COMPETITION AND MARKETS AUTHORITY J SAINSBURY PLC/ASDA GROUP LTD MERGER INQUIRY

Notes of a hearing with Consumer Council Northern Ireland, Food and Drink Federation, National Farmers Union, National Farmers Union Scotland and Which?

held at Competition and Markets Authority, One Lochrin Square, 92 Fountainbridge, Edinburgh on Monday, 19 November 2018

PRESENT:

FOR THE COMPETITION AND MARKETS AUTHORITY

Stuart McIntosh - Chairman

FOR THE STAFF

Joel Bamford - Senior Director of Mergers

James Hampson - Legal Adviser

Sheila Scobie - Director, UK Nations

FOR CONSUMER COUNCIL NORTHERN IRELAND

Nazia Ali - Financial Conduct Authority Secondment

FOR FOOD AND DRINK FEDERATION

Andrew Taylor - Aldwych Partners

David Thomson - Chief Executive Officer, Food and Drink

Federation Scotland

Nick Warren - Aldwych Partners

FOR NATIONAL FARMERS UNION

Philip Hambling - Head of Food and Farming

FOR NATIONAL FARMERS UNION SCOTLAND

John Armour - Policy Manager

FOR WHICH?

Rocio Concha - Chief Economist, Strategic Policy Partner
Thomas Docherty - Head of Public Affairs (Nations and Regions)

Digital Transcription by Epiq Europe Ltd 8th Floor 165 Fleet Street London EC4A 2DY Tel No: 020 7404 1400 Fax No: 020 7404 1424

Email: ukclient@epiqglobal.co.uk

1 THE CHAIRMAN: First of all, thank you very much for joining us at this hearing today.

I will start off with some formal things I need to remind you of at the beginning of the hearing before we get into the hearing proper.

We are going to be taking a transcript of the hearing and, therefore, we will just start with some introductions so that Ross knows who is saying what. If you struggle with my softly Scottish accent, please do let me know. It has been criticised over the years! Then I will take you through the hearing procedures and what we are aiming to discuss in the hearing today.

I am Stuart McIntosh. I am the Chair of the group which has been appointed to conduct this merger investigation. I, along with my colleagues at the CMA, will be leading the discussion from our perspective and the questions we will be aiming to discuss with you during the course of the hearing today. So, perhaps I will just ask the others to introduce themselves and then I will hand over to you. James?

- Q. (Mr Hampson) I am James Hampson. I am a Legal Adviser with the CMA.
- Q. (Mr Bamford) I am Joel Bamford. I am a Senior Director of Mergers at the CMA
 and, on this, I am the staff lead.
 - Q. (Ms Scobie) Sheila Scobie. I am the Director, UK Nations for the CMA based here in the office in Scotland but I should make clear I am not part of the project team or decision-making around this particular investigation.
 - THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. Can I suggest we go along the table then around the back?
- A. (Mr Armour) John Armour, Policy Manager at the National Farmers Union

 Scotland. I cover food and supply chain policy and livestock policy.
- A. (Mr Hambling) Philip Hambling, Head of Food and Farming at the National Farmers Union.
- 26 A. (Ms Ali) Nazia Ali, Consumer Council seconded from the Financial Conduct

1 Authority.

- 2 A. (Ms Concha) Rocio Concha, Chief Economist at Which?.
- A. (Mr Docherty) Thomas Docherty, Head of Public Affairs for Nations and Regions at Which?.
- 5 A. (Mr Thomson) I am David Thomson. I am the Chief Executive of the Food and
 6 Drink Federation in Scotland.
- 7 A. (Mr Warren) Nick Warren, Aldwych Partners, supporting Food and Drink.
- 8 A. (Mr Taylor) Andrew Taylor for Aldwych Partners.
 - Q. Okay. Information about the hearings and our treatment of evidence will have been communicated to you in the lead-up to this hearing by our colleague, Peter Baker. This explained the statutory considerations to which we must have regard before making any disclosure of the information that you provide to us. We also provided a broader indication as to the issues that we would like to consider today and explore in further detail.

As I mentioned at the outset, we are having a transcript made of the hearing. That will be made available to you in full within a period of a week. We would be grateful if, when you get that transcript, you could check it for accuracy. If there is anything material that you wish to add to or amend in respect of anything that is said today, we would appreciate it if you could do that in a separate letter because we would like to keep the transcript as a faithful record of the session. Having said that, if Ross is not quite able to follow everything we say, do feel free to correct the transcript in that regard. When you are looking at the transcript, could you please also look for and flag anything which you say which you consider to be particularly commercially sensitive so that we can take account of that when we are considering what disclosure we are going to make of what we discuss during the course of today.

26

The transcript per se will not be published by the CMA but we do intend to publish a summary of the transcript for the purposes of transparency. You will receive a copy of that prior to publication and, again, please look at that with a view to if there is anything you feel in respect that is commercially sensitive so my team will be aware of that when considering what it is we shall disclose. Moving on to the hearing itself, we have published our administrative timetable for this merger inquiry on our website. This outlines the steps we are taking with regard to the inquiry timetable. We have up until 5 March next year to publish our final report which will be our decision on the merger. Our intention is to publish our provisional findings in January of next year. It is at that point, should we provisionally find that the merger might lead to a substantial lessening of competition, we will turn to questioning potential remedies. So, we will not be discussing any issues relating to remedies at today's hearing. We are not at that point in the process. We will consult on that, if appropriate, later. As you are aware, we published our issues statement on 16 October this year. This sets out the key areas that we have identified for investigation in the course of the inquiry. It does not imply that any decisions have been taken with regard to any of the issues that we have identified. It is important and we should also bear in mind that, to the extent that we express any views at this hearing today, it is not because we have taken any decisions but, rather, it is to help facilitate and promote the discussion of the issues. We have been gathering information from you and other third parties through questionnaires and through hearings and through inviting people to comment on the merger inquiry. The aim of this hearing is to gather further evidence to help us look into our minds as to whether or not the merger is likely to lead to an SLC.

Finally, we should remind you, as we remind everybody, that it is a criminal offence under section 117 of the Enterprise Act 2002 to, knowingly or recklessly, provide information which is false or misleading in a material respect to the CMA at any time, including at this hearing.

With that, are there any questions that any of you have before we move into the hearing per se? Okay, well, with that, I think part of the intention is for each of the group representatives here to kick off with a short statement, a commentary setting out any perspectives that you wish to put over at the start of the hearing before we move into the questioning from our side. So, provided that is your understanding also, we would like to move to that. And again, we will do it simply and start from my left, if the NFU want to kick off.

(Mr Armour) Thank you, Chair. I am aware that the people who will go after me have more experience in the food sector than I do but our view is that the grocery market is already dominated by a few very large operators. Yes, there has been disruption and there continues to be disruption in the market but, currently, eight companies have a 93 per cent, roughly, for the purposes of estimating, I think, that, those figures are from March, 93 per cent share of the UK market. If this merger goes ahead, then the number of operators that have that bigger share of the market will reduce to seven. The merged company would have a market share of around 30 per cent, according to Kantar.

The Groceries Code Adjudicator supplier survey found that Asda was around eighth of the ten retailers in terms of code compliance. Just to cast your mind back to what the Groceries Code Adjudicator found when it looked at the market; in the 2016 investigation into Tesco, the Groceries Code Adjudicator found that the breaches of the Groceries Supply Code affect competitiveness of food suppliers. The report found that suppliers felt pressured to accept terms

put forward by Tesco because the businesses rely on the trade. So, while we are not saying that the new company would be not compliant with the Groceries Supply Code, we know that Tesco, which was the largest retailer at the time in 2016, was forcing terms on suppliers because of suppliers' reliance on that trade.

We believe that there would be greater potential for unfair trading practices if there was to be a company with this market share and if there is a reduction in the market share at the top of the grocery retail supply chain. We have also been concerned by media reports around this merger and headlines such as "Sainsbury's vows to slash prices after Asda merger". This would suggest that there would be a consolidation of the market share used to increase price pressure further down the supply chain. In our view, that may be done by utilising unfair trading practices.

We would like to stress to the inquiry that the farmers and growers are often price takers and that any price pressures on fresh food, ultimately, are felt in primary food production.

We are not suggesting that large grocery retail represents intentionally bad actors. Indeed, retail has demonstrated commendable corporate social responsibility and sell the vast majority of the food produced by Scottish farmers. However, because of the retail market dominance, farmers have little control on the ability to charge a premium. Yes, we can develop a brand but our ability to develop that brand is very much at the whims of the retailer.

Ultimately, we believe that further protection must be in place to prevent abuse of power impacting suppliers and producers if there is to be less competition in food at the retail ...

Q. Thank you very much for that. I think you have touched on issues that we intend

to pursue in the course of the discussion, so I will not pick anything up immediately, so we might just continue on.

