4) of IED). In this circumstance, the Notice identified that any such request for derogation must be supported and justified by sufficient technical and commercial information that would enable us to determine acceptability of the derogation request.

The Regulation 61 Notice response from the Operator was received on 24th October 2018.

We considered that the response did not contain sufficient information for us to commence the permit review. We therefore issued a further information requests to the Operator on 1st February 2018and 2nd April 2019. Suitable further information was provided by the Operator on 11th February 2019 and 9th April 2019.

We considered it was in the correct form and contained sufficient information for us to begin our determination of the permit review.

2.2 Review of our own information in respect to the capability of the installation to meet revised standards included in the BAT Conclusions document

Based on our records and previous regulatory activities with the facility we have no reason to consider that the Operator will not be able to comply with the conditions that we include in the permit.

3 The legal framework

The consolidated variation notice will be issued under Regulation 20 of the EPR. The Environmental Permitting regime is a legal vehicle which delivers most of the relevant legal requirements for activities falling within its scope. In particular, the regulated facility is:

- an installation as described by the IED;
- subject to aspects of other relevant legislation which also have to be addressed.

We consider that the consolidated variation notice will ensure that the operation of the Installation complies with all relevant legal requirements and that a high level of protection will be delivered for the environment and human health.

We explain how we have addressed specific statutory requirements more fully in the rest of this document.

4 The key issues

The key issues arising during this permit review are:

- Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the LCP.
- The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques (BAT-AEELs)

We therefore describe how we determined these issues in most detail in the relevant sections of this document.

4.1 Emissions to air and the emission limits applied to the plant

A number of general principles were applied during the permit review. These included:

- The upper value of the BAT AELs ranges specified were used unless use of the tighter limit was justified.
- The principle of no backsliding where if existing limits in the permit were already tighter than those specified in the BREF, the existing permit limits were retained.
- Where a limit was specified in both IED Annex V and the BAT Conclusions for a particular reference period, the tighter limit was applied and in the majority of cases this was from the BAT Conclusions.
- Where AELs are indicative in the BAT Conclusions, these were applied unless adequate justification was demonstrate that an alternative limit was more appropriate.
- For gas turbines where the IED specified that limits applied over 70% load and the BAT Conclusions specified that AELs applied when dry low NOx is effective (DLN-E), we have used DLN-E as a default across all monitoring requirements for NOx and CO.

The LCP (LCP211) on site consists of two Combined Cycle Gas Turbines (CCGTs) operating on natural gas with a combined capacity of 1570 MWth.

The plant was put into operation before the IED came into force and therefore the existing limits in the permit are from Part 1 of Annex V applicable to existing plant.

The ELVs and AELs are based on the following operating regime:

Unlimited hours operation

The following table outlines the limits that have been incorporated into the permit for LCP211, where these were derived from and the reference periods at which they apply.

In this instance, the Langage permit already had a two emission limits tighter than those set out in IED, for daily NO₂ and daily CO. The NO₂ daily limit in the permit was already in line with the applicable AEL from the LCP BAT Conclusions and was therefore maintained in the permit. There is no daily CO limit in the LCP BAT Conclusions, however this limit was maintained in the permit under the no backsliding principle. The emission limits and monitoring requirements have been incorporated into Schedule 3 of the permit.

An additional daily limit from start up/shut down to baseload has been added to the post TNP limits in table S3.1a. Although this is not a regulatory requirement, it was requested by the Emissions Methodology Working Group of the Joint Environmental Protocol to ensure consistency across the sites. We have included a corresponding footnote.

| Plant type | Combined Cycle Gas Turbine |
|-----------------|---|
| Age | Permitted before publication of the LCP BREF |
| Operating Hours | Unlimited |
| Fuel | Natural gas |

| | NOx limits (mg/Nm³) | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Averaging | IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing | BREF | Expected permit limits | Basis | Limits apply | Monitoring | | | | | |
| Annual | None | 40 (≥600 MW _{th} , η <75%) ^{1,2} | | | E-DLN | | | | | | |
| Monthly | 50 | None | 50 | IED | E-DLN | | | | | | |
| Daily | 55 50 (≥600 MWth, η <75%) ^{1,2} 75,0 (≥600 MWth, η <75%) ^{1,2} βREF | | BREF | E-DLN | Continuous | | | | | | |
| 95 th %ile of hr means | 100 | None | 100 | IED | E-DLN | | | | | | |

^{1 -} If electrical generating efficiency (EE) > 55% then limit is [limit] x EE/55

^{2 -} Overall plant efficiency, η, based on 'net total fuel utilisation'

| | CO limits (mg/Nm³) – indicative in <i>italics</i> | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---|------|------------------------|-------|--------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Averaging | IED (Annex V Part 1) - Existing | BREF | Expected permit limits | Basis | Limits apply | Monitoring | | | | | |

| Annual | None | 30 | 30 | BREF | E-DLN | |
|---|------|------|--|---------------------------------------|-------|------------|
| Monthly | 100 | None | 100 | IED | E-DLN | |
| Daily | 110 | None | 100 - existing permit limit with no backsliding | Existing limit - no backsliding | E-DLN | Continuous |
| 95 th %ile of hr means | 200 | None | 200 | IED | E-DLN | |

For this permit we have also updated table S3.1 to ensure that the Chapter III reference periods are reflected clearly for the monitoring prior to the implementation date of 2021.

4.2 The energy efficiency levels associated with the Best Available Techniques Conclusions

An energy efficiency level associated with the best available techniques (BAT-AEEL) refers to the ratio between the combustion unit's net energy output(s) and the combustion unit's fuel/feedstock energy input at actual unit design. The net energy output(s) is determined at the combustion unit boundaries, including auxiliary systems (e.g. flue-gas treatment systems), and for the unit operated at full load.

