

Application SCR evaluation template

Name of activity, address and NGR	Rural Arisings Limited Little Belhus Soil Treatment Facility, Arisdale Avenue, South Ockendon, Essex, RM15 5DP Application Reference EPR/VP3232CE/S002 NGR: TQ 58608 82740
-----------------------------------	--

Document reference, date and version of application SCR	Document entitled 'Permit Surrender Application: Site Condition Report', document reference LBSTF-099, dated September 2018 and prepared by Rural Arisings Limited.
---	---

1.0 Site details

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Site plans showing site layout, drainage, surfacing, receptors, sources of emissions/releases and monitoring points

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/VP3232CE; accepted and determined on 29/11/2012.

2.0 Condition of the land at permit issue

(Receptor)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

- a) Environmental setting including geology, hydrogeology and surface waters
- b) Pollution history including:
 - pollution incidents that may have affected land
 - historical land-uses and associated contaminants
 - visual/olfactory evidence of existing contamination
 - evidence of damage to existing pollution prevention measures
- c) Evidence of historic contamination (i.e. historical site investigation, assessment, remediation and verification reports (where available))
- d) Has the applicant chosen to collect baseline reference data?

Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/VP3232CE; accepted and determined on 29/11/2012 and within 'Permit Surrender Application: Site Condition Report', document reference LBSTF-099, dated September 2018.

Baseline reference data for the whole of the former Little Belhus Landfill Site (in which this installation was located) was contained within the report "Little Belhus Former Landfill, Northern and Eastern Fields, South Ockendon, Essex Contaminated Land GQRA" dated July 2009. In that report only four sampling/monitoring points are relevant to EPR/VP3232CE:

- Trial pits TP9A, TP9B, TP10
- Water sample point WS4.

3.0 Permitted activities

(Source)

Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?

Response
(Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)

- a) Permitted activities
- b) Non-permitted activities undertaken at the site

The site was permitted for ex-situ bioremediation under Section 5.4 A(1) (c) (iii) Recovering hazardous

3.0 Permitted activities (Source)	
Has the applicant provided the following information as required by the application SCR template?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
<p>waste in plant with a capacity of more than 10 tonnes per day:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • R5: Recycling/reclamation of inorganic materials other than metals and metal compounds. • R3: Recycling/reclamation of organic substances which are not used as solvents • R13: Storage of waste pending recovery <p>With the directly associated activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Non-hazardous waste treatment – Pre-treatment of non-hazardous waste for reuse on Belhus Landfill Restoration. • Leachate and runoff treatment – Treatment of runoff and leachate generated from A1 activity 	

3.0(a) Environmental Risk Assessment (Source)
The H1 environmental risk assessment should identify elements that could impact on land and waters, cross- referenced back to documents and plans provided as part of the wider permit application.
<i>Provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/VP3232CE; accepted and determined on 29/11/2012.</i>

3.0(b) Will the pollution prevention measures protect land and groundwater? (Conceptual model)	
Are the activities likely to result in pollution of land?	
<i>No – Justification provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/VP3232CE; accepted and determined on 29/11/2012.</i>	
For dangerous and/or hazardous substances only, are the pollution prevention measures for the relevant activities to a standard that is likely to prevent pollution of land?	<i>Yes – Justification provided in support of Environmental Permit application EPR/VP3232CE; accepted and determined on 29/11/2012.</i>

Application SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Sufficient information has been supplied to describe the condition of the site at permit issue	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/VP3232CE on 29/11/2012.
Pollution of land and water is unlikely	Accepted at permit determination of EPR/VP3232CE on 29/11/2012.
Date and name of reviewer: 26/11/2018	<i>Kirsty Hobbs</i>

Operational phase SCR evaluation template

Sections 4.0 to 7.0 may be completed annually in line with normal record checks.

4.0 Changes to the activities (Source)	
Have there been any changes to the following during the operation of the site?	Response (Specify what information is needed from the applicant, if any)
a) Activity boundaries b) Permitted activities c) "Dangerous substances" used or produced	
<i>N/A – the site was never operational. The proposed soil treatment facility was located within a larger project for the restoration of the former Little Belhus Landfill. These soil treatment activities were not undertaken and the permitted site (EPR/VP3232CE) has remained unaltered.</i>	

5.0 Measures taken to protect land (Pathway)
Has the applicant provided evidence from records collated during the lifetime of the permit, to show that the pollution prevention measures have worked?
<i>N/A – the site was never operational</i>

6.0 Pollution incidents that may have impacted on land and their remediation (Sources)
Has the applicant provided evidence to show that any pollution incidents which have taken place during the life of the permit and which may have impacted on land or water have been investigated and remediated (where necessary)?
<i>N/A – the site was never operational</i>

7.0 Soil gas and water quality monitoring (where relevant)
Where soil gas and/or water quality monitoring has been undertaken, does this demonstrate that there has been no change in the condition of the land? Has any change that has occurred been investigated and remediated?
<i>N/A</i>

Surrender SCR Evaluation Template

If you haven't already completed previous sections 4.0 to 7.0, do so now before assessing the surrender.

8.0 Decommissioning and removal of pollution risk
Has the applicant demonstrated that decommissioning works have been undertaken and that all pollution risks associated with the site have been removed? Has any contamination of land that has occurred during these activities been investigated and remediated?
<i>N/A – the site was never operational</i>

9.0 Reference data and remediation (where relevant)
Has the applicant provided details of any surrender reference data that they have collected and any remediation that they have undertaken?
(Reference data for soils must meet the requirements of policy 307_03 Chemical test data on contaminated soils – quantification requirements). If the surrender reference data shows that the condition of the land has changed as a result of the permitted activities, the applicant will need to undertake remediation to return the condition of the land back to that at permit issue. You should not require remediation of historic contamination or contamination arising from non-permitted activities as part of the permit surrender.
<i>N/A – the site was never operational</i>

10.0 Statement of site condition
Has the applicant provided a statement, backed up with evidence, confirming that the permitted activities have ceased, decommissioning works are complete and that pollution risk has been removed and that the land and waters at the site are in a satisfactory state?
<i>N/A – the site was never operational. As no activity was ever undertaken as part of permit EPR/VP3232CE this is a low risk surrender.</i>

Surrender SCR decision summary	Tick relevant decision
Operations did not commence on the site and therefore, there has been no risk of pollution – accept the application to surrender the permit	✓
Date and name of reviewers: Kirsty Hobbs (NPS – Permitting Officer) – 26/11/2018 Liz Ebbs (NPS – Permitting Officer) – 03/12/2018	