(Mr Hambling) First of all, thank you very much for the invitation to provide evidence today and for receiving input from us in the earlier stages leading up to today. We are, of course, committed to examining very carefully the details of the proposed merger and we consider this quite a significant development in the grocery supply chain. It is fair to say that our members group almost immediately expressed concern about the concentration of buying power that John just highlighted earlier on.

We are in the middle of evaluating the potential merger implications both on members and on the downstream supply chain and how the impact through the value chain may resonate.

We are undertaking some work that will not conclude until the new year, which we will be planning to package and submit to the CMA at the appropriate time. However, our provisional analysis shows that we expect there to be significant cost savings for the organisation, the two entities coming together. What has perhaps caused significant alarm has been the headline-grabbing claim of the move generating 10 per cent savings for consumers. Generally, the direct suppliers and indirect suppliers feel that the scope for that reduction is not obvious to them. Certainly, as price takers, as John mentioned earlier on, primary producers feel that that 10 per cent is almost expected to come from their own businesses.

The reality for us is that members fear that pressure will quickly filter down to them. Our experience, certainly in previous market shops, has been exactly that; that those impacts have been quickly transmitted through the supply chain and additional costs and cost savings have certainly been expected to be borne

by those in the immediate negotiating position back up the supply chain as well.

This concern, of course - you will know, you will not need me to repeat this - has, obviously, been shared by ministers of BEIS and Defra in the course of widening the investigation and, of course, we welcome this.

We have formally submitted to the CMA in June this year -- put it this way, to say that, whilst our primary concern has traditionally been on our members, we also highlighted the potential impact that this may have on consumers as well. Farmers and growers, of course, the foundation of the grocery supply chains, they carry the greatest risk and we want to highlight that they run their businesses against significant variables, whether that be in the weather, coded prices, currency exchange as well but also short-term as in the buying in supply chain strategies.

We do think that the consolidation of power will lead to further risk exposure, compound the risk that the primary producers experience already in the business. We do think that there is significant potential for that consolidation of power to load further risk up the value chain. Whilst we understand this effect may not be the primary consideration of your mandate, there are secondary consequences that need to be fully understood. These secondary consequences may affect competition, availability and innovation to the supply chain that, ultimately, impacts the interests of the shopper and the consumer. There are two areas to consider and I would just like to make a statement/sound around the supplier perspective and that of the retailer as well. The first is that businesses facing increased risk environment will reduce investment to improve resilience in the balance sheet. Businesses in the farming and food sector are often called out for failing to invest in product innovation and productivity. It remains true that uncertainties in the trade and return on

investment are the biggest barriers to this. Our many members report continuous squeeze of the margin of gain in the supply chain that erodes their investment capability to improve quality, range and, ultimately, sustainability of their businesses. Particularly in the case of own-brand suppliers when faced with fewer selling options and risky trade environment, it is almost certain that they will narrow their focus in the short term and seek fewer opportunities to differentiate that might seek to secure range and innovation wins for a smaller group of brands.

Likewise, it follows that, where these two brands invest in differentiation themselves, it is likely that investment will be lower than when the two businesses existed separately, partly because they are no longer competing with themselves.

These factors need careful consideration to protect the range, choice, range availability and competitiveness and the interests of consumers.

Secondly, the lack of investment, innovation and productivity in agri-food businesses has long been highlighted by both retailers in open forum and they have called this out publicly themselves. Although we do not necessarily have sight of the detail, it is widely publicised that Sainsbury's have committed significant resources to invest in agriculture in their own value chains and food production in the supplier base. They have also been key to landing public R&D funding into agriculture and food using their supply chain position to help focus new market research in order to transfer through the supply chains as well.

Both businesses use their reach to encourage direct suppliers to invest with a view to seeking competitor advantage or brand advantage. It follows, therefore, that there should be an assessment of the historic investments in this area by

both businesses and compare the understanding of future investment in this
area to protect the availability, product innovation and consumers that
consumers ultimately benefit.

I will not labour this point, of course, that the increase in market share in the

limited range discount operations that could add pressures to the shoppers' experience of product availability and choice.

In summary, it remains a concern for the significant potential market disruption and the dynamic and main effects that we have described represents a risk of negative outcomes for consumers, shoppers and our members alike. Thank you.

- Q. Am I right in thinking that you intend to submit something to us later, after Christmas?
- 13 A. (Mr Hambling) Yes.
- 14 Q. Nazia?

A. (Ms Ali) Perfect. Our response mainly focused on the issues that we think consumers in Northern Ireland are going to face, based on whether or not the merger happens. Firstly, one of the things that we wanted to highlight was just how different it is, the UK versus Northern Ireland.

I have a slideshow here with a few powerful facts. I have brought copies with me and will leave them with you before I leave. One of the things that we wanted to highlight was around average income, UK versus NI. In the UK it is around £23,000 whereas in NI, it is £21,000. That means that the weekly discretionary income is massively different and in the UK it will be £200.01 whereas, in Northern Ireland, it would be £100.10. So, there is that massive difference. If the merger does need to increase prices, what kind of effect does this have on Northern Ireland consumers?

Along the point of vulnerability, according to the research that we have done, around one in two people in Northern Ireland are vulnerable. A lot of the vulnerable consumers tend to be the elderly ones who live in the rural areas, so, the physical supply of stores is going to be massively important to these kinds of consumers. In a lot of places in Northern Ireland where you live quite rurally you do not actually get internet signal. So, online shopping can be very difficult for these elderly consumers and, if there is a lack of stores, then their getting to a store could be very difficult as well.

The other thing that we wanted to highlight within our response was around the difference in actual markets. For example, within the UK, we have, you know, Tesco, Morrisons, Sainsbury's, Lidl, whereas, in NI, they do not actually have Aldi; there is no Waitrose there; there is no Morrisons there. So, how will affect our consumers?

The last point that we wanted to highlight was around fuel tourism. A lot of people cross the water to get their fuel because it is a lot cheaper. How will this merger affect consumers, especially in coupled with Brexit? What is the effect on the consumers?

- Q. Thank you for that. Rocio?
- A. (Ms Concha) Let me start by saying that we welcome the opportunity to share our views about the merger. I am looking forward to assisting the panel in answering your questions shortly.

I am not going to repeat everything that we said in our response to the issues statement. I thought it may be useful to set up as the key test for whether the proposed merger, may harm or benefit consumers.

The grocery sector is one of the most widely used consumer markets in the UK.

Over the last decade, consumers have benefited from relatively low prices,

improved quality, improved choice and standards. This, in a large part, is the result of a competitive sector. Therefore, as the merger will result in a combination of the second and the third largest retailers in the market, the obligation should be on Asda and Sainsbury's to demonstrate why the proposed merger will not have a detrimental effect on consumers both in the short and the long term.

We fully agree with the CMA that it is important to consider the impact on all consumer outcomes, price, choice and quality. Just to give you an example, when we asked consumers in a recent survey whether they would buy food items produced to lower quality standards that were cheaper than present, 71 per cent told us that they would not buy. There was no notable difference for different socio-economic groups.

We, therefore, believe that the CMA need to gather sufficient and reliable evidence on all possible impacts and not only price. I will say that an absence of evidence of detriment should not be taken as evidence of no detriment. In other words, in case of uncertainty, the CMA should err on the side of protecting the consumer.

We also agree with the CMA that they need to investigate whether the merged company will have increased buyer power to the extent that the merger may distort competition in the grocery market or may reduce the incentive for suppliers to invest, which may result in their impacts on consumers.

We fully agree with the two theories of harm that you describe in the issues statement. In our response to the issues statement, we highlighted another effect. I am not going to go into detail now but I am happy to answer any questions at the end.

As I have already said, choice is important for consumers. Just to give you

A.

another example, around 75 per cent of consumers in our recent survey told us that it was very important for them that key foods such as eggs, dairy products and poultry were all produced in the UK. Therefore, the CMA need to consider the impact of the proposed merger on the supply chains.

In addition, this merger is being considered against a climate of significant uncertainty with Brexit and I think that that uncertainty has been risen since we sent you our response. It is important that the CMA consider what the implications will be for the UK food security and potential changes to the supply chains.

Final point, the parties have announced that the merger will deliver £500 million of efficiencies. We believe that, unless the companies can fully demonstrate and guarantee how and how much of those efficiencies will pass on to consumers as opposed to shareholders, those efficiencies should not be taken into consideration as a countervailing factor to prevent or mitigate the lessening of competition by the merger.

Just to summarise, we think that it is very important that the CMA look at all consumer outcomes, and I stress that is not only price, it is quality and choice as well. In the case of uncertainty - and there is always some uncertainty when you look at mergers - the CMA should err on the side of the consumer.

I look forward to answering your questions.