The table below sets out the AEELs specified in the BAT Conclusions for the large combustion plant on the site and the energy efficiency levels confirmed through the Regulation 61 notice response. The test carried out to demonstrate the efficiency in line with the AEEL is met was in the form of testing at commissioning against ISO2314:2009.

| | BAT AEELs (%) | | ı | Plant efficiency (% |) |
|---|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Net electrical efficiency | Net total fuel utilisation | Net mechanical efficiency | Net electrical efficiency | Net total fuel utilisation | Net mechanical efficiency |
| LCP211: existing combined cycle gas turbine | | | | | |
| 50 - 60 | None | None | 52.8 | NA | NA |

5 Decision checklist regarding relevant BAT Conclusions

BAT Conclusions for large combustion plant, were published by the European Commission on 17th August 2017. There are 75 BAT Conclusions. Only the BAT Conclusions relevant to the particular fuel type used on site have been replicated below.

The conditions in the permit through which the relevant BAT Conclusions are implemented include but are not limited to the following:

| BAT Conclusion | Permit condition(s) | Permit table(s) |
|-------------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| requirement topic | | |
| Environmental | 1.1.1 | S1.2 |
| Management System | | |
| BAT AELs | 3.1.1 and 3.5.1 | S3.1a |
| Monitoring | 2.3, 3.5 and 3.6 | S1.4, S1.5 and S3.1a |
| Energy efficiency | 1.2 and 2.3 | S3.4 |
| Noise | 3.4 and 2.3 | S2.1 |
| Other operating | 1.2 | S1.2 |
| techniques | | |

This annex provides a record of decisions made in relation to each relevant BAT Conclusion applicable to the installation. This annex should be read in conjunction with the consolidated variation notice.

The overall status of compliance with the BAT conclusion is indicated in the table as:

NA Not Applicable

CC Currently Compliant

FC Compliant in the future (within 4 years of publication of BAT

conclusions)

NC Not Compliant

PC Partially Compliant

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| General | | | |
| 1 | In order to improve the overall environmental performance, BAT is to implement and adhere to an environmental management system (EMS) that incorporates all of the following features: i. commitment of the management, including senior management; iii. definition of an environmental policy that includes the continuous improvement of the installation by the management; iii. planning and establishing the necessary procedures, objectives and targets, in conjunction with financial planning and investment; iv. implementation of procedures (a) Structure and responsibility (b) Training (c) Communication (d) Employee involvement (e) Documentation (f) Efficient process control (g) Maintenance programmes (h) Emergency preparedness and response (i) Safeguarding compliance with environmental legislation v. checking performance and taking corrective action, paying particular attention to: (a) monitoring and measurement (see also the Reference Document on the General Principles of Monitoring) (b) corrective and preventive action (c) maintenance of records (d) independent (where practicable) internal and external auditing in order to determine whether or not the EMS conforms to planned arrangements and has been properly implemented and maintained; vi. review of the EMS and its continuing suitability, adequacy and effectiveness by senior management; viii. following the development of cleaner technologies; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for the environmental impacts from the eventual decommissioning of the installation at the stage of designing a new plant, and throughout its operating life; viii. consideration for sectoral benchmarking on a regular basis. Etc - see BAT Conclusions Applicability. The scope (e.g. level of detail) and nature of the EMS (e.g. standardised or non-standardised) will generally be related to the nature, scale and complexity of the instal | cc | Environmental Management System in place and certified to ISO 14001. The Operator confirmed current compliance with features set out in this BAT conclusion. |

