Investigation	Decision	Summary of Reasons for Decision	Date of
Number	Decision	Summary of Reasons for Decision	Decision
3	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
4	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
4.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
5.03	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
6	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
6.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
7	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
10.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
10.04	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
10.05	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
10.07	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
10.08	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
12	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
12.01	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019
12.02	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019
12.04	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
13.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
13.02	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
14	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
18	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
18.09	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
20.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
21	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
29	No inquiry	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
23	ivo inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2015
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
29.01	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
25.01	ivo inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2015
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness of origining importance that it is	
29.02	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it	05 Anril 2019
29.02	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
29.02	No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
29.02	No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
29.02	No inquiry	The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
		 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	·
29.02	No inquiry No inquiry	The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. A.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	·
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	·
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	·
29.04	No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	·
29.04	No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
29.04	No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
29.04	No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
29.04	No inquiry No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
29.04 31.02	No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019
29.04 31.02 32.02 33	No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019
29.04 31.02 32.02 33 37.02	No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
29.04 31.02 32.02 33	No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
38.05	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
39.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
40	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
40.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
40.03	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
46.02	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
46.03	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
53.01	No inquiry		05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
53.02	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
57.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
58	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
59	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
67	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
71	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
74	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
75.01	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
75.02	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
76.03	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
77	Na in acciono	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 4 :1 2010
77	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
	No incuire	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2010
70	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 2. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
78		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
78		· ·	
78		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
78		· ·	

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
81	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	-
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
98	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
100	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	·
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
104.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
104.02	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
115.01	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
	. ,	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	·
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
117.03	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
117.03	ito iliquii y	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	037101112013
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
152	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
166	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
100	No mquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	05 April 2015
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
168	No inquiry		05 April 2019
100	No iriquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2015
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
169	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	

170	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
171	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
172	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
173	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
174	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
181.03	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019
190	No inquiry No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
192.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
213	No inquiry		
229.02	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
229.02 238.01	No inquiry No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
229.02	No inquiry		·

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
264	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 201
	. ,	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
266	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 201
268			05 April 201
	No inquiry	The ellegation is not attributable to JUK Forces	
271	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 201
271.01	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 201
272	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 201
274	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 201
277	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
277.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
282.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
286.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
290.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
293.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
294	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
294.02	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
294.03	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
299.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
310.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
325.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
329.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
335.01			
347.02	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
355.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
385	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
386	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
387	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
388.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
	- 1- /	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
389	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
303	No iriquiry		05 April 2013
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
391	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
391.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
395	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
	,	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
400	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019
402	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
411	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
412	No inquiru	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	0F April 2010
412	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
413	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
	,	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
414	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
419	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
424	No in accion.	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	OF Amril 2010
421	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking those matters into account the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	
422	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
428	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
			·
430	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019

470.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
465	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
464.01	No inquiry	not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	
461	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
460	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
459.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
458	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
457	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
456	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
453 455	No inquiry No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
450	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
449	No inquiry No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
445 446	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	
442	No inquiry	alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	

The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outwelgh the penetral benefits. A Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPU criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and circle proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – statistics any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 473 No inquiry The line or credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 50 April 2015 885 No inquiry The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. 51 April 2015 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPU criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR. 493 No inquiry No inquiry The allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 50 April 2015 1. This combination of the IHAT/SPU criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 1. This combination of the IHAT/SPU criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 1. This case does not highlight issues t				
1. The combination of the INAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged III-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 CSHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 480 No inquiry 181 No inquiry 182 There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 481 No inquiry 182 There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 482 No inquiry 183 No inquiry 184 There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 483 No inquiry 184 There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 485 No inquiry 185 No inquiry 186 There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 486 April 2015 487 There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 487 Object the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged II-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry unively the necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry unively the necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the cos	472	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
No inquiry The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. OS April 2015	473		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. OS April 2015				·
No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. OS April 2015				
490.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 5. The circumstance of this case. 5. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry out, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 5. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 5. This case does not hig				
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 5. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State considers that the costs o				•
the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 2. This combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in of Article 3 ECHR 510 No inquiry There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR 511 No inquiry This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefit	490.01	No inquiry		05 April 2019
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 510 No inquiry There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR 511 The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 520 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 521 The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation which sing the separation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 522 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 523 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 524 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 525	493	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 520 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 526 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 527.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 528. OS April 2019	502	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. O5 April 2019 There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. O5 April 2019	510	No inquiry		05 April 2019
No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 2019 O5 April 2019 O5 April 2019 O5 April 2019	514	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court — including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment — satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 526 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 2019 05 April 2019	520	No inquiry		05 April 2019
No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 2019 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 2019	522	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
527.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 2019	526	No inquiry		05 April 2019
	527.01			05 April 2019
	529	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019

