



# EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

**Claimant:** Mr F Deda

**Respondent:** London Borough of Newham

## JUDGMENT

The claimant's application under Rule 71 of the Employment Tribunals Rules of Procedure 2013, dated **26 June 2019**, for reconsideration of the judgment sent to the parties on **19 June 2019**, is refused under Rule 72(1).

There is no reasonable prospect of the original decision being varied or revoked.

## REASONS

1. The claimant, both before the hearing of 27 March, and since has sent large volumes of correspondence to the tribunal and to the respondent.
2. The claimant wrongly refers to his application to the Employment Tribunal as an "appeal". Appeals are not heard here, as the leaflet / link sent with the judgment explains. The only jurisdiction this tribunal has is to deal with a reconsideration application .
3. It is well known he does not accept the judgment given by Employment Judge Prichard, but nothing raised in his latest correspondence is proper material for a reconsideration. Indeed, the claimant has re-drawn the legal analysis of the amendment issues in a way that is legally inaccurate and shows a lack of ability to focus on what the judgment actually said, and to engage with a consideration of *Selkent Bus Co Ltd v Moore* [1996] ICR 836.

4. The reconsideration application is hopeless and fails.
5. The 4-day final hearing remains listed on 30 July 2019

Employment Judge Prichard

Date: 5 July 2019