Langage Permit Review DD Page 12 of 33 Issued 16/10/2019 EPR/AP3633BL

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|---|---|--------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|--|-------------------------------|--|--|
| | impacts it may | have. | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | BAT is to determine the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the gasification, IGCC and/or combustion units by carrying out a performance test at full load (1), according to EN standards, after the commissioning of the unit and after each modification that could significantly affect the net electrical efficiency and/or the net total fuel utilisation and/or the net mechanical energy efficiency of the unit. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. | | | | | | | СС | Full load calibrated performance test, corrected to ISO conditions carried out after every major overhaul. The efficiency is calculated based on ISO2314:2009. The process monitoring table has been updated to include ongoing monitoring of energy efficiency. | |
| 3 | BAT is to mor | nitor key process p | arameter | s relevant for | emissions to ai | r and water includi | ng those | FC | Monitoring for all parameters specified in the permit except for | |
| | | Stream | | Parameter | r(s) | Monitoring | | | flow. Flow has been added to | |
| | Flue-gas | | Flow | | | Periodic or continuous | determination | | table S3.1a for monitoring from 2021. | |
| | | | Oxygen c | ontent, temperat | ure, and pressure | Periodic or continuous | s measurement | | 2021. | |
| | | | | oour content (3) | | | | | | |
| | Waste water from | om flue-gas treatment | Flow, pH, | and temperature | е | Continuous measuren | nent | | | |
| 4 | BAT is to monitor emissions to air with at least the frequency given below and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. Substance Fuel/Process/Type of combustio Combustio Standard(s)_(s)_(s) Minimum Monitorin g monitoring g associate frequency_(s) d with monitoring frequency_(s) monitoring monitoring | | | | | CC | CEMS units for NOx and carbon monoxide | | | |
| | NOx | Coal and/or lighted including was incineration Solid biomass peat including | te co- s and/or | input All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous_(6)_(8) | BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 32 BAT 37 BAT 41 | | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of E | 3AT Conclusion requiremer | nt | | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|---------------------|--|-------------------------------|---|
| | | co-incineration HFO- and/or gas-oil- fired boilers and engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases Process fuels from the chemical industry IGCC plants | | | | BAT 42 BAT 43 BAT 47 BAT 48 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 73 | | |
| | | Combustion plants on offshore platforms | All sizes | EN 14792 | Once every year (9) | BAT 53 | | |
| | СО | Coal and/or lignite including waste co-incineration Solid biomass and/or peat including waste co-incineration HFO- and/or gas-oil-fired boilers and engines Gas-oil-fired gas turbines Natural-gas-fired boilers, engines, and turbines Iron and steel process gases | All sizes | Generic EN standards | Continuous_(6)_(8) | BAT 20 BAT 24 BAT 28 BAT 33 BAT 38 BAT 44 BAT 49 BAT 56 BAT 64 BAT 65 BAT 65 BAT 73 | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of E | BAT Conclusion requiremen | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------|---|-------------------------------|---|---------------------|--------|--|------------------------|
| | | Process fuels from the chemical industryIGCC plants | | | | | | |
| | | Combustion plants on offshore platforms | All sizes | EN 15058 | Once every year (9) | BAT 54 | | |
| 5 | in accordance | BAT is to monitor emissions to water from flue-gas treatment with at least the frequency given in BAT 5 and in accordance with EN standards. If EN standards are not available, BAT is to use ISO, national or other international standards that ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality. | | | | | | No flue gas treatment. |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sun | nmary of BAT Co | nclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|--|--|---|--|
| 6 | air | of CO and unbu | | combustion plants and to reduce emissions to nised combustion and to use an appropriate Applicability | СС | Sequential combustion technology giving best in class emission control. |
| | | Fuel blending and mixing Maintenance of the combustion system | Ensure stable combustion conditions and/or reduce the emission of pollutants by mixing different qualities of the same fuel type Regular planned maintenance according to suppliers' recommendations | Generally applicable | | Regular maintenance of the combustion system by the OEM (Original Equipment Manufacturer). Combustion of natural gas as a |
| | C. | Advanced control system | See description in Section 8.1 | The applicability to old combustion plants may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system | | fuel. |
| | d. | Good design of the combustion equipment | Good design of furnace, combustion chambers, burners and associated devices | Generally applicable to new combustion plants | | |
| | e. | Fuel choice | Select or switch totally or partially to another fuel(s) with a better environmental profile (e.g. with low sulphur and/or mercury content) amongst the available fuels, including in start-up situations or when back-up fuels are used | Applicable within the constraints associated with the availability of suitable types of fuel with a better environmental profile as a whole, which may be impacted by the energy policy of the Member State, or by the integrated site's fuel balance in the case of combustion of industrial process fuels. For existing combustion plants, the type of fuel chosen may be limited by the configuration and the design of the plant | | |
| 7 | sele and | ctive non-catalytic or operation of SC | reduction (SNCR) for the abatement of N | selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and/or NO_X emissions, BAT is to optimise the design to NO_X ratio, homogeneous reagent distribution | NA | No SCR or SNCR used on site. |
| 8 | In order to prevent or reduce emissions to air during normal operating conditions, BAT is to ensure, by appropriate design, operation and maintenance, that the emission abatement systems are used at optimal capacity and availability. | | | | NA | No abatement system installed on site |
| 9 | In o | rder to improve th | ne general environmental performance o | f combustion and/or gasification plants and to | СС | We consider that for plants which |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| | reduce emissions to air, BAT is to include the following elements in the quality assurance/quality control programmes for all the fuels used, as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1): (i) Initial full characterisation of the fuel used including at least the parameters listed below and in accordance with EN standards. ISO, national or other international standards may be used provided they ensure the provision of data of an equivalent scientific quality; (ii) Regular testing of the fuel quality to check that it is consistent with the initial characterisation and according to the plant design specifications. The frequency of testing and the parameters chosen from the table below are based on the variability of the fuel and an assessment of the relevance of pollutant releases (e.g. concentration in fuel, flue- | | burn natural gas from the National Grid as a fuel that it is not necessary for the Operator to replicate the testing carried out by the National Grid. The site does not use a standby fuel for the LCP. |
| | gas treatment employed); (iii) Subsequent adjustment of the plant settings as and when needed and practicable (e.g. integration of the fuel characterisation and control in the advanced control system (see description in Section 8.1)). **Description** Initial characterisation and regular testing of the fuel can be performed by the operator and/or the fuel supplier. If performed by the supplier, the full results are provided to the operator in the form of a product (fuel) supplier specification and/or guarantee. | | |
| 10 | In order to reduce emissions to air and/or to water during other than normal operating conditions (OTNOC), BAT is to set up and implement a management plan as part of the environmental management system (see BAT 1), commensurate with the relevance of potential pollutant releases, that includes the following elements: — appropriate design of the systems considered relevant in causing OTNOC that may have an impact on emissions to air, water and/or soil (e.g. low-load design concepts for reducing the minimum start-up and shutdown loads for stable generation in gas turbines), — set-up and implementation of a specific preventive maintenance plan for these relevant systems, — review and recording of emissions caused by OTNOC and associated circumstances and implementation of corrective actions if necessary, — periodic assessment of the overall emissions during OTNOC (e.g. frequency of events, duration, emissions quantification/estimation) and implementation of corrective actions if necessary. | FC | Low load design concept have been retrospectively fitted to both GTs on site Low Load equipment along with all of the power train equipment is covered under the long term service agreement with the OEM Ansaldo CEMS records all emissions at all times Periodic assessments not in place. The Operator has confirmed that they will be compliant with all of the requirements by 2021. |