- Q. Thank you very much. David?
 - (Mr Thomson) Thanks. The Food and Drink Federation is a trade association for food and soft drink manufacturers. We have members of all different sizes in our organisation. I should say that, while I am Chief Executive of FDF Scotland, I am answering on behalf of the whole Food and Drink Federation rather than specifically on the Scotland side of things.

I would just like to highlight three things. The first is the significant concern that was expressed very early in the news of the merger from our members. This will be a consolidation of market share and a consolidation -- in particular when you look at the £500 million of synergies that they claim, this will take margin from our members, which will have an impact on their businesses and a real impact on innovation. Food production is a high-volume and low-margin business, so anything that impacts that very delicate balance is of significant concern to our members.

The second thing I would like to highlight is the fact that we question Sainsbury's and Asda's logic on what they have said around supplier price reductions and synergies. I am sure we will get into that in more detail. There is no way we think that this will not have an impact on suppliers of all types without increasing buyer power.

Lastly, just to say we are surveying members to support the CMA inquiry, so our detailed response will follow in the next two to three weeks. The thing I would like to say as part of that is we need to note that many manufacturers will be very reluctant to provide individual evidence on this issue for fear that it will jeopardise their supplier relationships in the future with Sainsbury's, Asda and, actually, beyond that with other buyers.

Thank you very much. Without exception, the issues you have raised are just on our agenda and we have ...

There are a number of topics we would like to explore and we have some questions which we would like to put to you and then have a discussion. We might start with some of the issues to do with the supply side of the equation. The reason we are doing that is, in part, that the parties have argued strongly that they see some very significant synergy benefits arising from this merger

Α.

particularly in respect of the supply chain and particularly through price harmonisation across some of its products. So, with that in mind, I think one of the things that we would like to explore a bit further is to understand the context. This question is probably initially for the NFU and the FDF, although if others have views, that is fine and we welcome them. It is just to understand how your members engage with the nature of grocery chains today and the extent to which that engagement is direct or it is indirect because you are, in turn, feeding into a supply chain which eventually gets to the grocer. I think it is just a factual context we would like to understand a little bit better in respect of your members.

Who would like to kick off on that point?

- A. (Mr Hambling) I am very happy to, Just for the avoidance of doubt, I am here representing the National Farmers Union of England and Wales and my colleague in name on the right-hand side is the National Farmers Union Scotland.
- Q. My apologies for not making (Overspeaking)
 - (Mr Hambling) We do both is the short answer. We have an account manager approach, just like any food business, where we have direct contact with named individuals in our organisation to only have an engagement relationship directly in different levels of retail supply chain. So, our president and director general will have quarterly meetings, top-to-top meetings with the CEOs and buying directors generally for all the major retailers. My team, the chief advisers, for example, will have direct communication and relationships with the buying and agriculture and technical -- in those organisations as well. So, the answer is both. We also, of course, have direct relationships with our members who are also direct and indirect suppliers to the multiples as well.
- Q. Do you have any sense as to the output of the UK farming sector which is

- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 9

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

- eventually finding its way onto the grocery shelves, how much of that is through
- the direct sales relationship and how much of it is going through other suppliers
- who are perhaps processing the foods or whatever they might be doing but,
- eventually, providing an end product to the groceries?
- Α. (Mr Hambling) Again, the short answer is that is very complicated.
- Q. I am sure it is!
- Α. (Mr Hambling) It is fair to say that we do have some members, particularly in
 - the horticultural sector, who will have direct relationships with them, selling
 - relationships. There will also be growers and packers who will have direct
 - arrangements, buying -- selling arrangements with those businesses. We have
 - some that will have a direct relationship through a process. They may be in a
 - buying group. In the dairy sector, for example, there will be contracts which
 - are, essentially, back-to-back-style contracts. They are dedicated, to a greater
 - or lesser extent, through that direct or back-to-back contract.
 - We also have examples where those supply chains will have the developmental
 - R&D or buying-type groups but they are not necessarily supported by direct
 - selling arrangements. That may come through processor. There are variations
 - of each of those in between.
- Q. I am not looking for a precise estimate but, just to give us a sense as to how we
 - ought to be thinking about this, is it likely to be 50/50, 20/80 --
- A. (Mr Hambling) By volume, value?
- Q. Value, or whichever is easiest for you.
- A. (Mr Hambling) I think that would take some working out, frankly. That is quite
- a big guestion. If I was to hazard a guess, in the example of Sainsbury's, I
 - would hazard a guess to say maybe somewhere between 50 60 per cent of
- 26 volume for some of their primary fresh products but again, that is very --

Q. It is fairly significant in the example you have given.

1

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 2 A. (Mr Hambling) Yes. For some of the retailers it may be virtually not -- they may 3 have engagement but not necessarily any resource investment either in time or 4 money in that relationship. They may just have a communications channel with 5 a group of suppliers who happen to supply their primary suppliers. It is very 6 complicated but it varies by brand, generally. It is fair to say that businesses 7 like Sainsbury's, but also M&S and Waitrose, a great proportion of their product, particularly in the fresh area, would come from a dedicated group of some 8 9 description, but again, they are layers within each of those.
- 10 I am sorry not to give you a very straight answer.
 - Q. No, that is exactly what -- the important thing it is, potentially, quite significant but it varies somewhat depending on the sort of food we are talking about or produce. And FDF members --
 - A. (Mr Thomson) In general, most businesses will have a relationship with a supermarket director. There are a few consolidators and a few who will bring together in particular smaller micro business products, but, in general, most processors of even the 5 million 6 million-plus size will have a direct relationship with the buyers of the supermarket market.
 - Q. What could you in the group, particularly where there is a direct relationship, say about the nature of that commercial relationship? Is it very transactional?
 Is it based on long-term contracts? How does that work?
 - A. (<u>Mr Thomson</u>) Again, of course, it varies. To characterise it though, it is very often transactional. Very often, there is a lot of transparency in terms of figures and numbers.
- Q. Does that mean there are lots of open-book-type arrangements likely to be --
 - A. (Mr Thomson) Yes. In fact, there is often an insistence on that by the retailer

- in order that they can investigate the numbers of the processor. But also it can be both. There are some very long-term relationships but there are others which are three months, six months or seasonal relationships as well, depending on the product and the company and the markets that it is in.
- Q. Is there anything that drives the distinction between the different approaches?
- A. (Mr Thomson) Ease for the supermarket. So, in some cases, if you are talking about the difference between consolidation and direct relationship, then it is about ease of the supermarket in dealing with a multitude of very small suppliers. If you are talking about the different levels of contract, it can be around new product development; it can be around testing the market opportunities; it can be around the seasonality of produce. For longer-term, it tends to be or has been developing a relationship with either the supermarket or the buyer over a number of years.
- Q. You mention there is quite a lot of open-book accounting going on in supplier relationships. Is that more common than just best-price-wins-type bids?
- A. (Mr Hambling) Again it varies. I could not actually tell you what was more common or whatever but, certainly, there is a significant amount of that and that is an expectation that the retailers will have.
- Q. And among the farming community, how does that work?
- A. (Mr Hambling) I think it is fair to say that the number of direct by either volume or value contractual relationships with primary producers have been relatively small; maybe slightly higher in the horticultural sector but again I would not really be at liberty to properly give you a figure on that. It varies hugely by business and sector. I am not sure if I can add more to what I said earlier on to help illustrate that point.
- Q. But in terms of things like the extent to which there is open-book accounting

where the direct --

A.

- A. (Mr Hambling) There are some examples. In dairy, the multiples run a cost of production contract rule. That is done, as a rule, on average, input costs for dairy farm businesses. To that extent, it is fairly open-book, but as for a group of businesses, not necessarily individuals, submitting direct cost of production figures in an open way but certainly aggregated cost of production figures.

 They would be in the minority of overall relationships, I would say, but that is -- I can --
 - Q. This is a question for the FDF as well. Where you are looking at new product innovation, how does the relationship work there? To what extent do the grocers get involved in both specifying what might be done and helping shift the economics, look at the market potential and so forth?
 - (Mr Hambling) There are a few different approaches. We know of examples where the individual retailer has a concept in mind and they go to speak to their direct suppliers and indirect suppliers to look for a group concept. It is fair to say also ideas, for us, go the other way directly from suppliers but also from within supply chains as well. In terms of how they are managed through contracts, it can vary. Sometimes, when project work is done, it is done on a gentleman's agreement that they are working on a particular group concept to innovate on a particular product through trusted relationships. There are also examples of slightly more secure investment approaches which have been done under NDA or through some kind of government arrangement through Innovate UK-type projects where parties are signed up to a very formal project plan and contribution schedule as well. Again, they would be probably in the minority. I would say my understanding would be that the vast majority of product innovations would come from the supply chain looking to seek

competitive advantage, obviously, supplying their customer that the retailer would benefit from. Some brands, individual brands, invest more than others because they want to differentiate from the pack. So, there are a number of different approaches again to take into account.