y outweigh the potential benefits. In matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. Is not attributable to UK Forces. It is not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is onduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. It is not account, the Secretary of State considers that the costs of youtweigh the potential benefits. It matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. It is not attributable to UK Forces. It is not attributab	05 April 2019
woutweigh the potential benefits. In matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. It is not attributable to UK Forces. In including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any tement — satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. In it is not attributable to UK Forces are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of woutweigh time of the inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. It is that case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of woutweigh the potential benefits. In matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. It is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019
woutweigh the potential benefits. In matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. It is not attributable to UK Forces. It is not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is nonduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. It is not attributable to UK Forces. It is not all legation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019
voutweigh the potential benefits. matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. is not attributable to UK Forces. ation of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any thement — satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. The session thighlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. That case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of the voutweigh the potential benefits. The matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. The individual benefits is not attributable to UK Forces. The individual benefits are decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019
voutweigh the potential benefits. matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. is not attributable to UK Forces. ation of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any attement — satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. The session thighlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. That case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of the voutweigh the potential benefits. The matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. The interest is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
woutweigh the potential benefits. It matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. It is not attributable to UK Forces. It is case and the oversight provided by the including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any them the satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. It is not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. It is not account that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of the outweigh the potential benefits. It is matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case.	05 April 2019
outweigh the potential benefits. matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. is not attributable to UK Forces. ation of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by to including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any attributable and Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. sees not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
on outweigh the potential benefits. I matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case.	05 April 2019
outweigh the potential benefits. matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
tment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. bes not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is onduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. Itances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
ation of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by t – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
edible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
shed in this case.	
tment — satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. ses not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is onduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. stances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of outweigh the potential benefits. matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
ation of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
-	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
	05 April 2019
is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
routweigh the potential benefits. In matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case.	
tment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. bes not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is onduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. stances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of coutweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
edible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. Sation of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by The including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	05 April 2019
edible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
edible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
	05 April 2019
shed in this case.	05 April 2019
tances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of voutweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
tment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. ses not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2016
	the short highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. Itances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of outweigh the potential benefits. It matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It is not the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by it is not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. It tances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of outweigh the potential benefits. It matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will shed in this case. It is not attributable to UK Forces. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. It dible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.

603	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
605	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019
608.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
610.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
647	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
648	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
649	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
654	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
658	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
662.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
663	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
667	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
674	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
677	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
680	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
683	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019

686	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
687	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
694	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
695	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
696	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
700	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
701	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019

	1		
702	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
703	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
705	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
709.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
710	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
711	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
713	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
713.01 714	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
716	No inquiry No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
721	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
724	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
724.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
729	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
732	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
734	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
737	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
741	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
747	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
748	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
749	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
752	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019

753	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
754	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
759	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
760	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
761	No inquiry	not be established in this case. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
767	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
769	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
775	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019

	1		
776	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
778	No inquiry	not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
778.02	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
781	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
787	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
797	No inquiry	not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
798	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
800	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
802	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
	1.2 9 7	1	

815	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	
817	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
818	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
820	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
820.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
821	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
823	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
824	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
826	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
827	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
828	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
829	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
832	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
835	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
838	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
841	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	

			1
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
857	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
000	No in min	not be established in this case.	05 4 1 2010
860 861	No inquiry No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
862	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
863.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
867	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
871	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
072	No in acciono	not be established in this case.	OF Amril 2010
873 876	No inquiry No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
879	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
881	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
882	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
885	No inquiry	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
887	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
891	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
896 899	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
901	No inquiry No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
903	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
904	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
910	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
911	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
915	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
919	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
925	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
929	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
934	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
935	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019