Langage Permit Review DD Page 17 of 33 Issued 16/10/2019 EPR/AP3633BL

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sun | nmary of BAT Cond | clusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | | |
|-----------------------------|----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| 11 | Des The para Emi mea | ccription monitoring can be ameters if this prove ssions during start asurement carried ou | e carried out by direct measurement of encircles to be of equal or better scientific quality the conditional shutdown (SU/SD) may be asset or a typical SU/SD procedure at least once the the emissions for each and every SU/SD the | missions or by monitoring of surrogate an the direct measurement of emissions. sessed based on a detailed emission a every year, and using the results of this | CC | CEMS units in place since build measuring both NOX and CO conditions for all operating conditions. Reports can be run within Envirosoft which show emissions during SU/SD as well as normal running conditions. | | |
| 12 | | | energy efficiency of combustion, gasification riate combination of the techniques given bel | OW. | CC | a) Combustion optimisation – Langage Gas Turbines have DLN | | |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | Burners, Sequential combustion, | | |
| | a. | Combustion optimisation | See description in Section 8.2. Optimising the combustion minimises the content of unburnt substances in the flue-gases and in solid combustion residues | Generally applicable | | combustion dynamic monitoring (pulsation), combustion mapping b) Optimisation of the working medium conditions – Gas is | | |
| | b. | Optimisation of the working medium conditions | medium temperature of the working medium gas or | | | preheated before combustion, and increased in pressure. Heat recovery steam generator (HRSG) is triple pressure with reheat, running at high operating | | |
| | C. | Optimisation of the steam cycle | Operate with lower turbine exhaust pressure by utilisation of the lowest possible temperature of the condenser cooling water, within the design conditions | | | pressures and temperatures c) Optimisation of the steam cycle – Lower turbine exhaust pressure achieved by actively searching | | |
| | d. | Minimisation of energy consumption | Minimising the internal energy consumption (e.g. greater efficiency of the feed-water pump) | | | and repairing air ingress leaks to the air cooled condenser, and | | |
| | e. | Preheating of combustion air | Reuse of part of the heat recovered from the combustion flue-gas to preheat the air used in combustion | Generally applicable within the constraints related to the need to control NO _x emissions | | washing the heat exchange components d) Minimisation of energy | | |
| | f. | as | | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the boiler design and the need to control NO _x emissions | | consumption – Works power requirements optimised when | | |
| | g. | Advanced control system | See description in Section 8.2. Computerised control of the main combustion parameters enables the combustion efficiency to be improved | Generally applicable to new units. The applicability to old units may be constrained by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or control command system | | offload, and shutdown ASAP e) Preheating of combustion air – Combustion air preheated in GT compressor. OTC heat exchanger takes excessive heat from the GT | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sun | nmary of BAT Cond | clusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|-----|--|--|--|---|--|
| | h. | Feed-water preheating using recovered heat | Preheat water coming out of the steam condenser with recovered heat, before reusing it in the boiler | Only applicable to steam circuits and not to hot boilers. Applicability to existing units may be limited due to constraints associated with the plant configuration and the amount of recoverable heat | | to the HRSG f) Fuel preheating – Gas is preheated in fuel gas preheater g) Advanced control system – Egatrol DCS control system optimises GT control |
| | i. | Heat recovery by cogeneration (CHP) | | | h) Feed-water preheating using recovered heat. Feedwater preheat by economiser sections at rear end of HRSG. Flue gas exhaust has maximum heat extracted (but kept above acid dew point) before exit i) Heat recovery by cogeneration | |
| | j. | CHP readiness | See description in Section 8.2. | Only applicable to new units where there is a realistic potential for the future use of heat in the vicinity of the unit | | (CHP) – Not applicable, for CHP j) CHP readiness – Not applicable, for CHP |
| | k. | Flue-gas condenser | See description in Section 8.2. | Generally applicable to CHP units provided there is enough demand for low-temperature heat | | c) Flue-gas condenser – Not applicable, for CHP b) Heat accumulation - Not |
| | I. | Heat accumulation | Heat accumulation storage in CHP mode | Only applicable to CHP plants. The applicability may be limited in the case of low heat load demand | | applicable, for CHP m) Wet stack - Not applicable, for FGD n) Cooling tower discharge - Not |
| | m. | Wet stack | See description in Section 8.2. | Generally applicable to new and existing units fitted with wet FGD | | applicable, for FGD o) Fuel pre-drying – Bath heaters |
| | n. | Cooling tower discharge | The release of emissions to air through a cooling tower and not via a dedicated stack | Only applicable to units fitted with wet FGD where reheating of the flue-gas is necessary before release, and where the unit cooling system is a cooling tower | | to remove moisture content. this is intended for biomass p) Minimisation of heat losses – not applicable, IGCC q) Advanced materials – Single crystal and DS blade materials, with TBC coatings in Gas Turbines . P91, P22 and high chrome alloys in the HRSG. r) Steam turbine upgrades – |
| | Ο. | Fuel pre-drying | The reduction of fuel moisture content before combustion to improve combustion conditions | Applicable to the combustion of biomass and/or peat within the constraints associated with spontaneous combustion risks (e.g. the moisture content of peat is kept above 40 % throughout the delivery chain). The retrofit of existing plants may be restricted by the extra calorific value that | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sur | nmary of BAT Co | nclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | | | can be obtained from the drying operation and by the limited retrofit possibilities offered by some boiler designs or plant configurations | | Considered on a case by case basis. s) Supercritical and ultrasupercritical steam conditions – | |
| | p. | Minimisation of healosses | t Minimising residual heat losses, e.g. those that occur via the slag or those that can be reduced by insulating radiating sources | Only applicable to solid-fuel-fired combustion units and to gasification/IGCC units | | Not applicable to CCGT | |
| | q. | Advanced materials | Use of advanced materials proven to be capable of withstanding high operating temperatures and pressures and thus to achieve increased steam/combustion process efficiencies | Only applicable to new plants | | | |
| | r. | Steam turbine upgrades | This includes techniques such as increasing the temperature and pressure of medium-pressure steam, addition of a low-pressure turbine, and modifications to the geometry of the turbine rotor blades | The applicability may be restricted by demand, steam conditions and/or limited plant lifetime | | | |
| | S. | Supercritical and ultra-supercritical steam conditions | Use of a steam circuit, including steam reheating systems, in which steam can reach pressures above 220,6 bar and temperatures above 374 °C in the case of supercritical conditions, and above 250 – 300 bar and temperatures above 580 – 600 °C in the case of ultra-supercritical conditions | Only applicable to new units of ≥ 600 MWth operated > 4 000 h/yr. Not applicable when the purpose of the unit is to produce low steam temperatures and/or pressures in process industries. Not applicable to gas turbines and engines generating steam in CHP mode. For units combusting biomass, the applicability may be constrained by high-temperature corrosion in the case of certain biomasses | | | |
| 13 | | rder to reduce waten of the techniques | er usage and the volume of contaminated wast given below. | e water discharged, BAT is to use one or | CC | By design, process water is recycled where possible (boiler | |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | blow down). | |
| | fi d | | sidual aqueous streams, including run-off water, in the plant are reused for other purposes. The gree of recycling is limited by the quality uirements of the recipient water stream and the ter balance of the plant | Not applicable to waste water from cooling systems when water treatment chemicals and/or high concentrations of salts from seawater are present | | No bottom ash generated on site. | |
| | b. | Dry bottom ash Dry | , hot bottom ash falls from the furnace onto a | Only applicable to plants combusting solid | | | |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Su | mmary of BAT Cor | nclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|
| | | | bient air. No water is used in the process. | fuels. There may be technical restrictions that prevent retrofitting to existing combustion plants | | |
| 14 | is to De Wall and Ap | to segregate waste vescription aste water streams d waste water from toplicability | contamination of uncontaminated waste water water streams and to treat them separately, depotent are typically segregated and treated includingly segregated and treated includingly segregated and treated including the case of existing plants during the case of existing the case of existing plants. | ending on the pollutant content. de surface run-off water, cooling water, | cc | Plant built with segregated waste water streams based on potential pollutant content. Separate pollutant treatment systems in place. |
| 15 | tec | | ssions to water from flue-gas treatment, BAT is t AT 15, and to use secondary techniques as clo | | NA | No flue gas treatment. |
| 16 | aba acc (a (b (c | atement techniques count life-cycle think a) waste prevention b) waste preparation c) waste recyclin d) other waste re | n, e.g. maximise the proportion of residues which on for reuse, e.g. according to the specific reques | nise, in order of priority and taking into | cc | Minimal waste on site due to nature of the operation. Techniques listed a-d in section 1.6 for BAT 16 are not used on site. Waste recycling and reuse already required by current permit under condition 1.4. |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | |
| | a. Generation of gypsum as a by-product Quality optimisation of the calcium-based reaction residues generated by the wet FGD so that they can be used as a substitute for mined gypsum (e.g. as raw material in the plasterboard industry). The quality of limestone used in the wet FGD influences the purity of the gypsum produced Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required gypsum quality, the health requirements associated to each specific use, and by the market conditions | | | | | |
| | b. | Recycling or recovery of residues | Recycling or recovery of residues (e.g. from semi- dry desulphurisation processes, fly ash, bottom ash | Generally applicable within the constraints associated with the required | | |