Just to make sure I am understanding you correctly, are you saying the drive

Q.

A.

A.

for innovation is also coming from the brand as opposed to the grocery sellers?

(Mr Hambling) Sorry, when I used the word "brands" I meant retail brands. I would say that the vast majority of investment is almost certainly in the supplying companies, but it is fair to say that the retailers themselves also attribute a fair amount of resource themselves to try to look for a USP in the marketplace.

(Mr Thomson) From our position, our main suppliers do incur significant costs in developing new innovations and new products. That can come from a variety of drivers. It can come from wanting to create new products for their brand. It can come from the demands of retailers. It can actually come from wanting to support government policy as well. So, there are a whole range of these various drivers. But, from our perspective, absolutely, the significant cost for that sits within the margins of the food business. As examples, in terms of businesses who wish to deliver a product for a new retailer, for example, which may be the same category of product that they already deliver, there will an enormous amount of testing and trying out the new product which will have a slightly recipe because the retailer needs the unique selling point. All of that cost and effort is borne by the business rather than the retailer.

Q. (Mr Bamford) I just want to clarify around the open-book versus (Inaudible) arrangements, is there a significant difference in the way of standard operating practices for own label versus branded products? For example, in a more

open-book versus ...?

- 2 A. (Mr Thomson) I would expect that to be the case, yes.
 - Q. (Mr Bamford) Is there any difference in that relationship with respect to innovation in terms of where that risk of the cost of investment actually sits between --
 - A. (Mr Thomson) Not the risk of the cost of the investment; I would say the drivers can be slightly different. The drivers may be that the customer wants something and wants to specify their criteria for that, which might be a different relationship to the branded.
 - Q. (<u>Mr Bamford</u>) Thank you.
 - THE CHAIRMAN: One topic I would like to turn to now is the potential price harmonisation of supplier chain. I mentioned earlier on that one of the benefits which the parties have identified from the merger is that they will be able to harmonise to take advantage of the best prices available to either Asda or Sainsbury's. I think we would like to understand how common it is for there to be a material price variation across the grocers in the UK today from the supply chain that is supplying them. What is your sense, looking at your members and what they agree across their customer base, particularly these major grocers; how far do the prices that they charge then vary?
 - A. (Mr Thomson) From our perspective, the point is that, quite often, that is about the power in the supply chain and the relationship with the buyer. So, there is an element of variation. That variation will be how important that customer is to you in terms of volume that you get out; it will be around the length of the relationship; and it will be with the relative power of that organisation.
 - That is often quite visible to the other retailers as well. The price point on many products is exactly the same whether you go to Tesco or Sainsbury's or Asda

because any variation of that is both costly to the retailer and costly to the business.

- Q. Is your sense that, where there are these differences, they are well known about in all industries -- inside the industry?
- A. (Mr Thomson) I would have imagined that they will -- I do not want to speculate too far but, certainly if you bring it back to individual cases, the, very often, we will hear of a business, when it varies in price with another retailer, getting phoned by another retailer saying, "How are you managing to do that?" and, "Can you not do that?" or, "You should do that for us and, in fact, we probably want it cheaper".
- Q. You have identified a number of factors -- it happens, a number of factors which are contributors. How far do things like the costs and working of the companies or the nuances associated with what it is grocer A wants versus what grocer B wants -- how far are those important considerations?
- A. (Mr Thomson) They are enormously important considerations. For example, if a firm currently supplies Sainsbury's and Asda with product, they may well supply slightly different products, either pack sizes or slightly different recipes or something along those lines. So, that harmonisation between Sainsbury's and Asda might actually result in a loss of product choice as Sainsbury's or Asda choose the one that fits the price point and the customer volume.
- Q. One of the things that some people have said to us is that the list price is not the whole story and that there is a wider context to do with the position of the product, the retailer investment that is being made the supplier, et cetera. We are trying to get to grips with understanding that. I wonder if there is anything you could say about that piece?
- A. (Mr Thomson) Yes, in particular around new products and innovative new

products, getting shop space at all is an important element. The price point of that new product as it is introduced is an important element. Then the ongoing survival on the shelf of that product is an important element. All of these could, potentially, have upfront costs for the manufacturer. For example, getting the product there in the first place; then, obviously we talked about the significant innovation cost; but, actually, there might be a cost involved with the supermarket that has an impact on that, which might be in the price that you take, for example, to first introduce the product.

The second thing is how you decide with the supermarket the initial sale price.

That will be an element where both -- where the company, obviously, has to pay for any differential in costs. So, the business of the retailer would have to pay for that sale price in general.

Then, lastly, is it a success or not. It can often be dependent on the first two months of sale of that product. Then there will be an ongoing and slightly different relationship with the retailer in terms of do they want to keep the product; has it been a success and do they want it to use up shelf space.

All of those are a negotiation between the retailer and the business. Quite often a lot of the cost of that will sit with the business because they have room for the product that they want.

- Q. What about more mature products?
- A. (Mr Thomson) More mature products will go on sale at several points of the year. That is a decision and a negotiation between the retailer and the business. Again, the businesses quite often take the costs of promotion. If you want to be on an end cap, so, not in the aisle but on the bits at the side of the aisle, that can quite often be a negotiation and cost to the business.
- Q. Some industry involved in negotiating with a retailer, those that you have

mentioned, how does that get factored into the overall pricing of product?

A.

- A. (<u>Mr Thomson</u>) It is situational, I would argue. It is not based on this year's price; it is based on this promotional period's price. So, it is part of that separate specific negotiation.
 - Q. The farming community, is there more pricing ability in terms of what the major retailer grocers are paying?
 - (Mr Hambling) It is fair to say that the vast majority, certainly the product that is sold fresh or through a manufacturer or a packer in between, would be sold, linked in some way to commodity prices. There is not necessarily any price harmonisation to that extent. Where there are contracts in place that are actually linked to a price, then I guess you could argue that there is some degree of harmonisation there and some degree of transparency. But, essentially, they are traded commodities between the farmer and the grocer purchaser and it is not always necessarily obvious how that is linked to cost of goods. That is because of any of the reasons that I mentioned earlier on but also the fact that cost of goods is something that is negotiated and situational, as mentioned earlier on, and, generally, trying to take into account some of the fluctuations in the marketplace. So, a processor or a packer in between would be making a forecast and would negotiate cost of goods based on how they think the trade will proceed and perhaps also with regard to how trade has passed in recent times as well.

So, it is highly situational, I would say. For most farmers, they are exposed to that trading risk in a bigger way, of course, and they are, essentially, at mercy to the volatility in the marketplace. That is, I guess, why many of our members are so concerned about further consolidation because they feel that that will really add to that volatility and exposure of risk in the supply chain as well.

Q. As a thought experiment, if we assume for the moment that the merger does happen and that the new company pursue this policy of harmonising prices across its supply chain, what do you think might happen in the UK?

- A. (Mr Thomson) We are very clear that our manufacturing members would expect to have their margin squeezed. We would also expect that that harmonisation meant that there was less choice in products available as the differentiation between the two supermarkets and the types of product they might offer disappears, obviously.
- Q. How would that reduction of choice come about? What is driving it?
- A. (Mr Thomson) Driving it; if one accepts the argument that harmonisation will happen, then that harmonisation must happen in terms of types of product that are available. I think, Sainsbury's, Asda, recognise in their submission that all supermarkets at the moment are consolidating ranges and actually reducing choice for consumers. This harmonisation will accelerate that massively.
- Q. People talk about price harmonisation. You have referenced a number of factors which may explain why there are different prices for apparently the same thing. Is it possible that, when looked at in detail, the apparent opportunity might not be quite as significant perhaps as was first believed because there are all these other things that go with the price for this grocer?
- A. (Mr Thomson) I would not like to speculate on Sainsbury's or Asda's figures but, certainly, there are; it is cost of supply, length of relationship, historic bargaining strength, all these kinds of things are all part of the complex price issue. They have said to their investors that that is what they intend to make, so the question that all our members have is, "How will that affect me?"
- Q. This notion is not a new concept. It has arisen in relation to other mergers in the grocery sector like Tesco-Booker. It is still early days in that transaction but

- I wonder if you have seen anything arising from that merger which provides evidence that we should be thinking about in this context.
- A. (Mr Thomson) I think, like you said, it is too early days for that, but it will not have escaped the attention of anyone who reads the newspapers that there are lots and lots of food businesses in a very difficult financial situation at the moment. The danger that we would recognise is that, if those savings in volume come out of the processors and manufacturers, then that creates even more opportunity for low-margin business.
 - A. (Mr Taylor) If I could just add to that; the member survey you were doing does ask questions about the impact of the Tesco-Booker party so there might be something (Overspeaking).
- 12 A. (Mr Thomson) Yes. So, hopefully --

A.

