

First-tier Tribunal Property Chamber (Residential Property)

Case reference : CAM/22UL/PHI/2019/0001

Site : Hockley Park,

Lower Road, Hockley,

Essex SS₅ 5NQ

Park Home address : 11 Hockley Park

Applicant : Tingdene Parks Ltd.

Respondent : Mrs. Stewart

Date of Application : 21st December 2018

Type of application : to determine pitch fee for the

address

The Tribunal : Bruce Edgington (lawyer chair)

Mary Hardman FRICS IRRV (Hons)

DECISION

0 0 11.0

Crown Copyright ${\hbox{\ensuremath{\mathbb C}}}$

1. The Tribunal determines that the annual pitch fee for the pitch known as 11 Hockley Park as from 1st October 2018 is £2,187.72.

Reasons

Introduction

- 2. The Respondent is the occupier of the park home known as 11 Hockley Park placed on the pitch forming part of the Applicant's park home site at Hockley Park, Lower Road, Hockley, Essex and she has not agreed to an increase in pitch fees for 2018 in line with the Retail Prices Index ("RPI"). The site owner must therefore apply to this Tribunal if it is to obtain an increase in pitch fee. There does not appear to be any dispute that the annual review date for pitch fees is on 1st October.
- 3. On the 27th July 2018, a letter was written to the Respondent, explaining that following a pitch fee review, as from the 1st October 2018 the pitch fee would be increased in line with RPI in June 2018 being the month before the letter, i.e. 3.4%, in accordance with the Office for National Statistics figures produced at page 20 in the bundle supplied to the Tribunal for this determination.

4. The Tribunal issued a directions Order on the 4th January 2019 ordering the Respondent to file and serve any statement of case. None has been received which argues that the pitch fee should not be increased in line with the RPI. The Order also said that the Tribunal was content to deal with this matter by considering the papers only, to include any representations from the parties, and would do so on or after 28th February 2019 unless any party requested an oral hearing which would then be arranged. No such request was received.

The Occupation Agreement

- 5. A copy of such agreement has not been produced. However, any such agreement must comply in all material respects with those terms imposed by the **Mobile Homes Act 1983** ("the 1983 Act") as it was. The only material amendments since have been to give this Tribunal, rather than the court, jurisdiction to deal with the approval of pitch fees if agreement cannot be reached.
- 6. The Statutory terms are intended to provide protection to park home owners because the site owner is perceived to have the 'upper hand' in an unequal negotiating position. As far as pitch fees are concerned, the provisions are quite straightforward. The initial pitch fee is negotiated between the parties and the site owner can only increase the pitch fee annually with the agreement of the occupier or with the permission of this Tribunal.
- 7. There can be an annual review of the pitch fee. If there is, notice then has to be given to the occupier of the result of that review within certain time constrains set out in the agreement prior to the 'review date'. Certain statutory information has to be served on the occupier in addition to the notification of the result of the pitch fee review.
- 8. As to the pitch fee set out in the agreement, this is a contractual matter. This Tribunal has no power to interfere with what was agreed. Unlike the jurisdiction of this Tribunal to assess fair and open market rents, there is no suggestion in the 1983 Act that the Tribunal starts a *de novo* consideration of the open market position with regard to pitch fees either on the same site or other sites.
- 9. As to the amount of any increase or decrease in the pitch fee, the starting point is that regard shall be had to the RPI. Schedule 1, paragraph 20 of the 1983 Act goes further than this by saying that there is a presumption that the pitch fee will increase no more than the RPI.
- 10. Upon application, the Tribunal has to determine 2 things. Firstly that a change in the pitch fee is reasonable and, if so, it has to determine the new pitch fee. There is no requirement to find that the level of the pitch fee is reasonable.
- 11. There are other matters which may be taken into account, depending on the circumstances, i.e. monies spent on the site by the site owner, whether there has been a reduction in the 'amenity' of the site since the last increase and any other statutory requirement. None is relevant to this application.

Site Inspection

12. As no-one had raised any issues which required an inspection of the site or the pitch, none was arranged in this case.

Conclusions

- 13. As to whether a change in the pitch fee is reasonable, the Tribunal is conscious of the wording of the 1983 Act as mentioned above i.e. that the starting point is a change in line with the RPI. Where, as in this case, there has been a change in RPI, one is almost bound to conclude that a change is reasonable. The Tribunal does so find in this case.
- 14. There does not seem to be any dispute that the formalities imposed by the 1983 Act as to the undertaking of a pitch fee review, the service of notice of increase plus statutory information and the time limits for the application to this Tribunal have been complied with. Thus the Tribunal accepts that they have all been complied with.
- 15. The Tribunal concludes that the pitch fees shall be increased in accordance with RPI as from 1st October 2018 as set out in the decision above.

Bruce Edgington Regional Judge 28th February 2019

ANNEX - RIGHTS OF APPEAL

- If a party wishes to appeal this decision to the Upper Tribunal (Lands Chamber) then a written application for permission must be made to the First-tier Tribunal at the Regional office which has been dealing with the case.
- ii. The application for permission to appeal must arrive at the Regional office within 28 days after the Tribunal sends written reasons for the decision to the person making the application.
- iii. If the application is not made within the 28 day time limit, such application must include a request for an extension of time and the reason for not complying with the 28 day time limit; the Tribunal will then look at such reason(s) and decide whether to allow the application for permission to appeal to proceed despite not being within the time limit.
- iv. The application for permission to appeal must identify the decision of the Tribunal to which it relates (i.e. give the date, the property and the case number), state the grounds of appeal, and state the result the party making the application is seeking.