Langage Permit Review Issued 16/10/2019 EPR/AP3633BL Page 21 of 33 DD

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Su | mmary of BAT Cond | clusion requirement | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|---|---|--|--|
| | | in the construction sector | as a construction material (e.g. in road building, to replace sand in concrete production, or in the cement industry) | | | | |
| | C. | Energy recovery by using waste in the fuel mix | The residual energy content of carbon-rich ash and sludges generated by the combustion of coal, lignite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be recovered for example by mixing with the fuel | udges generated by the combustion of coal, grite, heavy fuel oil, peat or biomass can be accept waste in the fuel mix and are technically able to feed the fuels into the | | | |
| | d. | Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse | Preparation of catalyst for reuse (e.g. up to four times for SCR catalysts) restores some or all of the original performance, extending the service life of the catalyst to several decades. Preparation of spent catalyst for reuse is integrated in a catalyst management scheme | The applicability may be limited by the mechanical condition of the catalyst and the required performance with respect to controlling NO _X and NH ₃ emissions | | | |
| 17 | In o | order to reduce noise | emissions, BAT is to use one or a combination | CC | a) Operational measure - | | |
| | | Technique | Description | Applicability | | Maintenance plans are in place for all equipment on site. | |
| | a. Operational measures | | These include: — improved inspection and maintenance of equipment — closing of doors and windows of enclosed areas, if possible — equipment operated by experienced staff — avoidance of noisy activities at night, if possible | roved inspection and maintenance of ipment ing of doors and windows of enclosed as, if possible ipment operated by experienced staff idance of noisy activities at night, if | | -Equipment is housed in buildings with cladded walls with sonaford and roller shutter doors which are kept closed during operation. - The gas turbines are housed in acoustic enclosures. - All staff are fully trained. - Near field and far field noise surveys have been carried out | |
| | | | provisions for noise control during maintenance activities | | | prior to installation of the plant and after completion when | |
| | b. | Low-noise equipment | This potentially includes compressors, pumps and disks | Generally applicable when the equipment is new or replaced | | commercially operational, all results came below the EPC | |
| | c. Noise attenuation | | Noise propagation can be reduced by inserting obstacles between the emitter and the receiver. Appropriate obstacles include protection walls, embankments and buildings | Generally applicable to new plants. In the case of existing plants, the insertion of obstacles may be restricted by lack of space | | technical specification. Further noise surveys take place as and when required. | |
| | d. | Noise-control equipment | This includes: | | b) Low-noise equipment – Plant was built between 2006 – 2010, | | |