- 13 Q. That would be very helpful indeed. And on the farming side, if this pressure for harmonisation actually materialises, what do you think --
 - (Mr Hambling) History has shown that the consolidation of first buyers, if you like, in the supply chain, whether that be in the meat sector or otherwise, has been pretty significant in the last few decades in the consolidation of buying power as well. The options for market outlets for primary producers are somewhat slim for those. That is certainly true, largely, through driving towards production efficiency and processing efficiency, of course, but also attributing quite widely to consolidation of buying power and the fewer number of retail buyers buying from a smaller pool of primary processors.
 - A. (Mr Armour) From a Scottish perspective, there are parts of the country where cost of production is eminently higher than across the country. We believe that consumers want that choice and want product from those areas. But, yes, there would be concern that price harmonisation would lead to consolidation on land

which has a lower cost base associated with it. The land that has a higher cost base associated with it is the land that is naturally suited to the production that is happening there. Harmonisation in prices could result in a loss of production in those areas and could affect its interest.

- Q. We are concentrating, to some extent on things like the implications of this merger on price and, possibly, choice; brand harmonisation(?) typical. Can we look at it from the other end of the lens in the sense of are there opportunities, as you see it, that are presented by this merger that we should be aware of for your members?
- 10 A. (Mr Thomson) I am not sure if we are asking that, necessarily, but --
- 11 A. (Mr Hambling) Being quite direct about it, it is true to say that none of the
 12 members have reported any potential opportunities and have been really
 13 outspoken in support of it.
- 14 A. (Mr Thomson) Yes. The only things that we are hearing directly from members is concern.
 - Q. There are a few other questions we would like to pick up on the supply side, and, James, you are going to do that; and then we will move on to some questions regarding the consumer market.
 - Q. (Mr Hampson) I have some follow-up questions on innovation and product development. I am interested in exploring a bit more how the life cycle of new product development happens in the UK; how your members bring these innovations to the UK market so the investment does not (?) for retailers and tried in for those retailers. I guess it is how that works overall but then the differences between particular retailers and particular grocers, and particularly how direct suppliers might play a role.
 - A. (Mr Thomson) I will start on that. New product development starts in lots of

ways, as I said earlier. A retailer might have a desire for a specific product or a specific recipe or a specific reformulation. A company might wish to develop either private label or own-branded new -- or business-branded new products and test them in the market, which is based on an analysis of trends and new fads and all sorts of things that happen. Or, as government policy is dictating at the moment, there might be a need to provide a healthier product or a more environmentally sustainable product. So, all of these things play into what are the opportunities to create new products. That is not just about a new recipe; it can often be about a new pack size or format, it can be about new branding or a new process of creating the product. All of those play into that innovation cycle.

If you were to take an example of, say, a reformulated chocolate bar, either the business is looking at, "We need to reformulate chocolate bars to play into the health market", or it is being asked by the supermarket to do a different thing. So, that company will then work out new processes, new ways of reducing sugar but keeping format, new ways of maybe adding fat and reducing sugar. There are all sorts of different ways that that might happen. Or they might just cut the size. Or they might add things, maybe proteins or different nutrients, into the programme. All of that is borne by the processor. It is not just the technical element of that or any processes involved in that. There is also a huge amount of consumer analysis, consumer taste testing, consumer brand propositions, all of which are made to convince the supermarket that this is something that they want on their shelves.

Q. (Mr Hampson) Where do they envision the return on investment on that? You described "the supermarket". Is it normally a supermarket or is that across the market?

- A. 1 (Mr Thomson) Again, it would depend. In some cases, it is across the market 2 as in where there might be branded product. But, actually, if an individual 3 supermarket comes to you, then you will work to their desires. Or you may, for example, have a white-label product where you are agnostic about which 4 5 supermarket you play into and you are developing it on that basis. Quite often 6 that is because you have identified a category, where a specific supermarket is 7 not meeting what the market wants. So, all of that is quite a complex thing and all that risk and all that thought feeds 8 9 in very much at the processor side.
 - Q. We have heard, in a competitive market, it is not just (Mr Hampson) supermarkets but buyers move around a lot sometimes. Does that mean there is a fairly similar culture across the grocers? Or would you say there is a different buying culture?
 - A. (Mr Thomson) I would not like to, obviously, at the moment, say. The difficulty with the buyer thing is, actually, it is a new relationship every six months. Actually, it induces quite a lot of fear in businesses when they have to meet a new buyer who then comes with their sales targets and their reduction targets and they all want to make their mark on the business. That is as far as we would say about different cultures.
 - THE CHAIRMAN: So, it is a tie-over on the buying side if you can provide in terms of personnel?
- 22 A. (Mr Thomson) It can be, yes.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

25

- 23 Q. (Mr Hampson) I think you mentioned on sometimes there is producer-led innovation. Is that the sort of thing that happens with a particular retailer, something you might tend to do?
 - Α. (Mr Hambling) It does happen but, if you do not mind, I might just pick up on a

point that was mentioned earlier and just elaborate there because I think it is important to air, which is retailers will monitor very closely their performance against their competitors, obviously. A lot product innovation comes from assessment of how they are performing in a particular category or even in a particular product line. So, that competition is really important to help fuel that investment.

Sorry for cutting across you there but I think that is an important point to highlight, which is, of course, something that we are reflecting on today, that that may be somewhat diluted by the merger.

To answer your question then, yes, it is probably fair to say that there is a degree of innovation by primary producers looking to seek opportunity in the market. I think it would probably be dwarfed significantly by the investment from processors or primary suppliers to retailers. But as a proportion of the investment, time and business for those individual businesses, I would argue that is a significant (?) and some producers may spend half their lifetime innovating in a particular niche in the marketplace and, clearly, that cannot compete with multinational businesses investing in product development themselves. But in terms of proportion to the individual small businesses, I would say it is very significant.

If I may take a step back from your question that you posed earlier on and we perhaps have not reflected on it sufficiently. You asked about opportunities. I am not sure if you can really class it as an opportunity but it would also be fair to say those who have a very close relationship with one or both of those retailers may reflect on the potential risk to their business if they think that the two entities going forward are not quite sustained where they are together. It would be unfair not to make that point. Whether you can class that as an

opportunity ...

- Q. (Mr Hampson) Following on from that, and this is all public to us, Sainsbury's and Asda have told us that the combined entity was the perpetual, the farmers' and growers' spend, and the best of the programme that Asda and Sainsbury's already have in place in respect of their small suppliers. There is a similar quote around investment and major initiatives. You have hinted that some are run-of-the-mill contracts that some people might see as particularly innovative or could be expanded. Is that something you see in a maturity?
 - A. (Mr Hambling) I think there could, potentially, be but I am not sure we have seen any tangible commitment to say that would be a positive outcome. Certainly, we know what has been done in the past and I am not sure there is any real formalisation of their direction of travel for the future. I guess that is one of the reasons why there are possibly more concerns there than anything else. That would be something that would be, potentially -- we will have to see some sort of commitment in those areas.
 - A. (Mr Armour) I think we have to be cautious about the possibility that corporate social responsibility activities detrimentally impact some other actors in this marketplace. So, for example, where there are initiatives for small groups of farmers, it can be in their best interests but it can also negatively impact the prices that have been received by farmers who are not involved in those CSR exercises.
 - Q. (Mr Hampson) You mentioned there are regions of the UK which have particular food cultures or food production. In your supply relationships with retailers, do you think they differ across the UK and how that might go in the future would, potentially, change as regard to the merger?
 - A. (Mr Armour) Certainly there are some -- the retailers put a heavy emphasis on

- provenance for fresh food. So, they do have teams dedicated to regional marketing. In Scotland, I would not say that Asda and Sainsbury's lead the way on that charge but, certainly, if they combined their resources, there might be opportunities for them to develop more regional brands.

 A. (Ms Ali) Sorry to interrupt. I have to leave now. I have not actually said anything to you guys but I have copies of the slides. If you have any questions,
- 8 A. (Ms Ali) I have some copies of the slides. Apologies from my side. If you have any questions, please send me an email.
- 10 Q. We might do because there are some other things (Inaudible).

please feel free to contact me.

- Q. (Mr Bamford) With respect to the supply arrangements, the parties have publicly stated that they will keep the two brands separate. I wonder how that has been responded to by your members with respect to in terms of the innovation discussion that we have had so far and if they have flagged that to you in any way.
- A. (Mr Hambling) Yes, that has been flagged, which infers that -- I guess, the subtext to that was that perhaps some of the investments and innovations that they had, hitherto, committed to would continue. But it is only an inference. That is where we go to start the (Inaudible) from.
- A. (Mr Thomson) If it comes out of (Inaudible), I would not (Inaudible) at the moment.
 - Q. (Mr Bamford) Sure. We were going to turn to the consumer relationships aspect but, unfortunately, Nazia has left now. For our series of questions, obviously, we will be turning to(?) Which? but also we will taking that (Several inaudible words) equally.
 - As part of this merger, we have spoken to tens of thousands of customers of

A.

