



Pubs Code  
Adjudicator

## RESPONSE 2



## Pubs Code Consultation

## Annex C: Response form

Name: [REDACTED]

Organisation (if applicable): [REDACTED]

Address: [REDACTED]

Email: [REDACTED]

Please tick the box below which best describes you as a respondent to this consultation:

Pub-owning business with 500 or more tied pubs	<input type="checkbox"/>
Tied pub tenant	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Interest group, trade body or other organisation	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other (please describe)	

Accounting for duty paid

Question 1: Do you believe that these proposals will ensure that TPTs are fully informed of the duty that has been paid on the alcohol supplied to them under their tied agreement?

Yes. Anything to further the transparency provided to the TPT is welcome.

Question 2: If not, please explain what additional or different approaches you think would ensure compliance with Pubs Code requirements.

N/A

Question 3: Can you foresee any unintended ways in which these proposals might have a detrimental effect on TPTs? If so, how might such effects be mitigated?

History suggests that the POB will look to recover any loss of income somewhere else in the deal. Ensuring the benefit is passed on to the TPT is essential.

#### Accounting for waste

Question 4: Please indicate whether you agree with the proposal to account for sediment and operational waste separately.

I agree. Being clear on operational waste is important as it is often overlooked/lost in the yield calculations.

Question 5: If not, please explain your objections.

N/A

#### Sediment waste

Question 6: Do you believe that these proposals will ensure that TPTs have a clear and consistent approach to information about the volume of cask ales supplied under their agreement that will be unsaleable for reasons of sediment waste?

Yes

Question 7: If not, please explain what additional or different approaches you think would ensure compliance with Pubs Code requirements.

N/A

Question 8: Can you foresee any unintended ways in which these proposals might have a detrimental effect on TPTs? If so, how might such effects be mitigated?

Being clear that the benefit is passed on and have a process to monitor this is critical.

#### Operational waste

Question 9: Do you believe that these proposals will ensure that TPTs have clear and consistent information about the volume of draught products supplied under their agreement that will be unsaleable for reasons of operational waste?

Yes.

Question 10: If not, please explain what additional or different approaches you think would ensure compliance with Pubs Code requirements.

N/A

Question 11: Can you foresee any unintended ways in which these proposals might have a detrimental effect on TPTs? If so, how might such effects be mitigated?

Being clear that the benefit to the TPT is passed on and having a process to monitor this.

### Training and support

Question 12: Do you have any comments on the proposed approach to access to training for TPTs?

Will this become a cost to the TPT and a profit generator for the PoB?

Question 13: Do you have any comments on the proposed training requirements in respect of BDMs?

No.

---

**Office of the Pubs Code Adjudicator**

This document can be accessed at [www.gov.uk/pca](http://www.gov.uk/pca)

If you require this information in an alternative format or have general enquiries about the Pubs Code Adjudicator and its work, contact:

Office of the Pubs Code Adjudicator  
Lower Ground  
Victoria Square House  
Victoria Square  
Birmingham  
B2 4AJ

Tel: 0800 528 8080

Email: [office@pubscodeadjudicator.gov.uk](mailto:office@pubscodeadjudicator.gov.uk)



