

EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

Claimants: (C1) Mr Jak Bown

(C2) Ms Charlotte Boulton

(C3) Ms Bethany Cook

Respondents: (R1) Mr Carl Butterfield

(R2) Quinn Bars Limited

(R3) Double Tap Inns Limited

Heard at: Nottingham On: Friday 30 November 2018

Before: Employment Judge P Britton (sitting alone)

Representation

Claimants: (C1 & C2) In Person (C3) No attendance and no explanation Respondents: (R1, R2 & R3) Carl Butterfield

CORRECTED JUDGMENT

The first Claimant Jak Bown.

1. By consent judgment against Double Tap Inns Limited as follows:-

1.1 It will pay the Claimant for non payment of wages claim £240.00 net pay.

1.2 It will pay by way of outstanding holiday entitlement, £878.13 taxable in the hands of the Claimant.

1.3 It is agreed that these are to be paid within 14 days of the issue of this judgement.

1.4 This is in full and final settlement of all his claims.

The second Claimant Charlotte Boulton (as corrected from mistakenly Boughton).

2. By consent the third Respondent, Double Tap Inns Limit (as corrected from the second respondent), will pay the Claimant in compensation for non payment of wages the sum of £490.00 gross being the net pay due. It is agreed that this will be paid within 14 days of the issue of this judgement. This is in full and final settlement of all her claims.

The other Respondents

3. Accordingly by consent the claims are dismissed against the first and third Respondents.

The third Claimant Bethany Cook

4. The claim is dismissed for want of prosecution/failure to comply with the Tribunal's orders.

REASONS

1. In relation to the claims of the first and second Claimant I do not need to put any reasons because that which has been agreed to is by consent.

2. In relation to the third Claimant I note that she failed to appear on the telephone at the telephone case management discussion heard in this matter by Employment Judge Moore on 31 October and that no explanation was ever given for that non appearance. She was sent notice of this hearing but she has not attended and again there has been no explanation as to why not. It follows that I am therefore dismissing the claim brought by the third Claimant for want of prosecution/failure to comply with the Tribunal's orders.

Employment Judge Britton

Originally dated: 3 December 2018 Corrected version dated: 4 February 2019

JUDGMENT SENT TO THE PARTIES ON

.....

FOR THE TRIBUNAL OFFICE

<u>Notes</u>

Reasons for the judgment having been given orally at the hearing, written reasons will not be provided unless a request was made by either party at the hearing or a written request is presented by either party within 14 days of the sending of this written record of the decision.

Public access to employment tribunal decisions

Judgments and reasons for the judgments are published, in full, online at www.gov.uk/employmenttribunal-decisions shortly after a copy has been sent to the claimant(s) and respondent(s) in a case.