



# EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

**Claimant:** Mrs C Todd

**Respondent:** Jay and Jayne Wattam  
t/a Sevens Bar and Crispin

**Heard at:** Nottingham      **On:** Friday 21 June 2019

**Before:** Employment Judge P Britton (sitting alone)

## Representation

**Claimant:** No Attendance or Explanation

**Respondent:** Explanation Received for None Attendance  
from Jayne Wattam on behalf of the Respondents

# JUDGMENT AND ORDERS

1. The Claimant having failed to attend and given no explanation as to why not, unless she shows cause to the contrary, in writing, within 7 days of the issue of this order, her claim will be struck out for want of prosecution.
2. In any event as to the Respondent Jayne Marie Wattam her having been made bankrupt on 12 June 2019 the Claimant cannot proceed against her without the leave of the trustee in bankruptcy. Thus if the Claimant does show cause as to why the case should continue it will be stayed against Jayne Wattam until she obtains that consent and in the first instance by 6 months.

---

Employment Judge Britton

Date: 21 June 2019

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

.....

.....  
FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

Notes

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

**Public access to employment tribunal decisions**

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at [www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions](http://www.gov.uk/employment-tribunal-decisions) shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.

## NOTES

- (i) The above Order has been fully explained to the parties and all compliance dates stand even if this written record of the Order is not received until after compliance dates have passed.
- (ii) Failure to comply with an order for disclosure may result on summary conviction in a fine of up to £1,000 being imposed upon a person in default under s.7(4) of the Employment Tribunals Act 1996.
- (iii) The Tribunal may also make a further order (an “unless order”) providing that unless it is complied with, the claim or, as the case may be, the response shall be struck out on the date of non-compliance without further consideration of the proceedings or the need to give notice or hold a preliminary hearing or a hearing.
- (iv) An order may be varied or revoked upon application by a person affected by the order or by a judge on his/her own initiative. Any further applications should be made on receipt of this Order or as soon as possible. The attention of the parties is drawn to the Presidential Guidance on ‘General Case Management’:  
<https://www.judiciary.gov.uk/wp-content/uploads/2013/08/presidential-guidance-general-case-management-20170406-3.2.pdf>
- (v) The parties are reminded of rule 92: “*Where a party sends a communication to the Tribunal (except an application under rule 32) it shall send a copy to all other parties, and state that it has done so (by use of “cc” or otherwise). The Tribunal may order a departure from this rule where it considers it in the interests of justice to do so.*” If, when writing to the tribunal, the parties do not comply with this rule, the tribunal may decide not to consider what they have written.