

Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and National Grid Reference	<p>Fridays Limited</p> <p>Lyewood Farm Poultry Unit, Green Lane, Boughton Monchelsea, Maidstone, Kent, ME17 4LD</p> <p>Application Reference EPR/QP3437MH/S003</p> <p>NGR: TQ 7722 5133</p>
---	---

Document reference, date and version of application SCR	<p>Site Condition Report, updated November 2018 for permit surrender.</p> <p>Additional supporting information provided on 29/11/2018 in response to information request.</p>
---	---

1.0 Site details

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points.

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/QP3437MH/A001; accepted and determined on 12/10/07.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

- Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters.
- Pollution history including:
 - pollution incidents that may have affected land
 - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
 - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
 - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures.
- Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available).
- Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/QP3437MH/A001; accepted and determined on 12/10/07.

3.0 Permitted activities

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)

- Permitted activities
- Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

The site was permitted under Section 6.9 A(1)(a) Rearing of poultry or pigs intensively in an installation with more than: (i) 40,000 places of poultry. With the directly associated activity of carcass incineration – operation of an incinerator for carcass disposal Animal Health approved <50kg/hr.

The permitted site was for the rearing of free range and caged laying hens in a facility with a capacity for 66,815 places.

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment
The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross-referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.
<i>Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/QP3437MH/A001; accepted and determined on 12/10/07.</i>

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater?
Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?
<i>Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/QP3437MH/A001; accepted and determined on 12/10/07.</i>
<i>The applicant provided an application site report which was assessed and it was determined that the installation's activities show little likelihood of causing pollution with the exception of fuel. The Application site report identified that the storage of fuel oil is not bunded. The permit was therefore issued with an improvement condition to ensure that this storage would be improved. Interim preventative measures were in place for the fuels tanks including the use of reflective strips and vehicle barriers where necessary. Therefore the collection of reference data was not required.</i>
For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?
<i>Yes – Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/QP3437MH/A001; accepted and determined on 12/10/07. Further details above – interim measures were accepted.</i>

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/QP3437MH/A001 on 12/10/07
Pollution of land and water is unlikely	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/QP3437MH/A001 on 12/10/07 Improvement condition to ensure storage improvements – interim measures accepted
Date and name of reviewer: (05/12/2018)	<i>Kirsty Hobbs</i>

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

4.0 Changes to the activities	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Hazardous pollutants" used or produced.	
<i>The permit was varied in 2012 to change the type of livestock on the farm from laying hens to pullets, and to increase the number of animal places by 34,185 to a total of 101,000 animal places. Houses 4, 13, and 14 were removed and houses 3, 5, 7 and 9 were converted to rearing. There were no changes to the permitted boundary.</i>	

5.0 Measures taken to protect land
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?
<i>Records produced by the Environment Agency Regulatory Team throughout the lifetime of the permit and supporting information provided by the Operator show that the pollution prevention measures that were in place have protected the environment as far as is practicable.</i>

6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?
<i>The operator has stated in the application documents, and additional supporting information, that there have been no pollution incidents on site that could have caused harm to land or groundwater. The Environment Agency's records also indicate that no incidents have been recorded during the lifetime of the permit (EPR/QP3437MH).</i>

7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?
<i>N/A – Not required.</i>

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk

Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?

All permitted activities have ceased and all sources of pollution risk removed. The applicant has provided additional information and evidence to confirm that:

- *The electricity and water supplies have been isolated from the farm buildings.*
- *All feed has been consumed on site and the last crop were transferred on 20th November 2018.*
- *All useful equipment and machinery has been removed from the site.*
- *All fuel was used up and a small quantity of kerosene was transferred to the residential property on the site. The fuel tanks have been transferred to other sites.*
- *The houses were dry cleaned and litter was removed from the site on 28th November 2018.*
- *Any remaining chemicals were transferred to other sites.*
- *The LPG incinerator was removed from the site on 28th November 2018.*

The operator provided photographs as supporting evidence to demonstrate that decommissioning is complete.

9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)

Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?

N/A – Low Risk Surrender, therefore no intrusive investigation is required.

10.0 Statement of site condition

Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?

All permitted activities have ceased and the site has been decommissioned as far as is practicable. The operator has confirmed that all pollution risks have been removed and the site has been returned in a satisfactory state.

We the Environment Agency have reviewed the application for surrender made by the Operator and accept the statement of site condition and view it as being returned in a satisfactory state.

Surrender SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to show that pollution risk has been removed and that the area of land is in a satisfactory state – accept the application to surrender the area of land.	✓
Date and name of reviewers: Kirsty Hobbs (NPS – Permitting Officer) – 05/12/2018 Laura Mellor (NPS – Permitting Officer) – 10/12/2018	