l

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|---|-------------------------------|---|
| | e. Appropriate location of equipment and buildings e. Journal of equipment and buildings e. Appropriate location of equipment and buildings e. Appropriate location of equipment and buildings e. Appropriate location of equipment and buildings Generally applicable to new plant Appropriate location of equipment and buildings as noise screens Generally applicable to new plant Generally applicable to new plant Appropriate location of equipment and buildings as noise screens | | equipment installed was design for low noise. Vents on Gas Compressor building were replaced and the air ejectors were fitted with silencers. c) Noise attenuation - Plant when built was lowered 10 metres, with crib lock walls providing protection against noise propagation. Walls have also been built outside the main turbine hall to prevent noise propagation. All equipment is housed within buildings and within the buildings within acoustic enclosures. d) Noise-control equipment - Silencers have been added to equipment which was deemed noisy at build All the buildings have cladded walls as well as the enclosures inside the buildings Near field and far field noise surveys have been carried out prior to installation of the plant and after completion when commercially operational, all results came below the EPC technical specification. Further noise surveys take place as and when required. e) Appropriate location of equipment and buildings – All |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BA | AT Concl | usion requ | uirement | | | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|--|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|--|-------------------------------|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | major equipment is housed within acoustic enclosures which are then housed within buildings. The main site is surrounded on three sides by a crib lock wall and there is shrubs and trees planted all around the border of the plant. |
| 40 | In order to increa | | | | al gas combustion, BAT is | to use an appi | opriate combination | СС | Net electrical efficiency in line with the range of 50 – 60% required by |
| | Technique | т — | ription | Applicability | | | | this type of plant. The efficiency is | |
| | a. Combined cycle See description in Section 8.2 | | | | Generally applicable to new gas turbines and engines except when operated < 1 500 h/yr. Applicable to existing gas turbines and engines within the constraints associated with the steam cycle design and the space availability. Not applicable to existing gas turbines and engines operated < 1 500 h/yr. Not applicable to mechanical drive gas turbines operated in discontinuous mode with extended load variations and frequent start-ups and shutdowns. Not applicable to boilers | | | | calculated based on ISO2314:2009. See BAT 12. |
| | BAT-associated | | efficiency | gas | | | | | |
| | Type of combu | istion | Net electrical efficiency (%) | | BAT-AEELs (136) (137) Net total fuel utilisation (%) (138) (139) | Net mechanical energy efficiency (%) (139) (140) | | | |
| | | | New unit | Existing unit | | New unit | Existing unit | | |
| | Gas engine $39,5-44(\frac{1}{4})$ Gas-fired boiler $39-42,5$ | | 35–44 <u>(¹⁴¹)</u> | 56–85 <u>(141)</u> | No BAT-AEEL | | | | |
| | | | 39–42,5 | 38–40 | 78–95 | No BAT-AEEL | | | |
| | Open cycle gas turbine, ≥ 36–41,5 50 MWth | | | 33–41,5 | No BAT-AEEL | 36,5–41 | 33,5–41 | | |
| | | | C | combined cyc | cle gas turbine (CCGT) | | | | |
| | CCGT, 50-600 N | //W _{th} | 53–58,5 | 46–54 | 16–54 No BAT-AEEL No BAT-AEEL | | | | |
| | CCGT, ≥ 600 MV | $V_{\rm th}$ | 57-60,5 | 50–60 | No BAT-AEEL | No BAT-AEEL | | | |