Q.

A.

both Sainsbury's and Asda, both face to face in stores and petrol filling stations and online. We would also just like to understand the perspectives that you have come to understand yourselves with respect to how customers view the different brands of grocery retailers in the UK and whether there is any particular positioning that you think we should be aware of.

(Ms Concha) We have had our supermarket survey, so, basically, covered all supermarkets. We also did additional survey to try to understand, if there is a change in quality or prices for different supermarkets, which supermarkets consumers will go to. In that survey, we noticed that the Asda customers are in lower socio-economic groups. I can send you all the numbers. I remember that one of the questions was "If quality decreases or price increased by 5 - 10 per cent which other supermarkets would you do your shopping in?" and there is switching between these two supermarkets. I think it included some of the figures in our response to the issues statement but, again, I would be very happy to share with you those numbers.

So, we have not done any specific analysis to understand the socioeconomic type of customer that goes to Sainsbury's versus the one that goes to Asda. But in our surveys, we asked general questions about their income level, location, age and this sort of thing.

- (<u>Mr Bamford</u>) Did the respondents place a particular preference on, say, price versus range versus -- between the different brands? Or are you just generally across --
- (Ms Concha) Again I will send you the numbers because I do not remember all the detail. We actually tried to look at the issue of quality and price. There was a little bit of a difference in terms of quality and price when you ask consumers to try to see whether they care more about price or quality, we found that,

A.

actually, when you look at the whole thing, they seem to care a little bit more about quality. In the Asda case, there is more price sensitivity. So, we have --just to give you -- those are the figures that we have put in our submission. But when we asked if -- that is the switching bit.

Q. (Mr Bamford) Just to understand, it is the ...

A. (Ms Concha) So, we asked if they would switch if prices increased by 5 - 10 per cent, and 39 per cent said that they thought they would switch. We said, "If the standard went down?" and 49 per cent said they would switch. So, you can notice that they are more likely to switch because of standards. We can give you the figures also for -- and we found that Asda customers were significantly more likely - 46 per cent - than Sainsbury's customers - 35 per cent - to switch if prices were to increase by 5 - 10 per cent. So, basically, Asda customers seem to be more price sensitive. Price seems to be a little bit more of an issue for Asda customers, which makes sense (several inaudible words) consumers are more important.

Q. (Mr Bamford) If I turn that around, actually, just in terms of the suppliers, whether that focus on quality versus price and the differences between the supermarkets is something that is borne out by the focus of the supermarkets when speaking to suppliers in terms of the terms that they would have(?).

(Mr Hambling) It is fair to say that it has been fairly subjectively analysed, I have to say. I do not think I can really say it is a scientific survey but I guess the feeling would be that Sainsbury's would not only look for and discuss areas where they could provide differentiation to change the product specification or production quality which could be an embedded value of that product for how it might have been produced, for example. I would say both would certainly be engaging on all of those areas. It is fair to say that Sainsbury's probably have

A.

8

10

11

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

put more of their own investment or perhaps put more of their leverage on suppliers to focus on those elements. I am not entirely sure how I would quantify that for you in any way but that would be the general feeling by most of our members, I would say.

- (Mr Armour) We also see that from a sourcing perspective in terms of us trying to advocate for retailers to buy local, in-season produce. So, on lamb, for instance, we see Sainsbury's come back with a better proportion of in-season. local lamb as opposed to imported lamb during peak production season.
- Q. (Mr Bamford) And from the FDF?

So, just to then think about the supermarket brands in particular, the different grocery retailers across the full spectrum, and try to understand in speaking to customers when they talk about the way in which they interact with the different brands for the purpose of shopping, essentially; so, what is termed in many circles a "shopping mission". I wondered whether any of the information you had had come back about whether you are Asda or Sainsbury's or you are Tesco or Morrisons, right the way down to Aldi (?) Iceland and so on -- that there is a difference in the type of way that people shop at those different brands.

- A. (Ms Concha) I do not think that we have any evidence or any previous evidence to look at the differences – what do you mean by "shopping mission", it is that you do there, you go to the café, and they you make (Inaudible); is this what you mean by "shopping mission"? (Several inaudible words) what is in your grocery.
- Q. (Mr Bamford) That is okay. It does depend on who you are talking to you but it could be when you are going to, for example, a classic family weekly shop versus going to do a top-up shop, which is another term that is used for a small

- 1 shop.
- 2 A. (Ms Concha) Okay. So, if that is the case, yes, we saw from our surveys that
- 3 the majority of people do a main shop and then top up through the week.
- 4 57 per cent do that. 32 per cent usually buy everything they need in one main
- 5 shop and 10 per cent buy groceries as and when they need them. That is
- 6 coming from our supermarket survey 2017.
- 7 Q. (Mr Bamford) Is that something that you understand has changed over time?
- 8 A. (Ms Concha) I think that we have noticed a change in the people moving more
- 9 from one shop during the week to more like doing a main shop and then
- 10 top-ups.
- 11 Q. (Mr Bamford) Was there any indication that that changed; the type of
- supermarket that they were using was different?
- 13 A. (Ms Concha) Not that I know but, actually, if I look at the surveys -- because I
- probably do have it by supermarket. I, maybe, can get you some analysis on
- 15 that.
- 16 Q. (Mr Bamford) Okay.
- 17 A. (Mr Hambling) Just some commentary on that. I think that might vary quite
- considerably, depending on the outlets that are available in a local area. So, of
- 19 course, a high street convenience store is certainly going to attract more top-up
- shoppers. Some of that might be influenced quite heavily by just the asset
- 21 space that those businesses have. So, maybe there are a few different areas
- 22 to consider.
- 23 A. (Mr Thomson) Just to add, all that information will be available from commercial
- providers like Kantar, obviously, who will have all that to hand.
- 25 Q. (Mr Bamford) There are a couple of other elements that we are looking at now.
- 26 It is not just grocery.

- 1 If I start with fuel, it is publicly available that the merged company will be the 2 largest retailer of fuel in the UK on volume. I wondered, from your perspective, 3 how you think customers perceive supermarket fuel versus what you might call the "oil majors" suppliers of fuel and current offerings between Sainsbury's or 4 5 Asda in the fuel sector.
- 6 A. (Ms Concha) We have not looked at this.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- 7 (Mr Bamford) Have you done any consumer research into the fuel market? Q.
- 8 A. (Ms Concha) Not that I am aware of. We definitely have not done it.
- THE CHAIRMAN: What would tend to lead you to investigate an issue? Is it because 10 members of Which? say, "I am really interested in this" and then you get a lot of people saying that and then you investigate it?
 - A. (Ms Concha) It is a combination; definitely, our members, our supporters, our own initiative because we think that there is an issue. So, we have done a lot of work – on food for example we do a supermarkets' survey every year because that is part of our magazine. We also have done more work on food this year because of Brexit because we think that it is such an important consumer issue, have such an important impact. So, it depends.
 - Q. I guess people tend to contact you because they have got a concern rather than they think something will work.
- 20 Α. (Ms Concha) Yes, usually, that is the case!
- 21 Q. I was putting that very politely!
- 22 A. (Ms Concha) But we also initiate, as I said, our own investigations because we 23 see what is happening out there; and then get input from our members, our 24 supporters -- are looking also.
- 25 Q. Yes. One of the notable things in the fuel market is that the supermarkets 26 traditionally have been quite price competitive compared to both independents

- and the major oil companies. That may well be one of the reasons why you have not heard very much about that from your members -- conjecture on my side. Sorry.
- Q. (Mr Bamford) Actually, it has sparked something. So, it has helped me, your survey -- you were talking outside about your mince pie element.
 - A. (Male Speaker) Go, Asda!

Α.

- Q. (Mr Bamford) Those more preference-based, where you are doing a review and so on, what is the engagement from your readership or your members on those? Do you get feedback saying, "I always go to Aldi. They are always wonderful"? Do you get that kind of interaction?
 - (Mr Docherty) We do, on our magazine -- sorry, just to explain the multiple very briefly, we have what is called Which? members and they are the people who take out a subscription to one or more of the publications. We have supporters who are people who have signed up to one of our campaigning things. For example, we have campaigned on nuisance calls. So, people can sign up to be a supporter. They do not pay us any money. They have no voting rights. They are supporters. Then we have the public at large; and, of course, we have politicians and other stakeholders as well. So, it is a combination of those four groups of people that we filter through to pick out the issues that we are going to prioritise in any given year.

