Case No: 1600222/2018



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimant: Mr W Hunte

Respondent: Chief Constable of Gwent Police

Date: 10 April 2019

JUDGMENT

The claimant's application dated 4 March 2019, for reconsideration of the judgment with written reasons sent to the parties on 22 March 2019 is refused.

REASONS

- 1. As indicated to the parties by email of 9 March 2019, the application for reconsideration was not considered until the first available date, at least 14 days after the promulgation of written reasons on 22 March 2019.
- 2. There is no reasonable prospect of the original decision of the Tribunal panel being varied or revoked, because:
 - a. The Claimant seeks to overturn factual findings made by the Tribunal by relitigating the matters in dispute at the hearing (e.g. the incident on 20 September 2016 reported by PC Patterson paragraphs 33 and 34 of the judgment; whether PC Patterson was 'indoctrinated' paragraph 31 of the judgment and paragraph 28 of DC Durston's witness statement; whether the CCTV shows full interaction between the Claimant and detained person paragraph 27 of the judgment; whether DC Durston 'lied' to the Tribunal paragraph 38 of the judgment; the date the Claimant handed his mobile phone over for inspection paragraph 45 of the judgment);
 - b. The Claimant makes submissions in the reconsideration application about matters which were not pursued in cross examination or submission by the Claimant at the Tribunal hearing (e.g. the suggestion in the reconsideration application that the Claimant was not on duty on 20 September 2016 [168-170] was not put to PC Patterson; questioning why an intelligence report dated 7 September 2016 was made in respect of a conversation taking place at 5am on 8 September 2016 [B96-97] and the assertion that DC Tyler attempted to link the Claimant to a local crime family; that the

Case No: 1600222/2018

Claimant was not provided with copies of his interviews under PACE Code C and E);

- c. The Claimant refers to 'new evidence' but no additional evidence is attached to the reconsideration application and no explanation provided as to why any 'new' evidence was not available at the Tribunal hearing;
- d. The Claimant asserts as 'new' evidence that the signature on [A214] was not his; this matter was not raised at the Tribunal hearing and was determined at paragraph 46 of the judgment.

Employment	Judge S Davies
Date 10 April JUDGMENT SE	2019 ENT TO THE PARTIES ON
14 April 2	019
FOR THE TRIB	UNAL OFFICE