Langage Permit Review Issued 16/10/2019 EPR/AP3633BL Page 24 of 33 DD

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Sur | nmary of BAT Conc | usion requirement | | | | | | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement |
|-----------------------------|-----|---|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|---|----|---|
| | I — | IP CCGT, 50–600 MW _{th} | | 46–54 | 65–95 | | No BAT-AEEL | | |
| | CH | IP CCGT, ≥ 600 MW _{th} | 57–60,5 | 50–60 | 65–95 | | No BAT-AEEL | | |
| 41 | | rder to prevent or record or a combination of | | | | ustion of n | atural gas in boilers, BAT is to use | CC | The LCP is fitted with DLN burners and have an advanced |
| | | Technique | | Description | | | Applicability | | control system in place. |
| | a. | Air and/or fuel staging | | ions in Section often associat | 8.3. ed with low-NO _X | Generally | applicable | | |
| | b. | Flue-gas recirculation | See descript | ion in Section 8 | .3 | | | | |
| | C. | Low-NO _X burners (LNB) | | | | | | | |
| | d. | Advanced control system | This technique with other te | | I in combination y be used alone | be constra | ability to old combustion plants may ined by the need to retrofit the n system and/or control command | | |
| | e. | Reduction of the combustion air temperature | See descript | ion in Section 8 | .3 | | applicable within the constraints I with the process needs | | |
| | f. | Selective non– catalytic reduction (SNCR) | | | | < 500 h/yr The applic combustion | able to combustion plants operated with highly variable boiler loads. ability may be limited in the case of n plants operated between 500 h/yr h/yr with highly variable boiler loads | | |
| | g. | Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) | | | | Not applica < 500 h/yr. Not genera of < 100 M There may restrictions | able to combustion plants operated | | |
| 42 | | order to prevent or re- | | | | oustion of r | natural gas in gas turbines, BAT is | СС | The CCGTs are fitted with low NOx burners |
| | | Technique | | Descriptio | n | | Applicability | | Sequential burners |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Su | mmary of BAT C | Conclusion requirement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | |
|-----------------------------|------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | a. | Advanced control system | See description in Section 8.3. This technique is often used in combination with other techniques or may be used alone for combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr | This technique is often used in combination with other echniques or may be used alone for combustion plants by the need to retrofit the combustion system and/or | | |
| | b. | Water/steam addition | See description in Section 8.3 | The applicability may be limited due to water availability | | 63 MWe; 23% (shut down load) |
| | C. | Dry low-NO _x burners (DLN) | | The applicability may be limited in the case of turbines where a retrofit package is not available or when water/steam addition systems are installed | | |
| | d. | Low-load design concept | Adaptation of the process control and related equipment to maintain good combustion efficiency when the demand in energy varies, e.g. by improving the inlet airflow control capability or by splitting the combustion process into decoupled combustion stages | The applicability may be limited by the gas turbine design | | |
| | e. | Low-NO _x burners (LNB) | See description in Section 8.3 | Generally applicable to supplementary firing for heat recovery steam generators (HRSGs) in the case of combined-cycle gas turbine (CCGT) combustion plants | | |
| | f. | Selective catalytic reduction (SCR) | | Not applicable in the case of combustion plants operated < 500 h/yr . Not generally applicable to existing combustion plants of < 100 MW_{th} . Retrofitting existing combustion plants may be constrained by the availability of sufficient space. There may be technical and economic restrictions for retrofitting existing combustion plants operated between 500 h/yr and $1 500 \text{ h/yr}$ | | |
| 43 | | | or reduce NO _X emissions to air from the combustion ation of the techniques given in BAT 43. | n of natural gas in engines, BAT is to | NA | Not applicable to gas turbines. |
| 44 | opt De | imised combustic s <i>cription</i> - See d | or reduce CO emissions to air from the combust on and/or to use oxidation catalysts. lescriptions in Section 8.3. mission levels (BAT-AELs) for NO _X emissions to | | FC | AELs will be specified as set out in key issues section above. NOx and CO AELs set for CCGTs. |

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Conclusion requiremen | Summary of BAT Conclusion requirement | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | gas in gas turbines | | | | | | | | | |
| | Type of combustion plant | Combustion plant | BAT-AELs (m | ng/Nm³) (142) (143) | | daily of 50mg/m ³ . | | | | | |
| | | total rated thermal input (MWth) | Yearly average <u>(144)</u> (145) | Daily average or average over the sampling period | | CO annual AEL of 30mg/m ³ . | | | | | |
| | Open-cyc | e gas turbines (OCGTs |) (¹⁴⁶) (¹⁴⁷) | | | | | | | | |
| | New OCGT | ≥ 50 | 15–35 | 25–50 | | | | | | | |
| | Existing OCGT (excluding turbines for mechanical drive applications) — All but plants operated < 500 h/yr | ≥ 50 | 15–50 | 25–55 <u>(¹⁴⁸)</u> | | | | | | | |
| | Combined-c | • | | | | | | | | | |
| | New CCGT | ≥ 50 | 10–30 | 15–40 | | | | | | | |
| | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 % | ≥ 600 | 10–40 | 18–50 | | | | | | | |
| | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 % | ≥ 600 | 10–50 | 18–55 <u>(¹⁵⁰)</u> | | | | | | | |
| | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of < 75 % | 50–600 | 10–45 | 35–55 | | | | | | | |
| | Existing CCGT with a net total fuel utilisation of ≥ 75 % | 50–600 | 25–50 <u>(¹⁵¹)</u> | 35–55 <u>(¹⁵²)</u> | | | | | | | |
| | Open- an | d combined-cycle gas | turbines | | | | | | | | |
| | Gas turbine put into operation no later than 27 November 2003, or existing gas turbine for emergency use and operated < 500 h/yr | ≥ 50 | No BAT-AEL | 60–140 <u>(¹⁵³)</u> (¹⁵⁴) | | | | | | | |
| | Existing gas turbine for mechanical drive applications — All but plants operated < 500 h/yr | ≥ 50 | 15–50 <u>(155)</u> | 25–55 <u>(156)</u> | | | | | | | |
| | As an indication, the yearly average CO er ≥ 1 500 h/yr and for each type of new comb — New OCGT of ≥ 50 MW _{th} : < 5–40 mg/Nm³ correction factor may be applied to the high the net electrical energy efficiency or net conditions. | | | | | | | | | | |

Langage Permit Review Issued 16/10/2019 EPR/AP3633BL Page 27 of 33 DD

| BAT Concn. Numbe r | Summary of BAT Concl | usion require | ement | Status NA/ CC / FC / NC | Assessment of the installation capability and any alternative techniques proposed by the operator to demonstrate compliance with the BAT Conclusion requirement | | |
|-----------------------------|--|---|---|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| | | be 80 mg/Nm |): < 5–40 mg/Nm³. The higher end of pe fitted with dry techniques for NO _X | | | | |
| | | e applied to th | e higher end of the ra | ange, corresponding t | ficiency (EE) greater than 55 %, a o [higher end] × EE/55, where EE is ons. | | |
| | Existing CCGT of ≥ 50 operate at low load. | MW _{th} : < 5–30 n | ng/Nm³. The higher e | nd of this range will ge | enerally be 50 mg/Nm³ for plants that | | |
| | Existing gas turbines of generally be 50 mg/Nm | ² ≥ 50 MW _{th} for when plants of | mechanical drive app perate at low load. | olications: < 5-40 mg/N | Im ³ . The higher end of the range will | | |
| | operation is effective. BAT-associated emissi | | els correspond to when the DLN com the combustion of natural | | | | |
| | Type of combustion plant | Year | BAT-AELs (mg/Nm³) Yearly average (¹⁵⁻) Daily average or average over period | | or average over the sampling | | |
| | | New plant | Existing plant (158) | New plant | Existing plant (159) | | |
| | Boiler | 10–60 | 50–100 | 30–85 | 85–110 | | |
| | Engine_(160) | 20–75 | 20–100 | 55–85 | 55–110 <u>(¹⁶¹)</u> | | |
| | As an indication, the yearly average CO emission levels will generally be: — < 5–40 mg/Nm³ for existing boilers operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr, — < 5–15 mg/Nm³ for new boilers, — 30–100 mg/Nm³ for existing engines operated ≥ 1 500 h/yr and for new engines. | | | | | | |
| 45 | | natural gas | methane (CH ₄) emissions to air es, BAT is to ensure optimised | NA | Not applicable to gas turbines. | | |