When we do something like our Christmas surveys of the produce, we will post that into our online forums and we will get literally hundreds of people who will come on and they will share their anecdotes. Using mince pies; we will put that up and I am sure, undoubtedly, we will get people who will come on and talk about their granny's recipe or somebody (Several inaudible words) like. Some people might eat their mince pies hot; some will eat them cold. We are not sure

- that is the most empirical evidence (Overspeaking) but, when we are looking at some of the other campaigns on the more serious issues, then that is important anecdotal evidence. A very simple example, away from this, leasehold is a big issue in England. We put out a call to Which? members and supporters and we got almost 200 people who took the time to contact us with specific case studies which then feed our knowledge base. So, those can be important ways for us to gather evidence.
- Q. (Mr Bamford) Talking the supermarkets, my understanding is some of your surveys do look at the quality of one supermarket versus the other.
- A. (Ms Concha) Yes, we definitely do. If you look at our supermarket survey, we look at different parameters; value for money, whether you feel that you have people to help you in the shop. We have all that in the system and we rate the different supermarkets.
- Q. (Mr Bamford) So, then you come up with a ranking based on where people's views are that across the different priorities?
- 16 A. (Ms Concha) All these priorities, yes, and we are very happy to share all that information with you.
 - A. (Mr Docherty) Yes. Just trying to find it but we do, once a year, a supermarket survey to rate on not just food but on non-food goods as well.
 - A. (Ms Concha) Services. Parking. All these things like -- and it is what you call the shopping mission -- they are elements of supermarket shopping experiences -- that they offer. We, at the moment, have our survey out for this year. So, we can send you the one for last year.
 - Q. (Mr Bamford) I think I have seen one of your surveys about online shopping, which had, if I recall correctly, the result that Iceland was the top online supermarket supplier. What would be the breakdown to get to that result?

- 1 Would it be focused on your responses from people on price or on range?
- A. (Ms Concha) A number of issues. Our survey will go into all the details;
 whether they are on time, whether they have different time slots, that if drivers
- 4 are helpful, whether they deliver all the products and to check (Inaudible); so,
- 5 all of that. Again, I can share with you all the questions that we ask them.
- THE CHAIRMAN: Do you get input from people who have experience of using different suppliers online?
- 8 A. (Ms Concha) Yes, I would assume that we do.
- 9 Q. So, they are able to compare one against the other as opposed to I may be
 10 using Sainsbury's and I put in the score but this is all hypothetical I may not
 11 have any experience of other online grocery retailers(?) to compare one against
 12 the other.
- 13 A. (Ms Concha) Yes. I do not know if we ask specifically the question whether

 14 you have used different providers. Again, I can look into that and share --
- 15 Q. But then people who use Iceland (Several inaudible words).
- 16 A. (Ms Concha) Yes.
- A. (Mr Armour) I suppose the thing worth knowing is that everyone who is using those online platforms also are used to using other online retail platforms --
- 19 A. (Ms Concha) Exactly.
- A. (Mr Armour) -- whether or not it is a retail chain, they will have used Amazon, for example. So, you can still compare it to other online retailer.
- A. (Ms Concha) So, yes, according to our survey, there are a very small percentage of people that will buy all their food online, for example, so they will be going to other retailers to do their shopping.
- A. (Mr Docherty) If that is helpful, we can send you our releases with our studies about individual shops and how we grade them, if that is helpful.

- A. (Ms Concha) Also, because, when we don't include in our article on supermarkets everything that we ask -- so, we can send you the list of questions; and, if you want to see those sorts of different questions, we will send you all the results.
 - Q. (Mr Bamford) Sorry for my ignorance on this but, in terms of who responds to that survey, is that a survey of your members?
- 7 A. (Ms Concha) No, these are UK consumers.
- 8 Q. (Mr Bamford) Is it based on national --
- 9 A. (Ms Concha) The one that we did up to this year was a survey of the whole population, so was representative -- this year, we are going to only cover our members.
 - THE CHAIRMAN: So, previously, when you did it, how many people would respond to the survey, typically?
- 14 A. (Ms Concha) How many people? I feel around 6,000 was the number, was the base. Yes. So, for 2017, the base was 6,800.
- 16 Q. Okay.

6

12

13

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- Q. (Mr Bamford) Just sticking to online, we see there are different models online. You have the supermarkets who either have a fulfilment centre or use their stores; and then you have some individual suppliers, Ocado or, within the South East, AmazonFresh where they introduce much more of a depot. I wondered whether, from your supplier members, there was a difference in the way that they interact with your traditional physical grocer shop for --
- A. (Mr Thomson) I do not know is the answer. I think the point though you mentioned a couple of others is, as far as I am aware, the only three with real national reach for fresh produce are Sainsbury's, Asda and Tesco. It might be different in the South East of England but, certainly across the whole of the UK,

as far as I understand, the most extensive coverage is Tesco, Sainsbury's and Asda. So, obviously, that does have a potential revenue(?).

- (Mr Hambling) I would say that the extremes(?) for the primary producer would not necessarily vary because, no matter how the orders are fulfilled, whether they have been through a dark store or a distribution centre, they still usually go through a primary processor somewhere or along with the manufacturer or someone in between. So, they would not necessarily notice that difference. I do not know for sure but the exception may be AmazonFresh because of the way that they operate their model, but I am not close enough to (Inaudible); I am just aware that they seem to have more -- I am not sure what the terminology is but, essentially, individual businesses are selling through the medium of Amazon as opposed to selling to the retailer to sell their product, so they -- through a branded or own-brand supplier in between, hey may well be selling it online on the Amazon platform, as I understand it.
- A. (Mr Armour) I am not sure it pertains to Sainsbury's and Asda but, our concern, we firmly believe that Scottish beef is a different product to beef and say (Overspeaking) and so --
- A. (Male Speaker) It is certainly higher cost!
- 19 A. (<u>Male Speaker</u>) You said that!

A.

- A. (Mr Armour) Something that is lost online, we find, is that consumers do not have the choice about provenance as much. So, that is where we would be concerned. We have advocated retailers like Asda to put in options on origin of product when they are buying fresh but that is not well explained on most retailers online platforms.
- 25 A. (Male Speaker) I would second that.
- 26 Q. (Mr Bamford) So, as I said, I was moving from groceries to fuel and online.

6

21

22

23

24

25

26

One further one is that both Sainsbury's and Asda have a proportion of their larger stores which have what they class as general merchandise. That could be toys, it could be electricals, it could be clothing, which would be more wider spread -- as on just groceries -- whether, when you have done surveys or investigations in other product markets, the supermarkets have been brought up as a potential or as a current strong competitor in those particular areas.

- 7 A. (Mr Docherty) Yes.
- 8 Q. (Mr Bamford) If we took those three, toys, electricals electricals, being the smaller type and clothing, whether there is anything(?) within those three.
- 10 A. (Mr Docherty) Yes. I say that because, in our state of the high street articles
 11 earlier this year, in some of the categories they feature. If I cannot pull it up on
 12 my phone just now, can I forward you the ...?
- Q. (<u>Mr Bamford</u>) Yes, certainly. So, when you say they feature, they feature as a
 competitor to other non-supermarket retailers?
- 15 A. (Mr Docherty) Yes.
- Q. (Mr Bamford) Did that state of the high street also look at or have any outcomes with relation to the interaction between supermarkets and other retailers or online providers of that non-grocery merchandise?
- 19 A. (Mr Docherty) I would need to check.
- 20 A. (Ms Concha) What do you mean?
 - Q. (Mr Bamford) For example, if we took small electricals, you have the supermarkets, you have other electrical stores, physical stores and then online providers; and whether, in response to your survey, your members, they consider all of them to, essentially, be directly competing with each other. For example, you purchase your electric toothbrush -- (Inaudible) electricals, but a toothbrush through Sainsbury's, through Dixons or somebody like that or

through Amazon, all level.

- A. (Ms Concha) To get to that, you will need to ask specific questions to the consumer, whether they would move to all these different retailers. I am not
- 4 sure if we have a specific question like that to really see whether they are
- 5 competitors -- but we will check. We can share all those results, obviously.
- 6 A. (Mr Docherty) On a product review, a lot of our product reviews would be either
- 7 available from -- or own brands on GM site, particularly on kettles, toasters and
- 8 microwaves. Is that what you meant?
- 9 Q. (Mr Bamford) Yes, that is one -- so, when you say "own brand", you mean a
- 10 supermarket own brand?
- The final question from me in respect of the consumer side is whether there are
- 12 any particular groups of customers which the proposed merger -- this is having
- a particularly different impact on (Several inaudible words)
- 14 A. (Ms Concha) (Inaudible)
- 15 Q. (Mr Bamford) -- certainly put that forward, but I wondered whether any of the
- information that you have seen has highlighted any particular groups of
- 17 customers.
- 18 A. (Ms Concha) No, we have not investigated -- we have defined vulnerability
- here or investigated whether it will have a particular -- a higher impact. We
- 20 know, from our surveys, the social grade of the people that are using different
- 21 supermarkets. So, we think that supermarkets, in the case of pricing, they -- we
- 22 know that where people live will really affect that. But we have not really done
- 23 analysis on that.
- 24 A. (Mr Thomson) This is not from an FDF perspective but just from a Scotland
- 25 perspective. Listening to what Nazia said about the difference in the proportion
- in the retail market, I would suggest there is probably a difference in the

2
 3
 4

A.

proportion of visibility in lieu(?) of specific ranks(?) in the retail market here. So, if Waitrose is a lot less, for example, Tesco is significantly worse. So, I think probably there will be some kind of figure like that that shows that Sainsbury's, Asda also punches above its UK average weight in Scotland but that is just listening to what she had to say.

