Case No: 2303096/2017



## **EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS**

Claimant: Mr D Davis

**Respondent:** Clarion Housing Group Limited

## **JUDGMENT**

The Claimant's application dated 25 March 2019 for reconsideration of the judgment made on 17 January 2019 and sent to the parties on 5 March 2019 is refused.

## **REASONS**

- By email dated 11 March 2019, the Claimant made an application for reconsideration of the judgment referred to above. In his email, the Claimant stated that he would follow his application with a written submission.
- That application was placed before me on 28 March 2019. I refused the application because:
  - (i) it appeared that the Claimant had not, within the time limit specified by Rule 71, set out why consideration of the original decision is necessary; and
  - (ii) the Claimant had not indicated that he had complied with the requirement to send a copy of his application to the Respondent.
- It later became clear that on 25 March 2019, within the time limit, the Claimant had personally handed to the clerk to the Tribunal at Ashford a lengthy document setting out why reconsideration of the original decision is necessary. That document was not before me when I first considered the Claimant's application referred to above.
- Having now had regard to what the Claimant says as to why reconsideration of the judgment is necessary, I reject the application. There is no reasonable prospect of the original decision being varied or revoked. The Tribunal carefully considered the evidence before it and fairly reached the conclusions it did. The fact that the Claimant disagrees with the Tribunal's findings is

Case No: 2303096/2017

insufficient to show that there are reasonable prospects of the original decision being varied or revoked.

Furthermore, the Claimant has failed to indicate that he has copied the application to the Respondent.

Employment Judge Pritchard Date: 20 May 2019