936	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
937	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
938	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
941	No inquiry	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2019
941	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	03 April 2019
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
942	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
946	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
540	No mquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	03 April 2013
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
952	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
953.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
960	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
963	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
064		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 4 11 2040
964	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
969	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	•
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
972	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
975	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
070	Na in	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	OF A:1 2042
979	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 2. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the casts of	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
	1		
981	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
981 986	No inquiry No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
990	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
992	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
993	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
998	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
1000	No inquiry	There is no evidence to support the allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR	05 April 2019
1004	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
1008	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1009	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1012	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1015	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1018	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1020	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1021	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1022	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1023	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1024	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1054.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1088	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1090	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1091	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1093	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1094	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1095	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1097	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
1097			l .
1097		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	l
1097	,	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
1097			

r			
1097.01	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
1098	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1101	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
1102	No inquiry	 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
1104	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1106	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
1108	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1111	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1115	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1116	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019

3. The circumstance of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry curwing the potential beametrs.				T.
3. The circumstance of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry curvely the potential beametrs.			the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
in the established in this case. No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. So April 201 130.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. So April 201 1130.01 No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry The combination of the IHAT/SPIL crimmal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the Helph Covart—including the availability of pulcidic review and cult proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment—satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 1130 No inquiry The combination of the IHAT/SPIL crimmal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the Helph Covart—including the outside 14 Industry of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outwelph the potential benefits. 1145 No inquiry The combination of the IHAT/SPIL crimmal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the Helph Covart—including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment—satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 1146 No inquiry The combination of the IHAT/SPIL crimmal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the Helph Covart—including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment—satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 1145 No inquiry The combination of the IHAT/SPIL crimmal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the Helph Covart—including the availability of plutical review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment—satisfies any Article 3 ECHR. No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. No inquiry There is no credible allegation of	1121	No inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
11320 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. OS April 201				
1330 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. OS April 201	1121.02	No inquiry		05 April 2019
1130.01 No inquiry The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. 1. The combination of the Half/SPID criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment— satisfies any Articla's ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry unweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the HAIT/SPIL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment— satisfies any Articla's ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry ontwelph the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1146 No inquiry 1150 No inquiry 1150 No inquiry 1160 The combination of the HAIT/SPIL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment— satisfies any Article 3 ECHR. 1151 No inquiry 1152 No inquiry 1153 No inquiry 1154 No inquiry 1155 No inquiry 1155 No inquiry 1156 No inquiry 1156 No inquiry 1157 The combination of the HAIT/			-	05 April 2019
the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1146. No inquiry 1150. No inquiry 1150. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1151. The combination of the IHAT/SPL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR. 1151. The combination of the IHAT/SPL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which mig				05 April 2019
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR (bilgation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1140 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1150 No inquiry 1 Pere is no credible allega			1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
1146 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201 1150 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201 1150 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1154 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201 1165 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201 1166.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201 1166.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201 1167 Line combination of the HIAT/SPUL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further high Court – including the availability of judicial review an	1145	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
1150 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPIL criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of Judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1154 No inquiry The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. 1156 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1167 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1168 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1169 No inquiry 1160 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1160 No inquiry 1160 No inquiry 1161 No inquiry 1162 No inquiry 1163 No inquiry 1164 No inquiry 1165 No inquiry 1165 No inquiry 1166 No inquiry 1167 No inquiry 1168 No inquiry 1168 No inquiry 1168 No inquiry 1169 No inquiry 1160 No inqu	1146	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1154 No inquiry The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. 1162 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1163 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1164 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1165 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1166 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1166 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1166 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1166 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1166 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1167 No inquiry 1168 No inquiry 1170 No inquiry 1180 No inquiry 1190 No inquiry	1147	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1162 No inquiry 1166 No inquiry 1168 No inquiry 1169 No inquiry 1170 No inquiry 1171 No inquiry 1172 No inquiry 1172 No inquiry 1173 No inquiry 1174 No inquiry 1175 No inquiry 1176 No inquiry 1177 No inquiry 1178 No inquiry 1179 No inquiry 1170 No inquiry 1171 No inquiry 1172 No inquiry 1173 No inquiry 1174 No inquiry 1175 No inquiry 1176 No inquiry 1177 No inquiry 1178 No inquiry 1179 No inquiry 1170 No inquiry 1171 No inquiry 1172 No inquiry 1173 No inquiry 1174 No inquiry 1175 No inquiry 1176 No inquiry 1177 No inquiry 1178 No inquiry 1179 No inquiry 1170 No inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 1170 No inquiry 1170 No inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 1170 No inquiry 1170 No inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 1170 No inquiry 1170 No inq			1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
1166 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1166.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.		No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
1166.01 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1169 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.				05 April 2019
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1169 No inquiry 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.			<u> </u>	05 April 2019
the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1169 No inquiry A The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	1166.01	No inquiry		05 April 2019
1.7 here is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.7 he combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	1168	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	05 April 2019
1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	1169	No inquiry		05 April 2019
not be established in this case.	1172		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
1179 No inquiry There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 05 April 201				
	1179	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019