- (Mr Armour) It might be worth looking into -- Scotland's unique in that it has got the most remote parts, the Western Isles and the far north west, which will be communities that will be reliant on one supermarket; the next one is an hour and a half away. So, Sainsbury's and Asda, if their price commitment as compared to other retailers changes, then all those communities are detrimented by the fact that their retailer has higher prices compared to their counterparts in areas where they can choose, so you have 4 or 5 supermarkets.
- A. (Mr Hambling) Yes. We would not have access to the data to be able to interrogate it but it would seem reasonable to question the level of investment in certain price points and categories that would be on top of it over consumers who -- the appropriate inspection, but we would not have any intelligence on that.
- THE CHAIRMAN: There is a question you raised which I would like to just explore a little bit further. In the public statements, certainly within Asda, they have made quite a lot of the impact of only in the middle in particular in the UK market and that one of the reasons for the merger, in their explanation, is to help reposition your economics so that they can be more competitive with limited companies.

 I have really two questions in relation to that; firstly, from a customer perspective, whether what you have received back from your members and others you have surveyed tends to concur with that perspective in terms of the importance of the impact of those two companies. Secondly, from a supplier

1
 2
 3

 Α.

A.

perspective, is there anything which you notice to be very different about the way in which those companies engage with their suppliers which means that their economics are quite different from the more traditional supply chains?

So, first with customers, is there anything you have picked up?

- (Ms Concha) So, obviously, the discounters have a smaller range of products but it is true that they have improved their retail offer for consumers and we see that in our survey. Whether they are competing even for -- given the discipline that you need for these supermarkets to improve prices and retail offer, we do not have any evidence to show that. Definitely, you can see how these discounters have improved and how people are ranking them, basically, higher.
- Q. What about from the supply perspective? To some degrees, I cannot remember if it is Aldi or Lidl, they are both of the spend(?) which are sourcing domestically as opposed to internationally and they have a smaller range. I think, in relation to individual SKUs, that helps them provide a throughput in relation to that. So, I was wondering how that affected, in the experience of your members -- in working with those organisations compared to working with the large, traditional supermarkets. There is a stronger emphasis on general brand.
 - (Mr Thomson) Yes. That is what I was going to reflect; a stronger emphasis on own brand. Just as demanding in getting the right recipe and the right price point; in fact, sometimes more so. The price point is quite often, potentially, lower and that margin is squeezed there as well. I think, probably, in that statistic from Which? about changes in shopping habit, that may be driven by the positioning of those stores and the types of product they have. So, a top-up thing can quite often happen there. And, actually, the element of consolidation and range reduction by the larger supermarkets may be a corollary of

competition ...

- Q. From the perspective of your members, do they see something different in working with those supermarkets as compared to the others?
 - A. (Mr Hambling) Anecdotally again, feeding in commentary from others more than ourselves really, is that it is understood that the cost structure of the discount brands is somewhat lower and the cost to serve of those brands would be lower as well for manufacturers and suppliers.
 - Q. Why is that?
 - A. (Mr Hambling) I think, partly because of range and partly because, although the dynamics are probably changing in more recent times, certainly there is a reputation for a more straightforward pricing negotiation exercise based on fewer detailed requirements, particularly on how the produce is produced as opposed to what the specification actually is. There is a general feeling that it is easier in the market risked(?) by the discount businesses.

Again, quantifying that is not within my gift or within our gift in any way but that would be the general sense of it by the providers for these. And, of course, the sourcing commitments that they have made, one would speculate that, in many cases, may be easier to do to gain a competitive advantage in the marketplace based on the fact that, if you do have a lower cost structure, then it is easy to do, to compete with someone who has a higher cost structure and making more seemingly costly investment.

- Q. What about things like the end-to-end supply chain? Do you find that you are (Inaudible) forward to that, so it is a bit more clear or just getting simpler or is it not much difference?
- A. (<u>Mr Hambling</u>) Again, possibly not a sizeably robust statement but it is fair to say that the discounters, more latterly, are much more interested in end-to-end

- than perhaps they have been before. Again I am not able to quantify that but that would be the general sense of it.
- Q. I think we have probably got to the end of the specific questions which we had.

 We have a few minutes left. If there are any comments or observations that you would like to make, we would be very open to hearing them before we close.
- A. (Mr Thomson) Can I -- well, not ask because you will not be able to answer, but I suppose the bit that I have not really highlighted is Sainsbury's, Asda's claim that it does not have buyer power in relation to the businesses it buys from because that is one of the points that they put forward in their statement that is just not credible.

(Male Speaker) Do not think about this

- A. (Mr Thomson) It is not credible. I do not know if you guys would agree but it certainly does not feel like that to our members. There is a reason there is a Groceries Code Adjudicator and that is because of buyer power in supermarkets like Sainsbury's and Asda, and both supermarkets have to pay attention to that. That kind of statement is, clearly --
- Q. One of the issues we are looking at is whether the merger will give rise to a change or an increase in buying power. That does not necessarily mean that they would -- buyer power raised; it is more looking at that change and what the implications of that change might be. Given our statutory responsibilities, we are, essentially, concerned about the impact of that ultimately on competition and customers and shops.
- A. (<u>Mr Thomson</u>) And the obvious thing is whether that changed to a 30 per cent or whatever, and losing that 30 per cent as a manufacturer is a much different proposition to losing maybe 15 per cent in terms of your viability as a business.

- A. (Ms Concha) We have discussed quite a lot these efficiencies the parties are saying they are going to deliver and you have told us what (Several inaudible words) right or not. From our point of view, we would be quite worried if the CMA looked at these efficiencies as a countervailing factor if you find that the merger would lessen competition because there is no guarantee that the efficiencies will go to consumers. We can see them going to shareholders.
 - Q. Would you say that, regardless of the source of the efficiencies?

- A. (Ms Concha) I do not know what the source of the efficiencies would be. If you found in your investigation into this merger that there would be a lessening of competition because of the merger, that, obviously, will have a negative impact on consumers in the market on one of the outcomes, on all of the outcomes, price, choice and quality. If those efficiencies do not translate into a benefit to consumers and you can guarantee that this might the case because the companies can say that but then they really do something different then consumers will get a bad deal. For us, for a market as important as this, that will not be right.
- Q. Final points from you? The last word for the NFU in Scotland!
- A. (Mr Armour) From a primary producer point of view, we always like to point out that this is not about our two companies; it is about more than 10,000 agricultural holdings in Scotland, over 100,000 agricultural holdings in the UK, and these are all small and medium-sized enterprises, all of which represent consumers in their own right as well as producers and suppliers. Any negative price impact on them, will be felt in our communities.
- Q. Okay. I think you have all summated. Thank you very much. It has been a very helpful discussion. Thank you for the patience you have shown and diligently responding to our questions. That is very helpful indeed.

- As I said in my introduction, we will share the transcript with you. Please do look at that and, any minor corrections you make, if you could put them in a separate letter, that will be fine. We look forward to seeing your submissions.

 Obviously, if we have any follow-up questions post this hearing, we will get back to you as well. Thank you again.

 A. (Mr Docherty) It would be helpful just to get, afterwards, a list of what you
- A. (Mr Docherty) It would be helpful just to get, afterwards, a list of what you wanted from us. I think I have got down a list but it would be helpful to know what information.
- 9 Q. Right, sure.
- 10 A. (Mr Docherty) Thank you.
- 11 Q. Thank you very much.

Key to punctuation used in transcript

	Double dashes are used at the end of a line to indicate that the person's speech was cut off by someone else speaking
	Ellipsis is used at the end of a line to indicate that the person tailed off their speech and didn't finish the sentence.
- xx xx xx -	A pair of single dashes are used to separate strong interruptions from the rest of the sentence e.g. An honest politician – if such a creature exists – would never agree to such a plan. These are unlike commas, which only separate off a weak interruption.
-	Single dashes are used when the strong interruption comes at the end of the sentence, e.g. There was no other way – or was there?

ENDNOTES

ⁱ On reflection, the CMA has decided to publish a copy of the transcript of this hearing, instead of a summary.