Page 28 of 33

Langage Permit Review Issued 16/10/2019 EPR/AP3633BL DD

6 Review and assessment of derogation requests made by the operator in relation to BAT Conclusions which include an associated emission level (AEL) value

The IED enables a competent authority to allow derogations from BAT AELs stated in BAT Conclusions under specific circumstances as detailed under Article 15(4):

By way of derogation from paragraph 3, and without prejudice to Article 18, the competent authority may, in specific cases, set less strict emission limit values. Such a derogation may apply only where an assessment shows that the achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions would lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits due to:

- (a) the geographical location or the local environmental conditions of the installation concerned; or
- (b) the technical characteristics of the installation concerned.

As part of their Regulation 61 response, the operator did not request a derogation from any BAT AEL.

7 Emissions to Water

The consolidated permit incorporates single current discharge to controlled waters identified as W1. This is surface water via an interceptor. No process effluent goes to surface water.

8 Review and assessment of changes that are not part of the BAT Conclusions derived permit review.

This document should be read in conjunction with the application, supporting information and notice

| information and notic Aspect considered | Decision |
|---|---|
| · | Designation |
| Receipt of application | |
| Confidential information | A claim for commercial or industrial confidentiality has not been made. |
| Identifying confidential information | We have not identified information provided as part of the application that we consider to be confidential. |
| The site | |
| Extent of the site of the facility | The Operator has provided a plan which we consider is satisfactory, showing the extent of the site of the facility. The plan is included in the permit. |
| Biodiversity, heritage, landscape and nature conservation | The application is within the relevant distance criteria of a site of heritage, landscape or nature conservation, and/or protected species or habitat. |
| | A full assessment of the application and its potential to affect the site(s)/species/habitat has not been carried out as part of the permit review process. We consider that the review will not affect the features of the site(s)/species/habitat as the conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of protection to those in the previous permit. |
| | We have not consulted Natural England on the application. The decision was taken in accordance with our guidance. |
| Operating techniques | |
| General operating techniques | We have reviewed the techniques used by the Operator where they are relevant to the BAT Conclusions and compared these with the relevant guidance notes. |
| | The permit conditions ensure compliance with the relevant BREF, BAT Conclusions. The ELVs deliver compliance with the BAT-AELs. |
| Permit conditions | |
| Updating permit conditions during consolidation | We have updated permit conditions to those in the current generic permit template as part of permit consolidation. The conditions will provide at least the same level of protection as those in the previous permit and in some cases will provide a higher level of |

| Aspect considered | Decision |
|---|--|
| | protection to those in the previous permit. |
| Changes to the permit conditions due to an Environment Agency initiated variation | We have varied the permit as stated in the variation notice. |
| Emission limits | We have decided that emission limits should be set for the parameters listed in the permit. |
| | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document. |
| | It is considered that the ELVs/equivalent parameters or technical measures described above will ensure that significant pollution of the environment is prevented and a high level of protection for the environment is secured. |
| Monitoring | We have decided that monitoring should be carried out for the parameters listed in the permit, using the methods detailed and to the frequencies specified. |
| | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document. |
| | Table S3.4 Process monitoring requirements was amended to include the requirement to monitor energy efficiency after overhauls on site in line with BAT2. |
| | Based on the information in the application we are satisfied that the Operator's techniques, personnel and equipment have either MCERTS certification or MCERTS accreditation as appropriate. |
| Reporting | We have specified reporting in the permit for the following parameters: |
| | Nitrogen dioxide |
| | Carbon monoxide |
| | Sulphur dioxide |
| | These are described in the relevant BAT Conclusions in Section 5 of this document. |
| Operator competence | |
| Management system | There is no known reason to consider that the Operator will not have the management system to enable it to comply with the permit conditions. |
| Growth Duty | |
| Section 108 Deregulation Act 2015 | We have considered our duty to have regard to the desirability of promoting economic growth set out in section 108(1) of the Deregulation Act 2015 and the guidance issued under section |

| Aspect considered | Decision |
|-------------------|---|
| – Growth duty | 110 of that Act in deciding whether to grant this permit. |
| | Paragraph 1.3 of the guidance says: |
| | "The primary role of regulators, in delivering regulation, is to achieve the regulatory outcomes for which they are responsible. For a number of regulators, these regulatory outcomes include an explicit reference to development or growth. The growth duty establishes economic growth as a factor that all specified regulators should have regard to, alongside the delivery of the protections set out in the relevant legislation." |
| | We have addressed the legislative requirements and environmental standards to be set for this operation in the body of the decision document above. The guidance is clear at paragraph 1.5 that the growth duty does not legitimise non-compliance and its purpose is not to achieve or pursue economic growth at the expense of necessary protections. |
| | We consider the requirements and standards we have set in this permit are reasonable and necessary to avoid a risk of an unacceptable level of pollution. This also promotes growth amongst legitimate operators because the standards applied to the operator are consistent across businesses in this sector and have been set to achieve the required legislative standards. |