1185 1188 1190 1193 1195 1201	No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019 05 April 2019
1204	No inquiry	 This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	05 April 2019
1206	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1208	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1209	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1211	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1214	No inquiry No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	05 April 2019 05 April 2019
1216	No inquiry	alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	05 April 2019
1220	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019
1221	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1224	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1227	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	05 April 2019 05 April 2019

1260	No inquiry	not be established in this case. The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
		not be established in this case.	
i)	1	4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
1258	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
1257	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1254	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1253	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
		not be established in this case.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
1247	ino inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	03 April 2019
1249	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
1248	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
		not be established in this case.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
. =		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	22
1247	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
1245	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
4045		not be established in this case.	05.4 11.551-
		4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
1243	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
	-11	1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	į <u></u>
1239	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1238	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. A Taking these matters into account the Secretary of State has decided an inquisiterial inquiry will.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
1237	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
1235	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		not be established in this case.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
1233	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	05 April 2019
1222	No inquiry	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2010
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	

1310	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1307	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case.	
1305	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
	No in out	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	OF Amil 2010
	. ,	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	·
1301	No inquiry	not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
	inquiry	3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	03 April 2019
1293	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
1292	No inquiry	 This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 	05 April 2019
-	, ,,,,,,	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	,
1289	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	
1288	No inquiry	alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		not be established in this case. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
1287	No inquiry	alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
1279	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR. 1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	05 April 2019
		 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits. 4.Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 	
1265	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will not be established in this case. 1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	05 April 2019
	No incuir.	alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 Amril 2010

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	05 April 2019
.318	Na in acciono	2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
310	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the casts of	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
1320	No inquiry	not be established in this case. There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1322	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
.522	140 mqun y	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	03 April 2013
	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	05 April 2019
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
.323		necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1332	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
.332.01	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
.334	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1335	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2019
1337	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1350	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1350.02	No inquiry	These alleged incidents do not fall within the UK's jurisdiction under Article 1 ECHR as non of the	05 April 2019
	No inquiry	exceptional bases for establishing extra-territorial jurisdiction are satisfied in this case.	05 April 2019
		1. The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1360		necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
1300		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
	No inquiry	alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	05 April 2019
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
L363		necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1366	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
.300	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
389.01	No inquiry		00 / Ip <u>20</u> 23
.389.01	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	00 / Ip / II 2015
.389.01	No inquiry	1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	00 / p. 11 2013
.389.01	No inquiry		00 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 / 10 /
389.01		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	·
389.01 390.01	No inquiry No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	·
389.01 390.01		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	·
.389.01 .399.01		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	05 April 2019
389.01 390.01		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise. 2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it. 3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	·

		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2019
1424	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1425	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1427	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	05 April 2019
1428	No inquiry	necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1429	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1430	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1431	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1433	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
	No inquiry	the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	05 April 2019
		alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1440		necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1449	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1451	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1454	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1466	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1469	No inquiry	The allegation is not attributable to UK Forces.	05 April 2019
1474	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
		1.The combination of the IHAT/SPLI criminal investigation in this case and the oversight provided by	
		the High Court – including the availability of judicial review and civil proceedings in respect of any	
	No inquiry	alleged ill-treatment – satisfies any Article 3 ECHR obligation which might arise.	05 April 2019
		2. This case does not highlight issues that are of such seriousness or ongoing importance that it is	
1490		necessary to conduct further inquiry in order to learn lessons from it.	
		3. The circumstances of this case are such that the Secretary of State considers that the costs of	
		further inquiry outweigh the potential benefits.	
		4. Taking these matters into account, the Secretary of State has decided an inquisitorial inquiry will	
		not be established in this case.	
1514	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1519	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1521	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019
1526	No inquiry	There is no credible allegation of a violation of Article 3 ECHR.	05 April 2019