

Coarse fishing close season on English rivers

Appendix 5 - Summary of coarse fish close season research proposals

Review of APEM (2004) proposals					
Project title	Approach	Indicative cost	Timescale	Pros	Cons
Investigation of stress response in cyprinid fish during and outside breeding period	Laboratory –and field-based based experimental studies – measurement of blood chemistry in relation to handling stress	£50-100k (2004 prices)	Minimum 1 year, preferably repeated.	Could provide evidence on differential stress response to capture and handling at different times of year	Could only be for limited number of species. No direct relationship between stress response and mortality or reproductive success. Questionable relationship with wild environments. Would be very expensive at current prices. Some indirect evidence in existing literature.
Comparison of spawning behaviour and success of stressed and non-stressed fish.	Lab or pond- based observations of fish subjected to capture etc. at spawning time	£50k at 2004 prices	Minimum 6 months, preferably repeated	A direct assessment of impacts of handling etc. on spawning behaviour.	Difficulty in facilitating natural spawning behaviour in a manipulated situation and after handling. Individual spawning behaviour highly variable anyway. Probably only some eurytopic species e.g. roach, perch, would be amenable. Limited extrapolation to open river systems. No direct assessment of spawning stress
Comparison of rivers with and	Comparison of fishery	£35k at 2004 prices	Desk study of fish	Would attempt to compare fish	Irish rivers mostly fundamentally different from English ones

customer service line

03706 506 506

floodline

03459 88 11 88

incident hotline 0800 80 70 60

Page 1 of 5



without closed seasons	performance in similar English and Irish rivers. Desk study and possible field visit		population data from English and Irish rivers – 12 months depending on availability of Irish data.	population size and structure in rivers which differed only in closed season arrangement	irrespective of angling. Angling pressure in Irish systems relatively light and localised. High variability in time over both datasets. Availability of Irish data may be an issue.
Fish distribution studies during the spawning season	-to determine the extent of spawning aggregations and to establish the feasibility of establishing "sanctuaries". Visual observations, tagging and tracking work	£40k at 2004 prices	Three years minimum	Would identify specific areas and times where various species spawned, so that special protection could be developed in the absence of a blanket closed season	Does not answer the fundamental question of whether closed season angling has adverse effects on fish or fisheries
Expert opinion survey	Delphi technique or similar with panel of experts from various sectors of the fisheries and	£10 k at 2004 prices (if delivered by consultancy)	6 months	A qualitative assessment of knowledge and opinion on risks and benefits of removal of closed season	It isn't research. It would be unlikely to bring in new, firm evidence for or against the closed season, would only provide an accompaniment to other evidence.

customer service line

03706 506 506

floodline

03459 88 11 88

incident hotline 0800 80 70 60

Page 2 of 5



	angling community				
Repetition of comparative studies on canals where closed season removed in 2000	Examination of angling results from canals where closed season lifted	£10k at 2004 prices(if delivered by consultancy)	6 – 12 months	Could reveal whether lifting of the closed season has had adverse impacts on fish community or population structure. Relatively cheap if data is available	Doubtful whether there are sufficient good datasets to enable good comparisons to be made. Large changes in canal fisheries anyway largely due to improving water quality, therefore comparisons difficult. Dynamics of canal fish populations likely to differ from rivers so limited extrapolation possible
Effects of angling for salmonids on coarse fish populations during the coarse fish close season on mixed population rivers.	Questionnaire to assess the level of coarse fish capture by salmonid anglers during coarse fish closed season	£10k at 2004 prices(if delivered by consultancy)	Three years minimum	Could identify whether coarse fish capture during closed season by salmonid anglers is significant	Results would bear little relevance to opening rivers for 12 month a year bait fishing. Coarse fish are caught by trout and salmon anglers using fly and spinner but in relatively small numbers, angling pressure on mixed rivers by salmon and trout anglers is negligible compared to coarse angling. Only for grayling in trout waters would any sensible comparison be relevant or possible.

customer service line incident hotline

03706 506 506 0800 80 70 60 floodline 03459 88 11 88

Page 3 of 5



Project title	Approach	Indicative cost	Timescale	Pros	Cons
Survival experiments to assess impacts of fish capture and handling during coarse fish closed season on rivers	Monitoring of survival of individual marked fish caught at different times of year in selected river reaches where all-year round match angling permitted by special dispensation.	£50k per annum	Minimum three years	Could identify whether fish caught and handled at certain times of year had consistently higher or lower survival rates that those caught at other times of year. Good opportunity for anglerengagement.	Very few river reaches would fulfil site criteria. Simulations suggest that unless differences were very large, unlikely to detect a difference given high background variation in natural survival rates, catchability, river conditions etc. Would be vulnerable to chance factors e.g. extreme events. Would be relevant to relatively few species. Could only be done on one river at once, multiple study sites (desirable) would increase costs further.
Parentage experiments	Experimental approach in semi-natural ponds or flumes: individual fish genotyped, some individuals caught, allowed to spawn naturally, progeny harvested and genotyped	£100k p.a.	Minimum 1 year preferably 3	Could provide evidence on differential breeding success of fish that have been caught and handled during the closed season period and those that were not – integrating impacts on actual fish survival and subsequent breeding success	Expensive; vulnerable to chance factors such as relatively few individual fish caught, mass mortality of eggs or fry prior to harvest, other factors that determine individuals' breeding fitness. Would only be possible with certain species, questionable extrapolation to wild waters.

customer service line incident hotline

03706 506 506 0800 80 70 60 floodline

03459 88 11 88

Page 4 of 5



In-river behavioural observation of fish caught during closed season	Tagging and tracking of large chub, barbel, pike and subsequent capture on rod and line.	£30 - 60k if conducted through 3 year PhD,	Three years	Could indicate whether capture by angling impacts on movement to known spawning areas and participation in spawning	Would only be practicable for relatively small numbers of fish, individual behaviour is highly variable anyway. Would not provide information as to actual spawning success. Could only be done on certain species and sizes in small rivers. Some indirect information on this already available via other studies – barbel caught and tagged early in the closed season observed to move along with others and take part in spawning.
RAMP experiments	In-situ monitoring of reflex responses as proxies for physiological stress and as predictors for post-release mortality and behavioural impairment ('reflex action mortality predictors', hereafter 'RAMP').	£24k matched funding for 3 –year PhD	Three years	Would provide some assessment of whether there were indications of impaired recovery of individual fish caught and handled at different times of year, these can be used to model subsequent survival. Relatively cheap, good opportunity for collaboration and anglerengagement	Would only detect short-term impacts of handling, absence of short term effects may not preclude longer term reduced viability. Methods lend themselves to larger individuals and species. Relatively long timescale.

customer service line incident hotline

03706 506 506 0800 80 70 60 floodline

03459 88 11 88

Page 5 of 5