Case No: 1400023/16



EMPLOYMENT TRIBUNALS

BETWEEN

Claimant and Respondent

Mr A P Paulinski First South West Ltd

Employment Judge Matthews

<u>Decision on an application for Reconsideration of the Tribunal's Judgment</u>

Acting in accordance with rule 72(1) of the Employment Tribunals (Constitution and Rules of Procedure) Regulations 2013 (the "Rules") I refuse the Claimant's application for reconsideration of the Judgment promulgated to the parties on 23 August 2017 (the "Judgment") set out in a paper dated 1 September 2017 from the Claimant to the Bristol Employment Tribunal. I consider that there is no reasonable prospect of the Judgment being varied or revoked.

<u>Reasons</u>

The Claimant sent a paper to the Tribunal received on 5 September 2017. I suspect that this is intended to be an appeal to the Employment Appeal Tribunal but I am also treating it as a request for reconsideration of the Judgment. The application was within the fourteen day time limit. The paper should be referred to for its full content. The Respondent has not, so far as I am aware, been invited to comment and has not done so.

The ground on which the Judgment may be reconsidered is that it is necessary in the interests of justice to do so. This is set out in rule 71 of the Rules.

The Claimant's arguments mostly cover ground dealt with at the Hearing and in the Judgment. However, I am surprised to see new complaints about the emotional condition of the Claimant and the services of the interpreter. The Claimant's brother was allowed to intercede on his behalf to support him and because it was clear that the brother had had a substantial hand in managing the

Case No: 1400023/16

case. At the end of the morning session (during which both sides put their respective cases) the Paulinski brothers confirmed, in answer to my question, that they were happy that they had said what they needed to say to enable a decision to be made. As far as the interpreter is concerned, there was no hint of complaint during the hearing. I see no reason to alter the Tribunal's findings.

Accordingly, I consider that there is no reasonable prospect of the Judgment being varied or revoked.

Employment Judge Matthews

Dated: 22 September 2017

Bristol